| 12/02/2017 Inspector General of Intelligence Investigators Division Reston Washington D.C. 20511  |
|---|
| Dear NSC Chief David C  |
| It has come into our purview you are in direct receipt of highly classified documents. Please share them with no one except for Mike Flynn of the NSC. Also please assist the active solicitor general's office by sending a militia related army unit to protect those chambers at all cost. |
| The signal is code red. An envoy is dispatched to protect the high solicitor general's office near the capital. There may be a slight disruption in services while proper oversight is restored.  |
| Thank you again for your direct and highly sought attention to this matter.   |
| Sincerely,<br>Signature:  |

NOTE: The following rules only apply to a republic such as the USA. For a monarchy such as Great Britain substitute the word "baron" for "people", and substitute the word "subject" for "citizen".

Also, in the USA, a peer is one of the people (not citizens).

In Great Britain, a peer is one of the nobility.

This website last updated May 18, 2009.

# **Common Law Grand Jury Rules**

**ENFORCED JUDICIAL ORDER:** All current sheriffs will be removed from the proceeding (lombardo, et. all) and replaced by Continental sheriffs only, returning plenary jurisdiction to the common united states. This is now ordered before any trial begins.

### APPLICABLE LAW

The government must accept the Magna Carta as common law if pleaded as such. Source: Confirmatio Cartarum, Article 1www.1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/cartarum.htm

Basic requirements and procedures for a common law grand jury:

Source: Magna Carta, Articles 52 & 61

www.1215.org/lawnotes/magna.htm#52 www.1215.org/lawnotes/magna.htm#61

### **HOW CONSTITUTED**

Grand jury members must be elected by the people (not citizens) of the jurisdiction in which they are operating.

There are no rules defining a procedure for how they are elected. The people, without the influence of government, decide for themselves how the grand jury members are elected. There must be 25 members.

# **QUALIFICATIONS**

The members must be "people" of the jurisdiction and not "citizens" of the jurisdiction.

For example, they must be "People of the United States," or "People of California," or "People of the State of California"; not "citizen of the United States," nor "citizen of California," nor "citizen of the State of California."

www.1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/pvc.htm http://www.1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/sovreign.htm

Each member must be sworn in and promise to observe all of these rules and, so far as within his power, cause all the rules to be observed.

# **QUORUM**

When the grand jury meets, if any are absent after being summoned, then those present constitute a quorum. All decisions of grand jury are decided by majority vote of members present.

If any member dies or leaves the country, or in any other way is prevented from carrying out the grand jury's decisions, the remaining grand jurors shall choose another to fill his place and he shall likewise be sworn in.

## FINALITY OF DECISIONS

No decision of a grand jury is reviewable in any court of the government.

## **JURISDICTION**

Any government transgression against anyone in any respect

Any government breaking of articles of peace or security

Any dispute regarding anyone who has been diseased or removed, by the government without a legal sentence of his peers, from his lands, castles, liberties or lawful right.

### PROCEDURE I

### Dispute Settlement

If the grand jury is informed of any dispute regarding anyone who has been disseized or removed (by the government without a legal sentence of his peers) from his lands, castles, liberties or lawful right, then the dispute shall be settled by the grand jury.

## PROCEDURE II

#### Enforcement

Four of the members must be shown that because of the government,

- A. A transgression has occurred against any one in any respect, or
- B. Some one of the articles of peace or security has been broken

The four members must show to the government the government's error.

The four members must ask the government to amend that error without delay.

If the government does not amend the error within 40 days after being shown the error, then the four members shall refer the matter to the remainder of the grand jury.

The grand jury may distrain and oppress the government in every way in their power, namely, by taking the homes, lands, possessions, and any way else they can until amends shall have been made according to the sole judgment of the grand jury.

## LIMITATION OF POWERS

The grand jury may not imprison or execute any government personnel or their children.

## PUBLIC SUPPORT

Anyone (people or citizen) who chooses to help enforce the grand jury decision must first swear that he will obey the mandates of the grand jury, and that with them to the extent of his power he will impose the grand jury's decisions upon the government.

The authority to support the grand jury is pre-authorized by the government.

If anyone refuses to support a grand jury decision, the government will force him to swear his support of the grand jury.

## LIMITATIONS ON GOVERNMENT

The government is prohibited from doing anything to diminish the effect of the grand jury.

If the government does prohibit or diminish the effectiveness of the grand jury, it shall be vair

If the government does prohibit or diminish the effectiveness of the grand jury, it shall be vain and invalid and may not be used in any later proceeding by the government or anyone else.

# TERMINATION OF ENFORCEMENT

When all issues are settled to the satisfaction of the grand jury, things shall return to normal as they were before. No grudges.

## **BACKGROUND**

When the colonies separated from England, King John retaliated by revoking the charters. Technically, the colonies were without any legal authority to operate. However, civics (the branch of political philosophy concerned with individual rights) was generally taught and known by the people who asserted their rights and maintained order by applying the common law. The people united in the form of common law grand juries and continued the functioning of government.

As the legislatures matured they slowly increased governmental power while simultaneously reducing personal sovereign power. This was done through a combination of passing pro-government legislation and reducing or eliminating education about civics. Today, two and a quarter centuries later, hardly anyone even knows the meaning of the word, "civics."

