

02/17/2023

## The essence of Moscow's justifications for the war in Ukraine ?

You can see European heads of state with speeches formatted to measure by management boxes in consulting, economists, bankers who juggling in the rules of game theory (balance of Nash = no way to change strategy etc...) . The speeches of all his political pawns are always incoherent, they want to believe that this war has, no justification, moment it's nonsense!

First, there are 5 million Ukrainians in Russia, some of whom can be activated at any time to create influence peddling contrary to Russian culture and the interests of its medium-term security. This social and economic position of Ukrainian nationals inside Russia who have never been welcomed in the West after the fall of the USSR means that normally Ukraine cannot let a device so close to the Russian and Russian borders develop. cheerfully prepared a bad influence on the Russian people. All this in order to prepare millions of Ukrainians to be launched against Russia in a planned NATO strategy in a world war. For 20 years the Russians have had diplomatic relations to seek to neutralize the action of foreign services with a compatible government but all that has been sabotaged through different interests injected into organized gangs that control the population at all levels thanks to promises of all kinds and financial targeting with debt money generated in the USA. Its various political, civil and military networks with Ukraine as a base directly and indirectly maneuvers of the intelligence agencies in Ukraine, which work with slush funds and can quickly get people to agree. The Ukrainian president was pushed to the post because of his connection with the Jewish shareholders of America who appreciate him for his naturalness, his ignorance and his not influence in the All these of Ukraine in private consultation are also partly shareholders in the various supplier companies of the American army (~15 companies with millions of shareholders) so this helps with this above and below the market. The pro-Russians in Ukraine were helping to hold their living space and there were the little wars that everyone heard about on TV before the big one.

invading. The failure of negotiations to neutralize the implementation of a civilian and military NATO presence in Ukraine led the Russian president to make a strategic choice. The best choice was developed in front of everyone during the first days of the invasion, but with poor management by the military cadres, which was the only flaw in the Russian strategy which was to kill two birds with one stone. If the Russian soldiers entered Ukraine had succeeded in quickly neutralizing the Ukrainian defense system with a lightning war, this would have stopped the development of the negative influence networks calculated by NATO from the potential of Ukrainians embedded in Russia. On the other hand, it would also have stopped the development of military bases programmed on and underground in Ukraine which could have been dug downright underground to Russia lol.

Not only could NATO have prepared a frontal attack with Russia during a world war using good Ukrainian military manpower, economical and efficient, but could also have developed gigantic networks of tunnels very deep underground at proximity to Russia to invade directly in an attempted blitzkrieg world war .

**Summary :** The Russians made the best choice strategically. they stopped the internal and border development of private and military influence networks which aimed to destabilize the cultural balance of democratic development in Russia and its government but also to stop the military development of NATO in Ukraine to the extent or it is not registered in Europe and is not a member of NATO. Finally, Russia also takes returnable soil, that is to say not officially registered, which can then be used as a guarantee of payment when launching calls for tenders to Russian companies after the war to rebuild Ukraine (*If the Ukrainians do not pay unregistered land will not be returned since they are put in collateral with the entrepreneur investors*) . If you call it all for nothing then you have no easy brains of the Russians was to miss their blitzkrieg and the air control which gave time to the Ukrainians to be restocked with ammunition and supplied with rocket launchers. The consequences of the Russian military failure from the start of the game then gave way to a much heavier war and that because of the choices on the intensity of the collateral damage and the

criticism on the international media scene .

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=V3lobABdG7k>

<https://www.cairn.info/revue-geoeconomie-2011-2-page-37.htm?content=article>