1 2 3 4 5 6	J. Clark Law Firm, PLLC Justin Clark (#030159) 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Phone: 602-343-1884 Fax: 602-343-1801 Email: jmc@jclarklawfirm.com Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
7 8	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
9	ADULT PRINTED DIAPERS, LLC, Plaintiff,	No.:
11	VS.	COMPLAINT
12 13 14 15	REARZ, Inc., Cooshie Tooshiez, LLC D/B/A/ Crown Diapers, Faithfulness, LLC, Personal Care Medical Supplies, Northeast Mobility Center, SoftCradle.com, Daynitecare.ca, Littleforbig.com	JURY DEMAND
16 17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
2021		
22 23 24	Plaintiff Adult Printed Diapers, LLC ("APD") for its Complaint against Defendants, hereby alleges as follows:	
25 26	THE PARTIES 1. APD is an Arizona limited liability company with its principal place of business at 3658	
- 0	1	

- E. Chipman Road, Phoenix AZ 85040. APD is engaged in the business of selling diapers and incontinence pads to adults.
 - 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant Rearz, Inc. is a Canadian corporation.

- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cooshie Tooshiez, LLC D/B/A/ Crown Diapers, is a Utah limited liability company.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Faithfulness, LLC is a California limited liability company.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Personal Care Medical Supplies is an Indiana business.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant Northeast Mobility Center is a New York business.
- 7. Upon information and belief, LittleForBig.com is an unincorporated business operated by a Chinese citizen.
 - 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant Daynitecare.ca is a Canadian company.
 - 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant SoftCradle.com is a California company.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 10. This action asserts claims arising, *inter alia*, under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1), 1125(a) and 1125(c) and the U.S. Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C et seq. This court has federal question jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1121, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a) and 1338(b). This Court also has subject matter jurisdiction over APD's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367(a).
- 11. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because, *inter alia*, Defendants transact business in the State of Arizona, engage in a persistent course of conduct in the State of Arizona, expect or reasonably should expect their acts to have legal consequences in the State of Arizona, and because Defendants' acts have caused harm and continue to cause harm to APD in the State of Arizona.

12. Venue is proper in the District of Arizona pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims asserted in this action occurred in Arizona, Defendants expect or reasonably should expect their acts to have legal consequences in the District, and because Defendants' acts have caused harm and continue to cause harm to APD in the District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

ADP's Intellectual Property Rights

13. APD's products incorporate a creative and original illustration of a combination of bears, binkies, safety pins, and milk bottles. APD's products are marketed under the trademark AWW SO CUTE and the AWW SO CUTE design mark. APD owns a federal registration and common-law trademarks associated with its diaper and apparel business.

14. APD uses the mark AWW SO CUTE on product packaging to identify the source of the products it sells. The mark is displayed prominently on all product packaging for its diaper products, as shown immediately below.



15. The United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") has granted APD Trademark

Registration No. 4,839,166 for the mark AWW SO CUTE + Design for "disposable adult diapers" in International Class 5. This registration is valid and subsisting on the Principal Register (the "AWW SO CUTE Mark").

16. APD's products packaged under the AWW SO CUTE Mark are sold through a variety of channels, including on the Internet. As products that are used for health and hygiene, APD maintains strict standards for the handling, storage, and packaging of its goods.

17. The AWW SO CUTE Mark has come to be associated exclusively with APD.

18. APD is also the owner of a copyright registration for an original, creative design featuring a repeating pattern of a bear, safety pin, milk bottle, and binky entitled "Aww So Cute" (U.S. Copyright Number VA000188174, hereinafter the "Aww So Cute Print"). The Aww So Cute Print appears on all products developed and marketed by APD.

19. APD is also the owner of a common law copyright in a series of original, creative photographs featuring models wearing diapers incorporating the Aww So Cute Print and bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark. APD is in the process of registering the common law copyright for the APD Product and Model Images with the U.S. copyright office.

<u>DEFENDANTS' INFRINGEMENT OF</u> APD'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

20. On information and belief, without APD's approval or authorization, Defendant Rearz.ca contacted APD's supplier in China, Shandong Mimosa Hygienic Technology Co. Ltd. in Shandong Province, China and asked to purchase inventory that had been manufactured specifically for APD. Shandong Mimosa Hygienic Technology Co. Ltd., without APD's authorization or approval, sold the inventory that it had on-hand for APD.

