

The second secon	534154
Hearing Date:	01/22/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other	(Patron)
attendees:	; Robert Gainer (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	10/30/2017 at 1:15PM
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vio	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- lation.
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)
Patron alleges the o	perator denied him access on the vehicle, proclaiming no room.
Operator's Respons	se (Brief Summary)
for accommodating	ior and disabled passengers. Operator determined the bus was at limit seniors and persons with disabilities. Shop steward interpreted the ement to the Patron as saying "my lift is disabled on the bus."
DVD Evidence Yes	
lift at the previous s St./Mission, the Patr and the Operator is A passenger in the b four seats." Video st reserved for senior another senior is sit two sectionals of fol seen leaning over to	was fully operational as a senior with a walker boarded the bus using the stop located at 16th St./Folsom St. When the bus arrives at 16th ron is in a wheelchair waiting for the bus. The bus approaches the stop heard announcing to the patron "I'm at my limit for disabled on the bus." back of the bus is heard saying "there are seats right here, sir. There are hows at least three seniors sitting behind the operator in the seats and disabled passengers. One of the seniors is holding a grocery cart and
Access-Related Mur Review supports a f 4.8.4 -Employee sha additional passenge 4.12.3 -When neces requesting passenge	sary, operator shall make an announcement over the PA system ers to yield seats in the securement area for passengers in wheelchairs
Access-Related Mur Review supports a f 4.8.4 -Employee sha additional passenge 4.12.3 -When neces requesting passenge when passengers wi	d-up seats in the securement area were unoccupied. The Operator is inspect the back of the bus and announces "yeah, I can't do it. You have one." The bus doors close and the bus takes off. In Rule Violations Yes No Insufficient Evidence inding an access-related Muni rule violation(s) occurred: all notify Central Control when crowding conditions restrict boarding ers. Issary, operator shall make an announcement over the PA system
Access-Related Mur Review supports a f 4.8.4 -Employee sha additional passenge 4.12.3 -When neces requesting passenge when passengers wi Comments	d-up seats in the securement area were unoccupied. The Operator is inspect the back of the bus and announces "yeah, I can't do it. You have one." The bus doors close and the bus takes off. In Rule Violations Yes
Access-Related Mur Review supports a f 4.8.4 -Employee sha additional passenge 4.12.3 -When neces requesting passenge when passengers wi Comments The video evidence sitting passengers in Although there were room to reasonably	d-up seats in the securement area were unoccupied. The Operator is inspect the back of the bus and announces "yeah, I can't do it. You have one." The bus doors close and the bus takes off. In Rule Violations Yes No Insufficient Evidence inding an access-related Muni rule violation(s) occurred: all notify Central Control when crowding conditions restrict boarding ers. Essary, operator shall make an announcement over the PA system ers to yield seats in the securement area for passengers in wheelchairs

Hearing Officer



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name				
Phone	Email			
Address				
INCIDENT DETAIL				
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 207 REF KNEEL/LOW		
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 22 FILLMORE		Direction INBOUND		
Incident Date 10-30-2017		Incident Time 13:15		
Vehicle Number 5414		Location 16TH/MISSION		
Department POT OPS		Division POTRERO		
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description				

Incident Details Driver refused to deploy the lift for me (a wheelchair user), saying there was no room for me. Another passenger disembarking told me that there were two seats available, and I saw another 3-4 people at least board.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 10-30-2017

Date Closed 04-13-2018

Resolution Code



PSR #:	535012	
IJK#.		-63
Hearing Date:	1/22/2018	
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)	7
Customer & other	(Patron)	8
attendees:	; Robert Gainer (Shop Steward)	
Incident Date and Time:	11/13/2017 at 12:15PM	
	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-	
related Muni rule vio	plation.	

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Patron alleges the operator refused to lower the steps upon boarding. Contends Muni drivers fail to assess accessibility needs for persons with disabilities. Contends Muni drivers should anticipate a passenger's needs for assistance without having the passenger make an explicit request. The Patron completed the remainder of the trip without incident.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Contends that at the Patron's request, he kneeled the bus as low as possible to help him get on the bus. Operator states the bus was equipped with a wheelchair lift instead of a ramp. Contends the Patron did not request deployment of the wheelchair lift in order to board. States he attempted to kneel the bus and the bus went as low as it could. States that upon reaching the Patron's destination, the Patron requested deployment of the wheelchair lift and his request was promptly honored without hesitation.

DVD Evidencea Yes | No

Video evidence shows the Patron standing at the bus stop shelter holding a walking cane. The Patron is heard requesting "put the stairs down." The video shows the Operator responding to the request by pressing a switch on the instrument panel twice. Although a confirmation beep is heard, the bus appears to wobble but overall remains at the full ride height. The Patron is heard asking "Is it down all the way?" The operator responds in the affirmative. The video shows the first step is close to the height level of the curb. The Patron is seen climbing onto the first step with minimal effort; however, he has to exert himself to complete the second and third step. The video shows the Patron gets situated behind the Operator. The recording stops before reaching the Patron's destination.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: □ Yes \□ No □ Insufficient Evidence

No Muni or ADA accessibility related violation occurred.

Comments

Per SFMTA policy, passengers suffering disabilities preventing them from using the steps to board may use the wheelchair lift in a standing position. Operators are to board such passengers on request. By Patron's own admission, he did not request the wheelchair lift, but rather asked for the steps to be lowered. The video shows the operator attempting to lower the vehicle by activating the kneel switch on the instrument panel and the bus responded with a wobble. Review supports a finding that no Muni or ADA accessibility related violation occurred.

For Internal Use Only:

Date

Hearing Officer



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name				
Phone	Email			
Address				
INCIDENT DETAIL				
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 207 REF KNEEL/LOW		
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 33 ASHBURY-18TH ST		Direction INBOUND		
Incident Date 11-13-2017 Incident		Incident Time 12:15		
Vehicle Number 5405		Location 24TH ST POTR	RERO AVE SAN FRA	
Department POT OPS Division POTRERO		Division POTRERO		
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description Male, Latino, heavy set, about 35	yrs old			

Incident Details Patron said, "I have a visible disability with a cane. The driver pulled up to the stop. I walked to the bus and asked to put the steps down and he said nothing. I had to lift my leg up higher than I wanted because he would not put the steps down. I got on and asked if the steps worked. He did not say anything. He did not talk at all. Very unfriendly. Quite sullen. Then we got to 16th St and Potrero and at that stop or it may have been the prior stop, another man with a cane, in his 70s, got on the bus and the driver did not lower the steps again and did not say anything. We drove to 16th and Mission which is the point where I wanted to get off. I asked him to put the steps down. He said he would put the ramp down.I said no, I want you to put the steps down. Reluctantly he put the steps down. So the steps were working. Then I asked why didnt you put the steps down. I dont remember what he said but is wasnt respectful. Then I got off the bus."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 11-13-2017

Date Closed 04-13-2018

Resolution Code



PSR #:	535570
Hearing Date:	01/16/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron); Anthony Ballester (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	11/21/2017, 12:33pm.

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accessrelated Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Patron alleges the operator refused to accommodate his service animal and stroller.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator contends the vehicle was full. Further, the stroller interfered with the movement of passengers. Operator informed Central Control the patron refused to move stroller and took vehicle out of service. After a service delay of 1:04 (hh:mm), the Operator was cleared by an inspector to return vehicle into service.

