

VM #	VM # per Cingular Doc	TH Location	Kratz Doc	Lcell	Icell	Date	Time	Call Type	Name	Duration	Notes	Cingular VM Record	Weigert 11/3 Report (Ex. 216) - Did receive Printed Copy
		Unk.		54027	21362	10/29/05	3:59 PM	Called VM		:40	1st call record - no previous records to compare		
x		Wedding w MH? MH testifies she was with him earlier in evening.		54027	20742	10/29/05	6:05 PM	Incoming	Unknown	1:01	Likely VM, message length approx. :41	Not on VM record/deleted	
				54027	20742	10/29/05	6:45 PM	Incoming	Unknown	:04	Message not left		
				54027	21432	10/29/05	7:08 PM	Called VM			1:02	Seems 6:05 call message retrieved	
				54027	21111	10/29/05	8:00 PM	Incoming	Unknown	2:33	Likely answered		
					54027	21111	10/29/05	8:05 PM	Incoming	Unknown	1:57	Likely answered	
x		Home or nearby		54027	21112	10/29/05	8:54 PM	Incoming	Unknown	:41	Message length approx. :21	Not on VM record/deleted	
					54027	21112	10/29/05	8:55 PM	Called VM		:34	Seems 8:54 call message retrieved, checks VM right away but does not call back (at least not immediately)	
		Home or nearby		54027	21112	10/29/05	9:34 PM	outgoing - cell # (920) 585-3839	T.Halbach	:37	Returning a call?		
					54027	21112	10/29/05	9:35 PM	outgoing - cell # (920) 740-1900	Private, Appleton, WI (from reverse directory)	:54	Returning a call?	
		GB Party?		54027	20981	10/29/05	11:44 PM	outgoing - cell # 420-1740	Private, Oshkosh, WI (from reverse directory)	:35	Dialed direct [no (920) dialed, so not entered from contact list]- BC - left 15 sec VM. Could she have been returning an earlier call from him?		
					54027	20981	10/29/05	11:44 PM	outgoing - cell # 420-1740	Private, Oshkosh, WI (from reverse directory)	1:09	Dialed direct [no (920) dialed, so not entered from contact list] - BC - left longer VM? Had quick convo? :50 (VM) / 1:00 (call answered talk time).	
x				54027	21112	10/30/05	4:41 PM	Incoming	Unknown	:55	Message length approx. :35	Not on VM record/deleted	

x		Home or nearby		54027	21112	10/30/05	5:05 PM	Incoming	Unknown	:44	Message length approx. :24	Not on VM record/deleted		
				54027	21112	10/30/05	5:26 PM	Called VM		1:27	Seems both 4:41 and 5:05 messages retrieved			
				54027	21112	10/30/05	5:40 PM	outgoing - landline # (920) 622-0127	Private, Wild Rose, WI 54966 (from reverse directory)		1:17	Marie S? Returning the 4:41 or 5:05 call?		
x		Home or nearby		54027	21112	10/31/05	8:17 AM	Incoming - Auto Trader?	Unknown	1:06	Message length approx. :46 - Could this have actually been the call from Dawn at AT? Would give enough time to relay the info about Janda shoot...Or Schmidt? How did TH know SS phone # when Sippel name and # was on lead sheet?	Not on VM record/deleted	Not mentioned in Weigert's report	
x			X	54027	21112	10/31/05	9:46 AM	Incoming - Auto Trader?	Auto Trader -per Dawn's testimony and KK summary exhibit	:33	Message length approx. :13 - Seems too short to relay the info about the janda shoot...since there is no record of TH later calling AT back, this may NOT have been the AT call.	Not on VM record/deleted	Not mentioned in Weigert's report	
x				54027	21112	10/31/05	10:44 AM	Incoming	Unknown	:37	Message length approx. :17	Not on VM record/deleted	Not mentioned in Weigert's report	
				54027	21112	10/31/05	10:52 AM	Incoming	Unknown	:05	Message not left		Not mentioned in Weigert's report	
				X	54027	21112	10/31/05	11:04 AM	Called VM		1:55	Approx. length of VM's retrieved 1:16; doesn't immediately return any calls		
					54027	21111	10/31/05	11:10 AM	Incoming	Unknown	:05	Message not left		Not mentioned in Weigert's report
x					54027	21112	10/31/05	11:25 AM	Incoming	Unknown	:43	Message length approx. :23	Not on VM record/deleted	Not mentioned in Weigert's report
				X	54027	21112	10/31/05	11:27 AM	Called VM		2:56	Seems long, did she relisten to old messages trying to find # for D Morrow?		

