

FOA: ALL VACCINATION CENTRES / HUBS ALL DOCTORS, NURSES, CLINICAL STAFF ANYONE ADMINISTERING OR CAUSING TO BE ADMINISTERED SARS-COV-2 VACCINATIONS 219A Handsworth Road Handsworth Sheffield S13 9BH t: 0114 2420732 f: 0114 3217353 e: claims@broadyorkshirelaw.co.uk

www.broadyorkshirelaw.co.uk

4th February 2022

Dear Sir/Madam

## **Immunisation SARS-COV-2 Injections**

I write in connection with ANY proposed immunisation service that you might be inclined to provide, either directly or indirectly.

As you will be aware whilst caring for patients you have signed an oath: FIRST DO NO HARM! You owe a legal obligation to each patient in your care.

We ask that you do the following:

Write to the CQC/ LMC /MHRA /GMC / RCN / NHS / JCVI / Rt Hon Sajid Javid and any
other relevant authority and inform them that the vaccination programme you are involved in
must be cancelled and the reason for cancellation is that the SARS- CoV-2 injections are under
police investigation.

Should you fail to write to them and assist in the cancellation of the immunisation sessions you will render yourself liable for any losses sustained as a result of the visit and liability could include criminal liability.

Informed consent is impossible to obtain as you are not;

1. Informing the patient that the roll out of the SARS-COV-2 injections are under Police investigation pursuant to crime reference number: 6029679/21. Most people, if given that

information, would decline to give consent for treatment. Please ensure this letter is

communicated to all patients.

2. Part of the Police investigation revolves around the alleged unlawful suppression of alternative

treatments which have a far better safety profile. Informed consent is not possible if there is no

discussion around safer alternatives.

3. Informing the patient of how much active ingredient is in each vial. The amount of active

ingredient in each vial varies and it is a matter of public record that some batches kill and maim

disproportionately. Witness statements will be considered by the Police from bad batch victims.

By way of example; one of them is now infertile, others have been suffering with mobility and

paralysis of the face and limbs and many others are suffering from serious heart conditions

such as myocarditis/pericarditis/myopericarditis. These people have medical evidence which

states that the injection caused the infertility, immobility, paralysis and heart conditions. It is a

fact that they received bad batches.

As informed consent is impossible to obtain, anyone injected on site has suffered a battery, regardless

of any injury sustained.

Can you come back to me confirming that the immunisation programme you are involved in has been

cancelled.

Yours faithfully

13 Solves

Lois Bayliss

**Broad Yorkshire Law** 



## **DECLARATION, CEASE AND DESIST AND NOTICE OF LIABILITY**

# WORLD COUNCIL FOR HEALTH CALLS FOR AN IMMEDIATE STOP TO THE COVID-19 EXPERIMENTAL "VACCINES"

## A. CONSENSUS OF WORLD'S FOREMOST EXPERTS

Globally renowned experts, including Dr. Paul Alexander, Dr. Byram Bridle, Dr. Geert Vanden Bossche, Prof. Dolores Cahill, and Drs. Sucharit Bhakdi, Ryan Cole, Richard Fleming, Robert W. Malone, Peter McCullough, Mark Trozzi, Michael Yeadon, Wolfgang Wodarg, and Vladimir Zelenko, among many others, consistently warn the world about the adverse effects resulting from Covid-19 experimental injections; they also warn about their long-term effects, which cannot be known at this time since most clinical trials will be not completed until 2023, and some as late as 2025.

In June 2021, Dr. Tess Lawrie, co-founder of the World Council for Health and member of the Council's Steering Committee, courageously described the global crisis and called for urgent action: "There is now more than enough evidence on the [UK] Yellow Card system to declare the COVID-19 vaccines unsafe for use in humans. Preparation should be made to scale up humanitarian efforts to assist those harmed by the COVID-19 vaccines and to anticipate and ameliorate medium to longer term effects."