DVD Evidence Yes - No

Video shows patron boarding the vehicle through the front door with his dog in the stroller without incident. Patron situated the un-collapsed stroller in front of vehicle's middle exit door, partially obstructing the aisle, and sat across the opposite side of the vehicle. The Operator is heard advising the Patron the stroller is blocking the aisle and that it is considered a safety hazard. Operator is heard announcing the bus was not moving. The Operator is seen reporting the incident to dispatch. Patron remained on bus without moving the stroller. Video ends before the vehicle is put back into service.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations:

Yes No Insufficient Evidence

No Muni or ADA accessibility violation occurred.

Comments

Per SFMTA policy, while onboard, an un-collapsed or open strollers must 1) be under their owner's control at all times, 2) have the wheel brake set, 3) cannot block the aisle or interfere with movement of passengers, 4) cannot block or ride in the securement area. The un-collapsed stroller created a safety hazard. Investigation supports a finding that no Muni or ADA accessibility related violation occurred.

131/18

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer

Date

Resolution Code



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name Name				
Phone Email				
Address				
INCIDENT DETAIL	INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT	Type 301 DISCOURTESY	Y		
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.		
Trapeze Line/Route 14R MISSION RAPID	Direction OUTBOUND			
Incident Date 11-21-2017	Incident Time 12:33			
Vehicle Number 6536	Location MISSION ST 20	OTH ST SAN FRANC		
Department FLN OPS	Division FLYNN			
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description African American male, late 50s.				
Employee ID				
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS Date Logged 11-21-2017				
Date Closed 02-01-2018				

Nov 26, 2019 6:12PM



PSR #:	536597
Hearing Date:	1/17/18
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) – appeared by phone ; Juan Coleman (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	11/13/2017 at 12:15PM

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accessrelated Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Patron alleges the operator yelled at her to move for elderly patrons getting on the bus and he did not ask any other passenger to move. Contends the Operator accused her of not being disabled and that he demanded she provide proof of her disability. Claimant states did not identify herself as being permanently disabled, but that she was recovering from a leg injury and was wearing a leg brace under her pants.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Contends the Patron was not denied service, was not asked or forced to yield her seat and that she was allowed to complete her bus ride. Contends he did not demand the Patron to provide proof of disability in order to board or to sit in the priority seating area. States he advised the customer the Regional Transit Connection (RTC) Discount ID Card is available to persons with qualifying disabilities and that the card must be presented as proof of eligibility to receive discount fares.

DVD Evidence: Yes - No

Video evidence is not available from the vehicle. Patron provided a short sixteen second video segment taken with her mobile device. The video is taken by the Patron, while sitting in the senior/handicapped priority seating area in what appears to be a crowded bus. The video starts with the Operator facing towards the camera and saying "...ID you can show it to me, then you can sit over here...all the seniors getting on the bus, if you want to sit here...stop being so rude." The Patron is heard stating: "I have, my leg is hurt." The recording stops before reaching the Patron's destination.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations:

Yes,

Insufficient Evidence

Based on the evidence available, there is no Muni or ADA accessibility related violation.

Comments

Patron did not appear for the scheduled phone hearing. Patron's testimony was subsequently taken in the absence of the Operator,Per the video, the Patron self -identified having an injury; however, there is no indication the Patron identified herself as being disabled or that she was in need of accommodation. There is no evidence the Patron was refused service, asked to yield her seat, or show proof of disability to sit in the senior/handicapped priority area. There is no evidence of any rules violation.

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name Name				
Phone	Email			
Address				
INCIDENT DETAIL				
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT Type 301 DISCOURTESY			′	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 1 CALIFORNIA		Direction OUTBOUND		
Incident Date 12-11-2017		Incident Time 16:30		
Vehicle Number 5592		Location Sacramento and Powell /		
Department PRE OPS		Division PRESIDIO		
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description Asian, Older, black hair.				

Incident Details Patron said: The driver yelled at me to get up to move for elderly patrons getting on bus. There was enough seats in the handicap seat section. The driver did not ask anyone else to move. The told me that I was not handicap. The driver told me I have to show proof that I am handicap. I hurt my knee it is temporary. I have a video of the driver telling me this. I dont know what proof I would show him. There was an incident last week with same driver Service Request 8350487.

12-12-17

patron states that she had pulled the stop cord and he missed her stop request and moved passed the red light up a bit from the requested stop.

ageno

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 12-11-2017

Date Closed 02-13-2018

Resolution Code

Nov 26, 2019 5:20PM



PSR #:	536762
Hearing Date:	1/17/18
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	Juan Coleman (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	12/13/17 at 9:55PM
Burden of Proof: T	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-
related Muni rule vi	olation.
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Catego
left. Patron could	out giving a reason. On the third occasion, the Operator p, boarded other passengers but denied her access, closed the door and not recollect the dates of the prior incidents; however, she contends that ward she has not had any negative experience and wants assurance she
	ise (Brief Summary)
entrance and was a would not block th passenger trip on t was a safety hazard the Operator did no refusal of service.	vised the un-collapsed walker was a hazard when sitting near the front asked to sit on the priority seats towards the back, where the walker e aisle. The Operator alleges that on one occasion she noticed a boarding the un-collapsed walker and determined the Patron's lack of cooperation d to other passengers. The Operator recollects that on the third incident, ot let the Patron board the bus and explained to her the reason for the The incident was not reported to Central Control.
DVD Evidence: Ye	
Video evidence is r	
	ıni Rule Violations: 🗆 Yes 🗀 No 🗇 Insufficient Evidence
12/13/17.	nce available, there is no Muni or ADA accessibility related violation for
the dates of the pri incident on 12/13/ By the Operator's of Operator's independent presented a hazard Control. The refus should be taken in	erator correctly identified each other; however, neither could recollect for incidents. The Patron stated that she was able to board the bus without /17; however, she filed the complaint to prevent future incidents. own admission, a refusal of service occurred on a prior date based on the indent decision that the Patron's lack of cooperation to collapse the walker d. There is no evidence the Operator reported the incident to Central al of service and failure to report the prior incidents are violations that to consideration by the Division Superintendent that oversees this ron and the Operator both agreed that a language barrier contributed to cation.
For Internal Use On	ily:
Hearing Officer	Date



Passenger Service Report #536762 SFMTA					
CUSTOMER DETAIL					
Name Name	Name				
Phone	Email				
Address					
INCIDENT DETAIL					
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE COND	UCT	Type 301 DISCOURTESY	ſ		
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.		
Trapeze Line/Route 24 DIVISADERO	Trapeze Line/Route 24 DIVISADERO Direction INBOUND				
Incident Date 12-13-2017		Incident Time 21:55			
Vehicle Number 5485		Location MARKET ST 17TH ST SAN FRANC			
Department PRE OPS		Division PRESIDIO			
Employee ID					
Employee Physical Description White, female, tall, pretty, blond.					
Incident Details Patron stated, "The first time I got on the bus I got sent to the back, the second time I also got sent to the back but I didnt want to go so I sat in front. I have trouble walking and keeping my balance. The third time that this happened the driver didnt want to let me on the bus. The driver shut the doors and took off. I was left there by myself with my Bingo friends. The driver said something to me in English but I have no idea what she meant. Its an injustice that only Im getting treated like this and not other people."					