			54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>11:31 AM</b>	outgoing - cell # (920) 405-1998	Daniel Morrow, to reschedule appt.	3:04	Only customer with area code dialed... Re-occurring customer so # saved to contacts? Did DM call her at 11:25, and TH called him back by selecting the # from her call log after listening to VMs?		Not mentioned in Weigert's report		
			54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>11:35 AM</b>	outgoing - cell # (920) 360-2455	Denise Coakley	1:36	Talked briefly or left 1:16 VM		Not mentioned in Weigert's report		
			X	54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>11:43 AM</b>	outgoing - landline # 755-8715	Barb Janda / Tadych	1:05	Dialed direct; Left message on Barb's answering machine		7th last call - Steven Avery	
x				54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>12:29 PM</b>	Incoming	Unknown	:40	Message length approx. :20, doesn't appear to return call unless caller was Schmidtz	Not on VM record/deleted	Not mentioned in Weigert's report	
			X	54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>12:39 PM</b>	Called VM		:33	Retrieves 12:29 call		6th last call - VM dial #	
				54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>12:45 PM</b>	Incoming - (920) 254-6635	Steve Speckman	3:00	One of very few calls that were answered and lasted more than a minute.		5th last call - Unknown	
			X	54027	21112	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>12:51 PM</b>	outgoing - landline # 894-3912	Steven Schmidtz, New Holsten, WI 53061	:46	Dialed direct		4th last call - Schmidtz	Lead sheet showed Sippel and his phone #
x	1	Unk. Zipperers general area?		54096	21103	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>1:52 PM</b>	Incoming - (920) 227-8985	Private - Landline, Green Bay, WI (reverse directory)	1:15	Message length approx. :55, doesn't appear to return call (VM record shows audio for :28, which doesn't match the call log??). Weird formatting for this entry on VM record	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved (by TH when she listened to part of it at 2:13?)	Not mentioned in Weigert's report	
		Unk. near Avery Salvage?	X	54096	21923	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>2:12 PM</b>	outgoing - landline # 682-5719	Jo Zipperer, Manitowoc, WI 54220	1:09	Dialed direct; Left message on answering machine according to testimony		3rd last call - Zipperer	
	X		54096	21923	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>2:13 PM</b>	Called VM		:37	Retrieved part of 1:52 message and saved it?		2nd last call - VM dial		
	X		54096	21923	<b>10/31/05</b>	<b>2:24 PM</b>	Incoming	*67 Call from Steve Avery	:08	Message not left				

		Unk. Leaving Avery Salvage?	X	54096	21921	10/31/05	2:27 AM	Incoming - (414) 425-8712 - Lemieux/Weigart's report	Auto Trader?	4:45	Testimony - Dawn said TH called AT, BUT this is an incoming call. Dawn testifies TH says, On my way to Avery's. Initial interview of Dawn, she couldn't remember if she had spoken to TH or left a message in the afternoon. Not sure if AT called TH here or if it was someone else...		Last call - Auto Trader
			X			10/31/05	2:35 PM	Incoming	*67 Call from Steve Avery	:00	Not on TH's phone record, information gathered from SA phone record exhibit		
	2	Unk. Zipperers general area?		54096	21101	10/31/05	2:41 PM	Incoming	Unknown	1:20	Message length approx. 1:00, CFNA - TH or someone else pushed End button that forwarded the call to VM or activated feature another way?; last call with lcell location; last time the cell was considered as having activity. No CLI # on VM record. lcell location puts her nearby the same location that she was at when the 1:52 call came in...back the way she came; 12 miles from SA?	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved, is this the 1st VM MH retrieved and saved?	
			X	00000	No lcell	10/31/05	4:35 PM	Incoming	Steve Avery	:13	Testimony - no message		
x	3				No lcell	11/1/05	9:49 AM	Incoming - Unknown		1:26	TH - Phone Record	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	

In Weigert's report, he mentions this call from AT to Angela. However, it is unknown if Weigert actually confirmed the # or just told Angela that AT's # appeared on TH bill at 2:27, to which Angela states, "could have been a VM as its common for them to leave photogs VM messages" (paraphrasing from CASO, p. 15).

