1	BRIGGS LAW CORPORATION [FILE: 2110.00]							
2	Cory J. Briggs (SBN 176284) Janna M. Ferraro (SBN 328921)							
3	99 East "C" Street, Suite 111 Upland, CA 91786 Telephone: 909-949-7115							
4	Attorney for Plaintiffs and Petitioners Carol Chang							
5	and Lisa Montes							
6								
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STA	ATE OF CALIFORNIA						
8	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO – I	HALL OF JUSTICE						
9								
10	CAROL CHANG; and LISA MONTES,) CASE NO.						
11	Plaintiffs and Petitioners,	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE						
12	vs.	RELIEF AND PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE						
13	SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 100,))						
14	Defendants and Respondents.))						
15								
16	Plaintiffs and Petitioners CAROL CHANG and Ll	ISA MONTES ("Plaintiffs") allege as follows:						
17	Parties							
18		voters, and reside within the geographic						
19	jurisdiction of Defendant and Respondent SAN DIEG							
20	("SDUHSD"). Plaintiff CAROL CHANG is a resident of							
21	American; and Plaintiff LISA MONTES is a resident	of the City of Solana Beach, identifying as						
22	Hispanic/Latina.							
23	, and the second	t formed and operating under the laws of the						
24	State of California.							
25	•	efendant/Respondents identified as DOES 1						
26	through 100 are unknown to Plaintiffs, who will seek th							
27	in order to allege the true names and capacities as soon a	·						
28	and believe and on that basis allege that each of the fictitiously named Defendants/Respondents 1							

through 100 has jurisdiction by law over one or more aspects of the subject matter of this lawsuit or has some other cognizable interest in the subject matter of this lawsuit.

Background Information

- 4. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Education Code Section 5019(a)(1) has provided as follows: "In any school district or community college district, the county committee on school district organization may establish trustee areas, rearrange the boundaries of trustee areas, abolish trustee areas, and increase to seven from five, or decrease from seven to five, the number of members of the governing board, or adopt one of the alternative methods of electing governing board members specified in Section 5030."
- 5. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Education Code Section 5019.5 has provided as follows:
 - (a) Following each decennial federal census, and using population figures as validated by the Demographic Research Unit of the Department of Finance as a basis, the governing board of each school district or community college district in which trustee areas have been established, and in which each trustee is elected by the residents of the area the trustee represents, shall adjust the boundaries of any or all of the trustee areas of the district so that one or both of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (1) The population of each area is, as nearly as may be, the same proportion of the total population of the district as the ratio that the number of governing board members elected from the area bears to the total number of members of the governing board.
 - (2) The population of each area is, as nearly as may be, the same proportion of the total population of the district as each of the other areas.
 - (b) The boundaries of the trustee areas shall be adjusted by the governing board of each school district or community college district, in accordance with subdivision (a), before the first day of March of the year following the year in which the results of each decennial census are released. If the governing board fails to adjust the boundaries before the first day of March of the year following the year in which the results of each decennial census are released, the county committee on school district organization shall do so before the 30th day of April of the same year. The governing board of the school district or community college district shall reimburse all reasonable costs incurred by a county committee in adjusting the boundaries pursuant to this subdivision.
 - (c) Except to the extent that the adjustment of trustee area boundaries is necessary for the purposes set forth in this section, the authority to establish or abolish trustee areas, rearrange the boundaries of trustee

areas, increase or decrease the number of members of the governing board, or adopt any method of electing governing board members may be exercised only as otherwise provided under this article.

- 6. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, SDUHSD has employed a system for the election of trustee-members of its governing board from trustee areas. Under the system, SDUHSD's entire geographic jurisdiction has been divided into five trustee areas, as depicted on what is commonly known as the "Cranberry Map," and one member residing in each trustee area has been elected by the registered voters of that particular trustee area. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Cranberry Map.
- 7. Over the last couple years, SDUHSD's governing board has become fractured by a 3-to-2 vote on multiple topics and the board members in the majority have become openly hostile toward the board members in the minority. The majority recently misused the statutorily required procedure for *adjusting* the boundaries of SDUHSD's five trustee areas in order to give the majority's members an electoral advantage in upcoming elections, and to put the minority's two members at a disadvantage, by altogether *rearranging* the boundaries instead. The majority did so despite the requirement in Education Code Section 5019.5(c) that any rearrangement of trustee areas be done in accordance with other applicable statutory provisions in Section 5000 *et seq.*, and in particular the Legislature's decision (*i*) to give the county committee on school district organization the exclusive authority to rearrange trustee areas pursuant to Section 5019 and (*ii*) to deprive SDUHSD's governing board of the power to rearrange trustee areas.
- 8. On February 17, 2022, the three-member majority of SDUHSD's governing board failed to *adjust* the boundaries of SDUHSD's five trustee areas and instead approved a map that *rearranges* the boundaries of these areas (the "Rearranged Map"). Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is true and correct copy of the Rearranged Map. The majority's approval of the Rearranged Map has several adverse consequences that go beyond a mere *adjustment* of the trustee areas and amount to the *rearrangement* thereof, in violation of Education Code Section 5019.5. By way of example and not limitation:
- A. The residents in trustee areas 1 and 4 now have two representatives on SDUHSD's governing board, whereas the residents in trustee areas 2 and 5 no longer have any representative on the board. According to the legend on the Rearranged Map, stars (i.e., the " \star "

symbol) represent where the five current trustees live. The yellow-colored area on the Rearranged Map (depicting new trustee area 1) shows two stars, the blue-colored area (depicting new trustee area 4) has two stars, but the green-colored area (depicting new trustee area 2) and the lavender-colored area (depicting new trustee area 5) have not a single star.

B. Relatedly, the Rearranged Map gerrymanders (salmon-colored) trustee area 3 in order to punish the board member who was specially elected in 2021 to represent the residents of the Cranberry Map's trustee area 5 – one of the two members of the governing board's minority – and force her to run for re-election in a trustee area where she does not have the same level of connection to the residents and community she was elected to represent. The Rearranged Map shows trustee area 3 narrowly and pointedly reaching to the southeast, substantially below State Route 56 (also known as the Ted Williams Freeway) and without using major roadways or natural geographical features as dividing lines, in order to encompass the residence of the member elected to represent trustee area 5 under the Cranberry Map (and, as noted above, leaving the (lavender-colored) new trustee area 5 without a representative).