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 12-14-2017

Date Closed 02-14-2018



PSR #:	537223
Hearing Date:	01/10/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) appeared by phone Siegfried Henderson (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	12/22/2017 at 2:30PM
Burden of Proof: The related Municule views	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Ch	
assess accessibility anticipate a passer request.	operator refused to lower or kneel the bus. Contends Muni drivers fail to a needs for persons with disabilities. Contends Muni drivers should need's needs for assistance without having the passenger make an explicit
	ise (Brief Summary)
Operator does not	recollect the patron or the incident.
DVD Evidence: - Yo	es No
	ini Rule Violations: Yes No Insufficient Evidence cessibility related violation occurred.
Comments	
wearing a leg brace outside the bus and visible leg brace, the to climb steps to be without incident. It make a verbal requestifering disabilities lift in a standing proown admission, he	us was at the bus stop when he arrived. States he approached the bus e. States the bus driver was not sitting in the bus, but rather was standing d appeared to be relieving the driver of the bus. States that despite his ne bus driver did not get into the bus and offer to use the lift. States he had pard the bus. Patron was able to complete his ride and disembarked eatron states that as a disabled passenger he should not have to gesture or lest for a Muni driver to lower the lift. Per SFMTA policy, passengers es preventing them from using the steps to board may use the wheelchair esition. Operators are to board such passengers on request. By Patron's did not request assistance. Investigation supports a finding that no Municy related violation occurred.
0	1/3/18
Hearing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name				
Phone	Email			
Address				
INCIDENT DETAIL				
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 207 REF KNEEL/LOW		
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 9R SAN BRUNO RAPID		Direction INBOUND		
Incident Date 12-22-2017		Incident Time 14:30		
Vehicle Number 8169 Location GEN		Location GENERAL HOS	SPITAL /	
Department WDS OPS Division WOODS				
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description African American Female				
Incident Details Patron stated " There is a bus driver who fails to use				

mobility issues. I witness an elderly lady sitting next to me on the bus. The bus driver stop and the lady couldnt barely walk. The driver was just sitting in her seat, failing to assist with a mobility impairment. The bus driver did not use the lift. The bus driver just didnt offer to use the lift and the lady walk down stair. For myself they did not use the lift. We didnt ask for the lift but we should have to ask."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 12-22-2017

Date Closed 02-07-2018



PSR#:	537903
Hearing Date	1/29/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) (Operator #
Incident Date and Time:	01/08/2018 at 4:00PM
related Muni rule vi	
311 Complaint (Ch	
vehicle and instead made her lose her Patron testified she contends a bus driv noticeable walker. states she was able the ramp to assist I Operator's Respon	operator did not deploy the wheelchair ramp to assist her board the land her climb on the bus using her walker. The Patron stated the rain grip on the wet handrail, causing her to fall backwards onto the pavement. It did not specifically request deployment of the wheelchair ramp. She wer should anticipate her need for the ramp based on her struggle and She states the Operator provided assistance after she fell off the bus. She to complete her ride without incident. She states the Operator deployed her alight at her destination. Ise (Brief Summary)
without his union 1	epresentative did not appear. Operator refused to provide a response representative present.
DVD Evidence: Ye	V
Video evidence is n	
	mi Rule Violations: □ Yes 🍞 No 🛽 Insufficient Evidence
(4.20.5), Operators wheelchair user or testimony, she did wheelchair ramp.	ion a Muni or ADA accessibility violation occurred. Per SFMTA policy must make the wheelchair lift available to anyone requesting it, standing passenger, on vehicles so equipped. Based on the Patron's not make a verbal request or a gesture requesting deployment of the
For Internal Use On	ly: 2/27/18



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category UNSAFE OPERATION		Type 107 FALL WITH INJ	URY
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 30 STOCKTON		Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 01-08-2018		Incident Time 16:00	
Vehicle Number 7252		Location NORTH POINT	ST POLK ST SAN F
Department POT OPS		Division POTRERO	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description African American, male			
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS Date Logged 01-09-2018			
Date Closed 02-28-2018			
Resolution Code			

Nov 26, 2019 4:58PM



PSR #:	537998
Hearing Date	2/23/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) Henderson, Siegfried (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	1/9/2018 at 12:27PM
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vice	ne customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Category)
Operator's Respon	ise (Brief Summary)
Operator stated a p curb. Further, the	parked vehicle at the bus stop prevented the bus from getting close to the bus had to drive forward a few feet in order to provide clearance for through the rear door.
DVD Evidence; Ye	es 🗆 No
Video evidence is a	vailable. Video indicates a vehicle was parked at the bus stop impeding g to the curb and was blocking the rear exit door.
	ini Rule Violations: 🗆 Yes 🛕 No 😊 Insufficient Evidence
Complaint is not va	lid.
Comments	
There is no eviden	ce of a Muni accessibility or ADA related violation.
	1 1
For Internal Use On	3/6/18
learing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 209 NO PULL TO C	URB
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 52 EXCELSIOR		Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 01-10-2018		Incident Time 16:00	
Vehicle Number 8530		Location DIAMOND HEIG	GHTS BLVD DIAMO
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Asian male; 60s; thin frame; slim;			

Incident Details Patron stated "I take this bus regularly and this same driver does not pull over like he does not see me and is not going to stop. I have to stick my cane out (Ive placed reflective tape all over the lower half of my cane so he can see me), scream and waive before he finally stops in the street about 4-5 steps from the curb. I take this bus 4-5 times a week and this has been going on for the past few months. During the holidays he stated that he was giving curb service for the holidays. But I need him to do if all the time, not as a favor for the holidays. I think hes harassing me in his own way"

01/16/18: Not Title VI, box unchecked, no Title VI basis for complaint. KLB 01/16/18: Forwarded to Customer Service for further processing. KLB

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 01-10-2018

Date Closed 03-14-2018



PSR #:	538037
Hearing Date:	2/5/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) did not appear for in-person hearing ; Roderick Mills (Shop Steward)
Incident Date and Time:	1/11/18, 8:30am.
related Muni rule vid	
311 Complaint (Ch	
	ear for hearing. Per complaint, Patron alleges the operator passed her up.
	se (Brief Summary) esentative did not appear.
DVD Evidence; Ye	
	available. Video shows the Patron holding a dog on a leash, waiting for the
rear entrance, but t door. The video sh front entrance and	right side of the front door swings open. Patron is standing outside the the rear doors remain closed. A single passenger boards through the front ows there are numerous seats unoccupied. The Patron walks towards the as she approaches the front of the vehicle, the front door closes. The see Patron is left at the boarding platform and is heard yelling "Hello, hello,
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes 🗆 No 🗈 Insufficient Evidence
Per the video recor 4.12.5-Operators sl locations. 4.12.8-Operators sl	ding, there is evidence of disability-related Muni Rule violations: nall stop for all customers intending to board at designated ADA boarding nall provide ample time for passengers to board and alight vehicles. s with disabilities may require additional time for boarding and alighting.
	erator did not appear. Hearing is to be rescheduled.
For Internal Use Onl	у:
	2/27/18
learing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 201 PASSU	P/DIDNT W8	
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route F MARKET & WHARVES	Direction INBOU	IND	
Incident Date 01-11-2018	Incident Time 0	Incident Time 08:30	
Vehicle Number 1077	Location MARKE	Location MARKET ST GOUGH ST SAN FRA	
Department GNVA OPS Division GREE			
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Female, black			

Incident Details The patron stated, "Im sick to death of this driver closing the door when Im waiting to board. Today there was a person boarding before me. The driver stopped and allowed the other person to board and then when I stepped toward the door she closed it and left. This driver does it the most, but others do it as well. I usually catch it at 0600-0630AM but between then and now. Shes done this many times. I dont know why shes doing it. I am disabled, and I have an infection and yes it maybe smells, but its a medical reason and I cant help it. I dont know if thats why shes doing it, but it shouldnt matter, she should be allowing me to board. I dont know if she thinks Im homeless and am not going to pay, but being that Im disabled I have a pass anyway. It was a red and cream colored F." Hearing requested.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 01-11-2018