### **B. DECLARATION**

The World Council for Health declares that it is time to put an end to this humanitarian crisis. Further, the Council also declares that any direct or indirect involvement in the manufacturing, distribution, administration and promotion of these injections violates basic principles of common law, constitutional law and natural justice, as well as the Nuremberg Code, the Helsinki Declaration, and other international treaties.

## C. UNCENSORED FACTS

We now know that children are over one hundred times more likely to die from these experimental injections than Covid-19. Injected athletes, globally, are collapsing before our very eyes. In spite of the fact that reporting systems are limited and passive, millions of adverse effects have been recorded, which include death, paralysis, blood clots, strokes,

#### 29th November 2021

myocarditis, pericarditis, heart attacks, spontaneous miscarriage, chronic fatigue and extreme depression.

See: https://coronavirus-yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk/

See: https://vaers.hhs.gov/

See: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/human-regulatory/research-

development/pharmacovigilance/eudravigilance

See: http://www.vigiaccess.org/ (search covid-19 vaccine)

## D. VICTIM TESTIMONIES

The World Council for Health acknowledges and respects the experiences and testimony of the victims of this worldwide medical experiment. We also declare and confirm that safe, effective and affordable treatments for Covid-19 exist and should be made available to all who need them.

See: https://www.wewanttobeheard.com/

See: https://nomoresilence.world/

See: https://www.vaxtestimonies.org/en/

## E. NOT SAFE, NOT EFFECTIVE

Recent studies confirm the risks associated with Covid-19 experimental injections. Emerging research establishes that the injections are neither safe nor effective, and, in fact, are toxic. While some of the known ingredients of the injections cause biological harm, it is even more concerning that the unknown and undisclosed ingredients may present an even greater threat to human health.

## F. CEASE AND DESIST

The World Council for Health is ethically and lawfully bound to issue this Declaration, demanding that governments and corporations cease and desist from direct or indirect participation in the manufacturing, distribution, administration or promotion of Covid-19 experimental injections.

The Council declares that every living man and woman has a moral and legal duty to take immediate and decisive action to halt this unprecedented medical experiment, which continues to cause unnecessary and immeasurable harm.

DATE:

WITNESS:

## **G. NOTICE OF LIABILITY**

The right of bodily integrity and the right to informed consent are inalienable and universal human rights, which have been trampled by government mandates and corporate imperatives. Thus, the World Council for Health declares that any person or organization directly or indirectly participating in the manufacturing, distribution, administration or promotion of Covid-19 experimental biologics will be held liable for the violation of principles of justice grounded in civil, criminal, constitutional and natural law, as well as international treaties.

Signed:			
Charles Kovess	DocuSigned by:	Karen McKenna, MBA	Laren Mckenna, ME
Charles Kovess	Charles kovess DBA916FAB1614AC	Maria Hubmer Mogg	DocuSigned by:
Dr. Jennifer Hibberd	DocuSigned by:  A20BBB97878B4DA	Michael Alexander	C5083D558A6343A  DocuSigned by:
Dr. Mark Trozzi	DocuSigned by:	Rob Verkerk PhD	619B2F88B43F47A  DocuSigned by:
Dr. Naseeba Kathrada	DocuSigned by:  2F87AC848A0F4FB	Shabnam Palesa Mohamed	Docusigned by:  African American
Dr. Robert J McLeod	DocuSigned by:  AA3E8497DE4B434	Tracy Chandler	DocuSigned by:
Dr. Tess Lawrie	Dr. tiss Lawric 1E6A84D734574A3	Zac Cox	4D6A6657E80C41E  DocuSigned by:  Law (by)
Dr. Vince Vicente	DocuSigned by:  523DFFB4CFA446E	Stephan Becker	B3D95065BF8945D  DocuSigned by:
Steering Committee, Law	Committee, Scientific and Med	ical Committee - World Counci	FF43F610841840B
SERVED TO:			



## **INFORMED CONSENT - LEGAL SUMMARY FOR THE COVID-19 VACCINE**

We have set out below a summary of the current best medical practice and the law when it comes to providing your consent to any COVID-19 Vaccine. Please note the below is only a summary and only applies to those who have the mental capacity to consent. We would recommend that if you experience any issues with your healthcare provider that you seek legal advice.

