

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

There was another point that I wanted to make. Yeah, in the future, imagine Islam somehow, without immigration, in the future Christian ethnonationalist state, you have Islam somehow spreads, it's just compelling to Britains or Europeans at that time, and in the future, Islam becomes the majority religion, even though it's a white ethnostate. Then those individuals will not have a problem seeing mosques and the call to prayer.

Mark Collett:

No, no. Well, if that was to happen, if that was to happen and that was a natural spread, that would be something. That would be nature taking its course and you would have to concede that.

My issue with multiculturalism, and this is the key to what I've said all along today, is the multiculturalism that we are seeing, the mass immigration we are seeing, is not a natural phenomenon. It is completely state-endorsed, establishment controlled, and it has been something that is been driven at a unnatural level. I mean, I've given the facts on this before. Largely, it's also driven, as I said, by these Zionist-led wars in the Middle East, which again, are not a natural occurrence.

I'm not arguing about theoretical natural spreads of theology in the future. What I'm arguing against is forced forms of multiculturalism that come about from what I believe to be this undue Zionist influence.

Daniel Haqiqatjou:

Yeah, so you don't see ethnonationalism being contradictory to Islam. It's just this is the heritage that you are familiar with, the heritage that you want to preserve because of your personal experience, it does not include Islam, but that's not a principled objection that you have to Islam as a religion. You could very well imagine a Islamic Britain in the future, as long as it's happening organically, people are accepting it based on how compelling it is, that's something that you don't have a problem with.

Mark Collett:

Yeah. Essentially, yes. I do also think, I know that different groups of Christians express themselves slightly different. There's the Russian orthodoxy, there's the Church of England, there's the Greek orthodoxy, and there are small differences between interpretations of the same religion. Catholics and Protestants have different interpretations, Sunni and Shia Muslims have different interpretations. That also makes up part of the ethnostate. For example, I would expect Greeks and the Greek orthodoxy to have a slightly different expression of their religion to the Russian orthodoxy or to those who follow the Church of England or those who are Catholics.

Now, it's the same with any religion. I would expect Sunnis and Shiites to have a different interpretation of that religion. That also makes up part of what the ethnostate is. Ethnostates are quite complex things that take into account more than just race, they take into account ethnicity, which is also culture, it's tradition, it's something that is deeper than just race. Race is people of European descent, but not all people of European descent or identical. Languages are components of the ethnostate. There's lots of things, it's a complex thing. It's something that would be very difficult to absolutely unpack and to quantify in a two-hour YouTube discussion.

But yeah, if there was a natural change amongst people that happened organically, I don't think anything can stop that. But what I'm saying is all of the changes we are seeing now, that we are both railing against, are not organic. They are led by the mainstream media and by the group that I've mentioned before. That is something very, very different to organic theological changes within populations.