Date Closed 02-28-2018



PSR #:	538197
Hearing Date	2/26/2018
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron)
Incident Date and Time:	1/15/2018 at 12:00PM
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule views	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Category)
a act on aneges the	operator refused to kneel the bus.
Operator's Respon	ise (Brief Summary)
DVD Evidences / Yo	o provide a statement in the absence of his union representative.
Video evidence is a immediately after kneeler switch wit	ivailable. Video indicates the bus pulled to the curb and the bus kneeled the doors opened. The video shows the Operators hand activating the hout being requested by the Patron. A beeping sound confirms the d and the bus is seen kneeling.
	ıni Rule Violations: □ Yes ⊅ No □ Insufficient Evidence
Complaint is not va	
Comments	
There is no eviden	ce of a Muni accessibility or ADA related violation.
For Internal Use On	dy:
5	3/6/18
Hearing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 207 REF KNEEL/LOW	
ADA? X		Title VI? X	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 57 PARKMERCED		Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 01-15-2018		Incident Time 12:00	
Vehicle Number 8512		Location 19TH AVE EUCALYPTUS DR SAN	
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description asian male 45-50			

Incident Details Patron stated "When I was boarding the bus I asked the driver to lower the steps because I have a hip problem, he stated that they did not work. I am disabled and it is difficult to get on the bus otherwise, he obviously did not care. I dont understand how he would not lower it for me but at Stonestown he was able to lower it. I feel like there is discrimination because he would not lower it form me but did for these white people who do not seem to have any problem getting on the bus and did not even ask for it to be lowered."

1/23/18: PSR emailed to Superintendent. KLB

1/23/18: Follow up Title VI emailed to patron. KLB

1/23/18: Video requested. KLB

1/23/18: Attempted to contact the patron (no answer). klb

03/07/18: 2nd Follow-up Title VI mailed & emailed to the patron. KLB

03/14/18: Patron emailed me afterhours (7:9m), on 3/13/18), KLB

03/14/18: At 9:13am, I attempted to contact the patron (no answer), I left a message and also instructed the patron that she can contact me via email if she is unable to call me during my normal business hours. KLB

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 01-15-2018

Date Closed 03-14-2018

Patron arrived on time for her hearing. Neither Operator nor Union Representative appeared. Hearing officer waited 20 minutes past scheduled hearing time.

Patron's account of the incident was completely consistent with the DVD of it and the failure of Operator to appear meant there was no controverting testimony.

Patron requested that Operator deploy lift so that she could de-board with her walker at 8th and Market, Inbound. Operator understood walker to be a wheelchair and appeared to believe that a patron with a walker was not entitled to have the lift deployed at 8th and Market. Bulletin 2018-022 contains the logo "Wheelchair No Access" for that site, and at such sites "the lift/ramp may only be used by standees." Operator may have believed that Patron with a walker did not qualify as a standee. In any case, after Patron insisted that Operator lower the lift, Operator moved the coach ahead a few feet and lowered the lift, as allowed in Bulletin 2017-002. Patron de-boarded without incident.

Ultimately, there was no disability-related discrimination as Patron was not denied the appropriate accommodation (the lift). However, Patron should not have had to repeatedly insist upon access to the lift in this situation.

In Operator's defense, there is some ambiguity as to whether a walker that a patron holds onto for support, as Patron testified in this case, is functionally a wheelchair. If so, the patron may not qualify as a "standee." Also, there are safety issues in moving coaches a few feet past standard de-boarding sites on Market Street. Patrons risk being exposed to cross-traffic.

However, Operator should thoroughly familiarize himself with the Bulletins cited above to avoid complaints such as this in the future.



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 207 REF KNEEL/LOW	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 7 HAIGHT-NORIEGA		Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 04-02-2018		Incident Time 15:00	
Vehicle Number 8870		Location MARKET ST 8TH ST SAN FRANCI	
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Male, asian			
Incident Details Patron said: The driver lowered the lift when he picked me up and he was close to sidewalk and it			

Incident Details Patron said: The driver lowered the lift when he picked me up and he was close to sidewalk and it was easy for me to get on the bus with my walker. When I went to exit the bus the driver would not use the lift. The driver told me that this stop was not wheelchair accessible. I am not using a wheelchair. I am on a walker. I was told that I can use the walker on all stops on Market St.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-02-2018

Date Closed 05-15-2018



PSR #:	#543295
Hearing Date:	05/23/18
Hearing Officer:	Henry Epstein
Customer & other	, Patron
attendees:	(Operator: Steward: Jorge Elias)
Incident Date and	04/15/18 at 5:50PM
Time:	
Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-	
related Muni rule vio	olation.

311 Complaint

Patron claimed that Operator stopped bus in the middle of the street as he stood at the bus stop with his cane visible. Patron testified that he requested the lift, but also testified that he gestured for the bus to meet him near the disabled pedestrian cut-out a few yards ahead.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator claimed that she pulled as close as possible to the curb, but could not pull closer because the bus was 60' long and the curb space 40'. She said that angling in closer would create a risk as the back of the bus would extend into the adjacent traffic lane. She denied that Patron requested the lift.

DVD Evidence: Yes

The DVD did not substantiate that Patron requested the lift. However, the DVD shows the bus stopped well outside the required proximity to the curb.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes

HEARING OFFICER Comments

The hearing officer finds a violation of Rule 4.15.2 and .5, as articulated in General Notice 2016-012, which requires that Operators position the front doors as close to the curb as possible specifically for disabled passengers. This did not happen in this incident. In general, "both the front and rear exits are to be positioned within <u>one foot</u> of the curb." There was no vehicle in the bus zone. Had there been, the Operator would have been justified in stopping the bus with front and rear doors <u>three feet</u> from the curb. In any case, the bus drew up well in excess of three feet from the curb

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer: Henry A. Epstein

Date: June 1, 2018



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name				
Phone		Email		
Address				
INCIDENT DETAIL	•			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 201 PASSUP/DIDNT W8		
ADA? X			Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route	30 STOCKTON		Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 04-1	5-2018		Incident Time 17:50	
Vehicle Number 7	252		Location NORTH POINT	ST LARKIN ST SAN
Department POT	OPS .		Division POTRERO	
Employee ID				

Employee Physical Description

Incident Details Patron stated "I am a person who goes monthly to the Muni accessibility task force meetings, I am at the corner of North Point and Larkin, coach 7252 a 30 bus which is only going to Market St stopped out in the middle of the street. I have a cane, I am disabled, I told the driver because the diver stopped in the middle of the street I need to use the cut out and she better lower the wheel chair ramp so I can get on the bus, that unprofessional driver took off, I will be notifying Matt West regarding this issue, this is also affecting me because I going to miss my BART train that I need to connect to another east bay transit. Also it is cold and if I get sick I also want restitution for it" (Caller hung up before being able to ask if needed recap or contact information)

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-15-2018

Date Closed 04-17-2018



PSR #:	#543496
Hearing Date:	May 22, 2018
Hearing	Henry Epstein
Officer:	
Customer &	Patron:
other	
attendees:	Operator – ; Shop Steward – Mont Hubbard
Incident Date	00/00/00 at 00:00AM/PM
and Time:	

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-related Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Fall with injury. Blind patron states that bus pulled up to the stop far from curb and too far forward of the shelter where she was waiting to enable her to board at the front. Patron claims that she boarded middle door and before she could seat herself the bus started and she fell backwards on the floor.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator claimed she had to position the bus forward in the bus box so that the bus trailing her in the intersection behind her would have room to pull in behind her.