C. The Rearranged Map changes the election cycle for the five trustee areas by flip-flopping the numbering of trustee areas. Under the Cranberry Map, SDUHSD's governing board members from trustee areas 2 and 4 would be elected by the voters in the respective areas every four years at the general election coinciding with the Presidential election (*e.g.*, 2020, 2024, etc.), and board members from trustee areas 1, 3, and 5 would be elected by the voters in the respective areas every four years at the general election coinciding with the mid-term election (*e.g.*, 2018, 2022, etc.). However, the Rearranged Map¹ shows that a substantial number of voters who would have voted in 2020 as residents of what was then trustee area 2 or 4 will now vote as residents of what is now trustee area 1, 3, or 5 in 2022, a mere two years after they voted in 2020; and that a substantial number of voters who have not voted since 2018 as residents of what was then trustee area 1, 3, and 5 will now vote as

¹ The five purple numbers "1" through "5" and the five thick broken purple lines on the Rearranged Map refer to the prior trustee-area numbers used on the Cranberry Map. Whereas the Cranberry Map numbered the trustee areas starting in the upper left-hand corner and went clockwise, the Rearranged Map numbers the trustee areas starting in the upper right-hand corner and goes counter-clockwise. By rearranging the numbering of the trustee areas, SDUHSD's governing board will effectively allow a substantial number of residents to vote sooner and force a substantial number of residents to wait to vote more than the customary four years.

residents of what is now trustee area 2 or 4 but not until 2024, a whopping six years after they voted in 2018.

- D. Relatedly, the Rearranged Map gerrymanders (yellow-colored) trustee area 1 and (green-colored) trustee area 2 and flip-flops their numbering compared to the Cranberry Map in order to punish the board member who was elected in 2020 to represent the residents of the Cranberry Map's trustee area 2 the second member of the governing board's minority and force her to run for reelection in 2022 even though her term expires in 2024 pursuant to Elections Code Section 22000(e)(1). Because SDUHSD will continue to hold elections for members representing trustee areas 2 and 4 at the same time as the Presidential election and for members representing trustee areas 1, 3, and 5 at the same time as the mid-term election, the member who was elected in 2020 to represent the residents and community within the Cranberry Map's trustee area 2 must now run for re-election in the Rearranged Map's trustee area 1 in 2022 two years earlier than under the Cranberry Map if she wants to run for re-election as an incumbent.
- 9. In addition, rearranging the boundaries of the five trustee areas under the Rearranged Map had the following illegal effects (in violation of both Education Code Section 5019.5 and the federal and state constitutions) compared to the boundaries under the Cranberry Map:
- A. Substantially dividing in the voting-rights vernacular, "cracking" the Hispanic/Latino population by reducing its percentage in the Rearranged Map's trustee areas 1 and 3 compared to the Cranberry Map's trustee areas 1 and 3; and substantially increasing the Hispanic/Latino population in that is, "packing" the population into the Rearranged Map's trustee area 2 compared to the Cranberry Map's trustee area 2.
- B. Substantially dividing that is, cracking the Asian population by reducing its percentage in the Rearranged Map's trustee area 4 compared to the Cranberry Map's trustee area 4.
- C. Substantially increasing the White population in that is, packing it into the Rearranged Map's trustee area 4 compared to the Cranberry Map' trustee area 4 and thereby diluting the influence of the Asian population in future trustee area 4 elections.
- 10. It was entirely possible to adjust the boundaries of SDUHSD's trustee areas without violating Education Code Section 5019.5, the federal and state constitutions, or any other law. Using

recent federal census data that SDUHSD's lawyers presented to the public during two meetings in January 2022, SDUHSD's demographers initially prepared at least three maps – known as Scenario 1, Scenario 2, and Scenario 3 – that lawfully adjusted the five trustee areas' boundaries. Furthermore, one of the three map finalists considered by SDUHSD's governing board on February 17, 2022 – Scenario 1C – also lawfully adjusted the five boundaries. Attached hereto as Exhibits 3 and 4 are true and correct copies of the two public presentations made by SDUHSD's lawyers; attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of the demographers' three initial lawful maps; and attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of the demographers' final lawful map.

Jurisdiction and Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies

- 11. Plaintiffs seek review by and relief from this Court under the U.S. Constitution, the California Constitution, and Code of Civil Procedure Sections 1060 *et seq.* and 1084 *et seq.*, among other provisions of law.
- 12. Plaintiffs exhausted administrative remedies to the extent required by law; alternatively, the exhaustion of remedies was excused.
- 13. The conduct of Defendants/Respondents in approving the Rearranged Map without complying with all applicable laws constitutes a prejudicial abuse of discretion because, as alleged in this pleading, Defendants/Respondents failed to proceed in the manner required by law and made findings not supported by substantial evidence.
- 14. Plaintiffs have no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law, since they and other members of the public will suffer irreparable harm as a result of the violations by Defendants/Respondents of applicable laws. Approval of the Rearranged Map rests on the failure by Defendants/Respondents to satisfy a clear, present, ministerial duty to act in accordance with all application laws. Even when Defendants/Respondents are permitted or required by law to exercise their discretion in taking such actions under those laws, they remain under a clear, present, ministerial duty to exercise their discretion within the limits of and in a manner consistent with those laws. Defendants/Respondents have had and continue to have the capacity and ability to take such actions within the time limits of and in a manner consistent with those laws, but Defendants/Respondents have

10

11

12131415

1617

19

20

18

2122

2425

23

26

2728

failed and refuse to do so and have exercised their discretion beyond the limits of and in a manner that is not consistent with those laws.

