

IN GOD WE TRUST

INSTRUMENT OF SECESSION

WHEREAS:

- A. When the Children of the House of Israel residing in a British Nation emigrate to Australia, they take with them the Fundamental Constitutional Laws of that British Nation, namely, the Laws of God and the Natural Law. British Nations identify themselves when the national Flag and or Armourial Bearings, recognise as Sacred the Third Heaven (the Heaven of Three Realms) and or the Royal House of Zara- Judah (the Rampant Lion). The Third Heaven is represented by the Mystic Lights of the Redness of the Dawn (Red), the Sea of Brahm (Blue) and the Starry Heaven (White). Nations that display the Red, White, and Blue in their National Flags or Arms are British Nations: Iceland, the United Kingdom, France, the Netherlands, the Russian Federation (all former Soviet States are British Nations), Canada (the old flag), the United States of America, New Zealand, and Australia. Nations that display the Rampant Lion in their Arms are British Nations: Norway, Sweden, Denmark, Belgium, and Luxembourg.
- B. The British Nations comprising the Commonwealth of Israel are those Nations where, in times past, the ethnic majority comprised persons of Israelite/ Celtic/ Norse/ Teutonic origin who were Britons, the Covenant People. This ethnic origin is

revealed in the Arms of British Nations and their Provinces. For example, the ARMS OF THE NETHERLANDS: The Golden Lion represents the Power of Vril, the invincible Wisdom and Powers of God (represented by the Gold), utilized by the Royal House of Zara-Judah (the Lions) to preserve the Israelite Covenants (including the Ark of the Covenant, the Covenant of the Day, the Covenant of the Night), the Laws of God and the Natural Law. The Golden Lion in the Blue Field is holding in his right fore-paw a silver Rod of Iron, representing the Wisdom and Powers of the Goddess Shakti (seen as a beautiful Blue-Green Orb of Light: Mother Earth and the phenomenal Universe: the Body of God), being guided via the Minds and Hearts of the Children of Israel, by God by the Covenant of the Day : the Word of God and the Word of the Goddess : the Birds and the Bees. The Golden Lion in the Blue Field is holding in his left fore-paw Seven Arrows, representing the Seven Spirits of God in the Seven Mansions of Heaven, permeating and merging into the Body of God (the King and the Land are One), deifying and transforming the same. The Blue Field represents the Universal Unconditioned Love of the Angel Venus in the Causal Realm (the 'Fifth Element', the Aesthetic Love of the Goddess, as distinct from the Mystical Love of God). The Ten Flecks of Gold on the Blue Shield represent the Thirty-Two Great Masters alluded to in the Emerald Tablets of Thoth the Atlantean carrying the Vril into the Ten Regions of Heaven and the Earth (the Five Spiritual Regions of Heaven : Sach Khand, Bhanwar Gupha, Daswan Dwar, Trikuti, and Sahans dal Kanwal, and the Five Elemental Realms of Earth ; the Causal-Ether, Mental-Air, Astral-Fire, Etheric-Water, and Earth Planes). The Golden

Crown represents God as the King of Israel governing the Nations of the Commonwealth of Israel, utilizing Vril. The Eight Bridges of the Crown represent God governing the phenomenal Universe, through the Minds and Hearts of the Children of Israel in the Four Quarters of Heaven and Earth, of the Right-Hand Path and of the Left-Hand Path. The White Pearls represent the Wisdom of God conveyed via the Saints, the Prophets and the Angels, to the Children of the House of Israel.

- C. The Provincial Arms of ZUID-HOLLAND display a Red Rampant Lion on a Gold Field, representing the King of Israel, of the Royal House of Zara-Judah (the Red Rampant Lion) governing the Commonwealth of Israel with the Wisdom and Powers of God (Vril : the Gold Field).

The Arms of LEEUWARDEN, the capital city of the Providence of FRIESLAND, display a Golden Rampant Lion on a Blue Field. The Lion represents the Children of the House of Israel being guided by the Wisdom of God (Gold) and being tempered by the Mercy of God (Blue) in their dealings.

The Provincial Arms of GRONINGEN : The Gold Field represents the Wisdom of God. The Two-Headed Black Eagle Flying represents the Soul of the Son of Man, of the Right-hand Path and of the Left-hand Path, dwelling in a State of Pure Non-Dualistic Consciousness (Samadhi, represented by the Blackness), flying to the Gates of Heaven and thence into the Mansions of Heaven. The Shield over the Heart of the Son of Man displays Emerald and Silver Bars. The Silver represents

the Soul of the Son of Man being guided by the Emerald Bar, which represents the Wisdom (Gold) and Mercy (Blue) of God.

The Arms of the District of HOLLENHOVE : the Golden Horn represents the Trumpet of God that heralds the coming of the Word of God. The Emerald Field represents the amalgam of the Wisdom (Gold) and Mercy (Blue) of God. The Red Castle represents the Names of God : the Ark of the Covenant (known to the Druids as Enigma). The White Field represents the Clouds of Heaven of the Covenant of the Day. The Israelites may enter Samadhi in the Clouds of Heaven by performing the Ark of the Covenant, by a Mystic Practice known to the Hindus as Jappa and the Sikhs as Simran; or by a Spiritual Practice known to the Hindus as Sambhavi Mudra and the Zen Buddhists as Za-Zen. The White Cross represents the Mystic 'Sacrifice' of the Passover/'Crucifixion', that creates a Contemplative State of Mind : Self-Abandonment to Divine Providence. The Red Field is the Blood of the Lamb, the Spirit of God, touching the Heart of the Contemplative, in the Covenant of the Day, at the East Gate of Heaven as the Word of God (heard via the Archangel Gabriel as the Tinkling Cymbal, the Song of the Dove, that reveals the Will and the Word of God), and touching the Heart of the Contemplative at the West Gate of Heaven as the Law of the Holy Mother (heard via the Angelic Goddess Britannia as the Buzzing of the Bees, that guides the Wisdom of Natural Reason to formulate the Laws of God as a Universal Code of Social Ethics called the Natural Law or the Law of Nations). The Natural Law as it was

codified by King Mulmutius about 450 BC and preserved in the Welsh Triads, includes the following Principles:

1. There are three tests of civil liberty:
 - (a) equality of rights . . . (c) equality of taxation
2. There are three causes that ruin a State:
 - . . . (b) corruption of justice . . .
3. There are three things which cannot be considered solid longer than their foundations are solid:
 - . . . (c) law.
4. There are three things indispensable to the true union of nations:
 - (a) sameness of laws . . .
6. There are three things that require the unanimous vote of the nation to effect:
 - (a) disposition of the Sovereign, (b) introduction of novelties in religion, (c) suspension of law.
7. There are three civil birthrights for every Briton:
 - . . . (b) the right, wherever he is, to protection for his land and from the Sovereign . . .
8. There are three property birthrights for every Briton:
 - (a) five (British) acres of land for a home, (b) the right to keep and bear arms, (c) the right of suffrage in the making of laws.
9. There are three guarantees of Society:
 - (a) security for life and limb, (b) security of property, (c) security of the rights of nature.
10. There are three things the safety of which depends

on that of the others: (a) the sovereignty . . . (c)
just administration of the laws.

11. There are three things which every Briton may legally be compelled to attend:
 - (a) the worship of God, (b) military service, (c) the Courts of Law.
14. There are three whose power is kingly in law:
 - (a) the Sovereign paramount of Britain over all Briton and its Isles, (b) the Princes Palatine in their pryncedoms . . .
15. There are three sacred things by which the conscience binds itself to truth:
 - (a) the Name of God, (b) the rod of him who offers up prayers to God, (c) the joined right hand.

One British acre of land represents one hundred modern acres of agriculture land. The provision guarantees to all Britons the right to own their own means of production and earn their own livelihood. The reference to the Name of God is a reference to the Ark of the Covenant: the Sacred Rite of Exorcism. All Evil and Falsehood, and the Mark of the Beast, flee before the Names of God. The Rod is a reference to the Kundalini, the Serpent Power, who ascends and parts the Red Sea (Satan standing at the West Gate of Heaven and Lucifer/Shekinah standing at the East Gate of Heaven. The Mark of the Beast is Satan and Lucifer standing together at the Central Gate of Heaven : the Third Eye) : Exodus 14:14 – 29. The joined right hand represents communion with the Spirit of God at the East Gate of Heaven : 1 Chronicles 25:3; Job 40:14. The Molmutine

Law/ Natural Law is preserved in Perpetuity by Article IX of the Magna Carta of 1297.