## What is informed consent?

In England and Wales, we currently operate a system of patient autonomy which means you have the right to give your consent prior to any medical treatment. For consent to be valid, your healthcare provider should abide by the following legal rights which are set out in the **NHS Constitution** that was last updated in 2015, and the **guidance issued by the NHS**, updated on 29 March 2019 ii:

- 1. You should be free to accept or refuse treatment that is offered
- 2. You should not be given any treatment unless you have given valid consent
- 3. You should be given information about the test and treatment options available, what they involve and their **risks and benefits**, and base your decision on that advice
- 4. You should be involved in planning and making decisions about your health and care with your care provider or providers
- 5. Your decision should be voluntary and must not be influenced by pressure from medical staff, friends, or family. Your decision must be respected.

The courts have also upheld the view that informed consent is now firmly part of **English Law**<sup>iii</sup>. Informed consent means that the following steps must be undertaken prior to you consenting to any treatment:

- 1. It must be explained to you which, if any, available forms of treatment to undergo
- 2. Your doctor is under a duty to take reasonable care to ensure that you are aware of **any material risks** involved in any recommended treatment, and of **any reasonable alternative** or variant treatments
- 3. Where there are choices to be made, you should be presented with arguments for and against each of the options, and sufficient information must be given for you to decide.

In addition, healthcare providers are also required to adhere to additional requirements set out by the **United Nations** which further enshrines the right to informed consent <sup>iv</sup>. This states that "any preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic medical intervention is only to be carried out with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on adequate information."

Furthermore, the **United Nations** further states in relation to experimental treatment that "Scientific research should only be carried out with the prior, free, express and informed consent of the person concerned"



## What information should the doctor/healthcare provider give?

For your consent to be fully informed, you must have **all information available** to make a fully informed choice. We suggest that you should be provided with the following information to be able to make your choice:

- The outcome of the medical trials leading to the emergency approval of any vaccine.
   This must be in easy-to-understand language and it should be explained that there are only a few months of safety data available for the trials, and that the COVID-19 vaccine has been developed quickly, compared to the average length of time taken to develop a vaccine, which is 10 years
- 2. It should be made clear that there is **no information available about potential late-onset side effects** resulting from a Covid-19 Vaccine, that may take years to become apparent.
- 3. The **age groups of those participating in the vaccine trials**, whether they had any preexisting conditions and whether they were on any regular medications
- 4. A full list of all adverse reactions reported in the vaccine trials (mild, moderate, and serious)
- 5. Safety data must be presented in a way that allows you to **weigh up your individual risk** (age and comorbidity) from COVID-19 against the risk of side-effects (mild, moderate and severe) from a COVID-19 vaccine
- 6. The alternatives to a Vaccine, applicable to your age and any health-related issues
- 7. The doctor administering the vaccine must **keep a record of decisions made and actions agreed** in the patient's clinical records. This includes decisions to take no action.<sup>v</sup>

Once you have been provided with the above, you are then entitled to **sufficient time to make your decision**, **without coercion** and without any further impacts on your choice. **Your choice must be respected by the healthcare provider.** 

For more information, and to aid discussion with your healthcare provider, please refer to the UKMFA COVID-19 Vaccine Informed Consent Form<sup>vi</sup>.

i https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/the-nhs-constitution-for-england/the-nhs-constitution-for-england

<sup>&</sup>quot; https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/consent-to-treatment/

iii Montgomery v Lanarkshire Health Board [2015] UKSC 11. https://www.supremecourt.uk/cases/docs/uksc-2013-0136-judgment.pdf

iv Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-

URL ID=31058&URL DO=DO TOPIC&URL SECTION=201.html

<sup>\*</sup> https://www.gmc-uk.org/-/media/documents/gmc-guidance-for-doctors---decision-making-and-consent-english\_pdf-84191055.pdf

vi https://uploads-

ssl.webflow.com/5fa5866942937a4d73918723/5fcccc0fb2087f907e58ea30 UKMFA CV19 vaccine consent form v2.pdf



Our reference: OTP-CR-473/21

The Hague, 6 December 2021

Dear Sir, Madam

The Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court acknowledges receipt of your documents/letter.