DVD Evidence: Yes

See below

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes

Comments

On the video there was no evidence of a bus trailing Operator's bus, belying her testimony that one was positioned in the intersection behind her. Therefore, there was no reason for Operator to pull the bus beyond the top part of the bus box, and indeed to stop the bus outside the bus box itself.

The video shows the Patron, whose sight is impaired, waiting with her cane visible at the shelter and having to walk several yards ahead to

board at the middle door. Presumably, Operator could see her through her side view mirror.

0 -

No vehicle, near or in the bus box, required Operator to stop, as she did, well over 3 feet from the curb, and outside the bus box. This violates Rule 4.15.4, as articulated in Bulletin 2016-012: "When pulling into a bus zone coaches are to be stopped as close to the curb as possible, ideally with front and rear exits within one foot." With regard to disabled passengers, operators are required to reposition the coach to achieve this. Operator did not do so.

Once she boarded, Operator appears not to have considered that Patron was still moving to a seat as Operator started the bus. The bus was not crowded, and on the video the aisle appears clear enough for Operator to view the Patron boarding and seeking a seat.

Finally, once Patron had boarded and was looking for a seat, Operator violated Bulletin 2017-22, "... people with disabilities may require extra time and accommodations. Do not move your coach until passengers are seated or have a firm hold on a stanchion or handrail." The video shows Patron briefly grabbing a stanchion as she reaches the top of the steps into the bus and touching a close-by handrail, apparently for balance. However, she is not able to seat herself before the bus starts up and she loses her balance and fall backwards.

For Internal Use Only:

Henry Epstein Hearing Officer Date: June 6, 2018





CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category UNSAFE OPERATION	Type 107 FALL WITH IN.	Type 107 FALL WITH INJURY	
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 5R FULTON RAPID	eze Line/Route 5R FULTON RAPID Direction OUTBOUND		
cident Date 04-18-2018 Incident Time 16:00			
Vehicle Number 7217	Location 8TH AVE FULT	ON ST SAN FRANC	
Department POT OPS	Division POTRERO		
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description n/a			

Incident Details Patron stated, "The bus was coming and I was at the bus stop and the bus pulled up far away from the curb and I tried to get to the bus I cant walk too fast and I am blind and someone said the driver closed the door and I tried to get in the back door when I get on the bus the driver pulldr forward like explosive and I fell down and a lot of people help me get up and I am dizzy and I cry. I am dizzy . The driver stopped the bus and he made a deal with me. I call my daughter and she said dont move you are dizzy. The ambulance guy say we take you to the hospital and i say I dont want to go in ambulance and I say I not go in. I say why you treat me like that, I have insurance but I do not want to get that bill. He was pushing Me to give him my ID. I give him my ID card. "

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-18-2018

Date Closed 10-02-2018

Resolution Code



	7 8 8
PSR #:	#543609
Hearing Date:	05/16/18
Hearing Officer:	Henry Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	(Operator:); (Shop Steward: Alex Huang)
Incident Date and Time:	04/20/18 at 11:03AM

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-related Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Discourtesy: Patron claims she apprised Operator that she was disabled as Operator was deboarding another passenger in a wheelchair, deploying the ramp. Patron claims that Operator yelled at her, tried to push her back, and shook the ramp while she tried to deboard just after the passenger in the wheelchair de-boarded. Patron claimed that she had also requested the ramp.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator claimed that she let passengers know that she would be deploying the ramp for the passenger in the wheelchair. Operator denied that Patron herself requested to use the ramp but asserted that Patron was one of about ten passengers who waited to get off as she was deboarding the passenger in the wheelchair. According to Operator, all ten wanted to use the ramp but that many people on the ramp posed a safety issue. Operator testified that she put her hand up to advise them to move back to allow her to replace the ramp in the locker. She denied that she shook the ramp while the Patron was on it and claimed she was "... outside, on the ground, opening the locker with my key, and could not shake it."

DVD Evidence: NO

A DVD for this case was pulled for approximately 11PM on the date of the incident, 4/20/2018. However, the time of the reported incident was 11:03AM.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Insufficient Evidence

Patron stated that the central issue for her was being yelled at by Operator, and Operator waving her back and shaking the ramp. However, she also testified that she requested the ramp too, as the passenger in the wheelchair de-boarded.

HEARING OFFICER Comments

Without the correct DVD to resolve contradictory testimony from Patron and Operator, the Hearing Officer finds insufficient evidence in this case to make a finding of Discourtesy or Denial of Accommodation. However, if the Hearing Officer had been able to substantiate Patron's testimony that she requested the ramp be deployed for her along with the passenger using the wheelchair, the Hearing Officer would have found an access-related violation, i.e. a violation of rule 4.20.5 which requires making lifts available to standing passengers on request, as well as wheelchair users. In any case, Operator is advised to review this rule.

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer: Henry A. Epstein

Date: June 1, 2018



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT		Type 306 GEN UNPROF CONDU	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route F MARKET & WHARVES		Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 04-20-2018		Incident Time 11:03	
Vehicle Number 1051		Location MARKET ST VAN NESS AVE SAN	
Department GRN OPS		Division GREEN	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Short, Female, African American,	Curly H	air	

Incident Details ADA patron stated that," The driver was rude to me. She discriminate to me. I have a bad ankle and knee, I cannot get down. There was a wheelchair that wanted to get off at the same location, before she removed the ramp, she did not allow. She yelled at me to get back and tried to removed the ramp. I let her know I am disabled. She tried to push me, before she touched me I back up. She shake the ramp, I scared to fall down. She yelled very loudly "you want to move, back up." She challenged me, I said to her "I have never seen that kind of rude driver, you are so rude I want to complain." and she said "okay you can do it", she challenged me, she does not care. This is discriminate."

05/29/18: Emaiil sent from J. Sanchez regarding patrons comments during her ADA appeal. KLB

05/30/18: Not Title VI, box unchecked, no Title VI basis for complaint. KLB

05/30/18: Email sent to J. Sanchez and all attached regarding conversation with the patron. KLB

05/30/18: All,

I just spoke with the patron (this morning at approximately 8:54am) and now with her understanding (via my explanation of Title VI and the discourteous treatment/behavior). The patron stated that she believed that the Operator was very rude and nasty and really expressed that she wanted the Operator disciplined for that. The patron also stated that she felt the Operator discriminated against her based on her disability and also because there was no video available at the hearing the Operator took advantage and lied her way out of trouble. I reassured the patron that all complaints whether they are Title VI or not stays in the employees file and is used for progressive discipline or retraining. Before getting off the telephone with the patron, she thanked me for helping her understand and making her feel comfortable enough to ride Muni again.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-20-2018

Date Closed 07-19-2018

Resolution Code



PSR #:	543687
Hearing Date:	5/16/2018
Hearing Officer:	H. Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	, Op. Siegfried Henderson
Incident Date and Time:	
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vio	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- lation.
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)
Dependent di Dependent di Dependent di Operator's Respons	not pull up to sheller, board pations 3 cars back d not make oral announcemts of stops when andio e (Brief Summary)
6 Klaims au	at Glen Park at time of incident
DVD Evidence: Aves	GANO ◀
DVD miss	ing section that would defermine Oakove
IN Other Sec	tion of DVD, andio anno nucemte was king-
Access-Related Mun	ii Rule Violations: Yes No Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
Pahon adi	nits that he showed no evidence of disability.
Wen It Opena	tor stopped short of sheller because a could it
For Internal Use Only	vas not determined no evidence of discrimination
on basis 10	lisability.
1/4 2/19L	- 7 5/16/18
learing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL	
Name	
Phone	Email
Address	
INCIDENT DETAIL	
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE Type 213 GEN DSTRACT DUTY	
ADA? X Title VI? Other Di	
Trapeze Line/Route 52 EXCELSIOR Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 04-19-2018 Incident Time 21:55	
Vehicle Number 8509 Location LEAVING BART GLEN PA	
Department WDS OPS Division WOODS	
Employee ID	
Employee Physical Description Black Large. Female.	
Insident Betaile (B)	

Incident Details 1. Sign indicator noted time but not destination stops. Dark at night. Operator did not call out stops.