- D. The Arms of the British Nations contain secrets relating to the forthcoming Military Phase of the Battle of Armageddon:

The Arms of the Belgian Province of FLANDRE ORIENTALE display a Black Rampant Lion on a Field of Gold, which represents the Vril-ya as the Viceroy of God utilizing the Power of Vril in the Shadow Realms of Planet Earth (the Shadow Realms are portrayed in the Harry Potter movies as Hogsmead and Hogwarts), fighting the Military Phase of the Battle of Armageddon (also known as the Battle of Ragnarok). The Vril-ya are Pre-Fall Reptilians who left the Wheel of Birth and Death in the Earth Plane and moved into the Shadow Realms. They were in communication with the Star Fathers of the Universe and were taught the nature of the Vril Power and how to acquire and utilize the same. The Warrior Powers conferred by Vril are all but invincible, being the Earth/ Shadow Realm equivalent of the Warrior Powers of the Red Branch Warriors. Only the Warrior Powers of the Star-Keys (Superman) are greater. Since Medeval Times, certain Righteous Secret Societies in the Netherlands and Belgium have been in communication with the Vril-ya and have learned the nature of the Vril Power and how to harness that Power. The Nazis sought to found their Thousand Year Reich upon the Power of Vril, and sought an Alliance with the leader of the Vril-ya, the Ancient King of Pre-Fall Eden. The Nazis were denied their

Alliance. The Vrilya are of the Righteous, and the Viceroy of God.

The Arms of the Belgium Province of HAINAUT represent the Perpetual Alliance between the Vrilya and the Nation of Israel.

The Arms of the Belgium Province of FLANDRE OCCIDENTALE contain secrets relating to the Red Force that cannot be revealed. (Likewise, secrets relating to the Mauve Force and the role of certain Secret Power Archtypes cannot be revealed).

The Arms of the Belgian Province of BRABANT and the neighbouring Dutch Province of NOORD-BRABANT display a Golden Rampant Lion on a Black Field representing the Star-Keys (Superman) operating through their Shadow Selves in the Shadow Realms, working with their Allies, the Vrilya. All Star-Keys are / will be Warrior-Saints and the Viceroy of God, being protected from Krytonite (the Mark of the Beast) by the Ark of the Covenant.

The Arms of the Dutch Province of ZEELAND display Blue and White Waves below a Red Rampant Lion on a Gold Field, representing the King of Israel leading the Knights of the Holy Grail as Dragon Riders. The Waves represent the Water Element, the Etheric Plane. The Ancient Pre-Fall Reptilians who remained in the Wheel of Birth and Death, ascended to the Etheric Plane in Ancient Lemuria as Winged Serpents (Lamias) transformed as Dragons, dwelling in the Primordial Mind and endowed with Super-Normal Magical and Warrior Powers.

Those Dragons are now Power Archtypes dwelling in the Dragon Rider's Unconscious and Shadow Selves. In men, the Female Warrior Powers reside in the Unconscious Self (for example, Eragon's dragon 'Saphira' in the movie 'Eragon'), and the Male Warrior Powers reside in the Shadow Self (for example, King Arthur's dragon 'Sir Lancelot of the Lake' in the movie 'Excalibur'). The White Waves represent the Dragons, as the Viceroy of God, being guided by the Spirit of God, and the Blue Waves represent the Dragons being tempered in their dealings by the Mercy of God, via the Angel Venus. All of the Knights of the Holy Grail are / will be Warrior-Saints and Star-Keys.

The Arms of LUXEMBOURG represent the integration of Dragon and Rider : the Knights of the Holy Grail as Warrior-Saints, Star-Keys and Dragon Riders. The DUCAL ARMS OF LUXEMBOURG represent the Members of an Ancient Order of Knighthood, as the Viceroy of God, harnessing the Power of Vril in conjunction with the Great Masters, to control and utilize the Titanic Powers of the Universe in the Earth Realm, to fight the Military Phase of the Battle of Armageddon. A Message from Jesu Emmanuel channeled via Paramhansa Yogananda:

"Think you to silence men of peace? As well may you hope to throttle the voice of God, whose very stones sing His glory and His Omnipotence. Would you demand that men not celebrate in honour of the peace in heaven? That they should gather together in

multitudes only when they wish to shout for war on earth? Then make your preparations, O Pharisees, to overtopple the foundations of the world; for it is not gentle men alone, but stones or earth, and water and fire and air that will rise up against you, to bear witness of His ordered harmony.”

Yogananda: 'Autobiography of a Yogi' : page 241.

There are many thousands of Vril-ya now operational in the Shadow Realms of Planet Earth.

- E. After the Second World War, many Britons emigrated from the British Nations of Europe to Australia : One such was a Dutchman, Mr. XXXX XXXXX. Mr. XXXX XXXXX brought with him to Australia from The Netherlands, the Laws of God and the Natural Law. Mr. XXXX XXXXX found those Laws to exist in Australia and had a Fundamental Right to the continued enjoyment of those Laws as a British Subject and as an 'Australian Citizen' :

“. . . the Law of Nature is part of the law of England . . . the Law of Nature is immutable . . . the Law of God and Nature is one to all . . . this Law of Nature . . . is the Eternal Law of the Creator . . . the Parliament could not take away that protection which the Law of Nature giveth unto him . . . ”

The English Judges in Calvin's Case (1606)
7 Coke's Reports, 1 at pages 12b to 14a.

". . . as to the case of simony . . . that is against the Law of God . . . which I do agree the King cannot dispense with. And as to the cases of usury . . . those cases do come in under that rule, that the King cannot dispense with them . . . "

The English Chief Justice Herbert in Sir Edward Hale's Case (1686) 11 State Trials, 1165 at page 1196

". . .that Natural Law . . . the power or authority which gives this law a sanction is the Voice of God, through Natural Reason . . . that Law is so universal in its nature as to be applicable to all Nations and in all times . . . no Statue was necessary to introduce such Law into this Colony . . . "

Chief Justice Dickson in the Supreme Court of New South Wales,Australia, in Ex parte: The Reverend George King (1861) 2 Legge 1307, at pages 1312 - 1313.

". . . the Constitutional aspect of the present situation . . . all the Revealed or Divine law, so far as enacted by the Holy Scriptures to be of universal obligation, is part of our Colonial Law."

Chief Justice Martin in the Supreme Court of New South Wales, Australia,in Ex parte: Thackeray (1874) 13 SCR (NSW) 1, at page 61.

". . . as from the date he swears . . . allegiance to Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second . . . [he shall] have to all intents and purposes the status of an Australian citizen and British subject."

Mr. XXXX XXXXX's Certificate of Naturalization,

dated 29 July 1968.

F. The Australian authorities who counselled and procured Mr. XXXX XXXXX to abandon his Dutch citizenship, failed to disclose to Mr. XXXX XXXXX the following :

1. In 1550, or thereabouts, an independent Sovereign State was founded in the 'Golden Mile' within the City of London, called 'The City'. In 1694, or thereabouts, the Bank of England was founded as a joint stock company. In 1853, or thereabouts, a Secret Society seized control of the Government of the United Kingdom, bringing the same under the 'sovereignty' of the Bank of England, as the nominee of 'The City', which in turn, was owned and controlled by the House of Roth-Childe as the Secret Agent of a Secret Society of elite occultists of the House of Pharez-Judah, called 'The Crown'. Between 1928 and 1939, the Government of the United Kingdom was progressively privatized, whereby the institutions of civil government came under the ownership and control of syndicates of private investors within 'The City'. By those measures, the 'Government of the United Kingdom' came under the jurisdiction of a Foreign Power, in contravention of the Statute of Richard II, 1392, Chapter V, the Statute of Henry VIII, 1532, Chapter XII, the Statute of Henry VIII, 1533, Chapter XXI, and the Statute of Elizabeth I, 1558, Chapter XVI, and abdicated government pursuant to the Bill of Rights, 1688 :

“. . . the Crown of England, which hath been so free at all times, that it hath been in no earthly subjection, but immediately subject to God in all things touching the regalty of the same Crown, and to non other . . . ”

The Statute of Richard II, 1392

“. . . to the intent that all usurped and foreign power and authority spiritual and temporal, may forever be clearly extinguished, and never be used or obeyed within this Realm, or any other your Majesty's dominions or countries . . . from henceforth the same shall be clearly abolished out of this Realm, and all your Highness dominions forever . . . ”

The Statute of Elizabeth I, 1558

“It is the Honour and Safety, and therefore the just Desire of Kingdoms that recognise no superior but God, that their Laws . . . be not dependent upon any Foreign Power; for a dependency in Laws derogates from the Honour and Integrity of the Kingdom, and from the Power and Sovereignty of the Prince thereof. [And] that they taste not Bondage or Servitude; for that derogates from the Dignity of the Kingdom, and from the Liberties of the People thereof.”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Matthew Hale,

'The History of Common Law of England', at page 47.

“. . . If a man . . . delivers his people to a foreign nation and does evil to his people, you shall hang him on a tree and he shall die.”

The Temple Scroll (11Q-11Q19), Chapter 63

Professor Geza Vermes,

'The Dead Sea Scrolls in English', 1962, at page 179.

From 1939, there has been no de jure government of the United Kingdom able to protect the rights of British Subjects residing within the British Isles, or elsewhere in the World. In particular, there is no de jure government of the United Kingdom to protect Mr. XXXX XXXXX or any other Briton who emigrated to Australia and has been accorded the status of a British Subject.