This communication has been duly entered in the Communications Register of the Office. We will give consideration to this communication, as appropriate, in accordance with the provisions of the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court.

Please note this acknowledgement letter does not mean an investigation has been opened, nor that an investigation will be opened by the Office of the Prosecutor.

As soon as a decision is reached, we will inform you, in writing, and provide you with reasons for this decision.

Yours sincerely,

Mark P. Dillon Head of Information & Evidence Unit Office of The Prosecutor

Hannah Rose hannahroses111@hotmail.com

# IMPORTANT PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT COVID-19 SCANDAL

METROPOLITAN POLICE LAUNCH MAJOR INVESTIGATION



Hugely significant allegations have been made of serious crimes being committed by a number of UK Government ministers, civil servants, heads of news networks, etc.

Crimes cited are misfeasance and misconduct in public office, conspiracy to commit grievous bodily harm, conspiracy to administer a poisonous and noxious substance to cause serious harm and death, gross negligence manslaughter, corporate manslaughter, corruption, fraud, blackmail, murder, conspiracy to commit murder, terrorism, genocide, torture, crimes against humanity, false imprisonment, multiple breaches of human rights, war crimes, multiple breaches of The Nuremberg Code 1947, multiple breaches of The Human Rights Act 1998, treason will also be added.

The UK's biggest criminal investigation is now live. **Hammersmith CID** and **The Metropolitan Police** have accepted and are reviewing 1000's of pages of evidence and have agreed there is enough to proceed. All UK police forces have been made aware of this investigation.

The case was lodged on 20th December 2021 by a group including **Dr Sam White**, lawyer **Philip Hyland** (PJH Law), Lawyer **Lois Bayliss** (Broad Yorkshire Law) and retired policeman **Mark Sexton**. Requests for assistance have been made to international lawyer **Robert F Kennedy Jnr** (nephew of J F Kennedy), **Dr Reiner Fuellmich** (German lawyer who exposed the Volkswagen Audi emissions scandal), **Dr. Michael Yeadon** (Former Pfizer Vice President), plus countless other doctors, professors, virologists, NHS whistleblowers, biologists, data experts and lawyers nationally and internationally; some of whom have already made direct contact with the UK police and were acknowledged by Superintendent Simpson (Assistant to Cressida Dick, Head of The Metropolitan Police).

Mark Sexton says: "The evidence submitted by Philip Hyland and Dr Sam White against the UK's **Medicines** and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) is damning and shows they did not carry out due diligence surrounding the vaccine data, trials and studies; and that they continued to ignore the death, harm and injury that the covid vaccines cause. This is now a live criminal investigation. We were forced to act due to the complacency of the UK Government, despite them being fully aware of the catastrophic death and injury figures to adults and children alike".

"This is nothing short of genocide; once again it seems that profit over people is the overriding motive. There has been and still is a deliberate blanket campaign of misinformation. Many don't even realise that the **covid vaccine is still an experimental product**. This is the most far-reaching criminal inquiry ever undertaken. A national scandal that threatens the lives and the livelihoods of every person in the UK. **The demand to stop the vaccination program remains a priority and the police are reminded on a daily basis**".