No CLI #

Dohrwardt said this call had to have gone to VM (Day 12 Testimony)

No CLI #

x	4				No lcell	11/1/05	12:31 PM	Incoming - (920) 336-6193	Private - landline - De Pere, WI (reverse directory)	:49	TH - Phone Record	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	
x	5				No lcell	11/1/05	2:01 PM	Incoming - (920) 729-7606	Private - landline - Neenah, WI (reverse directory)	:48	TH - Phone Record	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	
x	6				No lcell	11/1/05	4:45 PM	Incoming - (920) 989-1813	Joanne Thiel, Landline, Hilbert, WI (reverse directory - address is near TH and her parent's address)	:40	TH - Phone Record	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	
x	7				No lcell	11/1/05	4:59 PM			:51	TH - Phone Record	VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	
					No lcell	11/1/05	6:42 PM			:04	TH - Phone Record		
x	8				No lcell	11/2/05	7:12 AM	Incoming - (920) 989-1098	Thomas Halbach, landline, Hilbert, WI (reverse directory)	:37		VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	
x	9				No lcell	11/2/05	7:39 AM	Incoming - (920) 360-0458	James A. Beining - cell - Green Bay, WI (CASO p. 204 typo in CASO report -they list 920 367-0458)	:16		VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	

No CLI #

No VM

					Time when VMs were retrieved by someone else according to defense. Or, MORE LIKELY, last one that MH saved when he listened on 11/3?								
x	10					11/2/05	8:05 AM	Incoming - (920) 366-5400	Private - cell - Green Bay, WI (reverse directory)	:09		VM record - Retrieved, manually saved	
x	11				No lcell	11/2/05	9:23 AM	Incoming - (920) 869-2585	Norman Luetkens - landline - De Pere, WI (reverse directory)	1:09		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved	
x	12				No lcell	11/2/05	2:28 PM	Incoming	Unknown	:56		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved	No CLI #
x	13				No lcell	11/2/05	6:39 PM	Incoming - (920) 217-7668	Private - cell - Green Bay, WI (reverse directory)	1:00		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved	
x	14				No lcell	11/2/05	7:23 PM	Incoming - (920) 213-1645	Private - cell - Appleton, WI (reverse directory)	:16		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved	

x	15				No lcell	11/2/05	7:32 PM	Incoming - (920) 989-1098	Thomas Halbach, landline, Hilbert, WI (reverse directory)	:10		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved
x	16				No lcell	11/3/05	7:23 AM	Incoming - (920) 869-2585	Norman Luetkens - landline - De Pere, WI (reverse directory)	:45		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved
x	17				No lcell	11/3/05	8:31 AM	Incoming - (920) 655-8119	Private - cell - Green Bay, WI (reverse directory)	:23		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved
x	18				No lcell	11/3/05	10:33 AM	Incoming - (920) 655-8119	Private - cell - Green Bay, WI (reverse directory)	:15		VM - Unopened; listened to but not manually saved
						11/3/05	12:00 PM	Tom Pearce calls TH and gets message VM is full	CASO p. 50			
						11/3/05	5:00 PM	Lemieux/Weigert calls TH and gets message VM is full	CASO p. 3			
						11/3/05	9:17 PM	Bradley Czech calls and gets VM full message	CASO p. 35			
x	19				No lcell	11/16/05	12:46 PM	Incoming - (920) 469-8835	Private - landline or cell - Green Bay, WI (reverse directory)	:40		VM - New

Total possible voicemails over the time period between 10/29 - 11/3, 11/16 : Max - 29 VMs, Min - 27 VMs

2 calls where in it is unknown if the call was answered or went to VM

VM record, exhibit 372, does not show the date the report was run.

19 VM messages on VM record used in court

Testimony was that mailbox was still full on 11/2 and 11/3, yet she continued to receive VM's during/after those times...