2. In 1897 at the First Zionist Congress at Basle, the American Jews founded the Zionist Organization of America. In 1918, or thereabouts, the Zionists in America founded the 'Zionist Agency' to operate as the Agent of the Zionist Organization of America. The United States Government funded the U.S. participation in the First World War by borrowing credit from 'The City', secured against the National Estate of the United States, the Common Wealth of the American People, thereby initiating the National Debt. During the Great Crash of 1929, which was engineered by 'The Crown', the U.S. Federal Government could not service the National Debt and was rendered insolvent. In 1929, the U.S. Federal Government assigned sovereignty over the National Estate of the United States to the 'Zionist Agency' as the Agent of the Zionist Organization of America; a measure that did not discharge or diminish the National Debt. In 1939, control of the U.S. Federal Government was assigned to the Zionist Organization of America as the Secret Agent of 'The City', which was, in turn, the Secret Agent of 'The Crown'. By those measures, the 'U.S. Federal Government' came under the jurisdiction of a Foreign Power, in contravention of the Statue of Richard II, 1392,

Chapter V, the Statute of Henry VIII, 1532, Chapter XII, the Statute of Henry VIII, 1533, Chapter XXI, and the Statute of Elizabeth I, 1558, Chapter XVI, and abdicated government pursuant to the Bill of Rights, 1688 and the American Declaration of Independence, 1776. From 1939, there has been no de jure Federal Government of the United States able to protect the rights of British Subjects residing in the United States, or Britons who emigrated to the United States and have been accorded the status of U.S. Citizens.

3. In 1881, or thereabouts, the Secret Society that had taken control of the Government of the United Kingdom, secretly took control of all the Governments of the Australian Colonies, acting as a 'Shadow Government', issuing Directives to the Colonial Governments. These Directives were formulated by 'The Crown':

"212 . . . governments are dissolved from within . . . when the Legislature is altered . . . When any one, or more, shall take upon them to make laws whom the people have not appointed so to do, they make laws without authority, which the people are not bound to obey . . .

217. The delivery also of the people into the subjection of a foreign power, either by the prince or by the legislature, is certainly a change of the legislature, and so a dissolution of the government."

John Locke, 'Second Treatise on Civil Government'.

In 1900, the Parliament at Westminster purported to enact the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act, with the intent

that the six Australian colonies be united in an indissoluble Federal Commonwealth. That purported enactment never validly received the Royal Assent and was unconstitutional and null and void :

“There is no Act of Parliament but have the consent of the Lords, the Commons, and the Royal Assent of the King [Daniel : 6: 7-10] . . . ”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke:
Fourth Part of the Institutes of the Laws of England,
1644 Edition, at pages 1-3.

“. . . Acts of Parliament [are] a Tripartite Indenture, between the King, the Lords and the Commons; for without the concurrent Consent of all these Three Parts of the Legislature, no such Law is, or can be made.”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Matthew Hale,
'The History of the Common law of England', at page 3

Mrs. Victoria Guelph, who was called 'Queen Victoria', was never the de jure temporal Sovereign of the Realm of Great Britain. She was never appointed by God, as prescribed by Law. Section 3 of the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act, 1900 provided :

“3. It shall be lawful for the Queen . . . to declare by Proclamation that, on or after a day therein appointed, not being later than one year after the passing of this Act, the people . . . shall be united in

a Federal Commonwealth . . . ”

Mrs. Victoria Guelph did not sign the Proclamation within one year or at any other time. Even if she had signed the Proclamation, the signature would have had no efficacy in Law. Mrs. Victoria Guelph was under ‘medication’ (a derivative of *papaver somniferum*), and mentally incapable of exercising any Sovereign Powers. A forged signature was placed on the Proclamation in 1992. Mrs. Victoria Guelph passed away on 22 January 1901. The forged signature has no efficacy in law. The ‘Australian Federal Government’ was only ever a de facto government. Upon the purported creation of the ‘Australian Federal Government’, a new Shadow Australian Federal Government was formed by Agents of the Bank of England. The Shadow Government was controlled by The Crown. This arrangement continued until 1939.

In 1914, the Crown directed the de facto Governor-General of Australia to issue a Secret Executive Order, in the purported exercise of Emergency War Powers, suspending all Civil Rights conferred upon the People of Australia by British Law; especially suspending Magna Carta and Habeous Corpus. The Order was intended to purport to authorize the arrest and internment, without trial, of non-combatant Natives of the German and Austro-Hungarian Empires resident in Australia. The Executive Order remains in force. A Directive was issued pursuant to that Order, directing all Courts of Law in Australia to protect the major Australian Trading Banks and their Receiver/Managers from all criminal and civil proceedings. The

Directive remains in force. (That explains the frequent statements by Australian Judges that the Banks and their Receivers are a 'Protected Species'.) The purported Order and Directive were and are unconstitutional and null and void :

“. . . the said lords spiritual and temporal . . . for the vindicating and asserting their ancient rights and liberties declare:

1. That the pretended power of suspending of laws, or the execution of laws, by regal authority, without consent of parliament, is illegal.
2. That the pretended power of dispensing with laws, or the execution of laws, by regal authority, as it hath been assured and exercised of late, is illegal.

. . . all and singular the rights and liberties asserted and claimed in the said declaration, are the true, ancient, and indubitable rights and liberties of the people of this kingdom . . . and all officers and ministers whatsoever shall serve their Majesties and their successors according to the same in all times to come . . . All which their Majesties are contented and pleased shall be declared, enacted, and established by authority of this present parliament, and shall stand, remain, and be the law of this realm forever.”

The Bill of Rights, 1688.

Australian Judges who observe and perform the aforesaid Directive are liable to be impeached for the heinous crime of Treason:

“15. Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgement : thou shalt not respect the person of the poor, nor honour the person of the mighty : but in righteousness shalt thou judge thy neighbour.

37 . . . ye shall observe all my statutes, and all my judgements, and do them : I am the Lord.”

Leviticus, Chapter 19.

“17. Ye shall not respect persons in judgement ; but ye shall hear the small as well as the great ; ye shall not be afraid of the face of man ; for the judgement is God’s . . . ”

Deuteronomy, Chapter 1.

“135. O ye who believe ! Be staunch in justice, witnesses for Allah, even though it be against yourselves . . . whether (the case be of) a rich man or a poor man, for Allah is nearer unto both (than ye are).”

The Koran, Surah IV.

“Let judges pay the greatest heed that they so judge their neighbour as they stipulate that they are to be judged by God”

The Statutes of King William I, Chapter 39

Sir Francis Palgrave : ‘The Rise and Progress of the English Commonwealth’ Part II, page 143.

“I . . . the King . . . commandeth . . . that common Right be done to all as well Poor or as Rich, without Respect for Persons.”

The Statute of Westminster the First, 1275.

“Ye [the Justices] shall swear . . . that ye shall do equal law, and execution of right, to all his subjects, rich and poor, without having regard to any person . . . ”

The Statute of Edward III, 1344.

“. . . if a man do levy war against our Lord the King in his Realm . . . it ought to be judged Treason . . . ”

The Treason Act, 1351.

“If any levy war . . . against any statute . . . this is levying of war against the King : because they have taken upon them Royal Authority, which is against the King . . . ”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke : Third Part of the ‘Institutes of the Laws of England’, at page 9.

“10 . . . The man who accepts bribes and twists just judgement shall be put to death. You shall not fear to execute him.”

The Temple Scroll, Chapter 51
Professor Geza Vermes, ‘The Dead Sea Scrolls in English’,
at page 170.

In 1939, the de facto ‘Australian Federal Government’ was registered as a Corporation with the United States Security and Exchange Commission, bringing itself under the jurisdiction of a Foreign Power, namely the ‘Zionist Organization of America’. In 1942, when the Japanese military invasion of Australia appeared imminent, the de facto ‘Australian Federal Government’ looked to the defunct ‘U.S. Federal Government’ for military support to help defend Australia. The Zionist

Organization of America imposed conditions upon sending U.S. military forces to Australia. Control of the 'Australian Federal Government' and control of the major Australian Trading Banks was to be transferred, in secret, to 'The City'. The de facto Australian Federal Executive Council agreed, despite the protestations of John Curtin and Douglas MacArthur. Control of the Corporation registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as the 'Commonwealth of Australia' was transferred to 'The City'. Treasury Officials in Canberra, Australia, told the Directors of the major Australian Trading Banks of the conditions imposed by the 'Zionist Organization of America' : that the Banks be owned and controlled, in secret, by 'The City'. The banks were forced to comply with the conditions. By those measures, the de facto 'Australian Federal Government' came under the jurisdiction of a Foreign Power, in contravention of the Statute of Richard II, 1392, Chapter V, the Statute of Henry VIII, 1532, Chapter XII, the Statute of Henry VIII, 1533, Chapter XXI, and the Statute of Elizabeth I, 1558, Chapter XVI, and abdicated its de facto sovereignty over the People of Australia pursuant to the Bill of Rights, 1688. There has never been a de jure Australian Federal Government able to protect the rights of British Subjects residing in Australia. In particular, there is no de jure Australian Federal Government to protect Mr. XXXX XXXXX or any other Briton who emigrated to Australia and has been accorded the status of an 'Australian Citizen'. In reality, there is no status known to the Law of an 'Australian Citizen' :

“In Re: Johnson, Roberts v A. G [1903] 1 Ch. 821 . . . Farwell J stated emphatically that, although the British Empire consisted of a large number of countries, colonies and states, everyone born in the Empire was a subject of the British Crown and that the Crown is one and indivisible and cannot be severed into many distinct kingships as there are kingdoms. In Gavin Gibson and Co. Ltd. v Gibson [1913] 3 K.B. 379, it was held that a person could not be a subject of the Colony of Victoria, Australia; in reaching this decision, Atkin J. repeated and adopted the passage from the earlier case.”