2. Operator did not pull up at stop. Opened door down the street as vehicles ahead of her. She should wait and pull up to the designated bus stop.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-22-2018

Date Closed 05-18-2018



PSR #: 5 44	68/
Hearing Date:	May 30, 2018
Hearing Officer:	Heary Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	Lay phone; Sighted Henders on, Linion Rep
Incident Date and Time:	
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vio	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- lation.
311 Complaint (Che	
Failure + after pay Operator's Respons	to pull to curb; operator asked for fare ment.
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)
Operator be	he wed he would obe trust traffic mesente a well
Jaccident 15	- he angled his bus in and the rear intedout
2 . 2 2	
Patron wai	erates + Union Representative.
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes DNo Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
Bulletin 201	6-012 requires Operators to "stopas < lose to curvas ly within one footing" and especially it a disabled spot. Passenger in this case used a confeleraty
possible, Ideal	ly within one sootisi" and especially it a discolled
passenger is at	spot. Passenger in this case used a confectioning
For Internal Use Onl	iator 3 to precia land wid in from curs. No can prevented
/ /	y.
Hearing Officer	5/30/18 Date
\ ~/	

Operator from stopping coach at an bside. In mitigation, Operator lowered the lift for patron. Regarding allegation that Operator inappropriately as ked patron for fare, video showed Operator;

1 South Van Ness Avenue 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103 415.701.4500 www.sfmta.com

guestin wes appropriate as person appeared to rush by
Operator.



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 209 NO PULL TO 0	CURB
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 44 O SHAUGHNESSY		Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 05-10-2018		Incident Time 11:00	
Vehicle Number 8885		Location WOODSIDE A	VE HERNANDEZ AV
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Run 013 - Black bald head with lig	ht skin.		
Incident Details Patron states: I am disabled and I want a hearing. Operator did not pull to curb, but picking up passengers in the middle of the street, which made it hard for me. Also, he got smart with me asking if I had paid my fare, which I already did and got my transfer. I want video pulled as well. Thank you			
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS			
Date Logged 05-10-2018			
Date Closed 07-31-2018			
Pesalution Code			

Nov 26, 2019 6:12PM



PSR #:	544021
Hearing Date:	5/21/2018
Hearing Officer:	H. Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	appeared with Union Representation
Incident Date and Time:	4/26/18 6:05 pm
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vide	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Category)
201 Pa	ss-up
Operator's Respon	se (Brief Summary)
Nome	mory of alleged incident
DVD Evidence: Ye	es 🗆 No
Access-Related Mu	ıni Rule Violations: □ Yes 🔊 No 🗆 Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
Comments	us led to appear. Video yincident did
Pariant	us led to appear. Video of incident and
not show-	evidence of pass-up
For Internal Use On	ւly։
M Eps	t 5/21/2018
Hearing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE Type 201 PASSUP/DIDNT W8		Γ W8	
ADA? X Title VI? Other Disc		Other Disc.	
peze Line/Route F MARKET & WHARVES Direction OUTBOUND			
ncident Date 04-26-2018 Incident Time 18:05			
ehicle Number 1078 Location 8th and Market /1800		/1800	
Department GNVA OPS Division GREEN			
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description african american male.			

Incident Details Per phone conversation w/ A. Genochio: Created second complaint for patron wishes to have a hearing on the following train passing her up as well. Right after 1077.

Patron stated: I was waiting for the bus on the ramp with my walker when the bus didnt stop for me. I had someone hold the door and I was able to walk up to the front and asked the driver why he didnt stop. I know he saw me standing on the ramp waiting. He said he saw me smoking and thats a lie because I dont even smoke. I asked him to put the ramp up and he said he couldnt and I would have to wait for the next bus. He was very disrespectful with me. As I was talking to you (311) on the phone another bus passed me up. Thats 4 that wouldnt pick me up. This is ridiculous.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-27-2018

Date Closed 05-21-2018

Resolution Code



PSR #:	546061
Hearing Date:	6/2012018
Hearing Officer:	Henry Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	
Incident Date and Time:	
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule viol	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-
311 Complaint (Che	
201 F	2455-UP
Operator's Response	e (Brief Summary)
/	ow, and Noshow Union Rep.
DVD Evidence: Yes	□ No
Access-Related Mun	i Rule Violations: □ Yes ♥No □ Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
H.O. Revi	en of DVD did not indicate a violation
For Internal Use Only	
11 Eng/	6/20/2018
learing Officer	Date

The Hearing Officer was able to contact Patron and take his testimony by telephone at 5PM on June 20, 2018.

Patron testified that he uses his shopping cart as a walker. He stated that he was walking with his shopping cart parallel to the curb of the bus stop, a cane in his right hand. He claimed that he "beckoned to the operator" to indicate he wanted to board as the bus came by and looked like it would pull into the stop.

Patron testified that the bus-box was very long and that he thought Operator would stop the bus at the top of the box. However, the Operator kept going without stopping.

Patron testified that he would have walked closer to the curb along the bus zone, but a tree blocked his path so he walked a few feet in from the curb on the sidewalk.

A review of the video of the incident confirms the logistics of Patron's account, except that he is not seen "beckoning to the operator," as he alleged. This omission tilts this case in favor of Operator.

Operator might have inferred that a man walking on the sidewalk parallel to the bus box steering a shopping cart <u>may</u> have wanted to board, but failing to make this inference was not unreasonable given the circumstances of this case. The DVD shows the Patron walking a yard or two in from the curb, simply moving forward. There was no clear indication that he was intending to board.

Therefore, the hearing officer finds no pass-up violation.

HEP5 (2018



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 201 PASSUP/DIDN	T W8
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 54 FELTON		Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 06-02-2018		Incident Time 15:17	
Vehicle Number 8804		Location NEWHALL ST	HUDSON AVE SAN
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Male hispanic			
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS	ng ."		
Date Logged 06-02-2018			
Date Closed 06-29-2018			
Resolution Code			

Nov 26, 2019 6:12PM

Printed:



PSR #:	549867
Hearing Date:	11/06/18 at 2:00PM
Hearing Officer:	Henry Epstein & Rudy Sebastian (Hearing Officers)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) (Operator)
Incident Date and Time:	7/15/2018 at 5:40PM
Burden of Proof: Thaccess-related Mun	ne customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an i rule violation.
311 Complaint (Che	eck Category)
	fessional Conduct. Patron contends that operator refused her boarding of and called the police on patron. See attachment A.
Operator's Respon	se (Brief Summary)
Operator failed to a	appear
DVD Evidence: 🗆 Ye	as ry No
No video available.	
	ıni Rule Violations: □ Yes □ No 🗹 İnsufficient Evidence
No Violation.	
Comments	
	id disputes with any person, no matter what the provocation", the patron's
	ontroverting evidence, the hearing officer finds the operator in violation of
without video incide	essional conduct. It is difficult to verify alleged denial of access violation
For Internal Use On	1
KI	11.19.2015
earing Officer	Date
Sulling of the control of the contro	Date:
M	
1115	
1/1/1	
VV	

Attachment A.