If VMs were deleted when someone accessed her mailbox on 11/2 and/or 11/3, how can we really tell?

No VMs after 10:33 am on 11/3. All other reported calls to her phone on 11/3 received VM full message.

Cingular rep said the VM report as shown in court would not indicate that the VM was full (p.4276).

Someone accessed VM's at 8:05 am on 11/2 (referenced in Buting's argument away from jury during Cingular custodian's testimony, p. 2923). This is in conflict with MH testimony that he listened to VM's on 11/3 in the evening. The 11/2 8:05 am VM is last VM retrieved, which means 1 of 3 things:

- 1) Either MH retrieved the VM's on Wednesday 11/2 at 8 am, which would be 1.5 days before she is reported missing, Or
- 2) MH listened to the VMs on 11/3 in the evening and didn't save any of the VMs after 8:00 am on 11/3.
- 3) Someone else listened/saved messages on 11/2 and then Mike listened on 11/3 and didn't save any messages from 11/3.

If VMs were deleted, which they seem to have been, I bet they were earlier messages on 10/31 (so not the 1:52 or 2:41 VMs). VMs from earlier in the day may have pointed to someone or someplace else, which would be a good reason to delete....why else delete VMs?

On 10/31, the only call TH made that wasn't to a customer was to Denise Coakley at 11:35. The duration of the call was 1:36, so likely a VM or brief convo. I can't find any record of the what that call was about??

On 10/31, the only person TH spoke to that was not related to AT or a customer according to the records, reports, and testimony was Steve Speckman (offer of proof). Who is he? Why was he not interviewed? What did they talk about for 3 minutes a few hours before she was never heard from again?

Angela says TH called AT around 11 am. There is no record of that call on her cell report.

Cingular rep states that incoming caller info does not appear on pre-billing reports, so all the incoming phone #s not related to one of the VM records that listed the caller's # is based off KK summary exhibit and/or testimony.

Why didn't they subpoena the actual billing records???

#### **KH**

KH (TH mom) said she didn't learn that no one had heard from TH until Thursday, 11/3, from Mr. Pearce at 1:00 (p. 3076).

KH or another family member called TH's cell phone twice on 11/2, once at 7:12 am and once at 7:32 pm. Both calls appear on voicemail record and were listened to and saved

#### **MH**

Accessed VM's on Thursday, 11/3.

VM's from 11/1 - 11/2 @8:05 am were definitely retrieved (p. 185).

Did he also listen to the 10/31 2:41 VM?

Why didn't he listen to the calls that occurred btw 11/2 9:23 AM - whatever time he listened on 11/3?

When MH called the VM on the evening of 11/3, he said the message indicated there was 18 messages (p. 4282).

First new VM was from Monday afternoon, MH said he saved all the VMs he listened to (it was "important" to him, p. 42)

#### **VM full message references**

11/3, noonish, Pearce, CASO p. 50

11/3, 5:00 pm - Lemieux, CASO p.3

11/4 - Sippel, CASO p. 35



MH claims he did not delete any messages, but someone had to since Cingular rep said the VM record indicated that the mailbox was not full.  
11/3 @ 5:00 pm, Lemieux/Weigert report states he called TH cell and message said VM box was full (CASO report, p. 3).

#### **Mr. Pearce**

TH had received multiple calls from a # she did not recognize, TP said as far as he knew she did not answer or return those calls. The unknown caller would never leave a VM (C. Tuesday, 11/1, afternoon VM was full (p. 199). Yet she received VMs at 9:49 am and 12:31 pm on 11/1...

Why didn't TP try TH's cell when she missed her Wednesday mtg even though he was concerned? (CASO p. 50)

Ah ha, TP claims in his testimony that by Wednesday, 11/2 @ noon, VM was full (p. 200, though not in his early report with CASO, p. 50). But, there's VMs after that time on her record...

Thursday afternoon 11/3 around noon, VM was full (p. 200 also in early report from CASO, p. 50). This may be true, since there are no other VMs that day, in fact none until 11/1

Stated TH normally checks her VM numerous times a day (CASO, p. 50), which seems to be true based on her cell records.