15. Plaintiffs have a beneficial right and interest in the fulfillment by Defendants/ Respondents of all legal duties, as alleged in this pleading.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: Violation of Education Code (Against All Defendants/Respondents)

- 16. Paragraphs 1 through 15 are fully incorporated into this paragraph.
- 17. The Rearranged Map does not constitute an "adjust[ment]" of the boundaries of SDUHSD's trustee areas within the meaning of Education Code Section 5019.5, and the Rearranged Map's approval exceeds the governing board's legal authority.
- 18. At this time, there is a dispute between Plaintiffs and Defendants/Respondents over the Rearranged Map's legal force and effect. Plaintiffs contend that the Rearranged Map has no legal force or effect because it violates one or more applicable laws. Defendants/Respondents dispute Plaintiffs' contention. The parties therefore require a judicial determination of the Rearranged Map's legal force and effect (if any).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: Violation of Equal Protection (Against All Defendants/Respondents)

- 19. Paragraphs 1 through 18 are fully incorporated into this paragraph.
- 20. The Rearranged Map violates the equal-protection and other civil rights of Plaintiffs and other similarly situated persons who reside within SDUHSD's geographical jurisdiction.
- 21. At this time, there is a dispute between Plaintiffs and Defendants/Respondents over the Rearranged Map's legal force and effect. Plaintiffs contend that the Rearranged Map has no legal force or effect because it violates one or more applicable laws. Defendants/Respondents dispute Plaintiffs' contention. The parties therefore require a judicial determination of the Rearranged Map's legal force and effect (if any).

Prayer

FOR ALL THESE REASONS, Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following relief against all Defendants/Respondents (and any all other parties who may oppose Plaintiffs in this lawsuit):

A. *On the First Cause of Action*:

- 1. A judgment or other appropriate order determining or declaring that Defendants/Respondents failed to fully comply with one or more other applicable laws as they relate to the Rearranged Map and that there must be full compliance therewith before final approval and implementation of any successor to the Cranberry Map;
- 2. A judgment or other appropriate order determining or declaring that Defendants/Respondents failed to comply with one or more other applicable laws as they relate to the Rearranged Map and that its approval and implementation were illegal in at least some respect, rendering the approval and implementation null and void; and
- 3. Injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants/Respondents (and any and all persons acting at the request of, in concert with, or for the benefit of one or more of them) from taking any action on any aspect of, in furtherance of, or otherwise based on the Rearranged Map unless and until Defendants/Respondents comply with all applicable laws, as determined by the Court.

B. *On the Second Cause of Action*:

- 1. A judgment or other appropriate order determining or declaring that Defendants/Respondents failed to fully comply with one or more other applicable laws as they relate to the Rearranged Map and that there must be full compliance therewith before final approval and implementation of any successor to the Cranberry Map;
- 2. A judgment or other appropriate order determining or declaring that Defendants/Respondents failed to comply with one or more other applicable laws as they relate to the Rearranged Map and that its approval and implementation were illegal in at least some respect, rendering the approval and implementation null and void; and
- 3. Injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants/Respondents (and any and all persons acting at the request of, in concert with, or for the benefit of one or more of them) from taking any action on any aspect of, in furtherance of, or otherwise based on the Rearranged Map unless and until Defendants/Respondents comply with all applicable laws, as determined by the Court.
- C. Any and all other relief that may be authorized by law but is not explicitly or specifically requested elsewhere in this Prayer.

- D. Any and all legal fees and other expenses incurred by Plaintiffs in connection with this lawsuit, including but not limited to reasonable attorney fees as authorized by the Code of Civil Procedure.
 - E. Any and all further relief that this Court may deem appropriate.


Dated: February 21, 2022. Respectfully submitted,

BRIGGS LAW CORPORATION

By: Cory J. Briggs

Attorney for Plaintiffs and Petitioners Carol Chang and Lisa Montes






	San Dieguito	Union F	iign - Ci	anderry	1 Map		
District		1	2	3	4	5	Total
<u>Ideal</u>	Total Pop	32,920	33,240	33,123	33,226	33,330	165,839
33,168	Deviation from ideal	-248	72	-45	58	162	410
	% Deviation	-0.75%	0.22%	-0.14%	0.17%	0.49%	1.24%
	% Hisp	14%	9%	14%	6%	8%	10%
Total Pop	% NH White % NH Black	79% 1%	81% 1%	79% 1%	76% 1%	62% 1%	75% 1%
		5%	8%	5%	15%	28%	12%
	% Asian-American Total	26,888	23,944	26,643	25,932	22,517	125,92
	% Hisp	12%	8%	13%	6%	7%	9%
Voting Age Pop	% NH White	81%	83%	81%	79%	64%	78%
voting rige rop	% NH Black	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	% Asian-American	5%	7%	4%	14%	27%	11%
	Total	25,983	23,984	25,612	23,851	19,796	119,22
	% Hisp	9%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%
Citizen Voting Age	% NH White	84%	84%	86%	80%	64%	80%
Pop	% NH Black	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	% Asian/Pac.Isl.	6%	7%	4%	9%	26%	10%
	Total	21,363	22,293	21,734	20,999	17,135	103,52
	% Latino est.	7%	6%	7%	4%	5%	6%
	% Asian-Surnamed	3%	3%	2%	6%	16%	6%
Voter Registration	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%
(Nov 2014)	% Spanish-Surnamed	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%
	% NH White est.	88%	88%	90%	88%	76%	86%
	% NH Black	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Total	11.183	11,637	11,590	11,947	8,907	55,26
	% Latino	5%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	2%	5%	14%	5%
Voter Turnout	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
(Nov 2014)	% Spanish-Surnamed	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%
	% NH White est.	91%	90%	92%	90%	79%	89%
	% NH Black	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Total	17,666	18,712	18,514	18,140	14,282	87,31
	% Latino	6%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%
	% Asian-Surnamed	2%	3%	2%	5%	13%	5%
Voter Turnout	% Filipino-Surnamed	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
(Nov 2012)	% Spanish-Surnamed	6%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%
	% NH White est.	90%	90%	91%	89%	81%	88%
	% NH Black est.	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
ACS Pop. Est.	Total	34,019	33,773	35,263	34,611	35,191	172,85
	age0-19	21%	28%	22%	25%	33%	26%
Age	age20-60	53%	53%	53%	53%	56%	54%
0-	age60plus	26%	20%	25%	22%	10%	21%
	immigrants	14%	12%	14%	25%	32%	19%
Immigration	naturalized	57%	62%	51%	50%	57%	55%
	english	83%	87%	84%	73%	63%	78%
Language spoken at	spanish	10%	6%	10%	7%	7%	8%
home	asian-lang	3%	3%	2%	10%	20%	8%
	other lang	4%	5%	5%	10%	10%	7%
	Speaks Eng. "Less	170		370	1070	1070	7,70
Language Fluency	than Very Well"	6%	4%	6%	8%	11%	7%
	hs-grad	35%	37%	32%	23%	19%	29%
Education (among	bachelor	34%	34%	35%	36%	31%	34%
those age 25+)	graduatedegree	25%	26%	27%	39%	48%	33%
Child in Household	child-under18	24%	39%	27%	33%	49%	34%
	employed	59%	59%	60%	60%	65%	60%
Work (percent of	Commute on Public	3770		3070	3070	03/0	0070
pop age 16+)	Transit	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	income 0-25k	17%	13%	12%	9%	10%	12%
	income 25-50k	14%	11%	14%	10%	7%	11%
Household Income	income 50-75k	14%	11%	13%	11%	7%	11%
- Jacob Medille	income 75-200k	40%	44%	37%	45%	45%	42%
	income 200k-plus	15%	22%	23%	25%	31%	23%
	single family	72%	85%	74%	71%	73%	75%
	multi-family	28%	15%	26%	29%	27%	25%
	vacant	9%	4%	10%	10%	4%	8%
Housing Stats	occupied	91%	96%	90%	90%	96%	92%
	rented	41%	21%	38%	32%	33%	33%
	owned	59%	79%	62%	68%	67%	67%
			/ 7/0	04/0	00/0	0//0	0770
otal and Vatina A	dation data from the 2010 P						
			Statemida D 1	200			
	ilation data from the 2010 Dec stration and Turnout data from d turnout data are Spanish-sur	n the California			Department u	ndercount	



SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS - SCENARIO 8





SAN DIEGUITO UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS - SCENARIO 8

Total Population:	183,599	Ideal Population:	36,720	Variance:	5.9%
		Total Popul	ation (2020 Cens	us)	
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5
Population	35,681	37,154	37,831	35,890	37,043
Population Variance	-1,039	434	1,111	-830	323
r opulation variance	-2.8%	1.2%	3.0%	-2.3%	0.9%
Hispanic/Latino	10.4%	17.1%	10.5%	9.7%	9.2%
White	75.4%	72.2%	63.2%	75.5%	50.6%
Black/ African American	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	1.2%
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Asian	6.8%	3.6%	19.0%	8.2%	32.3%
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%
Two or More Races	6.1%	5.6%	5.8%	5.2%	6.0%

	Population 18 and Over (2020 Census)								
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5				
Population	27,340	30,336	29,902	28,631	26,887				
Hispanic/Latino	9.1%	15.7%	9.4%	9.0%	8.4%				
White	77.7%	74.3%	66.9%	77.6%	54.1%				
Black/ African American	0.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	1.1%				
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%				
Asian	7.4%	3.9%	17.9%	8.1%	31.5%				
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%				
Other	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%				
Two or More Races	4.4%	4.5%	4.3%	3.9%	4.2%				

	Citizens by Voting Age Population Estimate (2015-2019)									
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5					
Population	26,277	27,402	26,931	26,500	19,633					
Hispanic/Latino	6.8%	12.0%	8.7%	8.8%	7.5%					
White	84.1%	81.6%	74.7%	83.1%	62.8%					
Black/ African American	0.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.8%	0.4%					
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%					
Asian	6.2%	3.6%	12.2%	5.6%	26.3%					
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Two or More Races	1.6%	1.7%	2.7%	1.7%	2.0%					







2020 Census and Redistricting





Engaged, Inspired, Prepared

Jonathan Salt, F3 Law January 11, 2022

F3law.com

What is the California Voting Rights Act ("CVRA")?

- Took effect January 1, 2003
- Prohibits the use of "at-large" elections when such an election system:
 - "impairs the ability of a protected class to elect candidates of its choice or its ability to influence the outcome of an election...." (Elections Code §14027.)

What is a "By-Trustee Area" Election System?

- Only safe harbor from a CVRA claim
- School district is divided into trustee areas
- A governing board member is elected from each trustee area
- Board members are elected <u>by only the</u>
 <u>registered voters in the particular</u>
 <u>trustee area</u> where the governing board
 member resides



Map Creation Considerations

Federal Requirements

- Equal Population
 - As equal as practical based on data and criteria from most recent Census Total Population
 - Within 10% variance between largest/smallest area
- Minority Voting Rights
 - No Discriminatory Purpose; No Vote Dilution
- Equal Protection
 - Race must not be a predominant factor

State Requirements

- Topography
- Geography
- Cohesiveness, Contiguity, Integrity, & Compactness of territory
- Community of Interest



Election Transition History

- On September 27, 2017, Board of Education passed Resolution to do the following:
 - Initiate transition to by-trustee area election system
 - Stating intent of Board to file a General Waiver Request from CDE/SBE from the "election requirement"
- Pre-Map Public Hearings:
 - October 12, 2017
 - October 19, 2017
- Map Public Hearings and Community Meetings:
 - November 16, 2017
 - November 28, 2017
 - December 14, 2017

- November 13, 2017
- November 14, 2017




Election Transition History

- December 14, 2017:
 - Board approval of current Map
- Spring 2018:
 - County Committee on School District Organization approves District's transition
- November 2018:
 - District use of current Map in Governing Board elections



Current Trustee Area Map



Impact of Census

- Once transition made to by-trustee area election system, then following each federal census:
 - Governing board must approve adjustment of trustee area boundaries to make sure each the population in each trustee area is as nearly as equal as practical, among other things.
 - By 3/1/22



(Education Code section 5019.5(a).)



DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS


2020 CENSUS DATA



- Validated redistricting data was finalized by the California Department of Finance on September 27, 2021.
- This data showed substantial changes in the area served by San Dieguito Union High School District ("SDUHSD") from 2010 to 2020, including:
 - Overall population growth of 17,530 (10.6%)
 - Total Variance of 27.9% based on 2020 Census (*Out of Compliance*)


Tuustaa Auga	2010 Census		2020 (Census	Change	
Trustee Area	Total	Variance	Total	Variance	Population	Percent
Trustee Area 1	32,920	-0.9%	34,548	-5.9%	1,628	4.9%
Trustee Area 2	33,240	0.1%	35,773	-2.6%	2,533	7.6%
Trustee Area 3	33,193	-0.1%	34,321	-6.5%	1,128	3.4%
Trustee Area 4	33,386	0.5%	34,382	-6.4%	996	3.0%
Trustee Area 5	33,330	0.3%	44,575	21.4%	11,245	33.7%
Total Population	166,069	1.4%	183,599	27.9%	17,530	10.6%

DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS 2020 CENSUS DATA




	Total Po	pulation	Age 18 and over		
Population	Total	%	Total	%	
Hispanic/Latino	20,937	11.4%	14,904	10.4%	
White	123,436	67.2%	100,533	70.3%	
Black / African American	1,323	0.7%	1,034	0.7%	
American Indian /Alaska Native	255	0.1%	206	0.1%	
Asian	25,811	14.1%	19,360	13.5%	
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	180	0.1%	138	0.1%	
Other	1,120	0.6%	816	0.6%	
Two or More Races	10,537	5.7%	6,105	4.3%	
Total	183,599	100%	143,096	100%	

DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION ESTIMATES



	2015-2019 CVAP				
Population	Total	%			
Hispanic/Latino	11,220	8.8%			
White	99,029	78.1%			
Black / African American	1,144	0.9%			
American Indian / Alaska Native	331	0.3%			
Asian	12,551	9.9%			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	113	O.1%			
Two or More Races	2,442	1.9%			
Total	126,830	100%			

► CURRENT TRUSTEE AREAS



CURRENT TRUSTEE AREAS

Census 2020 Total Population:	18	33,599		eal Area Size:	36,72	20	Total Varia	nce:	27.9%	
TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee	e Area 1	Trustee	e Area 2	Trustee	e Area 3	Trustee	Area 4	Trustee	Area 5
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population	34,549	26,779	35,773	25,739	34,320	26,421	34,380	22,869	44,577	25,022
Population Variance	-5.9%	N/A	-2.6%	N/A	-6.5%	N/A	-6.4%	N/A	21.4%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	15.8%	10.9%	10.7%	8.3%	13.9%	9.7%	8.2%	6.9%	9.1%	8.1%
White	72.9%	82.0%	75.2%	83.1%	75.7%	84.0%	68.1%	78.4%	49.3%	62.3%
Black/African American	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	1.0%	0.7%	1.6%	1.1%	0.7%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.2%	0.2%	O.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%
Asian	4.3%	4.7%	6.3%	5.4%	4.1%	3.9%	16.7%	10.6%	33.4%	25.9%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	O.1%	0.1%	O.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.6%	N/A	0.6%	N/A	0.7%	N/A	0.8%	N/A	0.5%	N/A
Two or More Races	5.6%	1.5%	6.3%	1.9%	4.8%	1.4%	5.4%	2.6%	6.4%	2.4%

^{*}Total Population; Source: Redistricting Database for California, Published by Statewide Database on September 20, 2021

^{**}Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP); Source: American Community Survey, 2015-2019 Data

^{***}May not sum exactly due to rounding.









2020年度审查和分区地图重划报告

2020 Census and Redistricting Censo y Redistribución de Distritos de 2020





Engaged, Inspired, Prepared

Milton Foster, F3 Law January 27, 2022

F3law.com

"By-Trustee Area" Election System Sistema de Elección de "Área de Síndico"

"董事所在属地"的选举制度

- Only safe harbor from a CVRA claim/ Solo puerto seguro de un reclamo CVRA (proporciona defensa jurídica automática).
- School district is divided into trustee areas/El distrito escolar está dividido en áreas de acuerdo a miembros de la junta directiva (cada miembro de la junta tiene que residir dentro de la area respectiva)
- A governing board member is elected from each trustee area/Se elige un miembro de la junta de directiva de cada área de fideicomisarios
- District transitioned in 2017/Distrito hizo la transición en 2017

简报概要: 学区依据董事所在地划分;

管理委员会的委员由每个董事所在区域中推选出来


学区在2017年进入重划过渡期



Current Trustee Area Map

Mapa actual del área de los Miembros de la Junta Directiva

2017年的董事所在区域地图



Impact of Census/ Impacto del Censo

审查后的影响

- Once transition made to by-trustee area election system, then following each federal census:
 - Assessment of trustee area map along with Census data must be conducted to determine compliance. Q: is the population balanced?
 - Governing board must approve adjustment of trustee area boundaries to make sure each the population in each trustee area is as nearly as equal as practical, among other things.
 - By 3/1/22

(Education Code section 5019.5(a).)

- Una vez realizada la transición al sistema de elección por área de miembro de junta directiva, luego de cada censo federal:
 - Se debe realizar una evaluación del mapa del área del administrador junto con los datos del censo para determinar el cumplimiento. P: ¿La población está equilibrada?
 - La junta directiva debe aprobar el ajuste de los límites del área de fideicomisarios para asegurarse de que cada población en cada área de fideicomisarios sea lo más equitativa posible, entre otras cosas.

AU OF THE

Para el 3/1/22

(Sección del Código de Educación5019.5(a).)

简报概要: 依据教育法第5019.5(a)条例, 选区地图的重划改革进程必须考量每个区域的人口是否平衡. 管理委员会也必须监督此点. 尽量做到各区间的平衡。



DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS/DEMOGRAFÍA DEL DISTRITO

2020 CENSUS DATA/ DATOS DEL CENSO 2020

2020年度审计资料显示的人口统计数据表

- Validated redistricting data was finalized by the California Department of Finance on September 27, 2021.
- This data showed substantial changes in the area served by San Dieguito Union High School District ("SDUHSD") from 2010 to 2020, including:
 - Overall population growth of 17,530 (10.6%)
 - Total Variance of 27.9% based on 2020 Census (Out of Compliance) 数据显示各区间的差异值达到27.9%. 已超出规定的的标准

- Los datos de redistribución de distritos validados fueron finalizados por el Departamento de Finanzas de California el 27 de septiembre de 2021. This data showed substantial changes in the area served by San Dieguito Union High School District ("SDUHSD") from 2010 to 2020, including:
 - Crecimiento general de la población de 17,530 (10,6%). Variación total del 27.9 % según el censo de 2020 (fuera de cumplimiento) Total Variance of
 - Variación total del 27.9 % según el censo de 2020 (fuera de cumplimiento)