The Hearing Officer was able to meet with the Patron and take her testimony at 2:00pm on November 6, 2018. There was no video of the incident on June 1, 2018 at approximately 10:34pm.

Patron testified that she uses a walking cane with 4 rolling wheels as a walking aide. She stated that she was with her walking cane at or just beyond the outbound bus shelter on 9th Avenue near the intersection of Judah St. She claims that the MUNI 66 Quintara, transit vehicle #8185, Operator arrived at approximately 10:10pm and parked at the very south end of the bus stop. She contends that per a conversation with the operator, the operator told her that he was on a "702" and would come back in 20 minutes. She described the transit vehicle as empty and not in service when she returned back to the bus shelter and waited for the operator to return to his transit vehicle.

Patron testified that at 10:34pm, the operator returned to the transit vehicle, boarded it and closed the door as the Patron was at the front entrance of the transit vehicle. Patron alleges that prior to closing the doors, she asked the operator if he was letting on passengers and he stated he wasn't letting anyone on because he was waiting on a call from Transportation Management Center as he shut the door. She contended that the operator was on an electronic handheld device while on the transit vehicle. Patron asserted that because it was dark and self-defined herself as elderly/senior, she was uncertain when this transit vehicle would actually leave and feared or hesitant of missing the next 66 Quintara if she walked back to the bus shelter that was a distance away.

Patron testified to placing her hands on the transit doors and creating a "crack" opening and said to the operator "You can't sit on a bus on "702" without letting passengers on."

Patron testified that the operator opened the transit door and told her "report me" showing his jacket badge number stepping out of the transit vehicle. Patron stated that she boarded, sat in the transit vehicle and asked the operator to take her home as the operator said in a loud voice "I'm not a machine and I'm calling the police....you are dangerous to me" walking to the rear of the bus. Patron stated that she called 311 to report the incident, determine the current status of transit vehicle #8185 as well as information regarding the boarding policy when the operator claims 702 personal necessity.

Patron testified at 11:40pm, an SFPD officer came to the location and removed her from the transit vehicle. Patron asserted that the SFPD officer told her to "take Uber" home. Patron stated that the operator left with the transit vehicle after telling the SFPD officer that he was going to Market St. Patron's closing testimony statement was that "I just wanted to go home..."

There is no video of the incident and the operator failed to appear for the hearing. Applying 2.8.3 "Avoid disputes with any person, no matter what the provocation", the patron's testimony and no controverting evidence, the hearing officer finds the operator in violation of 306 general unprofessional conduct. Although there may be a denial of access violation here, in the absence of a video, there is insufficient evidence to make that finding.



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	one Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDI	JCT	Type 306 GEN UNPROF	CONDU
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 66 QUINTARA		Direction	
Incident Date 06-01-2018		Incident Time 00:-00	
Vehicle Number 8515		Location 9th /Judah	
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description male			
Incident Details Hi Matt, , a woman with a mobility disability, is filing a complaint through our office. She rides the 66 Quintara. On Friday, June 1, 2018, she arrived at the stop at Judah and 9th Ave. She states that, at that hour, the bus runs every 30 minutes. She states that the bus came prior to 10:00 p.m. and the driver "took his break." At approximately 10:30, he got on the bus. She states that, because she has a mobility disability (and she was carrying items), she started toward the bus to board because the stop is quite a distance from where the bus actually boards. She stated that she asked the driver if he was letting on passengers and he stated he wasn't letting anyone on and shut the door. She was hesitant to go back to the bus shelter, not knowing when the bus would actually leave and fearing she might miss the next bus (the 11:05 bus AND the last bus). She also stated it was very dark. She called 311 to inquire about the schedule. When she asked the driver when he was leaving, he yelled at her and stated he was calling the cops because she was "a danger to him". An SFPD officer came and told her she should "take Uber." is very distressed about this. She is about 5 feet 2 inches and states she's been riding this route for years and has never had an issue with any driver. She provided the following information. 66 Quintara/driver # and Judah stop.			
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS			
Date Logged 07-30-2018			
Date Closed 11-26-2018 Resolution Code			



PSR #:	550892
Hearing Date	11/20/2018 11:00am
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron)
Incident Date and Time:	9/16/2018 at 3:50pm
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vide	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Category)
is not enough room	ntionally stopped the bus in a front of a bike rack, where she knows there if for the electric wheelchair to get in. After getting on the bus, the ssist in securing the wheelchair, so another passenger helped instead.
	ise (Brief Summary)
Operator and shop	steward did not appear.
DVD Evidence: Y	
Yes. Video evidenc	e is available.
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: 🗆 Yes 📈 No 😊 Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
immediately deplo bike rack that bloc the Operator repos	alid. The video shows that after the bus stopped the wheelchair lift was yed. The initial deployment of the wheelchair lift landed close to a fixed ked access to the lift. After realizing that the wheelchair could not board, sitioned the bus and re-deployed the wheelchair lift. After repositioning boarded the bus without issue.
the Operator hesita the wheelchair. An wheelchair. Once t	Patron requested assistance in securing the wheelchair. The video shows antly approached the Patron and stated she did not know how to secure other passenger is seen in the video assisting the Operator in securing the the wheelchair was secured, the bus continued on its route.
For Internal Use On	ly:
2	
	2/5/2018
learing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT Type 306 GEN UNPROF CONDU		CONDU	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 43 MASONIC Direction OUTBOUND			
Incident Date 08-15-2018		Incident Time 15:39	
Vehicle Number 8715		Location MARINA BLVD LAGUNA ST SAN F	
Department KIR OPS		Division KIRKLAND	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Black female,			
Incident Details The patron states: When the driver dropped me off at	Laguna	and Chestnut, I asked to h	ave the

Incident Details The patron states: When the driver dropped me off at Laguna and Chestnut, I asked to have the bus lowered because I have very little cartridge in my knees. I do not look like I have a disability but I ask nicely "Would you please lower the steps" I am 75 so that is something in its own right. The driver cursed with "God, damn". The driver should not be rude; no one should curse at someone, especially when I am 75.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 08-15-2018

Date Closed 09-24-2018



PSR #:	544241
Hearing Date:	5/21/2018
Hearing Officer:	H. Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	Authory Balles les Union Rope, appeared
Incident Date and Time:	5/2/2018 8:53 AM
related Muni rule vi	
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Category)
Pas	3-UP
	se (Brief Summary)
Operati	or Failed to a ppe un
DVD Evidence: Y	es 🗆 No
DVD	shows pass-up of patronin wheelchein
Access-Related Mu	ıni Rule Violations: ♦ Yes □ No □ Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
Patroni	s festein on that 1/2 emply bus passed is completely consistent with DVO. Operator overclance a solid not show.
houpwe	is completely consistent with DVO. Operutor
poence n	o evidence a shald not show-
For Internal Use On	ıly:
21-9ps	5/21/2008
Hearing Officer	Date/



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 201 PASSUP	Type 201 PASSUP/DIDNT W8	
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 38 GEARY Direction INBOUND		ID	
Incident Date 05-02-2018 Incident Time 08:53		53	
Vehicle Number 6658	Vehicle Number 6658 Location GEARY BLVD WEBSTER ST S		
Department FLN OPS Division FLYNN			
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description			