The common law grand jury is now dormant only because of the public ignorance of its powers that supersede all other government entities, including the modern statutorily defined grand jury. Awakening the grand jury will not be graciously accepted by the government.

A strategy is needed to reintroduce this fundamental protection against tyranny and injustice.

# STEP 1 - ESTABLISH LEGITIMACY

The first step is to get public acceptance. Every dictator in history understood the power of the people and cultivated their support either through enticements or threats. Reactivating the grand jury concept will go through four traditional stages: denial, ridicule, violent opposition, then self-evident acceptance.

Theoretically, the grand jury can meet anywhere, anytime. But that is hardly good image. One way to get public acceptance and minimize denial, ridicule, and violent opposition, is to hold the grand jury sessions in the public court house. The foreman could apply to a court administrator for use of one of the rooms in the public courthouse. If it is refused, then the court administrator should, under common law procedures, be sued for his dereliction of duty.

The grand jury should follow normal protocol. In other words, if the grand jury begins a process on its own, the resulting accusation is called a *presentment*. If a prosecutor orginates a process, then the jury returns to the prosecutor an *indictment* (also called a "true bill") on acceptance, or a "no bill" on denial. [Note: be careful with your words. wrong words may result in inaction! If you call the presentment an indictment, the prosecutor may feel no obligation because he did not initiate the process!]

# STEP 2 - GAIN PUBLIC ACCEPTANCE

The second step is to start small. The grand jury could take on issues which anyone can easily see should be prosecuted. As public acceptance increases, the grand jury can enlarge its field of inquiry. The grand jury should have a strong public relations program for this step.

# STEP 3 - TAKE ON LARGER PROJECTS

The third step is to take on grander objectives. If the first two steps are well executed, then this step will be the easiest. With both legitimacy and acceptance established the grand jury can make itself felt.

See United States v. Williams, 112 S.Ct. 1735, 504 U.S. 36, 118 L.Ed.2d 352 (1992) for a discussion of separation of powers of government and grand jury.

Thank you very much to Bill Thornton;

https://www.1215.org/lawnotes/lawnotes/grandjuryrules.htm

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SUPREME COURTS OF PENNSYLVANIA

Robert Blair, Thomas Deegan, Jeremy Lowe, Don Bailey, Stephen Duane Curry (in private capacity by special appearance only)

: CRIMINAL ACTION FOR FULL PUNITIVE DAMAGES:

v.

COMMON GRAND JURY DEMANDED:

CONCERNING UNAUTHORIZED CONSPIRACY
TO COVER UP ILLICIT SALE OF URANIUM
: 99-9638

ANDREW MCCABE of FBI, [Federal Bureau of Investigation] PETER STRZOK of FBI, TRISHA ANDERSON of FBI, JOHN PODESTA of Podesta Group, MELISSA HODGMAN of SEC, JAMES RYBICKI of FBI, E.W. PRIESTAP of FBI, JAMES BAKER in role of FBI counsel, JAMES COMEY of FBI and ANDREW WEISMANN of DOJ [Department of Justice] (Mccabe + Rybicki et. all with prejudice)

## MEMORANDUM AND ORDER FOR FORMAL PROSECUTION OF CONSPIRACY TO CONCEAL SALE OF URANIUM

Hon.

December 2, 2017

ANDREW MCCABE are serious enough to warrant a separate superseding

Indictment, delivered upon several interim court rooms of record.

The accused parties most of all, also hold a position of law enforcement accountability which makes their offenses even more egregious by context.

As duly noticed and placed upon the record when it comes to ECPA located in: Writty Shell Oil Co. 4095 W 3d 59

As duly noticed and placed upon the record when it comes to FCPA, located in: Writt v. Shell Oil Co. 409 S.W.3d 59 http://www.chamberlitigation.com/sites/default/files/cases/files/2014/U.S.%20Chamber%20Amicus%20Brief%20-%20Shell%20v.%20Writt%20(Texas%20Supreme%20Court).pdf

We will remind your honors to note, that when it comes to the conspiracy charge of 15 U.S.C. §78dd-3 we need only prove that it is "reasonable" to conclude the deals conducted were illegal and benefit a foreign interest. In addition, we must prove that it can be concluded this was done in return for something of value which will easily be surpassed given the preponderance of evidence. These parties were sworn to protect and defend against any enemy, be this foreign or domestic and as such their failure to exercise judgment in their duties carries severe wide-reaching implications. Given most of the responsibilities fell upon MCCABE, we will most gratefully start

mccabe with multiple felony offenses of 15 v.s.c. §78dd-3. In this respect,

mccabe not only covered for what is revealed to be an illegal sale involving foreign parties including RUSSIA, he also attempted to delete evidence after the fact to make it appear he was not an accessory to the crime.

In this regard, we thus see no issues at all with charging MCCABE with violating \$96 more than three separate times. The sentence carries a penalty of up to ten years in prison, we will round that out to five given MCCABE also broke the law in concert with STRZOK. On top of this, we will issue an order to the various juries that they indict MCCABE for more severe criminal offenses. In addition, he as well as STRZOK will be taken into custody by the marshals and other attached military units who will keep him under high alert watch.