## Can you help?

"We have to act on a united front to get the truth out to the public and stop the unsafe Covid vaccine rollout. We have several thousand pieces of evidence to discredit the safety and efficacy of this vaccine, but we are still encouraging members of the public to contact us and the police to fully support the criminal investigation. We therefore appeal to anyone who has suffered the death of a loved one following a covid vaccine and anyone who has been injured by it, e.g. blindness, heart issues, blood clots, stroke, myocarditis, miscarriages and still-births, etc".

"We'd also like to hear from those illegally threatened with 'No jab, no job'".

"We must act now. If you have information to assist the police inquiry, please contact Lois Bayliss of Broad Yorkshire Law: loisbayliss@broadyorkshirelaw.co.uk or call the police on 101. If you believe you are the victim of a crime, a crime report must be accepted".



# Please share this announcement everywhere hashtag #6029679/21 IN ADDITION:

A separate filing has also been made to The International Criminal Court in The Hague. File number: OTP-CR-473/21. That case is not listed on the ICC website but you can read about that here, or scan the QR code:

https://www.docdroid.com/WUjv6iw/icc-complaint-7-1-pdf



Dr Sam White also wrote a powerful letter to the Chair of UK's Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) - 'Request for Undertakings for breaches of legal obligations and breaches of duties of care'.

https://pjhlaw.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/letterMHRA.pdf



## There are numerous other covid scandal investigations and court cases happening worldwide.

If you want unassailable evidence, there are many online resources too numerous to mention. Please take all reasonable steps to protect your device when browsing online. Here is one example:

https://www.saveusnow.org.uk/covid-vaccine-scientific-proof-lethal/

# **URGENT PUBLIC NOTICE**

11th January 2022

# Covid-19 Vaccines are now under Criminal Investigation with Hammersmith CID Police Station

## Case Number: 6029679/21

Your local Chief Constable is aware of this Case and substantial evidence has been provided from injured victims, scientific studies, global experts and NHS whistleblowers to Hammersmith CID.

# Confused why this is the first time you're hearing about this?

You're not being told about this case because the UK Government controls the mainstream media and Senior Government Ministers, Civil Servants and the Media are implicated in the alleged Crimes.

The Case has also been acknowledged by the International Criminal Court at the Hague.

Scan these QR Codes for critical case information









Media Enquiries: pubannouncement@protonmail.com Covid-19 Vaccine Injuries: loisbayliss@broadyorkshirelaw.co.uk

## High Priority – COVID-19 experimental vaccines

Address:	
Post code: NOTICE OF LIABILITY	Date DD/MM/YYYY:
Dear	ies/liabilities for conducting experimental medical

### Personal Liability

Name:

This legal and lawful notice of liability may be used as evidence in court if needed and intends to enlighten you and protect you from attracting civil and criminal liability whether domestic or international and whether in an existing court or one to be convened under Natural Law principles in relation to your action(s) and all your omissions in relation to the alleged SARS-CoV-2 pandemic and the measures that have been/are being taken within the United Kingdom and world-wide to control its alleged spread and effect(s) including, but not limited to, the administration of experimental COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2 mRNA gene therapies/injections/vaccines (and or viral vector injections/vaccines) and the harm and death caused.

You may be held personally liable for and/or privately liable for and/or civilly and/or criminally liable for participating in unlawful, illegal and/or criminal activity and/or for supporting crimes against humanity, genocide, bio-warfare and/or failing to prevent acts so defined, including but not limited to acts that are purposely committed as part of a widespread and/or systematic policy, directed against living men and women, and children.

The Covid-19 vaccinations are all currently in phase 3 of clinical trials which are due to end at various points throughout 2023 dependent on the vaccine concerned, understandable given that some of the vaccines are using for the first time in humans mRNA (messenger RNA) technology. Notwithstanding the emergency use authorisation for the administration of these experimental medications, it is our understanding that the Government is only underwriting the manufactures of these experimental medications against any liability arising from their administration; we do not believe that the same applies to vaccination centre staff in advising men, women and children to take these experimental medications.