Alan Wharam, 'Rhodesia and the Crown' 1969,
at pages 26 and 27.

In 1936, King Edward VIII purported to abdicate as the de jure temporal Sovereign of the United Kingdom and the Realm of Great Britain, including Australia. Edward VIII was the incarnation of Jacob, called Israel, appointed by God :

“10. And God said unto him, Thy name is Jacob : thy name shall not be called any more Jacob, but Israel shall be thy name : and he called his name Israel.

11. And God said unto him, I am God Almighty : be fruitful and multiply; a nation and a company of nations shall be of thee, and kings shall come out of thy loins.”

Genises. Chapter 35.

“166. He [Allah] it is who hath placed you as viceroys of the earth and hath exalted some of you in rank above others . . . ”

The Koran. Surah VI.

“49 . . . We [Allah] gave him Isaac and Jacob. Each of them We made a Prophet.

50. And We gave them of Our mercy, and assigned to them a high and true renown.”

The Koran. Surah XIX

“46. And make mention of our bondmen, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, men of parts and vision.

47. Lo! We [Allah] purified them with a pure thought, remembrance of the home (of the Hereafter).

48. Lo! In Our sight they are verily of the elect, the excellent.”

The Koran. Surah XXXVIII.

Only God may appoint a King and only God may remove a King. God did not remove King Edward VIII. The purported abdication was unconstitutional and null and void. God did not appoint ‘King George VI’ or ‘Queen Elizabeth II’ and their purported Coronations were null and void in the Law :

“15. Thou shalt in any wise set him king over thee, whom the Lord thy God shall choose . . . ”

Deuternomy. Chapter 17.

“25 . . . and they shall wet thee with the dew of heaven, and seven times shall pass over thee, till thou know that the most High ruleth in the kingdom of men, and giveth it to whomsoever he will.”

Daniel. Chapter4.

“26. Say : O Allah! Owner of Sovereignty! Thou givest sovereignty unto whom Thou wilt, and Thou withdrawest sovereignty from whom Thou wilt. Thou exaltest whom Thou wilt and Thou abasest whom Thou wilt.”

The Koran. Surah III.

The de jure temporal Sovereign of the United Kingdom and the Realm of Great Britain, including Australia, appointed by God, is the re-incarnation of King Edward VIII, the incarnation of Jacob, called Israel, and the Holy One of Israel made Flesh.

Thereafter, certain measures were adopted in Australia that rendered Mr XXXX XXXXX's Certificate of Naturalization meaningless :

4. In 1942, 'The City' had directed the defunct 'Australian Federal Parliament' to enact the Statute of Westminster, 1942, which purported to empower the 'Parliament of the Commonwealth' to enact laws repugnant to and dispensing with the inherited , entrenched, and inalienable Constitutional Rights of British Subjects residing in Australia, conferred upon them by the Laws and Customs of the British Constitution. That purported enactment contravened the Statute of Monopolies,

1623, the Bill of Rights, 1688, and the Act of Settlement, 1700, and was unconstitutional and null and void. In 1986, the defunct 'Australian Federal Parliament' purported to enact the Australia Act, 1986, and to counsel and procure the defunct Parliament at Westminster and the de facto Parliaments of each of the 'States' to enact laws repugnant to and dispensing with the inherited, entrenched and inalienable Constitutional Rights conferred by the Laws and Customs of the British Constitution that had been incorporated into the Laws and Constitutions of the Australian 'States'. Those purported enactments contravened the Statute of Monopolies, 1623, the Bill of Rights, 1688, and the Act of Settlement, 1700, and were unconstitutional and null and void. By virtue of the application of the Bill of Rights, 1688, and the Act of Settlement, 1700, each of the Australian Colonial Governments abdicated government. Thereafter, the various defunct 'governments' in Australia became Elective Dictatorships:

"The Common Law of England is the Common Law of the plantations, and all Statutes in affirmance of the Common Law passed in England, antecedent to the settlement of a colony, are in force in that colony . . . Let an Englishman go where he will, he carries as much of the law and liberty with him as the nature of things will bear."

Lord Chancellor West, in 1720, quoted by William Forsyth in 'Cases and Opinions on Constitutional Law', 1869, at page 1.

"There are some clauses of Imperial Statutes which, from their general nature, are undoubtedly introduced

by this 24th Section [of the Australian Courts Act, 1828]; notably, the 'Bible of the English Constitution' (which merely affirm the Common Law and introduce no new principle). *ie* : Magna Carta, the Petition of Right, the Bill of Rights, and the Act of Settlement; also other constitutional Statutes *eg*: those relating to Treason [and] Habeous Corpus . . . "

Thomas Webb, 'A Compendium of the Imperial Law and Statutes
In force in the Colony of Victoria, 1874, at page 64.

"3 (i) . . . all Laws and Statutes in force within the Realm of England on the 25th day of July, 1828 . . . shall be applied [by virtue of Section 24 of the Australian Courts Act, 1828] in the administration of justice in the Courts of Victoria, so far as they can be applied within Victoria."

The Victorian Constitution Act, 1975.

"The enactments mentioned in the Schedule . . . shall continue to have in Victoria . . . such force and effect . . . as they had at the commencement of this Act.

Schedule.

[1297] 25 Edward I (Magna Carta) c. 29 Justice and Liberty

[1351-2] 25 Edward III St. V c.IV Justice and Liberty

[1354] 28 Edward III c. III Justice and Liberty

[1368] 42 Edward III c. III Justice and Liberty

[1623-4] 22 James I c. III Monopolies

[1627] 3 Charles I (Petition of Right) c. I Justice and Liberty

[1640] 16 Charles I c. X Habeous Corpus

[1688] 1 William & Mary (Bill of Rights) c. V Justice and Liberty

The Victorian Imperial Acts Application Act, 1980.

[The New South Wales Imperial Acts Application Act, 1969 and the Queensland Imperial Acts Application Act, 1984, list the Act of Settlement, 1700].

“3.2 We have made a gulf between the far-seeing Sovereign Power and the blind force of the people . . .

3.6 The people under our guidance have annihilated the aristocracy, who were their one and only defence and foster-mother for the sake of their own advantage which is inseparably bound up with the well-being of the people.

10.10 Then it was that the era of republics became possible of realization; and then it was that we replaced the ruler by a caricature of a government – by a president, taken from the mob, from the midst of our puppet creatures, our slaves. This was the foundation of the mine which we have laid under the goy people.

10.17 By such measures we shall obtain the power of destroying . . . the constitutions of States to prepare for the transition to an imperceptible abolition of every kind of constitution, and then the time is come to turn every form of government into our despotism.

15.10 Under our influence the execution of the laws of the goyim has been reduced to a minimum . . . In the

most important and fundamental affairs and questions judges decide as we dictate to them . . . ”

The Protocols of Zion, 1897.

“. . . Magna Carta and the Bill of Rights . . . are not constitutional documents . . . Any legislature . . . is entitled to legislate in total disregard of Magna Carta and the Bill of Rights . . . Parliament can, in effect, do what it likes. As it is said [by] some authorities [it] could legislate to have every blue-eyed baby killed if it wanted to.”

The ‘High Court of Australia’ in Essenberg v The Queen,

22nd June, 2000.

Judges in a British Nation who expound such doctrines from the Bench, have one foot on the Gallows, and the other foot in Hell.

5. In 1957, or thereabouts, the ‘Zionist Agency’ and the ‘Zionist Organization of America’ were replaced by the ‘United States Zionist Occupation Government’ (ZOG), a ‘shadow’ government that controls the White House and the Congress, and operates out of Argentina. In 1992, all of the institutions of civil government in Australia were privatized as private Corporations registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, whereby Britons residing in Australia came under the jurisdiction of the ‘ZOG’/‘The City’/‘The Crown’. In 1992, the former institutions of civil government in Australia became owned by foreign private investors. The International Association of Commercial Administrators administered the income/profits derived by those private firms/commercial

undertakings on behalf of the foreign private investors. The privatized institutions of civil government in Australia hold themselves out to the People of Australia to be public institutions with the authority at Law to exercise de jure temporal Sovereignty, and to receive public funds in the form of taxation, fines, forfeitures, and the like. They hold themselves out as maintaining Public Accounts subject to Public Audit, and hold themselves out to be accountable to the People of Australia. In reality, they are private firms accountable only to their owners-conglomerates of multi-national corporations. When those private firms appropriate public monies to the use of their private owners, they commit Equitable Fraud. The People of Australia have causes of action in Equity to sue those institutions claiming Constructive or Resulting Trusts over the assets and income of those firms, tracing those assets and income into the hands of the private owners. The People of Australia are entitled to Vesting Orders over those assets and income. However, there are no de jure Courts of Law in the United Kingdom, the United States, or Australia able to dispense Justice to the People of Australia and no de jure Executive Government able to enforce the Orders.