[How they decide to deal with MCCABE and STRZOK in regards to federal sentencing will be strictly up to them, life in prison is not outside possible verdicts.]

His sentence will thus be adjusted to nearly ten years, or longer depending mainly on what the national high courts decide particularly Pennsylvania's plenary jurisdiction for employees placed on public pensions. As well, there will be a large amount of evidence that must be subpoenaed for jurors and the public. This will pertain to both MCCABE's obvious treason as it concerns URANIUM ONE, as well as his cover-up of the obvious assaults on ROBYN GRITZ and senior FBI agents who worked directly with MICHAEL FLYNN. Likewise, he will be stripped of ever receiving a job again given the nature of his actions. In regards to where he violated both 15 U.S.C §78dd-3 and §78dd-2 of the national security protocols, this is to be peer reviewed.

## INTRODUCTION

The severity of the offenses cannot be understated. For when trust in law Enforcement is lost, that is the time government fails. As we know from the pages of history, it is exceedingly rare when such treason is witnessed. Now from what we directly can acknowledge, ANDREW MCCABE and JAMES RYBICKI Deliberately went out of their way to conceal the truth about URANIUM ONE. The evidence is quite glaring and publicly damning. We can also see how their efforts were coordinated your honor, to conduct a conspiracy that even included silencing whistleblowers like ROBYN GRITZ or MICHAEL FLYNN.

In fact, it can be no accident that these individuals suffered the most punishment under Director MCCABE. As revealed by eye-witness testimony, transcripts confirm that it was in fact MCCABE and PETER STRZOK who did all of the interviews with MICHAEL FLYNN as well as ROBYN GRITZ. This in addition to other whistleblowers who have since come forward, that name MCCABE directly as being most responsible at the bureau for these many failures of oversight. COMEY who is likewise guilty, is not to share the same level of repercussions that MCCABE and his accomplices will no doubt share. This is not to avail COMEY of his responsibilities, but rather to put severity of these circumstances into full context with the crime.

Count 1] We hereby charge ANDREW MCCABE in both his public and private capacity as McCabe, with directly breaking U.S.C. 18 Code 96 and U.S.C. 15 \$78dd-2 in respect to his investigative duty with URANIUM ONE. MCCABE allowed personal feelings to get in the way of his job description and due to these serious issues, did not conduct proper oversight of CLINTON related crimes.

He likewise did not look ahead at bigger problems. The Las Vegas shooting was a foiled attempt to cover his tracks, only making the end result worse. As such, a prison sentence of approximately ten years is instituted due to the nature of the offense and harm to national interests. MCCABE was clearly aware something was very wrong even if he had little knowledge of PODESTA's possible treason offenses, which we agree seems unlikely yet still remains plausible. Nevertheless evidence shows a high level of conspiracy related action and as such we're asking for larger penalties. The severe damage on crops, land and even water deposits cannot be understated at all.

Count 2] We hereby charge MCCABE with breaking the racketeering act 18 v.s.c. \$2381 by violating \$96. Since URANIUM ONE was hardly a routine investigation, the biggest problems tied to this fiasco will illustrate for your honors why such punishment is warranted. Treason carries serious penalties, and anyone who knowingly chooses for unknown reasons to be a party to that action knows full well the penalties that arise from these choices. In this case, we also point to below evidence.

MCCABE allowed personal favors from CLINTON FOUNDATION to cloud judgment, and took pay offs which are now proven to have negative impact upon america's national interests for many years. He also proceeded to not follow the laws of the united states and put someone known to deceive in charge of that investigation: PETER STRZOK of the EU. In regards to this situation, STRZOK was a known problem going back years with his ties to old Soviet states.

Had director MCCABE been thinking rationally, such a position would never have fallen to STRZOK. Likewise, the evidence dictates that it was actually agent STRZOK who directly changed the charging language for the CLINTON e-mail investigation to protect parties he knew in a personal manner.

This as your honors will note is an act of treason and violation of 15 U.S.C. §78dd-3, when done in the obvious commission of personal favors.

That will defer to the military to decide on these grave implications.

The below evidence further validates why such sentencing is called for.

## Esquenazi v. United States, WLF Amicus, p. 11, Id App. 20

"and whether the Haitian government owned a majority of Haiti Teleco's shares. Pet. App. 24. The jury convicted Petitioners on all counts, including one count of conspiracy to violate the FCPA and seven counts alleging specific payments made in violation of the FCPA. Petitioner Esquenazi was sentenced to 15 years' imprisonment; Petitioner Rodriguez was sentenced to seven years."

<a href="http://www.wlf.org/upload/litigation/briefs/Esquenaziv.UnitedStates-WLFAmicus.pdf">http://www.wlf.org/upload/litigation/briefs/Esquenaziv.UnitedStates-WLFAmicus.pdf</a>

We can see by examining the evidence here, that MCCABE and STRZOK certainly met this threshold in respect to their actions. It can also be asserted they failed to register under the required FARA Act, which in itself is a penalty adjunct.

As also found in, Writt v. Shell Oil Co. 409 S.W.3d 59 (Tex. App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2013).

http://www.chamberlitigation.com/sites/default/files/cases/files/2014/U.S.%20Chamber%20Amicus%20Brief%20-%20Shell%20v.%20Writt%20(Texas%20Supreme%20Court).pdf


One may not break the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act(FCPA) and later

claim they did not do so. Nothing will avail them of that official

responsibility your honors. We thus request the defendant's passport

will now be seized. In addition, there are key items which must be

examined on the whole. Specifically, why did MCCABE cover for PODESTA.



