


Why Democracy sucks.

This also applies to organizations. How the power is distributed is very important. I do not have to explain why democracy is bad pierce already explained that well

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ViN7rzPuXq8> i have



Why Democracy sucks.

Imagine that you are a dictator and should resign, You should select a certain number of members a Senate that will get the highest judicial power.

Furthermore, you should pick out a certain number of people who get to vote, more on this later.

The advantage to distribute power over several people is that the redundancy gets better, i.e. it will be less serious if a senator would take a wrong decision than if a dictator makes the wrong decision because a majority hopefully vote correctly.

However, there are very drawbacks to distribute power over too many people -incitement for an individual to understand the issues and take the right decisions reduces.

-harder to maintain high intelligence among the chosen ones.

-harder to ensure that the voters is independent thinkers, this actually leads to less redundancy since most voters will be lead by a few people advocating them how to vote.

-Difficult to maintain proper racial quality in general for those in power, people who are ugly, overweight, short, poorly trained and so will probably oppose a correct policy regarding eugenics and freshness ideal.

-harder to make the selection in a good way if you choose many people, you will then have less time to check the individual care and the risk is that reluctant individuals will be selected.

In a democracy, no choice is made at all, everyone can vote no matter how stupid, ugly and uninterested they are. In a democracy the media will get a lot of power and often a huge amount of effort will be made in order for the masses to support the current system.

Often, there is a power struggle between the rulers and the media, in a 'true democracy' critical media will be allowed which means they risk losing power.

Considering who controls the media today, it is perfectly understandable that rulers choose to intervene against media and imprison journalists. It all is, unfortunately, doomed to failure because the media today is global and a single country can not do much about it.

The reason why many are for democracy is selfish and irrational reasons. It may be that they belong to those who receive money from the dysgenic welfare state, more likely is that they associate mass-democracy with nice things and dictatorship with unpleasant things (mass graves and war).

It is true that elite rule and dictatorship are often harder but this is not bad, it is not good for the race to steal money from successful in order to provide welfare to people who should not spread their genes. Often you need take uncomfortable decisions, however the stupid masses will oppose these which leads to more suffering in the long run.

If we had been tougher on those who today control the media, banks, etc. and ensured that the country remained racially pure so we would not have much problem. Instead we are sitting now in a much worse situation and the solutions required are now much more brutal (mass executions, etc.). If Hitler had handled the Jews more rational we would have been spared much of the current problems.

Today's problems can only be solved by authoritarian rule, let us now look at how this can be designed.

Senate

It is the highest judicial and legislative power, the Senate consists of 15 seats. It will be possible for one person to hold more than one seat in the Senate and then successor appointed independently for each location senator holds and always designate first to fourth replacement (no more).

local Boards

To reduce the Senate's workload, other boards will be in charge for different tasks, such as a board for a country when you have federation. A unanimous Senate may replace members of a local boards but Otherwise the local boards is independent.

A local board has seven seats and board members elect their own successors (first to fourth).

Changing the Constitution / power structures.

This can be requested by a senator or be done via military action. When a member of the Senate

requests amendment of the form of government, other members can within a week add a different proposal. All citizens of class A will be allowed to vote on the proposals or to keep the current system. Only A-citizens will be allowed to vote.

The vote is invalid and stopped if the new proposals together win by an insufficient margin (5% of the number of A-citizens for each senator that does not support the proposal) otherwise the new round is held if no proposals gets over 50% of the votes. In a new round of voting, you can vote for the biggest of the new proposals which together received over 50% of the votes and the existing form of government.

There are no restrictions whatsoever on any changes that may be implemented, it is even allowed to reintroduce mass democracy (this is extremely stupid).

Citizen Classes.

A highest class. A0 means that you are Senator, Vice A1 senator or member of the national council, A2 that is the replacement for member of the national council or not the first deputy to the Senate, not the first A3 deputies to the National Council, other citizens of class A has A4.

B honorary citizen. There is B0 (the best) and B1.

C. citizens. C0 is given to prominent warriors and other good citizens. C1 to individuals completed military, other citizens have C2.

D non-citizen allowed within the borders, the number of non-citizens within the borders should not exceed 1% of the number of citizens.

E non-citizen not allowed within the borders.

F enemy of the race.

For a population of 100 million citizens can see the following distribution is likely to work well

A0: 15 Legal immunity(lasts up to 5 years after you are no longer A0)

A1: 85 Legal immunity(expires imminently once you are no longer A1)

A2: 115 can not get citizenship downgraded

A3: 210 can not be sentenced to corporal punishment

A4: 5000 should get over ten biological children

B0: 50000 eight children is optimal

B1: 500000 six children is optimal
C0: 5000000 four children is optimal
C1: 30000000
C2: 64444575

Only nationals of class A can be a valid deputy senator or member of local board. The senate can change which citizens that have class A, the difference(win margin) between the number of yes and no votes is required to be at least 7 and there will be a delay time of (1000, 500, 350, 250, 200, 150, 100, 50, 0) days before the change takes effect(depending on the win margin).