6. In 1992, or thereabouts, the defunct 'Australian Federal Government' purported to transfer proprietorship of the National Estate of Australia, the Common Wealth of the People, to 'The City' to hold for 'The Crown'. This measure was adopted to facilitate 'The City' pledging the credit of the National Estate of Australia to create credit for the private purposes of the Finance Elite of 'The City'. The purported alienation of the

National Estate of Australia constituted the heinous crime of Treason, and was void for illegality :

“. . . when the Crown was held to be hereditary . . . the folkland was held to be Terra Regis . . . a doctrine founded on no ground either of natural justice or of ancient law . . . as the old state of things gradually came back again, as men began to feel that the demesnes of the reigning King, but were the true possessions of the People . . . the Terra Regis again came back to its old state of folkland . . . The land which . . . the King held in trust for the common service of the Nation was now again employed to its proper use.”

Dr. Edward Freeman, 'The Growth of the English Constitution',
1894 at page 142.

“. . . if a man do levy war against our lord the King in his realm, or be adherent to the King's enemies in his realm, giving them aid and comfort in the realm or elsewhere . . . it is to be understood that in the cases above rehearsed, that ought to be judged treason . . .”

The Treason Act, 1350.

Australian Secessionists exercising the Sovereign Powers of Princes Palatine under the Natural Law/Molmutine Law, by Proclamations of Annexation, annexed the National Estate of Australia to hold in Trust for such of the Children of the House of Israel who are the beneficial owners thereof. All those Proclamations of Annexation and associated Treaties and Constitutional Documents, have been sanctioned by Almighty

God, the King of the Commonwealth of Israel, and are binding upon all the Peoples and all the Nations of Planet Earth.

7. In 1992, or thereabouts, the 'Straw Man' system of servitude that was already in place in the United Kingdom, was introduced into the United States and Australia. 'The City' claimed to be the proprietor of the National Estates of the United States and of Australia, including all Certificates of Birth and the Names recorded thereon, except the Names of Jews. 'The City' pledged the credit of those Registered Names (the 'Straw Men') as part of the National Estate, to create credit for the private purposes of the Finance Elite of 'The City'. The 'Straw Men' are artificial legal entities with no real or personal assets or incomes of their own to service the debt created against the Names. Accordingly, 'The City' indentured into economic servitude the Flesh and Blood Citizens to whom the Names relate, and all their respective Real and Personal Assets and Income, to service the debt :

" . . . Akum [the Goyim, cattle in human form] were created for the sole end of ministering unto them [the Talmudic Jews] day and night. Nor can they ever be released from this service."

The Talmud. Midrasch Talpioth (fol. 225d).

"All things pertaining to the Goyim are like a desert; the first person [Talmudic Jew] to come along and take them can claim them for his own."

The Talmud. Babha Bathra (54 b).

"The life of a Goy and all his physical powers belong to a [Talmudic] Jew."

The Talmud. A Rohl, Die Polem, page 20.

'The City' appointed the defunct 'Australian Federal Government' as the Agent of 'The City' to hold the People of Australia, other than the Jews, and their respective Personal Assets and Income, in Servitude to discharge the 'Straw Man' debts. That defunct 'Government' discharged the Agency by imposing upon the People of Australia illegal taxation and illegal asset stripping operations, including illegal bankruptcies and illegal bank evictions, without due process of Law :

"1.22 In politics one must know how to seize the property of others without hesitation . . .

6.3 . . . as landed proprietors . . . they are self-sufficing in the resources upon which they live. It is essential . . . to deprive them of their land. The object will be best attained . . . in loading lands with debt.

20.3 . . . taxation will best be covered by a progressive tax on property.

20.29 Foreign loans are leaches which there is no possibility of removing from the body of the State . . .

20.31 . . . with any form of taxation per head, the State is bailing out the last coppers of the poor taxpayers in order to settle accounts with wealthy foreigners."

The Protocols of Zion, 1897.

8. In 1996, or thereabouts, a South-East Asian Nation, with full knowledge and connivance of the defunct 'Australian

Federal Government', started moving Military Invasion Forces into Australia. The defunct 'Australian Federal Government' formulated a Criminal Conspiracy to pay billions of dollars in secret, to the Generals of that Military Invasion Force by way of Bribes. The purpose of the Bribes was to allow that defunct 'Government' and the major Australian Trading Banks to continue operating as usual when the Military Invasion Force entered into formal occupation of Australia. In all, some 117,000 Invasion Troops entered Australia : 60,000 on Tipperery Station in the Northern Territory, 40,000 in the Kimberley's, and 17,000 in Northern Queensland. Independently of that Military Invasion Force, about 120,000 militant insurgents entered Australia. The Conspiracy involved the then Treasurer ordering the major Australian Trading Banks to fund the Bribes by earning off-balance sheet 'profits' from imposing sham/fraudulent credit and discounted bill facilities on their borrowers. Credit is not Money. Money is legal tender in the form of Coin (Section 16 of the Currency Act, 1965) or Australian Notes (Section 36 of the Reserve Bank Act, 1959), created by the British Sovereign and given Currency :

"16. And Abraham . . . weighed . . . shekels of silver, current money with the merchant."

Genesis. Chapter 23.

" . . . in England, the King's prerogative, so far as it relates to mere domestic commerce, will fall principally under the following articles: Thirdly, as money is the medium of commerce, it is the King's prerogative as the arbiter of domestic commerce, to give it authority, or

make it current. The coining of money is in all States the act of the sovereign power . . . ”

Professor Sir William Blackstone,
'Commentaries on the Laws of England', (1765)
Fifteenth Edition, 1809, Book One, at pages 273 and 275 to 277.

A cheque is an Order for the Payment of Money : Section 10, Cheques and Payment Orders Act, 1986. A Bill of Exchange is an Order for the Payment of Money : Section 8, Bills of Exchange Act, 1909. The bare creation of Credit and the lending of Credit to a Borrower, does not create a liability by a Borrower to pay Money to a Lender, in spite of purported 'Credit Acts' to the contrary. If a Banker creates Credit out of nothing and lends that Credit as Money, whilst denying the reciprocal right of the Borrower to create Credit out of nothing to discharge the debt, that is the exercise of a Monopolistic Power, and unconstitutional and null and void :

“15 . . . But thou shalt have a perfect and just weight, a perfect and just measure shalt thou have . . . ”

Deuteronomy. Chapter 25.

“85. O my people ! Give full measure and full weight in justice. . .”

The Koran. Surah XI.

“. . . be it declared and enacted . . . That all monopolies . . . heretofore made or granted, or hereafter to be made or granted, to any person or persons, bodies politic or corporate whatsoever . . . for the sole buying,

selling, making, working, or using of any thing within this Realm or of any other Monopolies . . . are altogether contrary to the Laws of this Realm, and so are and shall be utterly void . . . ”

The Statute of Monopolies, 1623.

“Whatsoever offence is contrary to the ancient and fundamental laws of this Realm, is punishable by law : but the use of a monopoly is contrary to the ancient and fundamental laws of this Realm, therefore the use of a monopoly is punishable by law.”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke,
Third Part of the ‘Institutes of the Laws of England’,
1644 Edition, at page 181.

In Australia, the exercise of a Monopolistic Power, in contravention of the Statute of Monopolies, 1623, is Treason, punishable by Death. If a Banker enters into a Contract with a Borrower to provide to that Borrower, or to his Order, Money or Credit, and pursuant to that Contract, issues a Bank Cheque and nothing more, that transaction is not actionable by the Banker against the Borrower. If the Bank raises the face value of the Cheque as an Asset of the Bank, that Asset may/must be treated as an off-balance sheet transaction, because the same is a Fraud.

If, upon the purported maturity of the ‘loan’, the Banker makes a claim against the Borrower, and the Borrower mistakenly pays Money or Credit to the Banker, the Banker may treat the

receipt as an off-balance sheet transaction, because the acceptance of the receipt is a Fraud.