21.On information and belief, Defendant Rearz.ca purchased and imported the APD inventory from Shandong Mimosa Hygienic Technology Co. Ltd. into Canada where Rearz.ca took ownership of the inventory and stored it in its warehouse. Neither APD nor its designated inspection company inspected the delivered inventory to ensure that it met APD's quality

control standards.

- 22. Defendant Rearz, Inc. has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
- 23. On information and belief, Defendant Rearz, Inc. has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD. Defendant Rearz, Inc. has used such keywords to boost traffic to Rearz, Inc. website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Rearz, Inc. and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD.
- 24. On information and belief, Defendant Rearz, Inc. has used an advertising and marketing program known as the "Mystery Box" whereby customers receive a shipment of products from Rearz.ca of products that are not disclosed upon check-out by a customer, giving the false impression to a customer that they will receive a product that will meet their needs and requirements. On at least one occasion, a customer of Rearz, Inc. received a product bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark under the "Mystery Box" program but was confused about the relationship between APD and Rearz, Inc.
- 25. Defendant Rearz, Inc.'s unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by Rearz, Inc., and as keywords in connection with Rearz, Inc. online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from Rearz, Inc. Moreover, the inclusion of APD's products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark as part of the "Mystery Box" program is likely to mislead consumers as to the quality and characteristics of genuine APD products.
- 26. On information and belief, Defendant Rearz, Inc.'s actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated

with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.

- 27. Rearz, Inc. uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target marks as APD.
- 28. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by Rearz, Inc. featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, Rearz, Inc. used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 29. On information and belief, Rearz, Inc. also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with Rearz, Inc.'s own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 30. Defendant Cooshie Tooshiez has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
- 31. On information and belief, Defendant Cooshie Tooshiez has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD. Defendant Cooshie Tooshiez has used such keywords to boost traffic to Cooshie Tooshiez website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Cooshie Tooshiez and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Rearz, Inc. and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD.
- 32. Defendant Cooshie Tooshiez's unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by Cooshie Tooshiez, and as keywords in connection with Cooshie Tooshiez online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from Cooshie

Tooshiez.

- 33. On information and belief, Defendant Cooshie Tooshiez's actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.
- 34. Cooshie Tooshiez uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.
- 35. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by Cooshie Tooshiez featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, Cooshie Tooshiez used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 36. On information and belief, Cooshie Tooshiez also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with Cooshie Tooshiez's own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 37. Defendant Faithfulness, LLC has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
- 38. On information and belief, Defendant Faithfulness, LLC has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD. Defendant Faithfulness, LLC has used such keywords to boost traffic to Faithfulness, LLC website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Faithfulness, LLC and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Rearz, Inc. and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD.
 - 39. Defendant Faithfulness, LLC's unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and

- 1 confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by
 2 Faithfulness, LLC, and as keywords in connection with Faithfulness, LLC online advertising, is
 3 likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from
 4 Faithfulness, LLC.
 - 40. On information and belief, Defendant Faithfulness, LLC's actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.

- 41. Faithfulness, LLC uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.
- 42. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by Faithfulness, LLC featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, Faithfulness, LLC used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 43. On information and belief, Faithfulness, LLC also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with Faithfulness, LLC's own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 44. Defendant SoftCradle.com has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
- 45. On information and belief, Defendant SoftCradle.com has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD. Defendant SoftCradle.com has used such keywords to boost traffic to SoftCradle.com website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for SoftCradle.com and a

corresponding decrease in sales for APD.

- 46. Defendant SoftCradle.com's unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by SoftCradle.com, and as keywords in connection with SoftCradle.com online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from SoftCradle.com.
- 47. On information and belief, Defendant SoftCradle.com's actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.
- 48. SoftCradle.com uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.
- 49. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by SoftCradle.com featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, SoftCradle.com used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 50. On information and belief, SoftCradle.com also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with SoftCradle.com's own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 51. Defendant Northeast Mobility Center has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
- 52. On information and belief, Defendant Northeast Mobility Center has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD. Defendant Northeast Mobility Center has used such

keywords to boost traffic to Northeast Mobility Center website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Northeast Mobility Center and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD.