Citizens of Class B can be upgraded to Class A by a local board of directors. The Senate approves new B0, B1 citizens(required ordinary majority). The senate can immediately remove citizenship from an citizen of class C(a margin of 3 votes is required). A margin of 5 votes is required to downgrade a citizen of class B and there will be a delay period of (300, 225, 200, 175, 150, 125, 100, 75, 50, 25,0) days(depending on win margin).

A child inherits the same class citizens as the parent of the worst class up to A4.

Referendums and parliamentarianism

The Senate may choose to have elections to various wards and choose which Citizen classes that is required to participate in the elections. The more important choice is the higher class will be required to participate. The following are examples only:

Corresponding today's king(Sweden, England, etc) is elected by the citizens of Class C1 (or better). Citizens of class B0 or better will be allowed to vote the parliamentary elections where A-citizens is allowed to participate on lists(no party registration is required). Naturally, it shall be permissible for a person To be elected several times (if it appears several times on the list / lists).

These will not get any protection in the Constitution and may only be the power that given by the Senate. The aim is to give the stupid mass power over certain trivialities which will make the system more stable.

This system is honest because it is clear who has the real power (Senate, local boards and the A-citizens).

Selection process

Even now business offers opportunities for qualified individuals to succeed, academia and the military need to be adapted so that the selection is better. You should only be able enter the government-funded universities through entrance tests. The masses will have to use the library, internet or pay for higher education themselves.

It is important that those who lead us are the best of people, this includes beauty, physical strength and courage.

It is obvious that many in the Senate will get its place by his father sitting in the Senate, this may seem unfair but it means a lot of advantages. The system becomes stable and infiltration will be very difficult to conduct(near impossible).

There will be large deficit of women of class B1 and above, this means that women will be a final step in the selection process. The few men who manages to find a Class-A woman will then form the family where the children is born as A-citizens. Men who are sexually attractive will therefore be easier to have babies born with power and this will account for eugenics that would be very difficult for the state to conduct.

Why I oppose giving all power to one person

There are of course many examples where it has worked well because a person has all power, many businesses are built up by a single person as a leader.

The difference between a nation and a company is that if a company goes bankrupt it will be much less of a disaster than if a country goes bankrupt or is conquered by enemies.

By distributing power between several individuals greater risk can be taken when determining the members of the board, a person who is alone not alone suited to have power might still be valuable as a board member.

However, one can not compensate incompetence by numbers, if it has a senate 15 members all 15 must act in a similar way as a single leader would act, most likely they also vote the same in most cases.

The big problem with giving all power to a person is that changing the leader will be risky, sooner or later this is required since the leader will lead to die or otherwise become unfit leader. If a person has all the power you become very dependent on this person as a leader. Nationall Alliance fell apart after WLP died

(doubtful person took over) and this is hardly the only example.

By appointing a successor early and involving him in the the governance of the country an unexpected death of the senator is highly unlikely to be a major issue(especially not if the senator only had a single seat in the senate).

The constitution

Constitutions consists of three parts.

Part 0. basic ideology / religion.

Part 1. Rules for how the country should be governed.

Part 2. more concrete recommendations for how government should work.

The Constitution's purpose is of course to guide the masses and to ensure the senate has the power. The Senate itself is just a collection of individuals and it is necessary to have sufficient potential for violence on their side, it is easier to get to the masses along if you can refer to a piece of paper with text.

It is up to the people with violence potential to ensure that the constitution is followed, the history of the USA teaches us that we shouldn't put too much faith in a piece of paper.

Takeover

One can start at an early stage to establish a Senate composed of people who share our ideology, at an early stage a Senate consisting of five people (three seats each) appropriate. In the early stages the resistance needs to be decentralized viewtopic.php?f=60&t=2652

The Senate's decision must be backed up by violence potential in order for it to get real Power. It is important to establish the Senate unquestionable authority and this is not just about violence potential, giving a serious impression is also very important.

About legal immunity

I settled for a compromise, A0 and A1 citizens will only be temporarily protected against persecution, they can still be persecuted after they have been removed from their position.

For A0 citizens there is a time delay of up to 5 years before they can be convicted, the time period will be shorter if they only where a senator for a short time period.

Since the constitution and power structure isn't permanent not a single person will be safe, no matter how much power you have you can always end up in jail or become executed later.