If a Banker enters into a Contract with the Borrower to provide to that Borrower, or to his Order, Money or Credit, and pursuant to that Contract, counsels and procures the Borrower to sign a Bill of Exchange, on the express or implicit understanding that the Banker will guarantee the Bill as Acceptor thereof, and will sell/discount the Bill to a Third Party (usually a Dealer on the Money Market); but the Banker places the Bill in a file at the Bank, and does nothing more : the Bill is a sham. The Banker has no action on the Bill against the Borrower. The differential between the face value of the Bill and the Nett Discounted Amount (save for otherwise legitimate fees and charges) represents Usury. The Common Law Courts and the Rabbinical Courts are in agreement on this point. If the Banker raises the Nett Discounted Amount of the Bill as an Asset of the Bank, that Asset may be treated as an off-balance sheet transaction, because the same is a Fraud. Upon the purported maturity of the Bill, the same is discharged : section 66 of the Bills of Exchange Act, 1909; and the Banker has no action against the Borrower to recover the face value of the Bill. If upon the purported maturity of the 'Bill', the Banker makes a claim against the Borrower, and the Borrower mistakenly pays Money or Credit to the Banker, the Banker may/must treat the receipt as an off-balance sheet transaction because the receipt is a Fraud.

If a Banker lends Money or Credit to a Borrower at Usury, that is a Crime punishable by Death :

“25. If thou lend money to any of my people that is poor by thee, thou shalt not be to him as an usurer, neither shalt thou lay upon him usury.”

Exodus. Chapter 22.

“13. Hath given forth upon usury, and hath taken increase . . . he shall surely die . . .”

Ezekiel. Chapter 18.

“161. And of their taking usury when they were forbidden it, and of their devouring people’s wealth by false pretences. We have prepared for those of them who disbelieve a painful doom.”

The Koran. Surah IV.

“. . . from henceforth no Jew shall lend anything at usury either upon land, or upon other thing . . . no usuries shall run in time coming . . .”

The Statutes of Jewry, 1275.

If the Banker secures the Debt against the Borrower’s Livelihood or Means of Production, and dispossesses the Borrower thereof, that is a Crime punishable by Death :

“6. No man shall take the nether or the upper millstone to pledge: for he taketh a man’s life to pledge.”

Deuteronomy. Chapter 24.

"12. Hath oppressed the poor and needy . . .hath not restored the pledge . . .
13 . . . he shall surely die . . ."

Ezekiel. Chapter 18.

". . . the King's grant which tends to the charge and prejudice of the subject is void . . . the common law, in this point, agrees with the equity of the Law of God, as appears in Deut. Cap. XXIV, ver. 6 : you shall not take in pledge the nether and upper millstone, for that is his life, and therefore he ought not to be deprived or dispossessed of it . . ."

The English Judges in The Case of Monopolies, (1602)

11 Coke's Reports, 84b.

". . . no line will be drawn between bad Jews and bad non-Jews. There will be no room for the unrighteous, whether Jewish or non-Jewish, in the Kingdom of God. All of them will have disappeared before the advent of the ideal era on this earth."

Professor Michael Higger: 'The Jewish Utopia', at page 20.

There were fatal flaws inherent in the Conspiracy, and in the sham/fraudulent transactions. Whenever a Banker failed to pay Money on the Cheque or Bill, he incurred a liability to the Borrower equal to the quantum of the 'Asset' taken of balance sheet. Those liabilities were and are cumulative. Whenever a Borrower paid Money to a Banker in discharge of non-existing debt, the payment was Money had and received by the Banker to the use of the Borrower, actionable by the Borrower against

the Banker, equal to the quantum of the 'Asset' taken off balance sheet. Those liabilities were and are cumulative. When a Banker appointed Receiver/Managers to the Borrower's livelihood/means of production, or obtained summary judgement for possession of the Borrower's freehold, that was actionable by the Borrower for damages, and the Borrower could trace the assets into the hands of the Banker or his assigns, and obtain a Vesting Order to recover his assets/property. Those liabilities were and are cumulative. By reason of the Frauds, the various liabilities by the Bankers to the Borrowers have not been extinguished by the effluxion of time. By reason of the accumulated liabilities, all of the major Australian Trading Banks have been rendered insolvent. However, there are no de jure Courts of Law in the United Kingdom, the United States or Australia, able to dispense Justice to the victims of the Frauds, and no de jure Executive Government able to enforce the Orders. Justice has not been done for Britons residing in Australia against the major Trading Banks since 1914.

In the event, no Bribes were paid to the Invading Generals. The Invading Military Forces were called home by their President. Of the Australian Secessionists who were instrumental in having the Military Invasion Forces leave Australia, a Princess of the Royal House of Orange was tortured and murdered. The incarnation of the Apostle Saint Timothy was murdered. The incarnation of the Apostle Saint Luke exiled himself out of Australia. The murders were not committed by, or on behalf of, the aforesaid South-East Asian Nation.

9. British subjects residing in Australia have an inalienable constitutional Right of Resistance to tyranny and oppression, recognized by the Laws and Customs of the British Constitution:

“The rights themselves, thus defined by these several Statutes – Magna Carta, Confirmatio Cartarum, the Petition of Right, the Habeous Corpus Act, the Bill of Rights and the Act of Settlement . . . may be reduced to three principal or primary articles; the right of personal security, the right to personal liberty, and the right to private property . . . to vindicate those rights, the subjects of England are entitled . . . to the right of having and using arms for self-preservation and defence.”

Professor Sir William Blackstone,
‘Commentaries on the Laws of England’ (1765)
Fifteenth Edition, 1809, Book One, pages 143-144.

“. . . the Revolution of 1688 . . . is the greatest thing done by the English nation. It established the State upon a contract, and set up the doctrine that a breach of contract forfeited the Crown . . . The King become . . . liable to dismissal for himself or his Ministers . . . the right of resistance became the law.”

Professor Lord John Acton, ‘Renaissance to Revolution’,
at page 231.

“The protection of THE LIBERTY OF BRITAIN is a duty which they owe themselves, who enjoy it; to their ancestors, who transmitted it down; and to their posterity who will claim at their hands this, the best birthright, and noblest inheritance of mankind.”

Professor Sir William Blackstone,
‘Commentaries on the Laws of England’
Fifteenth Edition, 1809, at page 443.

In the early 1990’s, the defunct ‘Australian Federal Government’ fomented gun massacres, culminating in the Port Arthur Massacre in 1996, with the intent of confiscating privately owned combat firearms, and causing the abolition of the inherited, entrenched and inalienable Constitutional Right of all British Subjects residing in Australia to keep and bear arms in their own defence:

“20. And Moses said unto them, If ye will do this thing, if ye will go armed before the Lord to war . . . ”

Numbers. Chapter 32.

“41. Go forth, light-armed and heavy-armed, and strive with your wealth and your lives in the way of Allah !”

The Koran. Surah IX.

“Archbishop or Bishop:

Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God . . . ?

King or Queen:

All this I promise to do.”

The Coronation Oaths Act, 1688.

“. . . this [Coronation Oath] is most indisputably a fundamental and original express contract . . . ”

Professor Sir William Blackstone:
'Commentaries on the Laws of England',
Twenty-first Edition, 1844, Book One, page 235.

“8. There are three property birthrights for every Briton: . . . (b) the right to keep and bear arms . . . ”

The Molmutine Law/Natural Law.

“This Law of Nature being coeval with mankind, and dictated by God himself, is of course superior in obligation to any other. It is binding over all the globe, in all countries, and at all times . . . Upon these two foundations, the Law of Nature and the Law of Revelation [the Laws of God], depend all Human Laws; that is to say, no Human Laws should be suffered to contradict these . . . ”

Professor Sir William Blackstone,
'Commentaries on the Laws of England' (1765)
Fifteenth Edition, 1809, Book One, pages 40-43.

“. . . and no man having these arms shall sell, pledge, nor lend them, nor alienate them in any manner; nor shall the Lord take them from his vassal by forfeiture, gift, pledge, or any other manner.”

The Assize of Arms, 1181.

“And further, it is commanded that every man have in his house (armour) for to the keep the peace after the ancient Assize . . . ”

The Statute of Winchester, 1285.

“Every man being the King’s subject . . . (is required) to have a bow and arrows ready continually in his house to use himself in shooting . . . ”

The Statute of Arms, 1512.

“For the better . . . defence of this Realm, be it enacted . . . All and every person temporal . . . shall . . . keep and maintain . . . weapons requisite for the same . . . ”

The Militia Act, 1557.

“. . . the said Lords Spiritual and Temporal and Commons . . . for the vindicating and asserting their ancient rights and liberties declare:

7. that the subjects which are Protestants may have Arms for their defence suitable to their conditions, and as allowed by law . . . all and singular the rights and liberties asserted and claimed in the said Declaration, are the true, ancient, and indubitable rights and liberties of the People of this Kingdom . . . and all Officers and Ministers whatsoever shall serve their Majesties and their successors according to the same in all times to come.”

The Bill of Rights, 1688.

The expression 'suitable for their conditions' is a reference to the Constitutional Duty to keep and bear Military Arms in defence of the Realm, pursuant to the Ancient Assize of Arms. The expression 'as allowed by law' is a reference to the Statute of Northampton, as interpreted in Sir John Knight's Case, which prohibits the carrying of Arms to commit a Crime. The Statute of Arms, 1688, allowed Papists to apply to a Court of Law for an Order that the Applicant carry Arms for his defence. The Order was to be granted upon the Court being satisfied that the Arms were bona fide for the purpose of defence.