- 53. Defendant Northeast Mobility Center's unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by Northeast Mobility Center, and as keywords in connection with Northeast Mobility Center online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from Northeast Mobility Center.
- 54. On information and belief, Defendant Northeast Mobility Center's actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.
- 55. Northeast Mobility Center uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.
- 56. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by Northeast Mobility Center featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, Northeast Mobility Center used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 57. On information and belief, Northeast Mobility Center also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with Northeast Mobility Center's own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 58. Defendant Personal Care Medical Supplies has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
 - 59. On information and belief, Defendant Personal Care Medical Supplies has used the

AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD. Defendant Personal Care Medical Supplies has used such keywords to boost traffic to Personal Care Medical Supplies website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Personal Care Medical Supplies and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD.

- 60. Defendant Personal Care Medical Supplies' unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by Personal Care Medical Supplies, and as keywords in connection with Personal Care Medical Supplies online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from Personal Care Medical Supplies.
- 61. On information and belief, Defendant Personal Care Medical Supplies' actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.
- 62. Personal Care Medical Supplies uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.
- 63. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by Personal Care Medical Supplies featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, Personal Care Medical Supplies used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
- 64. On information and belief, Personal Care Medical Supplies also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with Personal Care Medical Supplies' own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without

permission from APD.

- 65. Defendant LittleForBig.com has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark without APD's approval or authorization.
- 66. On information and belief, Defendant LittleForBig.com has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD.. Defendant LittleForBig.com has used such keywords to boost traffic to LittleForBig.com website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for LittleForBig.com and a corresponding decrease in sales for APD.
- 67. Defendant LittleForBig.com's unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by LittleForBig.com, and as keywords in connection with LittleForBig.com online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from LittleForBig.com.
- 68. On information and belief, Defendant LittleForBig.com's actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.
- 69. LittleForBig.com uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.
- 70. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by LittleForBig.com featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, LittleForBig.com used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.
 - 71. On information and belief, LittleForBig.com also used and redistributed images of APD

Product and Model Images in connection with LittleForBig.com's own advertising, including on 2 websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product 3 and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD. 4 72. Defendant Daynitecare.ca has purchased and sold products bearing the AWW SO CUTE 5 Mark without APD's approval or authorization. 6 73. On information and belief, Defendant Daynitecare.ca has used the AWW SO CUTE Mark and other variations of the AWW SO CUTE mark as a keyword in several Internet 8 keyword advertising programs, without APD's approval or authorization and furthermore did not properly attribute the mark to APD.. Defendant Daynitecare.ca has used such keywords to 10 boost traffic to Daynitecare.ca website to the exclusion of APD's websites and distribution 11 channels. Such activities have resulted in increased sales revenue for Daynitecare.ca and a 12 corresponding decrease in sales for APD. 13 14 15

74. Defendant Daynitecare.ca's unauthorized use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark, and confusingly similar variations thereof, on product packaging for products shipped by Daynitecare.ca, and as keywords in connection with Daynitecare.ca online advertising, is likely to confuse, mislead, and deceive consumers as to the source of products available from Daynitecare.ca.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

75. On information and belief, Defendant Daynitecare.ca's actions are willful and reflect an intent to confuse consumers and profit from the goodwill and consumer recognition associated with APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark.

76. Daynitecare.ca uses some of the same channels of distribution and directs products to the same target markets as APD.

77. In addition to bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark, each APD product sold by Daynitecare.ca featured the Aww So Cute Print. On information and belief, Daynitecare.ca used and redistributed the Aww So Cute Print in advertising for APD's products, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The Aww So

Cute Print was used and redistributed without permission from APD.

78. On information and belief, Daynitecare.ca also used and redistributed images of APD Product and Model Images in connection with Daynitecare.ca's own advertising, including on websites, without APD's authorization and without proper attribution to APD. The APD Product and Model Images were used and redistributed without permission from APD.