Customers sometimes would call TH direct, and she would refer them to AutoTrader (p. 205).

Unwanted calls she would let go unanswered (p.208). All different hours, she recognized #.

#### **RH**

Thursday 11/3, went on her computer and called all friends she had #s for and then went online to check her call history

Thursday 11/3, figured out password, made up username for Cingular account (p. 410).

Thursday, 11/3, RH, SB, and Kelly Bitsen and later friend, Lisa, called friends, called last #s of calls she last made/received

Thursday 11/3, shared with Det. Weigert - Did not give all records or all records were strangely not available on line...or MW failed to list them all in his report (Exhibit 216)

Thursday 11/3, provided Weigert with printed copy of cingular record from TH online acct. (Exhibit 216). WTF are the rest of the calls? Why does B Janda # come back Steven Avery (report written after the fact)?

Did TH regularly delete VMs (as there were no VMs on the record predating 10/31 12:29 pm)?

Could she have been keeping "creepy" stalkerish VM's, which contributed to her VM reaching capacity, but whoever listened on 11/2 or 11/3 deleted those?

Could TH have pushed the end button on her phone (CFNA) b/c her phone was ringing as she was approaching/interacting with a client? Would love to see previous phone record

It seems she gets a lot of VMs that she in turn does not return the calls?

Who is Marie S, Denise C, and Steve Sp?

Did Teresa use her landline more when she was at home? She doesn't have very many significant calls (calls where she speaks to someone for any amount of time)

Also, wonder how much she texted and if her text messages were retrieved by the investigators?

Wherever Teresa was when her cell communicated with 21101 at 1:52 p.m. (N to NE sector of the tower) was where she was again, 50 minutes later, at 2:41 p.m., when her cell communicated with 21103 (W sector of the tower). Zellner told Newsweek that Teresa went back the way she came, and that it was a distance of 12 miles. If Teresa was driving on County Rd. Q at 1:52 p.m., and was about 15-20 minutes from Avery's, she would have been just north of Zipperer's. This would make her arrival time for Avery's at about 2:10 p.m. If she's at Avery's for 10-15 minutes, she would be on the road by 2:25 p.m., and 15-20 minutes from Zipperer's. You have to drive south of Zipperer's on Country Road Q to get to their home, and at 2:40 p.m. she would have been south of Zipperer's on County Rd. Q. She then would have then headed east and then north to get to Zipperer's home on

[https://4.bp.blogspot.com/-9LX1OPdKmYA/Vvr2413IAYI/AAAAAAAAADMs/fL6CN\\_CHZW8K6zFfuk5iog7Z4peLQwpsg/s1600/Halbach%2527s%2Broute%2Brevised%2B2.PNG](https://4.bp.blogspot.com/-9LX1OPdKmYA/Vvr2413IAYI/AAAAAAAAADMs/fL6CN_CHZW8K6zFfuk5iog7Z4peLQwpsg/s1600/Halbach%2527s%2Broute%2Brevised%2B2.PNG)

The 2:27 p.m. incoming call was serviced by the westward sector of tower 2192, which would be after Teresa left Avery's property but still was in the general area.

"She goes back the same way she came, she's 12 miles from the property on the last ping." - Zellner

<http://www.newsweek.com/2016/04/08/kathleen-zellner-making-murderer-attorney-steve-avery-441470.html>

Angela doesn't seem to know WTF she is talking about when Weigert interviews her. She thinks it is odd that TH faxed her from the fax # that appears in the fax, yet that is the same fax # as on previous faxes? Also, she thought it odd that TH sent the form back for 10/31, but it didn't show any of the jobs she had done for that day. She didn't notice that the fax was (at least) marked for 10/31 :13 and had the shots she did for 10/29? Bizarre. I don't think Angela typically dealt with TH or the faxes and such directly as she

the purpose was, she was returning Dawn's call  
24 from this morning; Dawn had left her a message to  
25 see if she was able to take a shoot. And Dawn  
1 had already left for lunch and I had answered the  
2 phone. And Teresa had said -- asked if Dawn was  
3 there. I said, no, she was at lunch. And she  
4 said, can you just let her know that I will be  
5 able to get that shoot; she can fax the  
6 information over to me

**pg 285**















































































