The efficacy of the vaccines has been exaggerated by the pharmaceutical companies, as reported in the medical journal, The Lancet<sup>1</sup>;

"Vaccine efficacy is generally reported as a relative risk reduction (RRR). It uses the relative risk (RR)—i.e., the ratio of attack rates with and without a vaccine—which is expressed as 1—RR. Ranking by reported efficacy gives relative risk reductions of 95% for the Pfizer—BioNTech, 94% for the Moderna—NIH, 90% for the Gamaleya, 67% for the J&J, and 67% for the AstraZeneca—Oxford vaccines. However, RRR should be seen against the background risk of being infected and becoming ill with COVID-19, which varies between populations and over time. Although the RRR considers only participants who could benefit from the vaccine, the absolute risk reduction (ARR), which is the difference between attack rates with and without a vaccine, considers the whole population. ARRs

tend to be ignored because they give a much less impressive effect size than RRRs:  $1\cdot3\%$  for the AstraZeneca—Oxford,  $1\cdot2\%$  for the Moderna—NIH,  $1\cdot2\%$  for the J&J,  $0\cdot93\%$  for the Gamaleya, and  $0\cdot84\%$  for the Pfizer—BioNTech vaccines."

The Nuremberg Code<sup>2</sup> first principle provides that medical experiments or trials require voluntary and informed consent of all participants. All school age children must be excluded from medical experiments since they have not got the capacity for making informed consent decisions until they reach the age of consent particularly as there is very limited information provided at the point of administration of vaccine injections regarding short term and long term effects from the experimental vaccinations, regarding those at risk of covid-19 generally and more likely to need a vaccine, no information about alternative treatments for those who contract Covid-19 and require treatment and no information as regards boosting the immune system in order to avoid contracting it altogether or otherwise minimizing it's effect, is wholly inadequate for adults let alone children.

Of relevance to the issue of informed consent is the Yellow Card System³ which the UK Government have established. This System shows that death has been listed as an outcome related to COVID-19 vaccines as of 05/01/22, at least 1932 times. It follows that the rates of increase of death and significant harm (excluding blood clotting/strokes/heart attacks are increasing as the vaccination programme is rolled out. As of January 5th, 2022 ,the System shows nearly one and a half million adverse reactions to the experimental vaccines (1,414293). It is a failing as regards informed consent not to make available this information in relation to making informed consent.

In addition, the VAERS<sup>4</sup> USA (Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System) Death has been listed as an outcome related to COVID-19 vaccines at least 21,745 times as of January 7, 2022 and 38,000 permanently disabled and on the EudraViligance European database Death has been listed as an outcome related to COVID-19 vaccines at least 34,337 times as of December 18, 2021. 3.1 million injuries have also been reported.

Without the emergency authorization which is being used by the UK Government and others around the world to roll out the experimental vaccines, these medications would have to be withdrawn from the "market". In the USA, for example, deaths in relation to other vaccines numbering as few as 50 (in a country with a population in excess of 360 million) would cause withdrawal of the relevant medication. Comparable provisions apply in the UK and in Europe. This too is something directly relevant to informed consent as is the data which shows that children who participated in the Pfizer covid vaccine clinical trials have had an adverse reaction rate at 86% (https://www.afinalwarning.com/522797.html).

NHS Guidance limits the advice to be provided in relation to "informed consent" to communication of "the anticipated benefits of vaccination in the simplest of terms", "the likely side effects from vaccination and any individual risks they may run should be addressed", and "the disbenefits of not consenting to the vaccination". It will be noted then that the stance of the NHS as regards the issue of consent is inadequate when compared with provision of informed consent attached herewith is a document which sets out the law relating to informed consent which should be gone through with every person in order to enable them to provide informed consent.

Principle 5 of the Nuremberg Code states that no medical experiments or trials should be conducted where there is an a priori (theoretical) reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur. You will appreciate that these medical experiments (the trials for which conclude in 2023) are not theoretical as regards death or disabling injury: there is clear evidence of both arising.