Of course, there was clearly something rotten in the investigation. You don't get involved so far into a foreign affairs network, and not discover at least several rotten apples at its core. We can of course conclusively prove your honor, that MCCABE accepted various bribes directly from the CLINTON FOUNDATION. As well, he setup the position for PETER STRZOK who is now being charged for offenses.

"In documents it released on Monday, the FBI confirmed that former FBI Director James Comey drafted a statement about the conclusion of the Hillary Clinton email investigation months before interviewing Clinton.

The records <a href="mailto:show">show</a> that on May 2, 2016, <a href="mailto:Comey emailed Deputy Director Andrew McCabe, general counsel James Baker and chief of staff and senior counselor James Rybicki</a>. The subject of the email was "midyear exam," and though the email says its contents are unclassified, the body of the email is redacted in the release.

On Monday, the bureau also released a response to the May 2 email. Rybicki sent the response, dated May 16, 2016, to several colleagues: Peter Strzok, Jonathan Moffa, Baker, Trisha Anderson and E.W. Priestap</a>. He copied McCabe and David Bowdich, the associate deputy director. In the email, which is marked "unclassified," Rybicki wrote, "Please send me any comments on this statement so we may roll into a master doc for discussion with the Director at a future date. Thanks, Jim."

"The FBI titled the release "Drafts of Director Comey's July 5, 2016 Statement Regarding Email Server Investigation." That title refers to a press conference Comey held in which he said the bureau had completed its investigation into Clinton's use of a personal email system and that it would not be recommending that the Department of Justice pursue charges, though Clinton had been "careless." The bureau interviewed Clinton on July 2, 2016. I think that it could be expected that both the FBI and the prosecutors that they're working with are beginning to draft a statement of facts that could be used later, as the case is developing," he said. "I think the content of the statement is going to be important. Did it purport to essentially acquit her actions way prematurely, or was it simply a running statement of what they knew?"

http://www.newsweek.com/james-comey-fbi-clinton-emails-drafted-statement-686140

https://aclj.org/government-corruption/aclj-forces-fbi-to-release-comeys-draft-memo-made-months-before-public-exoneration-of-clinton

It certainly did not require much conspiracy effort, other than a few emails to set the plot in motion. We do have hard evidence that COMEY as well as E.W. PRIESTAP allowed this to continue with MCCABE, even though it was obvious he was committing crimes.

As your honors do note, ignorance of the law is never an excuse.

E.W. PRIESTAP and STRZOK were the two main agents who interviewed MIKE FLYNN in the presence of senior MCCABE aide TRISHA ANDERSON, which is no surprise. JAMES RYBICKI headed all of this up on orders of ANDREW MCCABE. All of them were in collusion and we can show here, knowingly subverted justice by drafting a statement of exoneration months prior to the investigation's end.

Your honor, the offense carries a penalty of up to ten years in prison for each criminal act, particularly in the case of STRZOK. PETER STRZOK was likewise aware the URANIUM scandal was a national crisis as it concerns our farms and rivers. He was involved with the CLINTONS several years ago when URANIUM even first received mention. We are seeking a twelve year stiffened sentence for STRZOK, following along national sentencing guidelines. Accordingly, they are each being charged with obstruction of justice.




'FBI agent <u>Peter Strzok</u> was one of two FBI agents who interviewed Flynn, which took place on Jan. 24, at the White House, said several sources. The other FBI special agent, who interviewed Flynn, is described by sources as a field supervisor in the "Russian Squad, at the FBI's Washington Field Office," according to a former intelligence official, with knowledge of the interview.

The former official also said that "Strzok's allegiance to (Deputy Director Andrew) McCabe was unwavering and very well known." <a href="https://www.redstate.com/streiff/2017/12/04/youll-never-guess-name-fbi-agent-interviewed-mike-flynn/https://conservativeexplorer.wordpress.com/2017/07/19/band-of-brothers-or-harbingers-of-doom-muellers-team-enigma/

The sentence carries a penalty of five years in prison and applies to MCCABE's direct accomplices. JIM COMEY for any of his illegal actions, is sentenced for violating 15 U.S.C §78dd-1. The offense carries one year in prison following federal sentencing guidelines with additional probation submitted to sanction COMEY for breaking §78dd-3. COMEY was not breaking laws except to keep his pension, so leniency is sought as usual.

We will note now that we are left with no choice, except to bring a list of criminal offenses against TRISHA ANDERSON.

Count 1] We hereby charge **TRISHA ANDERSON** with the criminal offense of 15 v.s.c. \$78dd-3, in order to cover up **MCCABE's** inexcusable crimes. She will receive a sentence of up to five years in prison. **MCCABE** who coerced people into this and was fired, needs to be put away for a long time. The evidence below is highly disappointing.