Trustee Area/ Área de Miembro de	2010 Census/ Censo del 2010		2020 (Census	Change		
Junta Directiva	Total	Variance	Total	Variance	Population	Percent	
Trustee Area 1	32,920	-0.9%	34,548	-5.9%	1,628	4.9%	
Trustee Area 2	33,240	0.1%	35,773	-2.6%	2,533	7.6%	
Trustee Area 3	33,193	-0.1%	34,321	-6.5%	1,128	3.4%	
Trustee Area 4	33,386	0.5%	34,382	-6.4%	996	3.0%	
Trustee Area 5	33,330	0.3%	44,575	21.4%	11,245	33.7%	
Total Population	166,069	1.4%	183,599	27.9%	17,530	10.6%	

▶ DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS/DEMOGRAFÍA DEL DISTRITO

2020 CENSUS DATA/ DATOS DEL CENSO 2020


2020年度审计资料显示的各族群人口统计数据表



	Total Po	pulation	Age 18 and over			
Population	Total	%	Total	%		
Hispanic/Latino	20,937	11.4%	14,904	10.4%		
White	123,436	67.2%	100,533	70.3%		
Black / African American	1,323	0.7%	1,034	0.7%		
American Indian /Alaska Native	255	0.1%	206	0.1%		
Asian 亚 裔人口	25,811	14.1%	19,360	13.5%		
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	180	0.1%	138	0.1%		
Other	1,120	0.6%	816	0.6%		
Two or More Races	10,537	5.7%	6,105	4.3%		
Total	183,599	100%	143,096	100%		

▶ DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS/DEMOGRAFÍA DEL DISTRITO

CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION ESTIMATES – Hispanic/Latino ESTIMACIONES DE POBLACIÓN DE CIUDADANO CON EDAD DE VOTACIÓN - Hispano / Latino 粗估西班牙裔达到法定投票年龄的人口统计



	2015-2019 CVAP				
Population	Total	%			
Hispanic/Latino	11,220	8.8%			
White/ Blanco	99,029	78.1%			
Black / African American/afroamericano	1,144	0.9%			
American Indian / Alaska Native Indio americano/ nativo de Alaska	331	0.3%			
Asian/asiático	12,551	9.9%			
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander/Nativo de Hawái/Isleño del Pacífico	113	0.1%			
Two or More Races/Dos o mas razas	2,442	1.9%			
Total	126,830	100%			

▶ DISTRICT DEMOGRAPHICS/DEMOGRAFÍA DEL DISTRITO


CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION ESTIMATES – ASIAN ESTIMACIONES DE POBLACIÓN DE CIUDADANO CON EDAD DE VOTACIÓN - ASIÁTICA 粗估亚裔达到法定投票年龄的人口统计



	2015-201	9 CVAP
Population	Total	%
Hispanic/Latino	11,220	8.8%
White/ Blanco	99,029	78.1%
Black / African American/afroamericano	1,144	0.9%
American Indian / Alaska Native Indio americano/ nativo de Alaska	331	0.3%
Asian/asiático 亚裔	12,551	9.9%
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander/Nativo de Hawái/Isleño del Pacífico	113	0.1%
Two or More Races/Dos o mas razas	2,442	1.9%
Total	126,830	100%

► CURRENT TRUSTEE AREAS/ÁREAS ACTUALES DE MIEMBROS DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA

目前的董事所在区域地图



CURRENT TRUSTE/ ÁREAS ACTUALES DE MIEMBROS DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA 目前的董事所在区域內各族群的人口统计表


Census 2020 Total Population:	: 18	83,599		eal Area Size:	36,7	20	Total Varia	ance:	27.9%	
TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee	Area 1	Trustee	Area 2	Trustee	e Area 3	Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population/ Población	34,549	26,779	35,773	25,739	34,320	26,421	34,380	22,869	44,577	25,022
Population Variance/Variación De La Población	-5.9%	N/A	-2.6%	N/A	-6.5%	N/A	-6.4%	N/A	21.4%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	15.8%	10.9%	10.7%	8.3%	13.9%	9.7%	8.2%	6.9%	9.1%	8.1%
White	72.9%	82.0%	75.2%	83.1%	75.7%	84.0%	68.1%	78.4%	49.3%	62.3%
Black/African American	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	1.0%	0.7%	1.6%	1.1%	0.7%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.7%
Asian 亚裔	4.3%	4.7%	6.3%	5.4%	4.1%	3.9%	16.7%	10.6%	33.4%	25.9%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.6%	N/A	0.6%	N/A	0.7%	N/A	0.8%	N/A	0.5%	N/A
Two or More Races	5.6%	1.5%	6.3%	1.9%	4.8%	1.4%	5.4%	2.6%	6.4%	2.4%

^{*}Total Population; Source: Redistricting Database for California, Published by Statewide Database on September 20, 2021

^{**}Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP); Source: American Community Survey, 2015-2019 Data
***May not sum exactly due to rounding uito Union High School District | 2020 Census and Redistricting

CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS/ÁREAS CONCEPTUALES DE MIEMBROS DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA 董事区域重划概念图—

SCENARIO 1 | ESCENARIO 1 版本一



► DEMOGRAPHICS/DEMOGRAFÍA 人口统计专家提出的重划各区各族群人口统计表 SCENARIO 1 | ESCENARIO 1 | 版本一


	·		Trustee Area Size:	Trustee Ar			Area 4	
Total Population:		183,599	Ideal	36,720	Tota	l Variance:	3.	7%

TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee	Area 1	Trustee	Area 2	Trustee	Area 3	Trustee	Area 4	Trustee	Area 5
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population/ Población	37,595	29,091	36,433	26,221	36,220	28,751	36,772	21,369	36,579	21,311
Population Variance/Variación De La Población	2.4%	N/A	-0.8%	N/A	-1.4%	N/A	0.1%	N/A	-0.4%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	16.7%	11.3%	10.7%	8.3%	12.0%	8.8%	7.9%	6.5%	9.6%	8.4%
White	72.3%	81.7%	75.3%	83.1%	76.4%	84.0%	61.4%	74.2%	50.7%	62.9%
Black/African American	0.5%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	1.1%	0.7%	1.2%	1.1%	0.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.9%
Asian	4.2%	4.4%	6.2%	5.4%	4.8%	3.9%	24.0%	16.0%	31.2%	24.9%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Two or More Races *Total Population; Source: Redistricting Dat **Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP); So					5.2% ember 20, 2021	2.1%	5.1%	2.2%	6.6%	2.3%

n Age Population (CVAP); Source: American Community Survey, <mark>2015-2019 Data</mark>

► CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS/ÁREAS CONCEPTUALES DE MIEMBROS DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA 董事区域重划概念图二

SCENARIO 2 版本二




CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS/ÁREAS CONCEPTUALES ▶ DEL MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA 重划概念二: 各区各族群人口统计表 SCENARIO 2/ESCENARIO 2 版本二

Total Population:	183,5	99	Idea Trustee Ar		36,720	Tota	l Variance:	3.	4%	
TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee	Area 1	Trustee	e Area 2	Trustee	Area 3	Trustee	Area 4	Trustee	Area 5
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population/ Población	37,356	29,124	36,802	26,583	36,090	28,356	36,772	21,369	36,579	21,311
Population Variance/Variación De La Población	1.7%	N/A	0.2%	N/A	-1.7%	N/A	0.1%	N/A	-0.4%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	16.8%	11.2%	10.7%	8.8%	11.9%	8.6%	7.9%	6.5%	9.6%	8.4%
White	72.0%	81.5%	75.3%	82.7%	76.7%	84.5%	61.4%	74.2%	50.7%	62.9%
Black/African American	0.5%	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%	1.2%	1.1%	0.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.9%
Asian	4.3%	4.5%	6.2%	5.3%	4.7%	3.9%	24.0%	16.0%	31.2%	24.9%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Two or More Races *Total Population; Source: Redistricting Date	5.5% abase for Califo	1.5% ornia, Published	6.3% by Statewide D	1.8% atabase on Sept	5.2% ember 20, 2021	2.1%	5.1%	2.2%	6.6%	2.3%

Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP); Source: American Community Survey, 2015-2019 Data Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost LLP

CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS/ÁREAS CONCEPTUALES
DEL MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA 董事区域重划概念图三
SCENARIO 3/ESCENARIO 3





► DEMOGRAPHICS/DEMOGRAFÍA SCENARIO 3/ESCENARIO 3 版本三

Total Population:	183,5	99	Idea Trustee Ar		36,720	Tota	l Variance:	0.	8%	
TRUSTEE AREA	Trustee Area 1		Trustee Area 2		Trustee Area 3		Trustee Area 4		Trustee Area 5	
TP* vs. CVAP**	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP	TP	CVAP
Population/ Población	36,872	28,428	36,748	26,564	36,628	29,071	36,772	21,369	36,579	21,311
Population Variance/Variación De La Población	0.4%	N/A	0.1%	N/A	-0.3%	N/A	0.1%	N/A	-0.4%	N/A
Hispanic/Latino	16.8%	10.7%	10.7%	9.1%	12.0%	8.8%	7.9%	6.5%	9.6%	8.4%
White	72.1%	82.1%	75.3%	82.4%	76.5%	84.1%	61.4%	74.2%	50.7%	62.9%
Black/African American	0.5%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%	1.1%	0.7%	1.2%	1.1%	0.6%
American Indian/Alaska Native	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.9%
Asian	4.2%	4.5%	6.2%	5.3%	4.8%	3.9%	24.0%	16.0%	31.2%	24.9%
Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Other	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%

2.0%

2.2%

6.6%


5.1%

Two or More Races 5.5% 1.5% 6.3% 1.8% 5.2%
*Total Population; Source: Redistricting Database for California, Published by Statewide Database on September 20, 2021

**Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP); Source: American Community Survey, 2015-2019 Data
Fagen Friedman & Fulfrost LLP

2.3%

CONCEPTUAL TRUSTEE AREAS/ÁREAS CONCEPTUALES ▶ DEL MIEMBRO DE LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA 三个版本的董事区域重划概念比较图





Next Steps/Próximos Pasos


下一步: 二O二二年一月到二月间提出并修改选区地图的重划方案 在二O二二年三月一日前决议出重划图的最终版本, 提交给县选民登记处

DATE/FECHA	ACTIVITY/ACTIVIDAD					
January 20, 2022 20 De Enero Del 2022	Board Meeting: Presentation on Evaluation of Existing Voting Areas Review Initial Draft Adjusted Scenarios Reunión de la Junta: Presentación Sobre La Evaluación De Las Áreas De Votación Existentes Y Revisar El Borrador Inicial De Los Escenarios Ajustados					
January – February 2022 Enero- Febrero 2022	Cooperative Strategies revises maps of adjusted Voting Areas if necessary. Cooperative Strategies revisa los mapas de las áreas de votación ajustadas si es necesario.					
February 17, 2022 17 De Febrero Del 2022	Board Meeting: Review Adjusted Map Scenarios Board Adopts Final Trustee Area Map Reunión de la Junta: Revisar Escenarios De Mapas Ajustados La Junta Adopta El Mapa Final Del Área De Miembros De La Junta Directiva					
By March 1, 2022 Para 1 de Marzo del 2022	Final Trustee Area Map to the County Registrars of Voters. Mapa final del área de miembros de la junta directiva para el condado de registradores de votantes.					