Receipt of this document shows you have been made aware death or other serious injuries are possible outcomes for people taking the COVID-19 experimental vaccinations.

In conclusion, given the clear evidence that serious harm (or worse) can and does arise as a consequence of these experimental vaccines, anyone involved in the process of administration of covid-19 vaccinations renders themselves liable to criminal prosecution for assault/wounding or worse if death results before the domestic courts, in addition to liability for prosecution before the International Criminal Court for breaches of the Nuremberg Code. This is quite separate to any civil liability that arises, or any prosecution for offences contrary to common law.<sup>5</sup>

Receipt of this document shows you have been made aware death or other serious injuries are possible outcomes for anyone taking the COVID-19 experimental vaccinations. Receipt of this document also shows that you have been made aware of the fact that you are ultimately responsible for any injuries or deaths from vaccines administered on your premises.

#### CITED REFERENCES;

 $^1$  COVID-19 vaccine efficacy and effectiveness—the elephant (not) in the room - https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lanmic/article/PIIS2666-5247(21)00069-0/fulltext

<sup>2</sup> The ten points of the Nuremberg Code The ten points of the code were given in the section of the judges' verdict entitled "Permissible Medical Experiments"

- 1. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.
- 2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.
- .3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.
- 4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
- 5. No experiment should be conducted where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.
- 6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.
- 7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.
- 8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.
- 9. During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.
- 10. During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuremberg\_Code

## 3 YELLOW CARD SYSTEM REPORTS (UK)

a. Website of vaccine reported adverse events - https://coronavirus-yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk

b. Sample of Pfizer reported adverse events -

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_d ata/file/986035/DAP\_Pfizer\_050521.pdf

c. Sample of Astra Zeneca reported adverse events -

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_d ata/file/986033/DAP\_AstraZeneca\_050521.pdf

d. Sample of Moderna reported adverse events -

 $https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/986034/DAP\_Moderna\_050521.pdf$ 

e. Sample of unspecified reported adverse events -

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_d ata/file/986036/DAP\_Unspecified\_050521.pdf

## <sup>4</sup> VAERS REPORT (USA)

Run your own report to check results here by clicking link below and follow instructions:

https://wonder.cdc.gov/vaers.html

Instructions for use

Click 'I agree'

Click 'Data Report'

Choose from section 1. 'Group results by - Vaccine manufacturer'

Choose from section 3. 'Vaccine products - Covid 19 vaccines'

Choose from section 5. 'Event category - Death'

Scroll to bottom of page and press 'Send'

View latest data for deaths reported from Covid Vaccines grouped by Vaccine manufacturer

## OTHER SUPPORTING REFERENCES;

Coronavirus: Why won't children get the vaccine? - https://www.bbc.co.uk/newsround/55192468

Gillick Competence will not apply to COVID 19 experimental vaccines -

https://learning.nspcc.org.uk/child-protection-system/gillick-competence-fraser-guidelines#heading-top

"NHS England draws up plan to give Covid jabs to children 12 and over;

Contingency planning in place to vaccinate secondary school pupils at start of new academic year"

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/may/02/nhs-england-draws-up-plan-to-give-covid-jabs-to-children-12-and-over

"The ongoing phase III trials for covid-19 vaccines are some of the most consequential randomised trials ever done."....."The covid-19 vaccine protocols should be scrutinised by the widest possible readership, to open a critical discussion of many questions about their design and conduct. These include why children, immunocompromised people, and pregnant women have been excluded from most trials; whether the right primary endpoint has been chosen; whether safety is being adequately evaluated; and whether gaps in our understanding of the clinical implications of pre-existing Tcell responses to SARS-CoV-2 are being addressed."

https://www.bmj.com/content/371/bmj.m4058

"Following extensive pre-clinical testing, this next phase of the trial will allow us to refine our innovative, self-amplifying RNA vaccine for the first time in humans." https://www.imperial.ac.uk/covid-19-vaccine-trial