These agents conducted the interviews for nearly all of the CLINTON aides, who we charge as accessories. We now have absolute evidence your honors that JAMES RYBICKI was involved prior to 2016, in the investigation in which the CLINTON aides were interviewed. When CHERYL MILLS, HUMA ABEDIN and others were interviewed during the investigation, PETER STRZOK, E.W. PRIESTAP and JAMES RYBICKI were all present in some form. They each were working to ensnare MIKE FLYNN, who has been doing his best to expose the URANIUM related crime for seven years. And if we take the following evidence to its conclusion, we can see that when the aides lied under

oath and broke the law several times - they were not charged with a crime by **PETER STRZOK** or **ANDREW MCCABE** even after committing perjury on record your honors. Which to say the least is a huge obvious oversight.

ANDERSON and E.W. PRIESTAP were present at several meetings with CLINTON aides, allowing them to commit perjury and obstruct justice in course.

Since ANDERSON is already going to jail we offer a sentence of five years probation for the obstruction charge, and an additional two year sentence.

Your honors will note, this is a serious national crime now discussed. As such they are being held to answer each offense now passed to the juries.

## Additional Evidence Makes and Drives the Point Home, in lieu of this:

'In fact, we are meeting in the next 24 hours to discuss exactly that,' McCabe continued. 'We have a great number of folks who have already been detailed to that team.'

 $\underline{https://comicvine.gamespot.com/forums/off-topic-5/donald-trump-general-discussion-thread-1716309/?page=205\#js-message-19523331$ 

 $\frac{http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-4630138/Mueller-great-number-FBI-agents-helping-probe.html.}{https://www.billoreilly.com/blog?action=blogArchive&rss=true&categoryID=25}$ 

Count 1] Next, we directly charge **PETER STRZOK** with obstruction of justice under 18U.S.C.§241. We sentence him to six years for this offense.

As it was commissioned in the direct act of attaining favors from other foreign interests, we likewise enforce for additional penalties.

He will have to surrender his passport and report to prison with MCCABE.

In light of these terrible revelations the purge will also take down

RYBICKI, who was assisting STRZOK to carry out quid quo pro deals.

Count 2] We next are compelled to of course charge STRZOK,
who was a ringleader in this operation with breaking 15 v.s.c. §78dd-3
twice with no thought to consequences if MCCABE was caught. He
went out of his way to directly change the charging language
during the CLINTON investigation's conclusion which is serious.
This offense carries a stiffer sentence. We assert an additional
seven year prison sentence for STRZOK in both public and private.
Your honors, we admonish him for the negative climate brought to
the rest of the bureau. We also admonish his actions in respect to
what they will mean for MCCABE, given the severity of offenses.

MCCABE: I think morale has always been good, however we had — there were folks within our agency who were frustrated with the outcome of the Hillary Clinton case and some of those folks were very vocal about that — those concerns. OK, maybe that doesn't fully contradict his "broad and deep" testimony, but it sure takes the edge off of it. https://kenhoma.wordpress.com/2017/05/12/nyt-acting-f-b-i-director-mccabe-contradicts-white-house/#more-31909

Count 1] We hereby now charge ANDREW MCCABE with the on-record offense of violating 15 v.s.c. \$78dd-2 and engaging in a terrible crime to actively hide files from the masses with respect to URANIUM ONE, and several various deals from none other than CLINTON. He allowed STRZOK to influence his judgment and did not examine the case from either a clear place or with any discernment. He engaged in deals with JOHN PODESTA behind closed doors that clouded his mind. As such, he knew that his actions were highly criminal in nature and helped to orchestrate a conspiracy. In doing such, he vacated any type of respect his office held particularly in regards to the Las Vegas incidents. The prison sentence being sought is for up to ten years in a facility, not too unlike STRZOK. We also demand monitoring. Although it is tough to admit, MCCABE was aware what he did has harmed the FBI's entire work force for many years to come. He rationalizes his crime through pride.

There can be no rationale that ever excuses treason, your honor. Count 2] Even though MCCABE has been stripped of all rank the damage to the bureau is already done. We hereby charge ANDREW MCCABE with the offense of 18 U.S.C. § 241, which was carried out to the detriment of the national interest. MCCABE was aware that HUMA ABEDIN had committed treason, yet felt he could ignore that and rationalize it for the sake of personal expediency of status. In this way, he put his own selfish interests ahead of the national interests which is now being fiercely corrected given the severe implications. URANIUM ONE will have a real impact on people's lives for many years to come, and as such we have little choice except to reign in all the abuses with swift resolve. He committed obstruction of justice and in so doing harmed the nation's own infrastructure with no thought of the consequences. Likewise, he attempted to make it be about CLINTON by claiming their accusers are evil when in fact, MCCABE should have been taking a good look in a mirror this entire time. He violated protocols to assist in something that is set to harm national interests for decades to come. For this reason alone, along with violating all civil rights of ROBYN GRITZ and other co-workers on a routine basis: he has crossed the line. We take note of each of the offenses and call for four more years of probation, and a separate investigation to be done in regards to what occurred with GRITZ and her associate MICHAEL FLYNN.

. .

Count 1] We next charge E.W. PRIESTAP with breaking

18 U.S.C. § 241 twice in regards to obstruction of justice.

We assert that PRIESTAP was fully aware the entire

time; that his entrapment of FLYNN was against the law.