Incident Details Patron said: The first bus was full and did not stop, the second bus was full, the driver stopped and advised me that there was not room for my power wheelchair, the third bus was not full and it did not stop at all. It did not say on the bus it was out of service. The buses will usually stop and let me know there is not room for my wheelchair. The third bus should have stopped. It did stop at the bus stop before me.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-02-2018

Date Closed 05-04-2018

Neutral Hearing Packet

Video In Person

PSR # 555491	D.	By Phone
Customer's Name:		
Division: WOODS		e
Operator Name/ID:	I	
Complaint Category and Type: 209 NO PUI	LL TO CURB	
Rules Identified by Accessible Services:		
Date: November 5th 2018		
Day: Monday		
Time: 11:00am		
Room: North Beach Conference Rm 3072		
NHO: H. Epstein		
Hearing Results: Valid Invalid Drop Reschedule Other		
Notes from NHO: Video confirmed Operator failed to pull bus MCS USE ONLY boordin wheel (nor Union Rep) and preser Notification to division date; initials	d Pahon	& complaint that
Operator failed to pull bus	to curt t	o allow her to de-
MCS USE ONLY DOOR AIN wheeld	hair of	erator did not attend
Notification to division date; initials	Determination le	tter sent date; initials
Additional Notes:		
u u		



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 209 NO PULL TO C	URB
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 54 FELTON		Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 10-14-2018		Incident Time 16:40	
Vehicle Number 8805		Location THORNTON A	VE SCOTIA AVE SA
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Male Driver, In his 30s, dark comp	lected		
from Scotia up three full houses and refused to pull up to the curb. This the curb and he is trying to drop me off here. I cannot get up the curb a The Police are on the way and the people on the bus is upset since we cannot back my chair up since a passenger pulled off one of the buttor move- from the back of the wheelchair and threw it down the street. I a and he would provide it."	nd am r have b s- the o	now stuck on the wheelchai een here for at least 20 min one I have to use to make m	r lift. nutes. I ny chair
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS			
Date Logged 10-14-2018			
Date Closed			
Resolution Code			

Nov 26, 2019 6:12PM

Printed:



PSR #:	553523
Hearing Date	11/20/2018 11:00am
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer)
Customer & other attendees:	(Patron) did not appear
Incident Date and Time:	9/16/2018 at 3:50pm
Burden of Proof: To related Muni rule vi	he customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.
311 Complaint (Ch	
is not enough roon	ntionally stopped the bus in a front of a bike rack, where she knows there in for the electric wheelchair to get in. After getting on the bus, the ssist in securing the wheelchair, so another passenger helped instead.
Operator's Respon	se (Brief Summary)
Operator and shop	steward did not appear.
DVD Evidencę: ØYe	
Yes. Video evidenc	e is available.
Access-Related Mu	ıni Rule Violations: □ Yes 🖋 No 🛽 Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
immediately deplo bike rack that bloc the Operator repos the bus, the Patron After boarding, the the Operator hesit	lid. The video shows that after the bus stopped the wheelchair lift was yed. The initial deployment of the wheelchair lift landed close to a fixed ked access to the lift. After realizing that the wheelchair could not board, sitioned the bus and re-deployed the wheelchair lift. After repositioning boarded the bus without issue. Patron requested assistance in securing the wheelchair. The video shows antly approached the Patron and stated she did not know how to secure to the passenger is seen in the video assisting the Operator in securing the
wheelchair. Once t	the wheelchair was secured, the bus continued on its route.
E- I NU- O-	1
For Internal Use On	uy
0	
	2/5/2018
Hearing Officer	Date



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE Type 213 GEN DSTRACT DUTY		T DUTY	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 24 DIVISADERO		Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 09-16-2018		Incident Time 15:50	
Vehicle Number 5561 Location DIVISADERO ST FULTON S		ST FULTON ST SAN	
Department PRE OPS Division PRESIDIO			
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description caucasian female, light hair, hea	vy set		

Incident Details Patron state "The way the bus stop situates at the stop. The bus need to pull in a way so my power wheel chair can get on the lift on the bus.

The driver stop farther down, she stop into the bike rack that where she stop at. She know that there is not enough room for my electric wheelchair to get in . She knows that I am seating at the correct stop. She saw me waving my hand for her to stop. She purposely do this so there is not enough room for my wheelchair the get on the lift so had to move farther to accommodate my wheel chair. She does it on purpose. I had her before. She pulls the bus up. I make it to the ramp got on the bus to the area for the wheelchair seating on the bus. As I try to secure myself on the bus. She already getting to the seat and I had to said excuse me. I need help to strap my in. The other passenger help me to strap in. I ask he dont she know and she said she dont know and the subject never come up .

When I got to 3 rd $\,$ street. I ask her can you let me off. She was rude . She insult my disability."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 09-18-2018

Date Closed 09-28-2018



PSR #:	540882
Hearing Date:	March 19, 2018
Hearing Officer:	Henry Epstein
Customer & other attendees:	
Incident Date and Time:	
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule view	ne customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.
311 Complaint (Ch	eck Category)
he stop The	lemanded the fation ring bell, She requested bus, verbally, She also alleged discourses y + race se (Brief Summary) discrimination. The letter beyond hearing
O perator's Res pon	se (Brief Summary) discrimination. The letter beyond heading
pperatora	pologier de fre not knowing fation did not have 11 to make a ston veruest. Oppostor lement es o No vacial association in atton.
DVD Evidence VV	TO WALL OF TOTAL STATE OF THE S
OV O & MOO	to to al Porte Dalle Transchip de la Calle
afor telling !	restis grundprother gould vina bell.
Access-Related Mu	mi Rule Violations: □ Yes □ No ☑Insufficient Evidence
Pátronwas no Operator d Comments	of denied access, but Operator Violated 4.20, 3+2.8.1 id not base concluct on disa bility status of Batron.
Patron was	not denied access, she got of bus successful
he wolet-	not deried access, she got of bus successful to admitted not understanding Pale 4.20. 3 which has rejecting herver bely equest to announce of also disrespectful to her in violation of 2.8.1
and He was	also discesse et ful to her in violofismos 2.8.1
For Internal Use Or	ıly:
11 Sinst	2 19-7018

Hearing Officer

3-19-2018

Date

+ Theresa King, Union Rep.



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT		Type 302 ALTERC: EMP/CUST	
ADA? X		Title VI? X	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 47 VAN NESS		Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 03-03-2018		Incident Time 10:29	
Vehicle Number 8737		Location 9TH ST BRYANT ST SAN FRANCI:	
Department KIR OPS		Division KIRKLAND	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Asian			

Incident Details Patron stated..."I think the run number was 656 and the coach number 8737. I was standing up and I told the driver operator next stop please and that bastard told me, Ring the bell. Do you know how to ring the bell?". Im handicapped and disabled. I was polite to him. But then I said to him, "Does your mama know how to ring the bell?. Then he said, Yes and my grandma too. My heart is racing right now after the situation. He was Asian and he let it be known because I was the only African American on the bus. I want a hearing. It racially motivated."

03/05/18: PSR emailed to Superintendent. KLB 03/05/18: Follow-up Title VI emailed to the patron

03/05/18: Vodeo requested. Patron requested a hearing. KLB

03/05/18: Attempted to contact the patron @1:32 pm (no answer/ not able to leave message) . KLB 03/06/18: Attempted to contact the patron (approx. 9:27am) no answer/ no message machine. KLB

04/09/18: No contact from patron to date. KLB

04/09/18: 2nd Follow-up Titl eVI mailed to patron. KLB

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 03-03-2018

Date Closed 04-06-2018