79. At all relevant times, none of the Defendants have ever (1) been an owner of the AWW SO CUTE Mark, the Aww So Cute Print, or the APD Product and Model Images, (2) been a licensee of either the AWW SO CUTE Mark, the Aww So Cute Print, or the APD Product and Model Images, or (3) sought or obtained permission from Aww So Cute, Inc. or APD to use the AWW SO CUTE Mark, the Aww So Cute Print, or the APD Product and Model Images on any products or marketing materials associated with those products.

CLAIMS

COUNT I: FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT UNDER 15 U.S.C. §1141(1) (Against All Defendants)

80. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all matters previously set forth herein.

- 81. Defendants' unauthorized and willful use of AWW SO CUTE's federally registered trademark in connection with the unauthorized re-sale of AWW SO CUTE-branded products constitutes use in commerce. Such use infringes APD's exclusive rights in its federally registered AWW SO CUTE Mark, explicitly misleads consumers as to the source or sponsorship of the Defendants' businesses, and has caused and is likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception as to the source of the Defendants' products, which are operated solely by Defendants.
- 82. The aforesaid acts of Defendants, namely, the unauthorized and willful use of APD's registered mark in connection with the sale of products constitutes trademark infringement in violation of Section 32(1) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).
- 83. The aforesaid acts of Defendants have caused and, unless said acts are restrained by this Court, will continue to cause APD to suffer irreparable injury.

- 84. On information and belief, the Defendants were unjustly enriched by all of the acts alleged in this Count I.
- 85. Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the unauthorized and use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement of each of the Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' infringement of the AWW SO CUTE Mark an amount to be established at trial.
- 86. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard to APD's proprietary rights.
- 87. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants, and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed AWW SO CUTE Mark which renders Defendants, and each of them liable for damages as described herein.

COUNT II:

UNFAIR COMPETITION, FALSE ENDORSEMENT AND FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A) (Against All Defendants)

- 88. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all matters previously set forth herein.
- 89. Through use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark in connection with the sale of products, Defendants are knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting and falsely designating to the general public the affiliation, connection, association, origin, source, sponsorship, endorsement, and approval of Defendants' businesses, and intend to misrepresent and falsely designate to the general public the affiliation, connection, association, origin, source, approval, endorsement, or

sponsorship of the Defendants' businesses so as to create a likelihood of confusion by the public as to the affiliation, connection, association, origin, source, approval, endorsement, and sponsorship of the Defendants' businesses.

- 90. The aforesaid acts of Defendants constitute false endorsement, false designation of origin, and unfair competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(A).
- 91. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts of Defendants, APD has been damaged and has suffered and will continue to suffer immediate and irreparable injury.
- 92. On information and belief, the Defendants were unjustly enriched by all of the acts alleged in this Count II.
- 93. Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the unauthorized and use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement of each of the Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' infringement of the AWW SO CUTE Mark an amount to be established at trial.
- 94. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard to APD's proprietary rights.
- 95. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants, and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed AWW SO CUTE Mark which renders Defendants, and each of them liable for damages as described herein.

COUNT III: FALSE ADVERTISING UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1)(B) (Against Defendant Rearz, Inc.)

- 96. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all matters previously set forth herein.

97. Through use of APD's AWW SO CUTE Mark in connection with its "Mystery Box"

- _ |

1125(a)(1)(B).

- program, Defendant Rearz, Inc. is knowingly and intentionally misrepresenting the nature, characteristics, and qualities of the Defendants' business, and intend to misrepresent the nature, characteristics, and qualities of the Defendants' products so as to create a likelihood of
- confusion by the public as to the nature, characteristics, and qualities of the Defendants'
- products.

 98. The aforesaid acts of Defendants constitute false advertising in violation of 15 U.S.C. §
- 99. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing acts of Defendants, APD has been damaged and suffered and will continue to suffer immediately and irreparable injury.
- 100. On information and belief, the Defendants were unjustly enriched by all of the acts alleged in this Count II.
- Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the unauthorized and use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement of each of the Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' infringement of the AWW SO CUTE Mark an amount to be established at trial.
- 102. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard to APD's proprietary rights.
- APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants, and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed AWW SO CUTE Mark which renders Defendants, and each of them liable for damages as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

18

19

21

22

23

25

described herein. COUNT IV: COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 101, et seq. (Against All Defendants) APD re-alleges and incorporates by reference all matters previously set forth 104. herein. 105. At all times relevant hereto, APD has been and still is the holder of the exclusive rights under the Copyright Act of 1976 (17 USC §§ 101 et seq. ("Copyright Act"), and all amendments thereto) to reproduce, distribute or license the reproduction and distribution of the Aww So Cute Print and the APD Product and Model Images. 106. The Aww So Cute Print and APD Product and Model Images are original works created by APD, copyrightable under the Copyright Act. 107. An registration for APD's Copyrights in the Aww So Cute Print has been duly 13 granted by the United States Copyright Office; all applicable formalities and notice requirements 14 under the Copyright Act have been duly complied with; and APD has thereby secured and now owns the exclusive right and privilege to enforce its rights in such Copyright. 16 108. An application for registration of APD Product and Model Images copyright has 17 been duly filed in the United States Copyright Office; all applicable formalities and notice requirements under the Copyright Act have been duly complied with; and APD has thereby secured and now owns the exclusive right and privilege to enforce its rights in such Copyright. 20 109. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, acquired possession of adult printed diapers bearing the Aww So Cute Print, and have distributed the same for sale and/or use in the United States and elsewhere. 110. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of 24 them, acquired possession of electronic files representing the APD Product and Model Images, and have distributed the same in the United States and elsewhere. 26

- 1
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6

- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12 13

- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

- 111. Defendants and each of them have infringed APD's exclusive rights in the Aww So Cute Print and the APD Product and Model Images by displaying the same and/or by distributing, advertising, selling, and/or offering for sale products bearing the Aww So Cute
- Print without authorization by APD or its predecessors in interest.
- 112. Each infringing sale of products bearing the Aww So Cute Print and the APD
- Product and Model Images, as well as the threat of continuing the same, constitutes a separate
- claim against each of the Defendants under the Copyright Act. APD has sustained, and will
- continue to sustain, substantial damages to the value of the Aww So Cute Print and APD
- Product and Model Images in that the previously described activities of each of the Defendants
- have directly diminished and will continue to diminish the revenue that APD would otherwise
- receive.
 - 113. Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the
- unauthorized and illegal distribution and use of the Aww So Cute Print and APD Product and
- 14 Model Images. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement of each of the Defendants' profits
 - directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' infringement of the Aww So Cute
 - Print and APD Product and Model Images an amount to be established at trial.
 - 114. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of
 - infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard
 - to APD's proprietary rights.
 - 115. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of
 - them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts
- of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and
- malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants,
- and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed
- APD's Aww So Cute Print and APD Product and Model Images which renders Defendants, and
 - each of them liable for damages as described herein.

<u>COUNT V – Tortious Interference With Prospective Economic Advantage</u> (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous paragraphs.
- 117. Plaintiff had a prospective economic advantage from the prospective sale of its branded products.
- 118. Defendants improperly interfered with Plaintiff's prospective advantage by misappropriating Plaintiff's intellectual property and reducing Plaintiff's sale of its branded products.
 - 119. Defendants' conduct was intentional.
- 120. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered pecuniary loss and will incur expenses pursuing these claims.
- Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the unauthorized and use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement of each of the Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' infringement of the AWW SO CUTE Mark an amount to be established at trial.
- 122. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard to APD's proprietary rights.
- 123. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants, and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed AWW SO CUTE Mark which renders Defendants, and each of them liable for damages as described herein.