Count 2] We also charge E.W. PRIESTAP with breaking

15 U.S.C. § 78dd-3, with regards to the conspiracy charge.

It is astonishing and evident the offenses he went

on to commit while on assignment. It is a 10 year sentence.


https://americalatestnews.com/fbi-makes-big-announcement-clinton-email-case/

Count 1] We likewise, with respect to PRIESTAP have little choice except to charge JAMES RYBICKI for being involved.

Thus we bring offenses against RYBICKI of breaking 15 v.s.c.

\$78dd-2, in several places for conspiring with MCCABE to hide the crime. It was known full well it would blow up nationwide.

They deleted emails and as such the jury will have to sign criminal offenses on all involved. The conspiracy in question concerned URANIUM ONE, and RYBICKI knew going in what that would mean for america's interests. A ten year sentence is sought. At the time he no doubt felt it would not ever be caught, yet it was finally discovered.




"General Counsel James Baker and chief of staff Jim Rybicki." https://www.billoreilly.com/blog?action=blogArchive&rss=true&categoryID=25 In addition your honor, we ask particular attention be paid to the damages incurred on the nation as a result of these issues.

It cannot ever be stressed enough, that the affair has had lasting impact upon rural america and their ability to sleep without concern.

'One intelligence official said that agents are more determined than ever to pursue probes into the alleged Russian interference in the presidential election and that Trump had "essentially declared war on a lot of people at the FBI. I think there will be a concerted effort to respond over time in kind." Separately, Trump will meet with <u>Acting Director Andrew McCabe</u> today to discuss the morale at the FBI.' <a href="https://www.cnbc.com/2017/05/11/trump-planning-visit-to-fbi-headquarters-after-comey-firing-spokesperson.html">https://www.cnbc.com/2017/05/11/trump-planning-visit-to-fbi-headquarters-after-comey-firing-spokesperson.html</a>

"Finally, the latest member of the MTE which has apparently grown to over 25 members and support staff since it began though many of those names haven't been released is **Peter Strzok**, a leading member of the FBI Clinton Email investigation which looked into Hillary's private email server and found "that Clinton exchanged nearly two-dozen top secret emails from her private server in 2011 and 2012 with her deputy chief of staff, Jacob Sullivan, her chief of staff, Cheryl Mills, and Deputy Secretary of State William Burns. The State Department said the contents of the 22 emails were so highly classified that not even the subject matter could be disclosed." He also apparently was a part of the initial Russian probe after the Clinton Email investigation closed down, making him only the second official link to the rest of the government's Russian investigations to be added to the team, and the first are directly tied to an investigation of Hillary Clinton.

The important question is why does a team assembled under the mandate to investigate foreign influence in the 2016 elections seem to be less of a panel of election law experts and more of a legal hit team assembled to tear to pieces an international crime ring stuffed to the brim with expertise in financial, corruption, terrorism, and white collar crimes?"



https://thecyrusreport.blogspot.no/2017/07/muellers-team-band-of-brothers-or.html

And now we have absolute proof that these exact same agents interviewed MICHAEL FLYNN, who was in fact charged with the crime of perjury twice by the FBI. However, since PETER STRZOK

and ANDREW MCCABE broke the law by refusing to charge MILLS and ABEDIN for lying to investigators; we concur they are each guilty of breaking 78dd-3 the conspiracy charge. That is a very serious offense, and certainly only the start of each of the crimes committed we assert your honors. They are also guilty of obstruction.

Count 1] We hereby charge MELISSA HODGMAN of the SEC with none other than obstruction of justice when it came to covering for STRZOK.

Clearly by breaking 15 v.s.c.78dd-3 she was aware of the severe level of consequences. As a long running scam-artist at local BAR chapter, she was most assured her actions wouldn't be traced. Yet they were your honors, on ample occasions. And we hereby instruct state jurors to find her guilty of the offense. A sentence of up to ten years in prison is sought. [The military will likewise explore options.]

"DANIEL ZINMAN told the federal judge in court filings "The SEC's repetition of the same, slim, conclusory set of allegations against Mr. Uchimoto five times only highlights how tissue-thin the allegations are, and bare repetition cannot give rise to an inference that Mr. Uchimoto acted with scienter." Judge Kevin Castel agreed and got the SEC staff's dumb fat asses handed to them. "MELISSA HODGMAN and her SEC cronies just made up a case against Mr. Uchimoto for personal gains," JON KIBBE, a Richards, Kibbe & Orbe partner praised Judge Castel's ruling. "Melissa Hodgman is a disgrace for the SEC, a place where fewer people have come to respect." <a href="https://www.theblot.com/melissa-hodgman-sec-enforcement-lawyer-job-promotion-asian-scalps-william-uchimoto-7761572">https://www.theblot.com/melissa-hodgman-sec-enforcement-lawyer-job-promotion-asian-scalps-william-uchimoto-7761572</a>
<a href="https://www.ashingtonexaminer.com/lawsuit-did-hillarys-secret-email-damage-national-security/article/2618011">https://www.judicialwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/JW-v-State-Abedin-production-9-00684-2.pdf</a>

Count 2] We then charge MELISSA HODGMAN in both capacities, with breaking 18U.S.C. § 241 which is the official obstruction of justice offense. It carries a maximum sentence of up to fifteen years in prison. For this offense, we seek a minimum of ten years in prison for HODGMAN given her close collusion with PETER STRZOK. Given the reality of the public outcry we see no other option other than no probation.