Total Population:	183,599	Ideal Population:	36,720	Variance:	3.7%
		Total Popul	ation (2020 Cens	us)	
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5
Population	37,595	36,433	36,220	36,772	36,579
Population Variance	875	-287	-500	52	-141
ropulation variance	2.4%	-0.8%	-1.4%	0.1%	-0.4%
Hispanic/Latino	16.7%	10.7%	12.0%	7.9%	9.6%
White	72.3%	75.3%	76.4%	61.4%	50.7%
Black/ African American	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	1.1%
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Asian	4.2%	6.2%	4.8%	24.0%	31.2%
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%
Two or More Races	5.4%	6.3%	5.2%	5.1%	6.6%

		Population 18	and Over (2020 C	ensus)	
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5
Population	30,598	27,669	29,886	28,429	26,514
Hispanic/Latino	15.2%	9.7%	10.5%	7.3%	8.8%
White	74.7%	77.4%	78.8%	64.9%	53.9%
Black/ African American	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%	1.1%
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%
Asian	4.6%	6.8%	5.0%	22.5%	30.9%
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%
Two or More Races	4.2%	4.6%	4.1%	3.8%	4.6%

	Citizer	s by Voting Age I	Population Estima	nte (2015-2019)	
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5
Population	29,091	26,221	28,751	21,369	21,311
Hispanic/Latino	11.3%	8.3%	8.8%	6.5%	8.4%
White	81.7%	83.1%	84.0%	74.2%	62.9%
Black/ African American	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%
Asian	4.4%	5.4%	3.9%	16.0%	24.9%
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	1.4%	1.8%	2.1%	2.2%	2.3%






Total Population:	183,599	Ideal Population:	36,720	Variance:	3.4%				
		=	. (2020 5						
	Total Population (2020 Census)								
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5				
Population	37,356	36,802	36,090	36,772	36,579				
Population Variance	636	82	-630	52	-141				
ropulation variance	1.7%	0.2%	-1.7%	0.1%	-0.4%				
Hispanic/Latino	16.8%	10.7%	11.9%	7.9%	9.6%				
White	72.0%	75.3%	76.7%	61.4%	50.7%				
Black/ African American	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	1.1%				
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%				
Asian	4.3%	6.2%	4.7%	24.0%	31.2%				
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%				
Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%				
Two or More Races	5.5%	6.3%	5.2%	5.1%	6.6%				

	Population 18 and Over (2020 Census)							
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5			
Population	30,393	28,002	29,758	28,429	26,514			
Hispanic/Latino	15.3%	9.7%	10.5%	7.3%	8.8%			
White	74.4%	77.5%	79.0%	64.9%	53.9%			
Black/ African American	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%			
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%			
Asian	4.6%	6.7%	5.0%	22.5%	30.9%			
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%			
Other	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%			
Two or More Races	4.3%	4.6%	4.0%	3.8%	4.6%			

Citizens by Voting Age Population Estimate (2015-2019)							
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5		
Population	29,124	26,583	28,356	21,369	21,311		
Hispanic/Latino	11.2%	8.8%	8.6%	6.5%	8.4%		
White	81.5%	82.7%	84.5%	74.2%	62.9%		
Black/ African American	1.0%	0.8%	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%		
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%		
Asian	4.5%	5.3%	3.9%	16.0%	24.9%		
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races	1.5%	1.8%	2.1%	2.2%	2.3%		






Total Population:	183,599	Ideal Population:	36,720	Variance:	0.8%					
		Total Bound	-+: (2020 C							
		Total Population (2020 Census)								
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5					
Population	36,872	36,748	36,628	36,772	36,579					
Population Variance	152	28	-92	52	-141					
r opulation variance	0.4%	0.1%	-0.3%	0.1%	-0.4%					
Hispanic/Latino	16.8%	10.7%	12.0%	7.9%	9.6%					
White	72.1%	75.3%	76.5%	61.4%	50.7%					
Black/ African American	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.7%	1.1%					
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%					
Asian	4.2%	6.2%	4.8%	24.0%	31.2%					
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%					
Other	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%					
Two or More Races	5.5%	6.3%	5.2%	5.1%	6.6%					

	Population 18 and Over (2020 Census)							
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5			
Population	29,956	27,959	30,238	28,429	26,514			
Hispanic/Latino	15.4%	9.7%	10.5%	7.3%	8.8%			
White	74.4%	77.4%	78.9%	64.9%	53.9%			
Black/ African American	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%			
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%			
Asian	4.6%	6.7%	5.0%	22.5%	30.9%			
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%			
Other	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%			
Two or More Races	4.2%	4.6%	4.1%	3.8%	4.6%			

Citizens by Voting Age Population Estimate (2015-2019)							
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5		
Population	28,428	26,564	29,071	21,369	21,311		
Hispanic/Latino	10.7%	9.1%	8.8%	6.5%	8.4%		
White	82.1%	82.4%	84.1%	74.2%	62.9%		
Black/ African American	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	1.2%	0.6%		
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%		
Asian	4.5%	5.3%	3.9%	16.0%	24.9%		
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races	1.5%	1.8%	2.0%	2.2%	2.3%		







Total Population:	183,599	Ideal Population:	36,720	Variance:	7.4%				
	Total Population (2020 Census)								
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5				
Population	36,537	36,393	38,299	35,600	36,770				
Population Variance	-183	-327	1,579	-1,120	50				
i opulation variance	-0.5%	-0.9%	4.3%	-3.1%	0.1%				
Hispanic/Latino	15.5%	10.7%	12.9%	8.1%	9.6%				
White	73.3%	75.3%	75.5%	60.9%	50.7%				
Black/ African American	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%				
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%				
Asian	4.3%	6.2%	4.9%	24.3%	31.1%				
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%				
Other	0.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%				
Two or More Races	5.5%	6.3%	5.2%	5.0%	6.6%				

	Population 18 and Over (2020 Census)							
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5			
Population	29,765	27,633	31,549	27,465	26,684			
Hispanic/Latino	14.1%	9.7%	11.5%	7.5%	8.8%			
White	75.7%	77.4%	77.8%	64.4%	54.0%			
Black/ African American	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	1.1%			
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%			
Asian	4.6%	6.8%	5.2%	22.8%	30.8%			
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%			
Other	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%			
Two or More Races	4.3%	4.6%	4.1%	3.8%	4.6%			

Citizens by Voting Age Population Estimate (2015-2019)							
	Trustee Area 1	Trustee Area 2	Trustee Area 3	Trustee Area 4	Trustee Area 5		
Population	28,434	26,193	30,175	20,483	21,458		
Hispanic/Latino	10.7%	8.3%	9.2%	6.9%	8.4%		
White	82.4%	83.1%	83.3%	73.8%	63.0%		
Black/ African American	0.6%	0.8%	1.2%	1.2%	0.8%		
American Indian/ Alaska Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%		
Asian	4.5%	5.4%	4.3%	15.8%	24.8%		
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Other	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Two or More Races	1.6%	1.8%	1.9%	2.2%	2.2%		