1 2 **COUNT VI – Conversion** 3 124. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous paragraphs. 4 Plaintiff had substantial value in its intellectual property. 125. 5 126. Defendants improperly converted Plaintiff's intellectual property for their own use 6 and exercised unauthorized and wrongful dominion or control over Plaintiff's intellectual 7 property. 8 127. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff was deprived of full control over its 9 intellectual property and the value derived therefrom. 10 128. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered pecuniary loss and will incur expenses pursuing these claims. 11 12 129. Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the 13 unauthorized and use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement 14 of each of the Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' 15 infringement of the AWW SO CUTE Mark an amount to be established at trial. 16 130. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of 17 infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard 18 to APD's proprietary rights. 19 131. APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of 20 them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts 21 of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and 22 malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants, 23 and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed 24 AWW SO CUTE Mark which renders Defendants, and each of them liable for damages as described herein. 25 26 **COUNT VII – Unjust Enrichment**

(Against All Defendants)

- 132. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all previous paragraphs.
- 133. On information and belief, Defendants wrongfully used Plaintiff's intellectual property for their own gain without Plaintiff's authorization.
 - 134. Plaintiff's intellectual property had substantial value.
- On information and belief, Defendants were enriched by the unauthorized use of Plaintiff's intellectual property.
- 136. Plaintiff was impoverished by Defendant's conduct including without limitation suffering pecuniary loss and incurring expenses pursuing these claims.
- Defendants and each of them have realized unlawful and unjust profits from the unauthorized and use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark. As such, APD is entitled to disgorgement of each of the Defendants' profits directly and indirectly attributable to each of the Defendants' infringement of the AWW SO CUTE Mark an amount to be established at trial.
- 138. Defendants and each of them have committed all of the aforesaid acts of infringement deliberately, willfully, intentionally, maliciously, and oppressively, without regard to APD's proprietary rights.
- APD is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that Defendants, and each of them, have acted with knowledge and/or reckless disregard of APD's rights such that their acts of copyright infringement as alleged above were, and continue to be, willful, intentional and malicious, subjecting Defendants, and each of them, to liability therefore. Further, Defendants, and each of them, willfully and intentionally misappropriated, palmed-off and/or infringed AWW SO CUTE Mark which renders Defendants, and each of them liable for damages as described herein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. Judgment in favor of APD and against Defendants as to each of the above Counts.
- 2. That APD be granted injunctive relief under 15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et seq*. that Defendants and all of their respective officers, agents, servants, representatives, employees, attorneys, and all other persons acting in concert with them be enjoined from:
 - a. Using the AWW SO CUTE Mark, or any mark confusingly similar to the AWW SO CUTE Mark, in connection with the marketing, promotion, advertising, sale, or distribution of any foreign language software products or services;
 - b. Directly or indirectly engaging in false advertising or promotions of APD's products;
 - c. Making or inducing others to make any false, misleading or deceptive statement of fact, or representation of fact in connection with the promotion, advertisement, packaging, display, sale, offering for sale, manufacture, production, circulation or distribution of APD's products by making false representations regarding APD's products.
- 3. Defendants pay damages incurred by APD as a result of the trademark infringement, false designation of origin, false endorsement, false advertising, and deceptive practices, including, but not limited to, in the case of Defendants' violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a), treble damages and attorney's fees, in an amount to be proven at trial, and in any case exceeding the jurisdictional threshold of diversity jurisdiction.
- 4. An accounting be ordered to determine the profits realized by Defendants due to the unauthorized use of the AWW SO CUTE Mark and Defendants' other infringing activities in the operation of their businesses;
- 5. Defendants be required to deliver up to APD all goods, signs, packaging, literature, advertising, and other materials bearing the AWW SO CUTE Mark or any confusingly similar name or mark, or colorable imitation thereof, used in connection with the Defendants' businesses;

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

- 6. For an order authorizing the United States Marshal, Plaintiff's authorized agents, the state and local police, and/or any persons working under their supervision, to seize and impound any and all computer discs, drives, servers, hardware or software containing or allowing unlawful access to any products containing the Aww So Cute Prints;
- 7. Defendants be required to remove all AWW SO CUTE trademarks and any other elements likely to cause confusion with APD's website from Defendants' websites, URL, HTML code, search engine query terms, search engine advertising keywords, and any other electronic communications hosts, links, and devices;
- 8. Defendants be ordered to pay costs of this action, including attorney's fees incurred by APD in connection with Defendants' infringement; and
- 9. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 1 day of February, 2018.

J. Clark Law Firm, PLLC

By: /s/Justin Clark/s/
Justin M. Clark
40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1400
Phoenix, AZ 85004
Attorney for Plaintiff