Moving forward your honor, we see little recourse but to charge

JOHN PODESTA this time in respect to his conspiring with various

agents including ANDREW MCCABE. He likewise stands guilty with BAKER.

Count 1] We hereby bring the offense of possible breech of Section 793, where it concerns national security interests. In regards to this issue, we charge **JOHN PODESTA** with violating 15 v.s.c. \$78dd-2 plus a second offense when it comes to breaking 18 v.s.c § 793 as it pertains to any "transmission or handling of national documents."

"Meanwhile, Section 793 of federal law states that "gross negligence" with respect to the handling of national defense documents is punishable by a fine and up to 10 years in prison ...so you can see why that might present a problem for Hillary.

"Whoever, being entrusted with or having lawful possession or control of any document, writing, code book, signal book, sketch, photograph, photographic negative, blueprint, plan, map, model, instrument, appliance, note, or information, relating to the national defense." Unfortunately, The Hill's sources couldn't confirm the most important detail behind this bombshell new revelation, namely who made the call to the change the language... The sources, who spoke only on condition of anonymity because they were not authorized to speak to the media, said the memos show that at least three top FBI officials were involved in helping Comey fashion and edit the statement, including Deputy Director Andrew McCabe, General Counsel James Baker and Chief of Staff Jim Rybicki." http://softpanorama.info/Skeptics/Political skeptic/Neocons/Hillary/hillary clinton email scandal.shtml

Unfortunately, unlike in the matter with respect to **CLINTON** we can fully verify on record that **PODESTA** was cognizant his actions were in breech of **section 793**. And in the commission of such felony based offenses on record, he is afforded no such excuse. Thereby, we also enforce another sentence of eight years on top of prior crimes. In this respect, **JOHN PODESTA** and even **TONY PODESTA** likely will not ever be leaving the prison site except by execution. [Military are now tasked to further decide proper penalties by flipping accomplices against them.]

Then your honors, we see little recourse except to bring some of the heaviest offenses against JAMES BAKER for direct participation with ANDREW MCCABE. He was certainly highly aware each of his actions were treason, as well as how that would appear. In this respect we admonish all of his actions and seek the heaviest penalties for each one.

Count 1] JAMES BAKER III is hereby charged under military

law, with enacting a conspiracy to cause national harm.

He is hereby accused on the record of conspiring with MCCABE

and agent PETER STRZOK, by deliberately violating 15 v.s.c. §78dd-3

on more than four instances. He deliberately engaged in outright

fraud and collusion to carry out and cover his illegal actions

in the URANIUM ONE affair. He sought to insulate CLINTON and

likewise it seems obstructed justice on multiple occasions.

Count 2] Finally we hereby charge **JAMES BAKER III** with the obvious penalty offense of 18 U.S.C. § 241 for obstruction of justice, as afforded under military rules. He is ordered to surrender his passport and will be sentenced for up to fifteen years. If


he relents we likewise order the military to execute the target.

[Military and militia are now instructed to explore all options for the scope of serious offenses, including life in prison or public lethal injection.]

http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/special-counsels-russia-probe-loses-top-fbi-investigator/story?id=49249486

http://wwtimes.com/editorials/damnatio-memoriae-no-further-news-transpired-on-peter-strzoks-departure-by-michael-novakhov/

Moving forward, we likewise have little choice except to charge **ANDREW WEISMANN** with obstruction of justice and the conspiracy offense to subvert justice. Count 1] We hereby assert that WEISMANN violated 15 v.s.c. §78dd-2 twice. Your honors, ANDREW WEISMANN who is working with ROB MUELLER was also caught engaging in illegal quid quo pro deals with SALLY YATES. We assert that YATES was ostensibly aware of how this would look to the media particularly after having just been fired by the administration. Therefore, we see little recourse except to charge WEISMANN with violation of several capital laws against self-enrichment; in this case WEISMANN clearly broke 18 U.S.C §2381 as well as the Hatch Act. We assert a sentence of five years in prison.



https://www.ladi.cia/wat.horg/pres-moni/press-release/fulfidial-wat-here-luttic-department records-show-strong-support mustler-deputs-andrew-weismann-top-doi-official-wate-press/fulfidial-wate-release/fulfidial-wate-press/fulfidial-wate-pre

We hereby based upon evidence, accuse **WEISMANN** of being directly involved in each of the criminal acts. He is also accused based on the below, of engaging in favors for foreign interests to also include his involvement with **ANDREW MCCABE**. Full penalties are sought.

https://70news.wordpress.com/2017/10/20/dirty-muellers-lead-prosecutor-andrew-weismann-has-history-of-prosecutorial-misconduct-courts-reversing-convictions-terror-tactics/

Count 1] Finally your honors, we charge JIM COMEY in respect to covering up the URANIUM ONE fiasco and not firing MCCABE.

Indeed, in respect to his position he could have terminated MCCABE's services early rather than kowtowing the media's lies. In his position, perhaps he may have been better off. Instead a one year sentence plus probation is sought for the offense.

There is no middle ground when it comes to treason. It cannot ever be underestimated just how heinous this crime is, and COMEY opted to join the wrong side. As such he became complicit in an egregious violation of the very health of america's own soil.

egregious violation of the very health of america's own soil.