In Australia, the confiscation of privately owned firearms, without due process of Law, in contravention of Magna Carta, 1297, and the Bill of Rights, 1688, is Treason, punishable by Death. In the event, the purported "Firearms Buyback Scheme" and State 'Firearms Acts, 1996', confiscated 4.25 % of the 'prohibited weapons'. The other 95.75 % remain in the hands of the Australian Patriots.

By virtue of the purported enactment of the Australia Act, 1986 of the 'Commonwealth' and the Australia Acts, 1986 of each of the 'States', those authorities abdicated any sovereignty they may have had over British subjects residing in Australia. The Port Arthur Massacre and the Firearms act, 1996 put the matter beyond doubt:

"25. Thus saith the Lord, if my covenant be not with day and night and if I have not appointed the ordinances of heaven and earth;

26. Then I will cast away the seed of Jacob, and David my servant, so that I will not take any of his seed to be rulers over the seed of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob . . . ”

Jeremiah. Chapter 33.

The meaning of this teaching is that if a King and his Government abandon the Laws of God (the Covenant of the Day) and the Wisdom of Job (the Covenant of the Night), that King and his Government abdicate Sovereignty. This applies to the Nations under the Israelite Covenants, the Britons, and the Nations under the Davidic Covenant, the Jews. The Oral Law of the Pharisees (as recorded by the Rabbis, with commentaries, in the Books of the Talmud) was conceived to test the faith of the Edomites (who, by reason of their Left Hand Path spiritually, cannot hear the Word of God), by leading them away from the Revealed Laws of God and the teachings of the Prophets. The Davidic Covenant provides for the Redemption or Resurrection (albeit by a circuitous route : in Parable-rolling through underground caverns to the Land of Israel) of those Jews who as a matter of faith, habitually and conscientiously observe and perform the Revealed Laws of God.

“Human Laws are measures in respect of men whose nations they must direct, howbeit such measures they are as have also their higher rules to be measured by, which rules are two – the Law of God and the Law of Nature; so that laws human must be made according to the general laws of Nature, and without contradiction to any positive law of Scripture, otherwise they are ill made . . . ”

Richard Hooker, 'Of the Laws of Ecclesiastical Polity', 1662

Book 3, Section 9.

“. . . mankind should be divided into two, and only two, distinct and unmistakable groups, namely righteous and wicked . . . This is the force of the prophecy of Malachi, when he said: Then shall ye again discern between the righteous and the wicked, between him that serveth God and him that serveth him not.”

Professor Michael Higger: 'the Jewish Utopia', at page 12.

“Whereas the late King James the Second, by the assistance of divers evil counsellors, judges, and ministers employed by him, did endeavour to subvert and extirpate the protestant religion, and the laws and liberties of his kingdom :

6. By causing several good subjects, being protestants, to be disarmed . . . contrary to law.

And whereas the said late King James the Second having abdicated the government, and the throne being vacant . . . ”

The Bill of Rights, 1688.

“When King James II invaded the fundamental constitution of the Realm, the Convention declared an abdication . . . If, therefore, any future prince should endeavour to subvert the constitution by breaking the original contract between king and people [and] should violate the fundamental laws we are now authorized to declare that this conjunction of circumstances would

amount to an abdication, and the throne would be thereby vacant.”

Professor Sir William Blackstone,

- G. A dispute arose between the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) and a private Company of which Mr. XXX XXXXX was a Director. The ATO sued Mr. XXX XXXXX and obtained a Default Judgment (that is to say without due process of law) purporting to place Mr XXX XXXXX into Bankruptcy. The Court of Law, as the Agent of the defunct ‘Australian Federal Government’ made a purported Order for the Sequestration of Mr XXX XXXXX’s property, purporting to vest that property in a Court-appointed Trustee in Bankruptcy, as the Agent of the defunct ‘Australian Federal Government’: thereby seizing Mr. XXXXX’s property into the hands of the ‘king’, contrary to Law: which purported Sequestration Order was unconstitutional and null and void. Firstly, by reason of the contravention of Magna Carta :

“29. No freeman shall be . . . disseized of his Freehold, or Liberties or Free Customs . . . but by lawful Judgement of his Peers . . . We will . . . not deny . . . to any man either Justice or Right.

37 . . . neither we, nor our Heirs, shall procure or do any thing whereby the Liberties in this Charter contained shall be infringed or broken; and if any thing be procured by any person contrary to the premises, it shall be of no force nor effect.”

Magna Carta, 1297.

"But concerning Royal Charters . . . neither the justiciaries nor private individuals ought or may dispute them . . . no one can adjudicate upon . . . a [lawful] Charter [of the King], so that the act of the King should be made void."

Lord Bracton: 'De Legibus et Consuetudinibus Angliae'.

". . . our Justices, Sheriffs, Mayors, and other Ministers which under us have the Laws of our Land to guide, shall allow the said Charters [Magna Carta and the Charter of the Forest] pleaded before them in Judgement in all their Points, that is to wit, the Great Charter as the Common law."

Confirmatio Cartarum, 1297.

". . . the [Lord] Chancellor . . . the Justices of the one bench and of the other . . . shall be now sworn . . . and so from henceforth at all times that they be put in office, to keep and maintain . . . the points of the Great Charter . . . without breaking any point."

The Statute of Edward III, 1341.

"I. . . it is ascended and accorded, That the Great Charter . . . be holden and kept in all points; and if any Statute be made to the contrary, that shall be holden for none.

III. . . that no man put to answer without . . . due process . . . According to the old Law of the land; and if anything from henceforth be done to the contrary, it shall be void in the Law."

The Statute of Edward III, 1368.

“The highest and most binding Laws are the Statutes which are established by Parliament; and by authority of that highest Court it is enacted . . . that if any Statute be made contrary to the Great Charter . . . that shall be holden for none . . . and the Nobles and great Officers were to be sworn to the observance of Magna Carta . . . ”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke,
Preface to the Second Part of the Institutes of the laws of England.

“. . . the trial by jury ever has been, and I trust ever will be looked upon as the glory of the English Law . . . it is the most transcendent privilege which any subject may enjoy or wish for, that he cannot be affected either in his property, his liberty, or his person, but by the unanimous consent of twelve of his neighbours and equals. A Constitution that may venture to affirm has, under Providence, secured the just liberties of this nation for a long succession of ages.”

Professor Sir William Blackstone,
'Commentaries on the Laws of England', (1765)
Fifteenth Edition, 1809, Book Three, at page 378.

Secondly, by reason of the Statute of 1331:

“. . . it is enacted, That no man from henceforth, shall be . . . forejudged . . . nor his Lands, Tenements, Goods, nor Chattels seized into the King's hands against the Form of the Great Charter . . .

The Statute of Edward III, 1331.

“Whereas by the Great Charter . . . it is enacted, That no Freeman shall be . . . disseized of his Freehold or Liberties, or Free Customs . . . but by lawful judgement of his Peers . . . And by another Statute . . . it is enacted, That no Man shall be . . . forejudged . . . nor his Lands, Tenements, Goods nor Chattels seized into the King’s hands, against the Form of the Great Charter . . . ”

The Habeous Corpus Act, 1640.

“And whereas the Laws of England are the birthright of the people thereof, and all the Kings and Queens, who shall ascend the throne of this Realm, ought to administer the government of the same according to the said Laws, and all their Officers and Ministers ought to serve them respectively according to the same . . . ”

The Act of Settlement, 1700.

The making of the purported Sequestration Order, and the attempted enforcement thereof, constitute the heinous crime of Treason under Australian Law. The Laws and Customs of the British Constitution, including the Laws of Treason, constitute the Laws and Customs of the Australian Constitution :

“. . . by that statute [the Australian Courts Act, 1828], section 24 : It is enacted, that all laws and statutes in force within the realm of England, at the time of passing this Act . . . shall be applied in the administration of justice in the courts of New South Wales . . . I look upon

this clause as the great charter of the Colony, and at once yielding to the colonists all that by the common law, or by the liberal, and enlightened, and accumulated wisdom of our ancestors, has been provided for the protection of life, liberty, and property, and for regulating the transactions of men with each other.”

Mr. Justice Burton in the Supreme Court of New South Wales,
Australia, in Macdonald v Levy, (1833)
1 Legge 39, at pages 48 and 49.

“. . . the Prisoners Counsel Bill . . . adds to the great constitutional right conferred by Magna Cart . . . the Prisoners Counsel Bill is . . . as much the birthright of an Englishman as the Magna Carta, the Habeous Corpus Act, the Bill Of Rights, or the Act of Settlement.”

Mr. Justice Willis in the Supreme Court of New South Wales,
Australia, in Ex parte Nichols (1839)
1Legge 123, at pages 131 and 132.

“. . . if a man do levy war against our Lord the King in his Realm . . . it ought to be judged Treason . . . ”

The Treason Act, 1351.

“If any levy war . . . against any statute . . . this is levying of war against the King, because they taken upon them Royal Authority, which is against the King . . . ”

Lord Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke :
Third Part of the 'Institutes of the Laws of England', at page 9.

Moreover, the same is Treason pursuant to the (albeit de facto) Laws of the 'Commonwealth', Laws which the defunct 'Australian Federal Government' never enforce against themselves :

"5. This Act . . . shall be binding on the courts, judges, and people of every State and every part of the Commonwealth . . . "

The Australian Constitution Act, 1900.

"118. Full faith and credit shall be given, throughout the Commonwealth to . . . the public Acts . . . of every State."

The Constitution of the Commonwealth.

"The laws so brought to Australia undoubtedly included all the common law relating to the rights and prerogatives of the Sovereign in his capacity of head of the Realm . . . The same principles apply to laws of the United Kingdom of general application, such as the Statute of Treasons . . . so far as regards the Sovereign as head of the Commonwealth, the current which had been temporarily diverted into six parallel streams [as the Laws of the six Australian Colonies] coalesced, and in that capacity he succeeded as head of the Commonwealth to the rights which he had as head of the Colonies."

Chief Justice Griffith in the High Court of Australia
in The King v Kidman (1915) 20 C.L.R. 425
at pages 435 and 436.

“24 (1) A person who:

(c) levies war, or does any act preparatory to levying war, against the Commonwealth; shall be guilty of . . . treason, and be liable to the punishment of death. “

The ‘Commonwealth’ Crimes Act, 1914.

“6. Each Imperial enactment mentioned in Part I of the Second schedule to this Act . . . shall from the commencement of this Act be in force in New South Wales . . .

Second Schedule

Part 1- Constitutional Enactments

(1297) 25 Edward I (Magna Carta) c. 29

(1351) 25 Edward III St. 5 c.4

(1354) 28 Edward III c. 3

(1368) 42 Edward III c. 3

(1623-4) 21 James I c.3 (The Statute of Monopolies)

(1627) 3 Charles I c. 1 (The Petition of Right)

(1640) 16 Charles I c. 10 (The Habeus Corpus Act)

(1688) 1 W & M Sess 2, c.2 (The Bill of Rights)

(1700) 12 & 23 William III, c.2 (The Act of Settlement)”

The New South Wales Imperial Acts Application Act, 1969.

By virtue of the aforesaid provisions, Magna Carta, 1297, and the Habeus Corpus Act, 1640, are protected and preserved by the ‘Constitution of the Commonwealth’, as the Constitution of each of the ‘States’ (the six streams), and as the Common Law and Constitution of the ‘Commonwealth’ (the six streams

coalesced). In either case, the contravention of those Constitutional Enactments constitutes Treason, punishable by Death.

By virtue of the aforesaid Treason, the defunct 'Australian Federal Government' has abdicated any sovereignty it may have had over Mr. XXXXX and his Property :

"10. There are three things the safety of which depends on that of the others:

(a) the sovereignty . . . (c) just administration of the laws"

The Molmutine Law/Natural Law.

"9. The city of London shall have all the old liberties and customs [the Molmutine Law] . . . Moreover, we will and grant, that all other Cities, Boroughs, Towns . . . and all other Ports, shall have all their liberties and free customs."

Magna Carta, 1297.

"[King] Brutus codified the laws of Britain, and Lord Chief Justice Coke says: 'The original laws of this land were composed of such elements as Brutus first selected from the ancient Greek and Trojan institutions' . . . The next king who left his mark upon our history was Molmutius, about 450 BC . . . Lord Chief Justice Coke, in his 'Origin of the Common Law of England', says that 'the Molmutius Laws have been always regarded as the foundation and bulwark of British liberties'."

Wing-Commander Leonard Young, 'Deadlier than The H-Bomb',

at page 5.

“8. How (can there be any treaty for the others) when, if they have the upper hand of you, they regard not pact nor honour in respect of you?

14. Fight them ! Allah will chastise them at your hands, and He will lay them low and give you victory over them . . .”

The Koran. Surah IX.

“3. And the Lord said unto Jacob, Return unto the land of thy fathers, and to thy kindred, and I will be with thee.

13 . . . now arise, get thee out of this land . . .”

Genesis. Chapter 31.

14. And whosoever shall not receive you, nor hear your words, when ye depart out of that house or city, shake off the dust of your feet.”

Matthew. Chapter 10.

“1.1 All peoples have the right to self-determination. By virtue of that right they freely determine their political status . . .”

The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966.

H. The Vrilya, acting for the Ancient King and Queen of Pre-Fall Eden, have entered into a Treaty with The Crown, whereby all those Righteous Aryan Britons upon Planet Earth, totalling some 300 million persons, who are eligible to be Redeemed and ascend into New Jerusalem, and all those Righteous Sharmans

upon Planet Earth, totalling some 40 million persons, who are eligible to return to the Golden Ages, will be left in Peace by the New World Order, (provided they do not actively oppose the New World Order except in self-defence), during the forthcoming Military Phase of the Battle of Armageddon. Pursuant to that Treaty, all Eligible Persons residing in the United Kingdom, the United States of America, and Australia, will have the right to secede from their national and state 'governments'.

In Australia there are some 7.75 million Righteous Aryan Britons who are eligible to secede. In the United States of America there are some 9.5 million Righteous Aryan Britons who are eligible to secede. The figure in the United States is rising as the American Patriots are liberated from the Jewish-Talmadic Mentality (depicted in the 'Resident Evil' movies as the 'T-Virus'). In recent times, the Australian Secessionists have been exorcising the 'T-Virus' from the Minds and Hearts of the American Patriots – having liberated 1.5 million so far. More Righteous Aryan Britons will be liberated pursuant to the work being done by Anastasia in the Russian Federation, and by the Australian Secessionists in the United States.

- I. The Knights of the Holy Grail, the Star-Keys, the Ancient Knights, and the Vrilya will operate independently of, but in co-operation with, the National Defence Forces of British Nations. Those who exercise Super-Natural Warrior Powers must dwell in the Primordial and Cosmic Minds, remote from political events, unless they are called upon to intervene where there is a complete break-down of Law and Order.

J. Before the coming of the Vrill-ya and the Star-Keys, the Australian Secessionists were openly persecuted ; there were murders, false imprisonments, bankruptcies, bank evictions, confiscation of firearms, theft of funds - all without due process of Law. Australian Secessionists, being the incarnations of the Three Wise Men, ran the World's premium Counter-Terrorist Agency called Military Intelligence Division Seven (MI7). MI7 was formed after September Eleven, and neutralized the nuclear bombs set to detonate in New York City and New Orleans. MI7 neutralized millions of Humanoid Zombies in the United States, tens of thousands in the United Kingdom, and thousands in Australia. Those Zombies were programmed to destroy those British Nations. MI7 neutralized the Doomsday Virus placed in London's water supply to decimate the population of Europe. MI7 neutralized terrorist attacks on Salt Lake City, Las Vegas, Portland (Oregon), Acapulco, Bahrain, Melbourne, Brisbane, Pine Gap, Jakarta, Kuala Lumpur, and the Hague. All of MI7's working capital totalling AUD 1005 million, owned by the Commander of MI7, was stolen from bank accounts in Zurich and Melbourne. The theft of the capital caused MI7 to cease operating. This happened before the London and Madrid bombings. By reason of their services to Humanity, the Australian Secessionists are entitled to have their respective Instruments of Secession recognized by the International Community. They are entitled to have their stolen property, money, and firearms restored to them, and be compensated for the Crimes committed against them. They are entitled to have their persecutors brought to Justice.

K. The Objects of this Instrument of Secession include drawing the attention of European Britons to the dangers of emigrating to the United Kingdom, the United States of America, and Australia, to offer European Britons residing in those British Nations the opportunity of Secession as an alternative to Civil War, and to Petition the European Union to assist and protect the European Britons residing in those British Nations by any and all lawful and honourable means :

NOW, THEREFORE, We, XXX XXXXX, Humbly relying upon the Blessing of Almighty God, do **HEREBY** Secede from all sovereignty and jurisdiction of 'The Crown', 'The City', the 'United States Zionist Occupation Government', and the 'governments' of the United Kingdom, the United States of America, the Commonwealth of Australia, and each of the Australian States and Territories.

TAKING WITH US, the Laws of God, the Natural Law/Law of Nations, the Liberties and Free Customs of the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the European Union, and the Ancient Liberties and Free Customs of the Kingdom of England, and the laws and Customs of the British Constitution, and **TAKING WITH US** all of our Real and Personal Property, our Livelihood and Means of Production, free of all charges and pledges, **AND HEREBY DECLARE** that We are a Free Sovereign Man under God, freed of all Servitude to any and all Persons, Corporations, and Governments, that We are a British Subject and a Dutch Citizen, residing permanently in Australia

IN WITNESS WHEREOF We have hereunto set our hand this Fourth day of March in the Year of Our Lord, XX XXXX.

GOD SAVE THE KING !