URANIUM should never be mined wherever you choose to build a mine for any purported purpose. It is not only dangerous, it costs America their very national security in respect to the substantial poisons spread through the air and soil.

URANIUM ONE concerns national interests, which today stand damaged for decades to come thanks to permitting unsanctioned use. In addition it can be asserted that various URANIUM isotopes being dispersed is a direct threat to national security, per annual federal guidelines. Today in society, there are people who will not be able to recover from cancer due to the foolish actions of COMEY. The circus of MCCABE and the rest ends now, as america has to clean their mess up.

https://kenhoma.wordpress.com/2017/05/12/nyt-acting-f-b-i-director-mccabe-contradicts-white-house/#more-31909 http://softpanorama.info/Skeptics/Political\_skeptic/Neocons/Hillary/hillary\_clinton\_email\_scandal.shtml http://abcnews.go.com/Politics/special-counsels-russia-probe-loses-top-fbi-investigator/story?id=49249486 http://www.judicialwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/JW-v-State-Abedin-production-9-00684-2.pdf



# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SUPREME COURTS OF PENNSYLVANIA

Robert Blair, Thomas Deegan, Jeremy Lowe, Don Bailey, Stephen Duane Curry: :

:CRIMINAL

:

v. :

:99-9638

ANDREW MCCABE, PETER STRZOK, TRISHA ANDERSON, E.W. PRIESTAP, JAMES BAKER, JAMES RYBICKI, ANDREW WEISMANN MELISSA HODGMAN, JOHN PODESTA and JAMES COMEY et. al. (Mccabe + Rybicki et.al)

Concerning a matter of concealment conspiracy, in regards to uranium sold to foreign parties. [to include: FBI, SEC, Rosatom and other parties.] [in accordance with U.S.C 15 §78dd-2 official code for racketeering when against the (FCPA) Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, when damage occurs to a nation's interests.]

[In addition are damages pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 241 for obstruction of justice.] https://www.justice.gov/usam/criminal-resource-manual-1739-offenses-related-obstruction-justice-offenses/

AND NOW, on this day of December 2, 2017 during the fall season, it is hereby ordered that the defendants be charged with obstruction of justice as well as conspiracy offenses. Each one carries severe penalties. In addition, it is so ordered that a subpoena be issued upon ANDREW MCCABE, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION and PETER STRZOK, JAMES RYBICKI, MELISSA HODGMAN and JOHN PODESTA to produce any materials concerning Uranium One, or any matters pertinent to that level of investigation. All materials will be collected by militia. As stated under the rules of military law, this order stands binding to conduct swift service.

It is so ordered that ANDREW MCCABE, PETER STRZOK, JAMES RYBICKI, TRISHA ANDERSON, E.W. PRIESTAP, MELISSA HODGMAN, ANDREW WEISMANN, JAMES COMEY, JAMES BAKER III as well as JOHN PODESTA be hit with offenses for violating U.S.C 15:§78dd-3 on record. This as your honors will note, is original state law U.S.C code with RICO charges attached. As such, those who obstructed justice are eligible for much stiffer sentences. They are to be seized with due course and placed in holding cells.

For all of these criminal offenses, national Private Attorney Generals will have a field day making each offense stick with penalties. In addition, they will be required to testify on record.

- It is further ordered that Defendant ANDREW MCCABE's motion to subpoena records for discovery, be DENIED;
- It is further ordered that Defendant JAMES RYBICKI's motion to compel discovery, and/or protection of records for counsel; be DENIED;
- It is further ordered that Defendant PETER STRZOK's motion to have subpoenss quashed, further be DENIED;
- 4) It is further ordered that Defendant **E.W. PRIESTAP's** motion to subpoena records or have subpoenas quashed; also be **DENIED**.
- 5) It is further ordered that Defendant **TRISHA ANDERSON's** motion to subpoena records or motion to quash; be **DENIED**. Sanctions apply to each request.
- 6) It is further ordered that Defendant **JAMES BAKER III's** motion to subpoena records or quash; to be **DENIED**.
- 7) It is further ordered that Defendant **JAMES COMEY's** motion to subpoena records or have subpoenas quashed; is likewise **DENIED**.
- 8) It is further ordered that Defendant **ANDREW WEISMANN's** motion to subpoena records for discovery; be **DENIED**.
- 9) It is further ordered that Defendant **MELISSA HODGMAN's** motion to subpoena records for discovery; be **DENIED**. Sanctions apply to each request.
- 10) It Is further ordered that Defendant JOHN PODESTA's motion to subpoena records for Discovery; be DENIED. Sanctions and penalties also apply.
- 11) It is further ordered, that according to **Marbury V. Madison:** any required subpoenas on each defendant will be enforced by force of law and arms. All able bodies and governing council, including National Sate Counsel and militia enforce the orders.

# IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

This Court's December, 2017 Amended Scheduling Order is amended as follows:

(a) All discovery shall be completed on or before February 10, 2018 and all dispositive motions filed not later than two (2) weeks prior to the close of discovery; and (b) All other deadlines will be deferred by ninety (90) days from the date of issuance in this Order.

BY THE COURT: SUPREME DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA