

Passenger Service Report #561851	<u> </u>		M SFMTA
CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone Phone	Er	mail	
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGE	ENCE	Type 201 PASSU	P/DIDNT W8
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 49 VAN NESS-MIS	SSION	Direction INBOU	IND
Incident Date 01-25-2019		Incident Time 15	5:22
Vehicle Number 6670		Location MARKE	ET ST VAN NESS AVE SAN
Department FLN OPS Division FLYNN			
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description n/a			
Incident Details Per Muni patron. It was get on at the back door. I couldnt get on. the stop on the side of the Walgreens goir there I saw the bus number. They should	The door shut. He was still the ng north. I hit my cane on the si	ere and he wouldnt let me de of the bus. While he	e on. I was at

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 01-25-2019

Date Closed 07-29-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone	Email	
Address		
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 201 PASSUP/DIDNT W8	
ADA? X	Title VI? Other Dis	c.
Trapeze Line/Route 14R MISSION RAPID	Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 02-06-2019	Incident Time 13:30	
Vehicle Number 6615	Location MISSION AND 2ND NEAR	NEW M
Department FLN OPS	Division FLYNN	
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description Caucasian, blonde, tall, slim		

Incident Details Per Muni patron: The 14R refused to pick me up-I have a buggy with my service dogs. He would not put out the lift or open the front door. He told me to go to back door. I told him it was too high-I can't lift the buggy that high. I'm disabled; I have a buggy and 2 service dogs. I asked him, I asked him to please lower the lift and put out ramp. He told me to get on at back. And drove away. He was really nasty.

And a girl standing there, getting on the bus hit me. She went around between the bus stop and garbage can. She had a buggy. I was coming around to get in back of her. I rode the space between the road curb and area around large garbage cans, you know they are the nice ones, round and brown....don't look like a garbage can. I pushed the buggy in front of her and slightly bumped her wheel. I said excuse me. She started screaming at me, called me old woman and a bitch. FU and then hit me. I'm ok. She assaulted me. She said you hit me first. She got on the bus.

The driver had had blondish hair. He was sitting but looked tall, slim, Anglo-Saxon caucasian.

Both of these issues happened with the bus right in front of 7213.

Additional info. from customer via email on 2/27/19: The driver pointed, directing at me to enter the same entrance she had gotten on which was one space down from the front door. I considered this young woman to be dangerous and didn't want to get in the same door. Perhaps more importantly I was/am physically incapable of lifting my Service Dog buggy high up and up stairs into the bus floor. The driver kept the first door shut, when I tried to tell him I couldn't enter this door, he just drove away. The driver is blond looked like maybe he was tall and of medium build. Perhaps about 50 yrs old

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS	
Date Logged 02-06-2019	
Date Closed 07-11-2019	
Resolution Code	



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 209 NO PULL TO CURB	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 44 O SHAUGHNESSY		Direction INBOU	JND
Incident Date 02-07-2019		Incident Time 1	7:42
Vehicle Number 8646		Location DIAMOND ST BOSWORTH ST SAI	
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Male driver, unsure of	details- patrons ey	esight is poor	

Incident Details ADA Patron reports: "I was standing at the bus stop closest to the diamond street side of the shelter. A 52 bus came around and stopped there- I was checking the Nextbus information on my phone and it said that there was a 44 arriving. I looked back and the bus wasnt there. I then walked down to see but there were people in my way. There were people in the way in the shelter and they did not move out of my way. By the time I got to the bus he was closing his doors. He was not at the bus zone- he was in the street which is why I couldnt see him. I got to the doors and knocked and he just pulled ahead. I yelled in case he couldnt see me- and I was left standing there with my cane. The driver had to stop for the light and I went up to the door and knocked again and refused to let me on. He then told that since I knocked on the door I jeopardized his bus and that was why he wouldnt let me on. He also told me that he had passed a later bus and there was another bus right behind him. I said that I couldnt see it but he said it was there. I felt like I was going to be left at the station for who knows how long. My eyesight is bad and it was difficult for me to get the number of the bus. This has been an ongoing problem- when the buses stop so far from the sidewalk we cannot see the bus. Please contact me by email to schedule an accessibility hearing."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 02-07-2019

Date Closed 02-12-2019

Resolution Code

Printed:



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name				
Phone	En	nail		
Address	~ ~ ~			
INCIDENT DETAIL				
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 201 PASSU	P/DIDNT W8	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route T THIRD BUS		Direction INBOU	IND	
Incident Date 02-11-2019		Incident Time 0	9:24	
Vehicle Number 8316		Location 3RD ST	Location 3RD ST REVERE AVE SAN FRANCE	
Department GRN OPS		Division GREEN	Division GREEN	
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description MALE				

Incident Details PATRON STATES: "The T shuttle bus that just stopped at 3rd and Revere, which I have been waiting at for quite some time, stopped. The driver stopped at the bus stop but lets 7 people get on the bus and says that I can wait for the next bus. He can read and I can read and we both know that people in the wheelchair deserve a priority. It says there on the bus. I want this driver to be dealt with. He needs to understand that people in a wheelchair deserve priority."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 02-11-2019

Date Closed 03-13-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL				
Name				
Phone		Email		
Address	~			
INCIDENT DETAIL				
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 201 PASSU	P/DIDNT W8	
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 54 FELTON		Direction OUTBO	DUND	
Incident Date 02-22-2019		Incident Time 1	Incident Time 16:18	
Vehicle Number 8635		Location 3RD ST	Location 3RD ST INNES AVE SAN FRANCIS	
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	Division WOODS	
Employee ID				
Employee Physical Description Asian male	e, no hair			

Incident Details Patron states: "When the bus leaves Newhall between Innes and Hudson, the next stop is 3rd and Innes heading to Daly City. The driver stopped in the intersection and let somebody get on the bus which is not an authorized stop. I was at the authorized stop, I was waving at the driver so we can board, he rolls right by. Fortunately at the corner of Jerrold and 3rd he caught a red light I was able to catch up with him, which I should not have to do, he should have stopped at the proper stop. The next time he sees me well be in a hearing room on the 3rd. I am in a wheelchair."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 02-22-2019

Date Closed 03-15-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone Ema	iil	
Address		9.1
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT	Type 301 DISCO	URTESY
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 19 POLK	Direction OUTB	OUND
Incident Date 03-01-2019	Incident Time 1	2:20
Vehicle Number 8102	Location 8TH ST	MARKET ST SAN FRANCI
Department KIR OPS	Division KIRKLA	AND
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description Female driver, African american		

Incident Details ADA Patron states: "I boarded the bus 8th and Market and there were two people who had to take two walkers off the bus. The driver was already frustrated and yelled at me to hurry up. I asked for the lift and she was upset and was acting rude- I think that she didnt want to do it again since she already had to do it twice for the other people. Once I got inside she yelled at me to hurry up and get off the lift. She pushed the walker off the lift while I was using it. After this happened I asked her why she was acting this way and told her that I was going to report her. She said that she didnt care. Another passenger then told me that the driver wont lose her job and wanted to know why I was going to report her."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 03-01-2019

Date Closed 04-08-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 207 REF KN	NEEL/LOW
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 43 MASONIC	7	Direction OUTB	OUND
Incident Date 03-03-2019		Incident Time 11:50	
Vehicle Number 8635		Location LAGUN	IA ST CHESTNUT ST SAN F
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	S
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Female, Black woman, m	nay be in late 20s	s or early 30s	

Incident Details Patron states the bus number is 8635 The driver stopped at least two feet from the curb. I have an unseen disability with my knee. I am 75 years old."I asked the driver could she please open the ramp for me?". The driver said "no". "I asked why?" and she said "I can only open the ramp for people in wheelchairs" I said really, drivers do it for me all the time. The driver said I can pull the bus closer and I said thats fine. I appreciate that, but I dont think not opening the ramp was the right thing to do. Basically my complaint is that she refused to open the ramp for me, I am seventy five years old and I have the knee problem which is unseen. Patron states this is ADA related.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 03-03-2019

Date Closed 05-14-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 210 REF SVC ANIMAL		/C ANIMAL
ADA? X	Title	Title VI? Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 27 BRYANT	Dire	ction	
Incident Date 03-10-2019	Incid	Incident Time 09:58	
Vehicle Number 8874	Location WASHINGTON ST HYDE ST SAM		
Department WDS OPS	Division WOODS		
Employee ID			
			a

Employee Physical Description African American male with mustache, extremely short hair, heavy set in his 30s, about 5

Incident Details Patron stated that "I have a disability and I boarded the 27 bus to go downtown from Washington and Hyde. I told the bus driver that my dog was a service dog and he started questioning if I needed a service dog and he started getting really angry. He kept getting angrier and said you can get off of my bus! I even offered to show him my disability card. He was not wearing his uniform he was wearing a gray hoodie so I asked him for his number. Then he ended up taking the whole bus out of service after 3 stops just after Trader Joe's and made people get off because he said he wasn't comfortable with me. I can see if I was a crack head or drunk and disorderly but I'm a nice kind person and I'm not any trouble at all. I really hope someone takes a look at this and takes this seriously. I don't know what it is about this line but there a few rotten eggs on this line and there is training needed but there are some really good drivers too. I really think this guy needs some sort of disciplinary action. It's not kind policy and not legal. I would really love it if he did not drive this line anymore. I would like someone to review the video and contact me back about it."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 03-10-2019

Date Closed 03-15-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone	Email	
Address		
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category UNSAFE OPERATION	Type 107 FALL WITH INJURY	
ADA? X	Title VI? Other Dis	sc. X
Trapeze Line/Route 5 FULTON	Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 03-15-2019	Incident Time 15:45	
Vehicle Number 8601	Location MCALLISTER ST LARKIN	ST SAN
Department WDS OPS	Division WOODS	
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description thin build, Chine	se male, about 45 yrs	

Incident Details Patron stated, " I go the front door because I saw two people got off and he said slammed the front door on my arm , he said you have to use the back door and because the door was closed I removed my arm and I walked to the back door and got on , I then walked up to the front of the bus and I asked him for his number and he wouldnt give it to me and I saw it on his uniform. This was meanness and I want to pursue this. This was a mean thing to do to a senior citizen."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 03-15-2019

Date Closed 06-07-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone	Email	
Address		4.1
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 202 IGN ST	P REQUEST
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route N JUDAH	Direction INBOU	JND
Incident Date 04-08-2019	Incident Time 10:58	
Vehicle Number 2056	2056 Location CABRILLO ST LA PLAYA S	
Department GRN OPS	tment GRN OPS Division GREEN	
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description Male - medium built	with crop top hair cut.	
		500 D T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T

Incident Details Patron states: Yes, I want Muni to call me and I want a copy of this complaint send to me by mail as my internet is not working. I am disable and can hardly walk. I waved at the operator while I was heading over to the ramp at La Playa/Cabrillo to board. He looked at me and saw me going all the way around to get to the ramp. He did not open door. Someone hold the door open to let me on. I was only taking the train for x2 stops. He said I did not pulled bell to request my stop, which I did, and dropped me off at the stop after. Now I have to walk to my intentional stop. Muni operator should be assume whether a person is handicap just by looking at the appearance! It was the train right before vehicle no. 1490B.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 04-08-2019

Date Closed 05-06-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone	Email Email	
Address		
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 201 PASSU	JP/DIDNT W8
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route F MARKET & WHARVES	Direction INBOU	JND
Incident Date 04-20-2019	Incident Time 1	0:55
Vehicle Number 1079	Location 5TH	AND MARKET/
Department GRN OPS	Division GREEN	1
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description Possibly a black male		
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS		
Date Logged 04-20-2019		
Date Closed 08-07-2019		
Resolution Code		



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone Phone	Email		
Address			2.7
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 201 PASSU	IP/DIDNT W8
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc. X
Trapeze Line/Route N JUDAH	7	Direction INBOU	JND
Incident Date 05-02-2019		Incident Time 1	2:40
Vehicle Number 1528		Location JUDAH ST LA PLAYA SAN FRANC	
Department GRN OPS		Division GREEN	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description			

Incident Details Patron stated: "Going up ramp at end of N Judah. There was a lady IFO me with a stroller, the guy saw me and he shut the door on me. Im tired of this its discrimination. I tried to run but I cant run fast, I was waving but he pretended not to see me I pressed the button and he still took off."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-02-2019

Date Closed 07-26-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone	Email	
Address		
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGEN	Type 201 PASSUP/DIDNT W8	
ADA? X	Title VI? Other Disc. X	
Trapeze Line/Route N JUDAH	Direction INBOUND	
Incident Date 05-12-2019	Incident Time 18:25	
Vehicle Number 1415	Location LA PLAYA JUDAH ST SAN FRA	
Department GRN OPS	Division GREEN	
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description heavyse	african american male goatee & mustache	

them for distress and discrimination."

05/21/19: Not Title VI, box unchecked, no Title VI basis for complaint. I am forwarding to customer Service for further processing. KLB

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-12-2019

Date Closed 07-26-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CON	IDUCT	Type 301 DISCO	URTESY
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 49 VAN NESS-MISSION		Direction OUTB	OUND
Incident Date 05-22-2019		Incident Time 1	6:50
Vehicle Number 6714		Location OTIS S	T./S. VAN NESS
Department FLN OPS		Division FLYNN	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Middle-aged male with dark hai	r and tan I	nat	

Incident Details 1. THIS IS AN ADA COMPLAINT. PLEASE MAKE AN ADA HEARING FOR THIS COMPLAINT.

2. I AM FORMALLY REQUESTING ALL VIDEO FOOTAGE DURING THE TIME OF THIS INCIDENT. IN THE PAST MUNI / SFMTA HAS NEGLECTED TO PROVIDE THIS FOOTAGE WITHOUT ADDITIONAL COMPLAINTS. PLEASE DO NOT MAKE ME FILE MORE COMPLAINTS, I HAVE A RIGHT TO THE RECORDED VIDEO FOOTAGE.

I am a disabled wheelchair using MUNI customer.

I was waiting to board the 49 outbound bus today at Otis Street and S. Van Ness to go home between 4 - 5pm. I had already waited for other buses and was patiently waiting for a bus to be not too full or not have other wheelchair passengers so that I could return home after my doctors appointment. I was in a lot of pain and needed to get back into bed to rest and take my medication.

When the 49 bus, number 6714 arrived, the driver did not even pull up to the curb, which required me to go into the street to try and board. The driver then told me the bus was too full and that there were seniors occupying the seats. There were no wheelchair passengers on the bus that I could see. I pointed out to the driver that many of the passengers in the senior and disabled area did not look like seniors (over the age of 65).

The entire right side of the bus looked like it was unoccupied by seniors. In fact, one of the passengers in the senior seating even took the time to get out of the disability seating and step off the bus to yell at me for holding up the bus. The driver of course did nothing about this.

While talking to the driver another passenger came up to the front of the bus and said "you stupid motherfucker, get the fuck out of here." The driver did nothing about this either and continued to blame me. The driver then took the bus out of commission and said, "Im calling." I asked the driver who he was calling but he refused to answer that question. While asking the driver to get on the bus, another bus drove around us and away, so I could not get on that one either. I pointed this out to the driver and he blamed me, clapping his hands

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-22-2019

Date Closed 11-15-2019



SFMTA - Muni Complaint

Enter Personal Details > Enter Service Request Details > Review & Submit > Attach Photo(s) / File(s) > Print & Track

Successfully Submitted

Your Tracking Number is: 10898402

May 22 2019 11:44PM

Please print a copy for your records. You may close your browser when done.

Customer Contact Information:

First Name: Last Name: Primary Phone: Alternate Phone: Email Address: Address: City: State: Zip Code:



Request Details:

Category: Conduct - Discourteous/Insensitive/Inappropriate Conduct

Type: 301 Discourtesy to Customer

Expected Response Time:

I have read the disclaimer:

nare read the blastamen

Veh de Number: 6714

Employee ID:

Employee Physical Description: Middle-aged male with dark hair and tan hat

Line/Route: 49 - VAN NESS/MISSION Outbound toward City College via Civic Center and Miss on district.

Incident Date/Time: 05/22/2019 04:50 PM

Location: Otis st.

Cross Street: S. Van Ness

Details:

1. THIS IS AN ADA COMPLAINT. PLEASE MAKE AN ADA HEARING FOR THIS COMPLAINT. 2, I AM FORMALLY REQUESTING ALL VIDEO FOOTAGE DURING THE TIME OF THIS INCIDENT. IN THE PAST MUNI / SFATA HAS NEGLECTED TO PROVIDE THIS FOOTAGE WITHOUT ADDITIONAL COMPLAINTS. PLEASE DO NOT MAKE ME FILE MORE COMPLAINTS, I HAVE A RIGHT TO THE RECORDED VIDEO FOOTAGE. I am a disabled wheelchair using MUNI customer. I was waiting to board the 49 outbound bus today at Otis Street and S. Van Ness to go home between 4 - 5pm. I had already waited for other buses and was patiently waiting for a bus to be not too full or not have other wheelchair passengers so that I could return home after my doctors appointment. I was in a lot of pain and needed to get back into bed to rest and take my medication. When the 49 bus, number 6714 arrived, the driver d d not even pull up to the curb, which required me to go into the street to try and board. The driver then told me the bus was too full and that there were sen ors occupying the seats. There were no wheelchair passengers on the bus that I could see. I pointed out to the driver that many of the passengers in the senior and disabled area did not look like seniors (over the age of 65). The entire right's de of the bus looked like it was unoccupied by sen ors. In fact, one of the passengers in the sen or seating even took the time to get out of the disability seating and step off the bus to yell at me for holding up the bus. The driver of course did nothing about this. While talking to the driver monther passenger came up to the front of the bus and said "you stup d motherfucker, get the fuck out of here." The driver of out he driver who he was calling but he refused to answer that question. While asking the driver to get on the bus, another bus drove around us and away, so I could not get on the tone either. I pointed this out to the driver and he blamed me, clapping his hands in a mocking gesture and said "kudos to you!" I believe the driver volated MUNI conduct by:

1. Not asking people to vacate seats to

Is your feedback regarding an Ye alleged Amer cans with Disabilities Act (ADA) violation?

If your feedback is related to the Yes conduct of a Muni Operator in relation to your ADA v olat on, would you like to receive information regarding neutral accessibility hearings?

T tle VI: D d the customer mention No discriminat on based on race, color, or national origin?

Do you want to be contacted by Yes

SSP_MUNI_MTA_Feedback

Back Office Fields		
Other: Did the customer ment on discriminat on based on gender ident ty, sexual affiliation, age, appearance, etc.?	Yes - No	
BACK OFFICE USE ONLY	***************************************	
Source Agency Request Number:		
Responsible Agency Request Number:		
Service Request Work Status:	closed	
Work Status Updated:	2019-11-15T11 03:04	
		Prini Submiii



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone Email	it I E	
Address		
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT	Type 306 GEN U	NPROF CONDU
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 12 FOLSOM-PACIFIC	Direction OUTB	OUND
Incident Date 05-20-2019	Incident Time 1	7:45
Vehicle Number 8918	Location HARRI	SON/FOURTH
Department KIR OPS	Division KIRKLA	ND
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description Male, Black, glasses, scruffy beard		

Incident Details Driver very arrogant and uncooperative to me, a person using a wheelchair for mobility.

Refused to kneel the bus to lessen the incline on the ramp and avoid tipping backward.

When I was unable to breach the top of the ramp and was stalled, your driver at in his seat and, knowing a kneel would have better enabled the onboarding, callously asked me, "Cmon now. What you gonna do know?".

After an unnecessarily difficult effort to board, your driver was prone to being argumentative and profane in speaking to me, a disabled rider, using the word "fuck" more than once.

Finally, he did not offer to help me with any of the securements on the bus. I informed him I will be reporting his conduct to SFMTA and took a picture of the bus number to document it and the date and time of the incident.

I can only hope that this driver receives punitive interventions and retraining in conduct and courtesy towards all riders. It is drivers like him that make MUNI a harsh and unsafe mode of transportation.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-25-2019

Date Closed 06-24-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 208 NO PRIOR SEATS		
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route T THIRD BUS	Direction IN	NBOUND	
Incident Date 05-28-2019	Incident Tim	ne 10:35	
Vehicle Number 8316	Location RI	Location REVERE AVE 3RD ST SAN FRANC	
Department GRN OPS	Division GF	REEN	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description			

Incident Details Customer stated "Ive been waiting for 45 mins for the bus. When the bus pulled up, the driver said "I have a wheelchair already". I insisted on getting on because Ive already waited for 45 mins and Im not waiting for another bus. There was another muni operator inside the bus, but he didnt even assist me in getting people out of the way. Muni had 100 days to master this T bus shuttle, it should be going much better this time around. Looks like they have a little party. The drivers need to come back heading towards the Embarcadero."

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-28-2019

Date Closed 11-08-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL	
Name	
Phone	Email
Address	
INCIDENT DETAIL	
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 202 IGN STP REQUEST
ADA? X	Title VI? Other Dis
Trapeze Line/Route 22 FILLMORE	Direction INBOUND
Incident Date 05-30-2019	Incident Time 08:20
Vehicle Number 5736	Location VALENCIA AND 16TH /
Department POT OPS	Division POTRERO
Employee ID	
Employee Physical Description Male, thin, Asian	

Incident Details Patron Stated: I got on the bus at 16th and Folsom, bus was a little bit crowded. I usually get off at Valencia Street. Someone had requested the stop button so I did not have to because someone already pressed it. I got off my seat about midway between Mission and Valencia and ready to get off at Valencia stop, the driver breeze through the stop because he did not want to miss the light. I yelled at him to stop but he did not. He did the same thing to me about two weeks ago. So when I was getting off the bus, I asked him...did you not see the stop requested sign and he just looked at me and did not say a word. Two weeks ago, when he did that and asked him the same thing, he said... sorry I did not see it. I am handicapped. I have two bad knees and a bad hip and I walk with a cane. I had to walk back to Valencia Street and it took 10 minutes. I feel that he knew he should have stopped but he didnt.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 05-30-2019

Date Closed 07-05-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email	E	
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE CON	IDUCT	Type 302 ALTERC:	EMP/CUST
ADA? X		Title VI? X	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 9 SAN BRUNO		Direction INBOUN	ID
Incident Date 06-11-2019		Incident Time 11:	02
Vehicle Number 8874		Location N/A/	
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description African American female, appx	55 years o	old, lower lip piercing	

Incident Details Patron stated "Im on the number 9 in front of the Lowes on Bayshore and I have an agitated driver here calling the police on me. Im a disabled senior and I asked for the ramp because I have an object with me that is not dangerous and she started getting aggressive with me. She called the police and I want to make a report to have a hearing with a neutral officer. When I got on she started asking me 20 questions and I told her it was none of her business what I had, then there was this other African American male who grabbed me and tried to push me off the bus. Ive never had a bus driver be so aggressive. So shes calling the police, the camera is recording and I think it may be a racial thing."

06/12/19: PSR emailed to Superintendent. KLB

06/12/19: Follow-up T-6 emailed /mailed to patron. KLB

06/12/19: Video requested. KLB

06/12/19: Attempted to contact the patron (no answer). KLB

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 06-11-2019

Date Closed 07-01-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL	
Name	
Phone	Email
Address	
INCIDENT DETAIL	
Category DISCOURTEOUS/INSENSITIVE/INAPPROPRIATE COND	DUCT Type 301 DISCOURTESY
ADA? X	Title VI? Other Disc
Trapeze Line/Route 45 UNION-STOCKTON	Direction OUTBOUND
Incident Date 06-12-2019	Incident Time 11:25
Vehicle Number 5747	Location COLUMBUS/STOCKTON
Department PRE OPS	Division PRESIDIO
Employee ID	
Employee Physical Description Large black lady with hair tied up	oward

Incident Details Large black female driver with sunglasses on wouldnt allow us on a brand new bus. It was me and my two children. We have a Bob double stroller which is wheel chair length and width. She wouldnt allow us on a brand new 45 outbound bus in the 79 degree heat from Columbus and Stockton to Union & Jones. There was barely anyone on the bus.

06/17/19: Not Title VI, box unchecked, no Title basis for complaint, forwarding to Customer Service for further procesing. KLB

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 06-12-2019

Date Closed 07-16-2019



ail E	
Type 202 IGN ST	P REQUEST
ADA? X Title VI?	
Direction INBOU	JND
Incident Time 2	0:45
Location 16TH S	T MISSION ST SAN FRANC
Division PRESID	DIO
	Type 202 IGN ST Title VI? Direction INBOU Incident Time 2 Location 16TH S

Incident Details Patron stated, "The minute I boarded the bus and asked him to drop me at my residence. He said we will see when we get there and I said, no, the other drivers drop me off there. I said the other drivers told me to tell him to read his rule book and he said that is your opinion and then when we got close to my address he said it was not safe to pull over there, it was not unsafe, it was unsafe for me to have to walk on the uneven sidewalk, I ride the bus 4 days a week from work, the other drivers are very kind and very cooperative, it this just this guy. I told him he is a jerk. I am furious about this. "

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 07-11-2019

Date Closed 08-12-2019

Date Closed 07-23-2019

Resolution Code



Name		
	12-6	
Phone	Email	
Address		
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 205 OFFRTE/DIDNTCOMP	
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 54 FELTON	Direction OUT	BOUND
Incident Date 07-12-2019	Incident Time	14:05
Vehicle Number 8845	Location 3RD S	ST WILLIAMS AVE SAN FRA
Department WDS OPS	Division WOOI	os
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description African American male	about 45 y/o height about 58	
stopping and ne said on Williams ITO foods co . As the bus v stop to get off ifo of foods co on williams . He did not not sto Vesta and walk back"	vent on Williams before the police sta op there and said you will have to get	
stop to get off ifo of foods co on williams . He did not not sto		ation i pulled the

Dec 2, 2019 1:44PM



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 202 IGN	Type 202 IGN STP REQUEST	
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.	
Trapeze Line/Route 54 FELTON	Direction OUT	Direction OUTBOUND	
Incident Date 07-18-2019	Incident Time 19:04		
Vehicle Number 8602	Location SCOTIA AVE THORNTON AVE		
Department WDS OPS	Division WOODS		
Employee ID	· ·		
Employee Physical Description Asian 50s 57ish			

Incident Details Patron stated "

54 goes up Tokepa it turn left on Maddox to Daly City. Missed his stop at Bridge View. Came down Maddox and I pushed the bell. And I said he better stop and he did nt . he turned and when up to Scotia and Thorton. And that when I got off the bus. Im in the wheelchair. "

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 07-18-2019

Date Closed 07-23-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL	
Name	
Phone	Email
Address	
INCIDENT DETAIL	
Category UNSAFE OPERATION	Type 107 FALL WITH INJURY
ADA? X	Title VI? Other Dis
Trapeze Line/Route 37 CORBETT	Direction OUTBOUND
Incident Date 07-18-2019	Incident Time 11:33
Vehicle Number 8522	Location 15TH/MARKET
Department WDS OPS	Division WOODS
Employee ID	
Employee Physical Description black female app	ox 45 yrs and 160 lbs

Incident Details already submitted written complaint and phone conversation with agent after incident, who advised written communic. am writing this as a reminder to NOT ERASE/ DELETE THE CAMERA FOOTAGE OF THIS INCIDENT OF JULY 18, 2019. bus was almost empty and my fall should be clearly documented in the video recording including my interaction with driver.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 07-21-2019

Date Closed 07-23-2019



CUSTOMER DETAIL		
Name		
Phone	Email	
Address		4
INCIDENT DETAIL		
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE	Type 208 NO PR	RIOR SEATS
ADA? X	Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 8AX BAYSHORE A EXPRESS	Direction OUTB	BOUND
Incident Date 07-23-2019	Incident Time	17:40
Vehicle Number 6549	Location 4TH S	T MARKET ST SAN FRANCI
Department ISC OPS	Division ISLAIS	CREEK
Employee ID		
Employee Physical Description Chinese male, short haircut, ab	out 55", medium built	
AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS		
Date Logged 07-23-2019		
Date Closed		
Resolution Code		



CUSTOMER DETAIL			
Name			
Phone	Email		
Address			
INCIDENT DETAIL			
Category INATTENTIVENESS/NEGLIGENCE		Type 202 IGN ST	P REQUEST
ADA? X		Title VI?	Other Disc.
Trapeze Line/Route 29 SUNSET		Direction INBOU	IND
Incident Date 08-28-2019		Incident Time 1	7:53
Vehicle Number 8840		Location OCEAN	N AVE HOWTH ST SAN FRA
Department WDS OPS		Division WOODS	S
Employee ID			
Employee Physical Description Male			

Incident Details Patron said: My daughter wanted to get off the bus and the driver refused to stop. This happened yesterday too. My daughter has Asperger syndrome and this causes her to have emotional distress when she cannot get to her destination. Today the driver told her another bus is coming and he could not stop. What does another bus have to do with the driver stopping at the stop to let people off the bus? The bell was rang requesting the stop and the driver is not stopping.

AGENCY HANDLING AND RESOLUTION DETAILS

Date Logged 08-28-2019

Date Closed 08-30-2019



London Breed, Mayor

Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	561851	
Hearing Date:	02/12/2019	
Hearing Officer:	HO41 SEBASTIAN HO42 MORALES	
Attendees:	By Phone (Patron)	
	(Shop Steward) Eva Wong	
Incident Date and Time:	01/25/2019 @ 1:32pm	

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-related Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category) 201 Pass Up

Patron alleges services denied: Operator closed door on Patron when Patron was preparing to board coach from rear door. Operator refused to open rear door even though Patron hit the coach rear door with her visually impaired walking stick while coach was still stopped at inbound stop.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator stated that he never saw patron. Operator allowed exiting and boarding at Inbound stop than closed coach doors. Operator looked left to merge onto oncoming traffic and departed Inbound stop. Operator had to stop for traffic and waited for clearance before continuing with transit Inbound route.

DVD Evidence: Yes x No

Video evidence is available. Video shows coach rear doors closed after final exiting and boarding of patrons at Inbound stop while visually impaired patron was still approaching Inbound stop and coach in hurried movement. Coach departs Inbound stop with Operator looking left merging onto oncoming traffic simultaneously with Patron's arrival at Inbound bus stop with the rear of the coach still in the Inbound stop area. Patron shouts and uses visually impairing walking stick to strike the right rear side of the coach in an attempt to have Operator open rear door.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes

No x Insufficient Evidence

Comments

There is no evidence of a MUNI accessibility or ADA violation(s). Patron was not at stop when rear doors closed after final exiting of boarding of coach at Inbound stop. Coach had departed Inbound stop and was in route stopped for traffic when patron yelled and made physical contact with the rear of the coach with her visually impaired walking stick. Compliance and safety policy met by Operator

For Internal Use Only:

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Hearing Officer

Date

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103

SFMTA.com

02-21-2019



London Breed, Mayor

Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	562631
Hearing Date:	04/01/2019 at 1:30pm
Hearing Officer:	HO41 R.Sebastian and HO44 E.Hou
Attendees:	Patron: Operator: Union Rep/Shop Steward: A.Ballester
Incident Date and Time:	02/16/2019 at 1:30pm
	로마스(1915) 아니는
311 Complaint (Che	earing Date: 04/01/2019 at 1:30pm HO41 R.Sebastian and HO44 E.Hou ttendees: Patron: Operator: Union Rep/Shop Steward: A.Ballester oldent Date and olden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- elated Muni rule violation. 11 Complaint (Check Category) 201 Passup/Didn't Wait atron contended that Operator refused to pick up Patron with her service animals in a stroller. atron stated that she was assaulted by another Patron with a stroller prior to coach arriving at stop. atron asserted that Operator never opened front coach doors and never used lift. Patron stated that perator told Patron to board coach from rear doors and then eventually drove away without Patron oparding. perator's Response (Brief Summary) perator contended that when he arrived at the stop, he viewed Patron with Stroller involved in a erbal altercation with other Patron with stroller. Operator stated that the other Patron with stroller oparded first through the rear coach doors and she stated "this woman hit me and my baby." perator immediately decided that Patron with service animals in stroller was not boarding coach for a feety reasons. Operator contended that Patron with service animals in stroller was not boarding coach for a feety reasons. Operator contended that Patron was yelling obscenities at Operator with closed front boors. Operator did not call in incident to Central and disembarked stop without Patron and her revice animals in stroller. VD Evidence: Yes □ No X o video available. Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes □ No X Insufficient Evidence before is insufficient evidence that a MUNI accessibility or ADA related violations occurred. Without dee incident, it is difficult to verify an alleged denial of access violation. Per standard operating rocedures regarding safety reasons (verbal altercation between patrons) and stroller policy with ever coaches (lift cannot accommodate stroller), operator actions were within policy. However, piplying 6.3.2 "Employees may request p
Patron stated that s Patron asserted that	he was assaulted by another Patron with a stroller prior to coach arriving at stop. t Operator never opened front coach doors and never used lift. Patron stated that
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)
boarded first throug Operator immediate safety reasons. Operat coach doors. Operat doors. Operator did service animals in st	the the rear coach doors and she stated "this woman hit me and my baby." Lety decided that Patron with service animals in stroller was not boarding coach for rator stated that he told Patron that "you are not getting on" with closed front for contended that Patron was yelling obscenities at Operator with closed front not call in incident to Central and disembarked stop without Patron and her roller.
DVD Evidence: Yes	□ No X
No video available.	
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes □ No X Insufficient Evidence
Comments	
video incident, it is of procedures regarding newer coaches (lift of applying 6.3.2 "Emplactions or profane to offending conduct. If OCC," per testimony	difficult to verify an alleged denial of access violation. Per standard operating ag safety reasons (verbal altercation between patrons) and stroller policy with cannot accommodate stroller), operator actions were within policy. However, loyees may request passengers to leave vehicle for quarreling fighting indecent

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

SFMTA.com



Page 2 PSR#562631 HO41 & HO44

For Internal Use Only:			
JA.		04-04-2019.	
Hearing Officer	Date		



London Breed, Mayor

Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	562768	
Hearing Date:	04/10/2019 at 1:00pm	
Hearing Officer:	HO41 R. Sebastian	
Attendees:	Patron: Operator:	Jnion Rep: Representative: Martin
Incident Date and Time:	02/07/2019 at 5:42pm	

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-related Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category) 209 No Pull to curb

Patron contended that because of his limited mobility and poor eyesight, it was a difficult passage to the 44 coach which was behind the 52 coach (2 coaches) at the bus stop. Patron contended that the stopped coach was not in the bus zone, off the curb and on the street. Patron stated that as the front coach doors closed and the Operator pulled the coach ahead, the Patron with cane in hand knocked on the coach front doors and yelled to the Operator for acknowledgment. Patron asserted that he was left behind with no acknowledgment from the Operator as the coach departed. Patron stated that the coach stopped at the intersection traffic light that was parallel to the bus zone. Patron contended that he walked to the coach's front doors and knocked again but the Operator refused to the board the Patron. Patron stated that the Operator told the Patron that when the Patron knocked on the closed front doors, he jeopardized the coach's safety and would not be allowed to board. Patron contended that the Operator told the patron to take the next 44 coach behind his coach. Patron contended that with his poor mobility and eyesight, it is difficult to view the coach number when the coaches are not stopping curbside in the bus zone

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator contended that the patron was not present when he completed the servicing of the coach stop. Operator stated that the coach was in motion departing the bus stop, when simultaneously, he heard the sound of knocking on the front coach doors. Operator stated that the coach was moving ahead when he saw the patron running from the rear right side of the coach towards the front doors. The Patron was running between the lane of travel, the right side of the coach and the curb. Operator contended that at the traffic light stop with the front coach doors remaining closed, he told the Patron to catch the next 44 coach and the patron would not board his coach due to safety concerns.

DVD Evidence: Yes X No

Per the video, the Operator and Patron had a total of 3 encounters regarding this incident. Operator serviced stop without the Patron present. Patron knocked on the closed front doors of a moving coach. Patron ran beside the right side of the moving coach between the lane of travel and the curb. With closed front coach doors, the final encounter ended with the Operator admonishing the Patron for an unsafe act.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes D No X Insufficient Evidence

No violation.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

SFMTA.com



PSR 562768 HO41 R. Sebastian Page 2

Comments

There is no evidence of a MUNI accessibility or ADA violation(s). The servicing of the stop was completed with the patron not present. It was undisputed that the patron knocked on the closed front coach doors while the coach was moving. In accordance with 4.15.3 "safe boarding location in a bus zone, 4.15.5 "multiple coach loading stops," and 4.21.1 "Unauthorized Stop (no loading or unloading at an unauthorized stop)," the operator actions were within policy. However, the operator admonishing the patron for his unsafe act was an avoidable and unfortunate event. Because of the 3 encounters between operator and patron during this incident, the operator should have called it in to OCC or completed the minimum requirement of a Miscellaneous report 4.13.1.

04-15-2419

For Internal Use Only:

Date

Hearing Officer



Hearing Officer

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding PSR #: 562937 **Hearing Date** 2/25/2019 at 11:00am **Hearing Officer:** Ivan Morales (Hearing Officer) **Customer &** other attendees: Incident Date 02/11/2019 at 9:24am and Time: Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-related Muni rule violation. 311 Complaint (Check Category) Patron states she waited in her wheelchair at the bus stop and when the bus arrived, the operator did not give her priority to board. Patron alleges the operator boarded other passengers and passed her up. Operator's Response (Brief Summary) Operator stated he saw the Patron in her wheelchair waiting at the bus stop and advised her that the bus was full and that another passenger in a wheelchair was already on the bus. Operator states he apologized to the Patron and offered to have the bus behind to accommodate her. States he continued driving and immediately notified Central Control that he could not board a disabled passenger in a wheelchair and requested that the next bus allow her to board. States that at the end of his shift he filled out a miscellaneous report form to record the incident. DVD Evidence: Yes - No Video evidence is available. Access-Related Muni Rule Violations:

Yes No Insufficient Evidence The complaint is not valid. Comments Patron did not appear at the in-person hearing. Hearing officer called Patron twice by phone to conduct phone hearing at Patron did not answer calls. Review of the video corroborates the Operator's testimony. The video shows the bus was full with passengers standing in the aisle, senior citizens seating in the priority seats, and one passenger in a wheelchair. The Operator is heard apologizing to the Patron and explaining the bus was full. The Operator is seen making a call and having a conversation with what appears to be Central Control. There is no evidence of a Muni accessibility or ADA related violation. For Internal Use Only:

2/28/2019



London Breed, Mayor

Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu. Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	563647
Hearing Date:	03/22/2019 at 9:30am
Hearing Officer:	HO44 E. Hou
Attendees:	
	Isheal Martin Jr., Union Representative
Incident Date and Time:	02/22/2019 @ 4:18pm

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an Access-Related Muni Rule Violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Patron states she waited in her wheelchair at the bus stop. She waived her hands at the bus as it was approaching, but the bus did not stop. Patron stated that she takes Line 54 often, and she always waits at the same spot. Although it is approximately 10 feet away from the shelter, it's easier for her to board the bus when the wheelchair ramp is lowered. The bus later stopped at the red light, the Patron states that she was able to catch up with the bus with her wheelchair, and got on the bus.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator stated he saw the Patron in her wheelchair at approximately 10 feet from the bus shelter. However, he did not see any hand gesture and/or other indications that the Patron intended to get on the bus. As the Patron was not at the bus stop, the operator stated that he would not know whether the Patron intended to board the bus. Operator noticed the Patron was chasing after the bus, he stopped at the corner of the red light to let the Patron get on the bus.

DVD Evidence: Yes

Video evidence is available. All parties viewed the video in the hearing.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes

The video indicates that when the bus made the sharp left turn from Newhall Street to Third Street, the Patron was in her wheelchair slightly over 10 feet from the bus shelter, and the bus shelter was empty of any other passengers. Both parties in the hearing agreed that the patron was in her wheelchair at about 10 feet away from the shelter (toward the Innes Street side).

According to Muni Rules 4.15.2 and 4.17.1, operator should stop at the designated stop(s), whether there were patrons waiting. If the bus made the stop, the front of the bus should be near where the patron was waiting, given the length of the bus. As there was no clear marking boundary of the bus zone/stop such as curb paint, the operator should nevertheless try to accommodate a (disabled) Patron in wheelchair, whether or not a Patron has the intention to get on the bus.



My review supports a finding of Access Related MUNI Rule Violation. However, the Patron eventually board the bus at the stop light.

Comments

Based on the evidence presented, it is advised that the operator be counseled on the grounds of not Passing Up Passengers.

05/03/2019

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer

Date



London Breed, Mayor

Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	564059		
Hearing Date:	03/27/2019 at 1:00) pm	
Hearing Officer:	Rudy Sebastian HO41 James Doyle HO36		
Attendees:	Patron: Operator:	Jnion Rep: King	
Incident Date and Time:			

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accessrelated Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

301 Discourtesy Patron contends that Operator acted rude, yelled at Patron, and rushed Patron off lift by pushing walker out of Patron's control.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator contends that she waited to deploy lift for approaching Patron. Operator contends that during lift operations Patron was moving slowly because she was on her cell phone. Operator denies pushing walker out of Patron's control and yelling at Patron. Operator and Union Rep noted the rigors and time constraint of the line route 19 Polk.

DVD Evidence: Yes x No.

Operator placed her hand on Patron's walker but did not push walker out of Patron's control. Operator's voice level escalated to an argumentative loud "yelling" talk back match with Patron to board faster. A transit patron made a remark to Patron but it did not arise to offensive language and no further conversation occurred.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes D No x Insufficient Evidence

No Violation.

Comments

There is no evidence of a MUNI accessibility or ADA violation(s). The Patron was not denied access to the coach and completed her trip to destination. However, applying 2.8.1 "Polite, respectful behavior is required of all employees in their dealings with the public, their subordinates and each other" and Applying 2.8.3 "Avoid disputes with any person, no matter what the provocation", after viewing the video incident, the hearing officer finds the operator in violation of 306 general unprofessional conduct. Both Operator and Union Rep apologized to Patron. Hearing Officer notes the challenges with the line route 19 Polk.

For Internal Use Only:

Date

Hearing Officer

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

04.04. Zp19.

San Francisco, CA 94103



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	564140
Hearing Date:	04/08/2019 @ 10:00am
Hearing Officer:	HO44 E. Hou
Attendees:	Johnal Martin In Lluian Description
	Isheal Martin Jr., Union Representative
Incident Date and Time:	

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accessrelated Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Patron stated the bus stopped at approximately two feet away from the curbing. She asked the operator to lower the wheelchair ramp for her to get off the bus. The operator said "No." The Patron stated that she was 75 years old and her disability was not a visible one, and the wheelchair ramp should not be used solely for wheelchairs.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

Operator stated that she understood the Patron's request, but found it can be accommodated by another routine. Upon the agreement of the Patron, she repositioned the bus a few feet forward and lowered the kneeler to the level of the curbing. The Patron was able to get off the bus safely without further assistance.

DVD Evidence: Yes

DVD evidence is available.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: No

The video indicates that when the bus stopped and the door opened, the Patron asked the operator to lower the wheelchair ramp. The operator replied "No." However, the operator informed the Patron that she was going to make an accommodation by repositioning the bus. The Patron agreed to this accommodation, and was observed to get off the bus safely.

My review supports a finding that there was no Access Related MUNI Rule violation. The Patron did eventually got off the bus safely by the repositioning of the bus.

Comments

According to Muni Rules 4.20.5, operator should accommodate a Patron's request to lower the wheelchair ramp, whether or not the Patron is in a wheelchair. It is therefore recommended that operator be counseled on the grounds of accommodating Patron's request to deploy the wheelchair ramp.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



For Internal Use Only:		
Ling Jus		05/09/2019
Hearing Officer	Date	



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

signe Chin Union Representative. S/10/2019 at 9:58pm Stomer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accession. Sategory)
Union Representative. 8/10/2019 at 9:58pm stomer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- on.
3/10/2019 at 9:58pm stomer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accesson.
stomer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- on.
on.
ategory)
e 27 line beginning at Washington and Hyde Streets continuing on board to ets. The claimant states that the operator questioned her need for a service the bus out of service and required all passengers to vacate the bus at ets.
rief Summary) the asked if claimant's dog was a service dog. He denies inquiring as to a
ervice dog. The operator stated the claimant continued to verbally engage the feel safe in the operation of the vehicle. He took the bus out of service.
U 140
ble. The video shows the claimant boarding and their conversation for several ne operator is heard to ask if the dog is a service dog. The claimant is heard to be to inquire if the dog is a service animal. She continues to repeat her coperator is questioning her truthfulness (as to disability). There is no sability and the operator particularly states in the video he is not questioning of indication that the operator inquired as to an underlying disability requiring the claimant continued to verbally engage the operator at the stop for ~1 min to 2 minutes while the bus travelled to California and Hyde Sts. the video shows to talk (unheard) while she texted. At this time to operator is seen to use rall and take to bus out of service. However the CCO log shows no entry for mant departed the other passengers were re-boarding and the bus continued
at a

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

5an Francisco, CA 94103



claimant such that a hazard may have been created. However it is open question whether the operator had the opportunity to defuse or terminate the encounter or whether SFMTA procedures were followed to take the bus out of service and return the bus to service.

Comments

There is no evidence of an accessibility violation. It is undetermined whether there is a SFMTA policy or procedure violation.

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	#564931
Hearing Date:	04/17/2019 at 12:30pm
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales
Attendees:	
Incident Date and Time:	03/15/2019 at 3:45PM
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vie	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	Operator intentionally slammed the front door of the Muni vehicle on his arm atron contends his hand was injured.
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)
boarding passengers operators are prohil	ont doors to allow passengers to exit bus, but closed the doors to prevent is from standing in front of the yellow standing line. Operator contends that Municited from moving a bus when passengers are standing in front of the yellow line. This actions are in compliance with Muni safety rules.
DVD Evidence: Ye	s□No
Video evidence is av	railable.
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes No Insufficient Evidence
on the Patron and si evidence to support	t valid. Review of the video indicates the Operator abruptly closed the front door ignaled with his hand to enter the bus through the rear door. There is no the Patron's claim of injury to his hand, arm, or body. The video shows the front of with four standing passengers standing behind the yellow line, including an
Comments	
additional passenge standing load line. I prohibited from modesignated standing ride. Muni rule sect behavior is required there is no accessibili discourteous.	the front of the bus was crowded with standing passengers and a stroller. Any rs boarding through the front doors would have stood in front of the yellow Pursuant to Muni Rules and Instructions Handbook section 4.18.1, an operator is ving a coach or electric car when passengers are standing in front of the load line. The Patron boarded safely through the rear door and completed his ion 2.8.1 requires that an operator's conduct be polite, and that respectful of all employees in their dealings with the public. My review supports a finding lity rules violation; however, the Operator's action to close the door abruptly was
For Internal Use O	nly:
2	6/7/19
Hearing Officer	Date

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

San Francisco, CA 94103

SFMTA.com

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	566320
Hearing Date:	06/13/2019 @ 1:00pm
Hearing Officer:	HO44 E. Hou
Attendees:	Alex Huang, Union Representative
Incident Date and Time:	

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accessrelated Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

Patron stated he saw the train moving and waved at direction of the train twice, and believed that the operator had seen him. However, the operator did not stop the train at the ADA ramp for him because he did not have an apparent/visible disability. The train later stopped at the general boarding location (approximately 50 feet away) to let everyone else got on the bus. A man was holding the train's rear door for him, and he was able to get on the train.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

The operator stated that from the angle(s) where the train was turning (left) toward, he was not able to see the right side, the location where the Patron was at. The operator explained that when he noticed the Patron, the train has already passed the ADA loading area, and the train cannot be pulled backward for safety reasons. The operator apologized that he would definitely stop the train at the ADA ramp if he had seen the Patron.

DVD Evidence: Yes

DVD evidence is available.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: No

Video recording was viewed by all parties in the hearing. The footage indicates that the Patron waved twice at the direction of the train from the sidewalk of La Playa Street. However, the footage from the operator's view indicates that when the train was turning at the roundabout from La Playa Street to Judah Street, the operator was attending the safety on the left/operator side. As the train reached the ADA ramp, the Patron was half way on the ramp. The train was then waiting at the general boarding area for the Patron.

My review supports a finding that there was no Access Related MUNI Rule violation. The Patron did eventually get on the train safely at the general boarding location.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

5an Francisco, CA 94103

SEMTA com



Comments

The Patron stated in the hearing that this "pass up" had happened for a few times already. The SFMTA should investigate the cause, and perhaps install some device(s) on the right/boarding side of the train to alter the operator that there are ADA Patron(s) needing to board the train.

We also discussed in the hearing that this area (Ocean Beach) has a lot of tourists from time to time. Most of them do not know the ADA boarding area is separated from the general boarding area. The ADA ramp were sometimes packed and the ADA Patrons were not able to get onto the ramp. It is therefore recommended that the ADA boarding location be clearly posted for "ADA boarding only", and other signages indicating the direction/location of the general boarding area.

06/28/2019

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	#567122	
Hearing Date:	06/07/2019 at 10:00am	
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales	
Attendees:	Ramon Galdamez (Shop Steward)	
Incident Date and Time:	04/20/2019 at 10:55am	
Burden of Proof: The related Municule vice	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.	
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)	
	iting for the Muni F streetcar at the wheelchair elevator area of the 5 th and nalleges the Operator passed up the wheelchair platform preventing the Patron ehicle.	
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)	
too late to service the rail vehicle in revers DVD Evidence: V		
approached the 5 th a street level platform	and Market stop. The video shows the Patron in a wheelchair waiting on the of the stop.	
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes No Insufficient Evidence	
visible to the Operat the stop. By the tim	valid. The Patron was not waiting in the wheelchair elevator area in order to be tor. The video confirms the Patron was not visible as the streetcar approached the vehicle came to a stop it was too late to service the wheelchair elevator alle Book section 4.18.7, are prohibited from repositioning rail vehicle while in horization.	
Comments		
For Internal Use O	nly:	
15	5 8/6/19	
tearing Officer	Date	

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

San Francisco, CA 94103

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director
Cristina Rubke, Director
Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	568653	
Hearing Date:	Friday, June 14, 2019	
Hearing Officer:	H. Ibrahim	
Attendees:	Patron Operator Alex Huang, Union Representative	
Incident Date and Time:	May 12, 2019 @ 1825 hours	
Burden of Proof: Th related Muni rule vio	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.	
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)	
was approaching the to proceed to	they were standing at the top of the access ramp as the Light Rail Vehicle (LRV) estop at Judah and La Playa Sts. Mr. stated the operator waived at Mr. to street level stop and the operator failed to stop at the access ramp. Mr. as forced to walk down the ramp and board at street level.	
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)	
the LRV at the access and it was only their they knew it was ina	and acknowledged it was a mistake not to stop testified that they recently had graduated LRV training second week operating a LRV on their own. Operator stated that since ppropriate not stop at the access ramp they made sure to wait for Mr. at and allow Mr.	
DVD Evidence: Yes	三型型量等例是多数型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型型	
DVD evidence is avai	lable.	
Access-Related Mur	ni Rule Violations: No	
After reviewing the evideo evidence I four	evidence including the patron's testimony, the operator's testimony, and the nd no access-related Muni rule violations.	
Comments:	[1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1]	
was waiting at the to	Mr. stestimony was confirmed by video evidence that Mr. step of the access ramp when Operator ushered to have Mr. steel the access t	

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



the video, it was revealed that Operator testified that and told Mr. that the conversa	had phoned the operator from the LRV after boarding. Identify the interaction with Mr. Washing was able to board. Mr. Washing washing washing the hearing. It is many their action rectified the refusal of service.
For Internal Use Only:	
	7/12/2019
H. Ibrahim Hearing Officer	Date



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	568024
Hearing Date:	Friday, June 14, 2019
Hearing Officer:	H. Ibrahim
Attendees:	Alex Huang, Union Representative
Incident Date and Time:	May 2, 2019 @ 1240 hours
Burden of Proof: Th related Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)
Operator's attention woman waiting at the of the access ramp to Operator's Respons Operator testifithat they only saw p	p at Judah and La Playa Sts. Stated they waived at Operator to gain h, however, Operator did not wait for Patron stated there was a me top of the access ramp who boarded and when made it to the top the LRV door closed and the train departed. The (Brief Summary) Tied that they never saw approaching the ramp. Operator stated patron at the top of ramp waiting with a stroller. After woman boarded Operator beceded to next stop.
DVD Evidence: Yes	
DVD evidence is available	ilable.
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: No
After reviewing the evideo evidence I four	evidence including the patron's testimony, the operator's testimony, and the nd no access-related Muni rule violations.
Comments:	
Operator and t	timony was confirmed by video evidence that Operator did not see was looking left for oncoming traffic as they approached the access ramp. Their union representative both testified that the side view mirrors on the Breda ructed while the front door is open, preventing Operator

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



walking up the access ramp. Avenue and Judah Street. I reiterated to	was able to board at the street level stop at 48th that Muni rule 4.12.1 states:
	esignated stops where passengers are waiting.
	ing at the stop when the LRV arrived. In an ideal scenario, to board the LRV before departing. However, in
addition to the mirror obstruction, the pa	atron waiting for the LRV asked Operator question
For Internal Use Only:	ing Operator from noticing
For Internal Use Only:	1.1
HAT	7/11/2019
H. Ibrahim	Date
Hearing Officer	



Malcolm Heinicke, Chair Gwyneth Borden, Vice Chair Cheryl Brinkman, Director Amanda Eaken, Director Steve Heminger, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Tom Maguire, Interim Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:		569288	
Hearin	g Date:	Friday, September 13, 2019	
Hearing Officer: H. Ibrahim		H. Ibrahim	
Attendees:		Patron	
		Advocate for	
		Anthony Ballester, Union Representative James Doyle, Hearing Officer Supervisor	
Incident Date and May 22, 2019 @ 1650 hours Time:		May 22, 2019 @ 1650 hours	
	of Proof: The Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.	
311 Co	mplaint (Che	ck Category)	
	100		
	stated	in their complaint that the Operator violated MUNI conduct by:	
1)	Not acking	other patrons to vacate seats to make space and allow	
2)		d blaming for simply wanting to get on the bus.	
3)	The Court of the C	to the curb and requiring to go into the street and attempt to board.	
4)		other patrons to yell obscenities at while doing nothing to stop the	
5)	Stopped the	he bus at the bus stop for a prolonged period of time because wanted	
	to board an	gering other patrons.	
6)		e bus stop by stopping the bus at the bus stop for a prolonged period of time not	
Operat		iling buses to pick up	
Орега	or a neapons	e (one: Summary)	
During	the hearing I	questioned Operator regarding the issues raised above.	
Below	are Operator	's responses:	
1)		stated that although the audio was not clear the Operator turned to the d did ask if anyone would be willing to vacate their seats for a wheelchair bound	
2)	Operator		
21		bus passing the stop while Operator had stopped at the bus stop.	
3)	 Operator stated that the location had a temporary stop due to construction and to was fencing in the roadway to allow pedestrian access that blocked Operator pulling closer to the curb. 		



passengers at the time o	[
not aware of the other p hearing for the way othe	patron's actions. Operator offered an apology during the
	hat he did not take the bus out of service but made a call to
	er (OCC) to seek advice in regard to the situation.
6) Operator stated the Both Operator stated the	hat he did not prevent from boarding an alternate bus. d Mr. Ballester stated that although operators are allowed to drive in the interest of safety they are advised to stop the bus while
	id not recall the exact instructions from OCC but did depart the bus
stop after speaking to OCC.	
DVD Evidence: Yes	
DVD evidence is available.	
Access-Related Muni Rule Viola	itions: Yes
	luding the patron's testimony, the operator's testimony, and the s an access-related violation of MUNI rule 4.15.5.
Comments: There were many issues raised	
raised by accessibility issues. Operator state 's testimony was patrons vacate the front seats.	to issues er, the following comments only include issues raised regarding s confirmed by video evidence that Operator did request that Operator could have been more assertive in his request of its by standing and addressing patrons.
shows that from the time Opera later when Operator clos	
For Internal Use Only:	
HI).	10/17/2019
H. Ibrahim	Date
Hearing Officer	



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	#569452				
Hearing Date:	07/02/2019 at 2:30pm				
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales				
Attendees:	(Operator) (Patron) by phone				
Incident Date and Time:	05/20/2019 at 5:45pm				
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.				
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)				
THE ACCOUNT OF THE AC	perator refused to kneel the bus to lessen the incline on the wheelchair ramp and ith the wheelchair securements. Patron states the Operator was argumentative used profanities.				
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)				
ramp without a requ	vith this Patron and confirms he kneeled the bus and lowered the wheelchair uest from the Patron. States the Patron boarded without incident and did not not not with the vehicle's securements. Yes No				
DVD Evidence.	100 AT 110				
No Video evidence i	s available.				
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: 🗆 Yes 🗅 No 🦽 Insufficient Evidence				
Insufficient evidence	e. Video evidence is not available.				
Comments					
There is insufficient occurred.	evidence to determine whether any accessibility or Muni rule violation				
For Internal Use O	nly:				
*	8/6/19				
tearing Officer	Date				

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



Malcolm Heinicke, Chair Gwyneth Borden, Vice Chair Cheryl Brinkman, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Steve Heminger, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Tom Maguire, Interim Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	569526			
Hearing Date:	Monday, July 15, 2019			
Hearing Officer:	H. Ibrahim			
Attendees:	Patron Operator Alex Huang, Union Representative Mike Hawkins, Hearing Officer			
Incident Date and Time:	May 28, 2019 @ 1035 hours			
Burden of Proof: Th related Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.			
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)			
her that they had a she get on the bus a	that she had been waiting for 45 minutes for a bus. She stated the operator told wheelchair bound passenger already. Stated that she insisted that and once she boarded there was a second operator on board who did not assist ther patrons move to accommodate a second wheelchair bound passenger.			
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)			
a wheelchair bound	일을 되는데 보고 있는데 보 되었다. 보고 있는데 보			
DVD Evidence: Yes				
DVD evidence is ava	ilable.			
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: No			
After reviewing the no access-related M	evidence including the patron's testimony and the operator's testimony, I found uni rule violations.			
Comments:				
	ony from both the patron and the operator I found that there were no access- olations. The video showed that Operator did make every effort to get			

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103 SFMTA.com



on board	was also incorrect in her assessment of the off-duty operators' stated there was only one off-duty operator on board which is incorrect.
Operator had	two off-duty operators on board. Both off duty operators assisted Operator aboard. One operator assisted Operator in repositioning the
bus while the second	operator assisted by requesting that patrons vacate seats in the securement users. The teamwork on display by Operator and the off-duty operators
	y.
	11
	11/7/2019



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	#569673			
Hearing Date:	07/12/2019 at 3:00pm			
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales			
Attendees:				
Incident Date and Time:	05/30/2019 at 8:20am			
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.			
311 Complaint (Che				
Patron states the Op intended destination	perator ignored the "stop request" signal and failed to make a stop at her no.			
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)			
States he was unabl	e to hear the "stop request" signal because the bus was very crowded and noisy.			
	ot stop at the bus stop because his bus was at capacity and there were other Operator, apologized for causing the Patron any inconvenience or discomfort.			
DVD Evidence:	es p/No			
	bus is crowded. The "stop request" signal is heard as the bus approaches the bus be video confirms the Operator did not stop at a Muni designated stop where siting to board.			
	ni Rule Violations Yes No Insufficient Evidence			
-Cars and coaches w	id. Operator is in violation of Muni Rules and Instruction Handbook section 4.16.1 will stop at all designated stops where passengers are waiting to board or alight of 6:30AM and 8:30PM.			
Comments				
E. I 111 0				
For Internal Use O	niy:			
-	8/6/19			
Hearing Officer	Date			

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



Malcolm Heinicke, Chair Gwyneth Borden, Vice Chair Cheryl Brinkman, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Steve Heminger, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Tom Maguire, Interim Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	570429			
Hearing Date:	Friday, August 9, 2019			
Hearing Officer:	H. Ibrahim			
Attendees:	Patron			
Incident Date and Time:	June 11, 2019 @ 1102 hours			
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vio	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.			
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)			
rolling cart. on hoa scene. allow her to board.	that she attempted to board the 9-San Bruno bus near Bayshore Boulevard. she requested the ramp lowered since she had was transporting an item in a stated that the operator questioned what the item was and refused to allow rd. An altercation ensued with another patron and police responded to the stated that the operator would not come to her aide and ultimately refused to the item was transporting was a portable air conditioning (AC) unit.			
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)			
Operat the item was	did not appear before me however, Operator 's n Francisco Police Department (SFPD) was captured in incident report tor stated to SFPD that Ms. was transporting. Operator in an attempt to not allow what an unsafe item onto the bus, refused to lower the ramp. Operator blocked the door open for approximately 15 minutes.			
DVD Evidence: No				
DVD evidence was n	ot available.			
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: No			
	evidence including the patron's testimony and the operator's testimony to SFPD, I sted Muni rule violations.			
Comments:				
were no access-relat	ony from the patron and reviewing the SFPD incident report I found that there ted Muni rule violations. I cannot comment on the altercation and police action as not fall under the purview of this hearing.			

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103



was refused ser rule 6.7.1 states:	vice based on the AC unit she was attempting to carry on the bus. Muni
	n of the employee, are improperly protected or which are likely to cause re passengers must not be carried on cars or coaches.
Operator has have exercised that right.	he discretion not to allow certain articles on the bus and appears to
For Internal Use Only:	
	11/7/2019
H. Ibrahim	Date



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	#570544		
Hearing Date:	07/17/2019 at 2:30pm		
Hearing Officer:	Eugene Chin		
Attendees:	Eugene Chin (phone conference)		
Incident Date and Time:	06/12/2019 at 11:25am		
Burden of Proof: The related Muni rule vide	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- plation.		
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)		
Stockton St. Operar stroller described as having ankle and ba- did not ask for deplo Operator's Respons	the outbound 45 Muni bus line at the intersection of Columbus Ave. and tor refused allow the patron to board with two children riding in a double-wide equivalent in width to a wheel chair. The patron stated it was hot and she was ck discomfort and she wanted to ride several blocks up a steep hill. The patron syment of the ramp and intended to lever the stroller onto the low-floor bus. (Brief Summary) t appear for the hearing.		
DVD Evidence: xo	Yes No		
occupied by 2 childrenthe stroller. The operato go a few blocks. Tasserted a need for a	ailable. The video shows the Patron ready to board with a side-by-side stroller en. The operator informed the patron with an apology that she could not board erator offered to board if the stroller were folded. The patron stated she wanted The video showed all seats to be occupied by at least one person. The patron a ride in warm weather. She admits that she did not verbally raise physical based on heat/hill climb. She did not present obvious disability or indicia such		
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes x No Insufficient Evidence		
based on ADA required indirectly. The unformaticipated travel by stroller in a wheelch wheelchair bound participated in the stroller in a wheelchair bound participated in the stroller in a wheelchair bound participated in the stroller in a wheelchair bound participated in the stroller	evidence and the patron's testimony there is no basis for a denial of accessibility rements. The patron did not invoke a disability-based need either directly or ded stroller represents a hazard in the aisle regardless of the length of the the patron. The video showed there was no readily available space for the air bay. The operator is required to request vacating the wheelchair bay for a atron. The operator is not required to request priority seated passengers to it bay for other materials/conveyances.		
Comments			

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



For Internal Use Only:			
augene chu		7/31/14	
Gugene Chur learing Officer	Date	7/31/19	



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	572533		
Hearing Date:	08/13/2019 @ 2:15PM		
Hearing Officer:	HO41 Rudy Sebastian		
Attendees:	Patron Operator Shop Steward : Loree Woods-Bowman		
Incident Date and Time:	07/12/2019 @ 2:05PM		

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access-related Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

205 Off Route/Didn't Complete. The Patron complained that the operator went off route and missed her requested stop. Patron described her "stop" on Williams Ave in front of Food Co. Supermarket; an unauthorized stop.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

The Operator stated that prior to the Patron boarding, he made an announcement that the coach was not "going up the hill" due to other coaches occupying the 54 Fulton route on narrow residential streets. Operator stated that Patron boarded voluntarily and requested to be alighted on Williams Ave at or by the Food Co.; an unauthorized stop. The Operator stated that due to safety concerns of heavily parked vehicles on Williams Ave and Phelps St., he located the safest area to drop off or alight the patron: Phelps St between Vesta St and Bancroft Ave.; an unauthorized stop. The Operator stated that the next MUNI designated authorized stop would have been Phelps St. and Carroll Ave.

DVD Evidence: Yes x No

Per the video, the Operator went off the 54 Fulton route, did not turn on Reddy St, and continued on Williams Ave. Prior to the Patron boarding, the Operator made an announcement that "he was not going up the hill" with the Patron asking the Operator to drop her off at a requested location. Audio was garbled regarding the exact location but there is an apparent agreement or understanding between the Operator and the Patron. Because the coach was off route on Williams Ave, the approaching stop of Vesta St. and Phelps St. was unattainable. Patron was dropped off on Phelps between Vesta and Bancroft; an unauthorized stop. Parked vehicles were present on Williams Ave and Phelps St. The next MUNI designated authorized stop would have been Phelps and Carroll Ave.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: Yes B No x Insufficient Evidence

Inconclusive or Insufficient Evidence.



Comments

The evidence is inconclusive or insufficient of a MUNI accessibility or ADA violation(s). Collaboration was established when the Patron knowingly boarded the off route 54 Fulton coach after the Operator made a prior boarding off route announcement notification and the Patron requested alighting at an unauthorized stop. Per the Patron hearing testimony, the requested disembarking or alighting location by the Patron was an unauthorized stop: Williams Ave in front of Food Co. Operator cited safety reasons factored by auto traffic, pedestrians and Patron's mobility equipment of his choice of the disembarking or alighting location: an unauthorized stop on Phelps St between Vesta St and Bancroft Ave. According to Muni Rules 4.12.1, Operator failed to immediately notify OCC of the disruption of schedule/off route due to other coaches occupying the 54 Fulton route on narrow San Francisco residential streets. Regarding the Operator's choice of the alighting location, 4.21.1 "Unauthorized Stop (no loading or unloading at an unauthorized stop) is noted along with 4.15.3 "if unable to comply with Rule 4.15.1 (designated authorized stops) operator is to stop at safe boarding location for boarding or alighting."

When off route on Williams Ave, the rejoining of the 54 Fulton route would occur on Phelps St. From Phelps St and Williams Ave, the next designated stop would have been Phelps St and Carroll Ave. The Phelps St and Carroll Ave designated stop would have been an additional block of distance that the Patron would have to travel.

For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer

Date



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	572837				
Hearing Date:	08/13/2019 @ 3:15PM				
Hearing Officer:	HO41 Rudy Sebastian				
Attendees:	Operator Shop Steward : Loree Woods-Bowman				
Incident Date and Time:	07/18/2019 @ 7:04PM				
Burden of Proof: Th related Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.				
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)				
202 Ignored Stop Re and Scotia Ave. An u	equest. Patron complained that Operator missed her requested stop: Maddox Ave inauthorized stop.				
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)				
	cupying Bridgeview Drive, the Operator made a turn on Maddox Ave. The Patron				
Thornton Ave and So DVD Evidence: Yes					
Thornton Ave and So DVD Evidence: Yes	cotia Ave.				
Thornton Ave and So DVD Evidence: Yes Access-Related Mu	x No				
Thornton Ave and So DVD Evidence: Yes Access-Related Mu No violation. Comments	x No				

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	572952
Hearing Date:	08/13/2019 @ 11:15am
Hearing Officer:	HO44 E. Hou
Attendees:	Patron Operator # Andrew Simmons, Union Representative
Incident Date and Time:	

Burden of Proof: The customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accessrelated Muni rule violation.

311 Complaint (Check Category)

The Patron stated that she fell and injured her back when she boarded the bus, and the Operator did not take necessary actions to report this incident. The Patron also stated she was denied service because the operator did not adhere to her requested stop.

Operator's Response (Brief Summary)

The Operator apologized in the hearing, and stated that she did not acknowledge the fall as the noise level was high at the Operator's area when the bus was running. The Operator also stated that she can only stop the bus at the designated bus stops, which she adhered to.

DVD Evidence: Yes

DVD evidence is available.

Access-Related Muni Rule Violations: No

Video recording was viewed by all parties in the hearing. The footage indicates that the Patron got on the bus from the back door behind a man. She fell on her back as the bus started moving, and the man assisted her to get up and sat on a chair. The Patron rang the bell to request a stop approximately 5 minutes later. However, the requested stop/location was not a designated stop on this bus route. The Operator eventually stopped the bus at the next designated stop, and the Patron was observed getting off the bus safely. Accordingly, my review supports a finding that there was no Access Related MUNI Rule violation.

Comments

In the matter of denying service, the Operator adhered to stop the bus at only the designated stops (with the exception of a few after hour routes), which was in accordance with Muni Rule 4.16.2. The ADA rules do not apply under this circumstance.



		y have been injured. The Patron was advised in the inst the City and County of San Francisco within six
According to Muni Rule 7.5.1, MU the designated authorities. It is the grounds of noting and reporting a	erefore recom	nust report all accidents and injuries immediately to immediately
For Internal Use Only:		
Hearing Officer	— Date	09/06/2019



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	573073
Hearing Date:	Monday, August 26, 2019
Hearing Officer:	H. Ibrahim
Attendees:	(Interviewed via telephone on September 4, 2019) Operator () Patricia Deleon, Union Representative
Incident Date and Time:	July 23, 2019 @ 1740 hours
Burden of Proof: Th related Muni rule vi	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)
8AX-Bayshore A Expansion available. In the midd	stated that the operator did not speak to her and simply took off leaving
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)
operator estimates over 80% operate the folding hear the request mastated that he notic could stop the bus a he would assist at the	in the aisle only after departing but he was not aware that he
DVD Evidence: Yes	
DVD evidence is ava	ilable.
Access-Related Mu	ni Rule Violations: Yes
	evidence including the patron's testimony, the operator's testimony, and the and there was an access-related violation of MUNI rule 4.20.6.

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



Operator and boarding the		by video evidence. The video evidence le and not properly secured in the
accessibility seating section of th		est assistance but Operator did
have his protective shield engage	ed and possibly did not hear	request. I did hear
Operator state "next stop"	" when he noticed	was in the aisle. Operator
and his union steward, Ms. Delec	on both apologized profusely	y for the misunderstanding. Ms.
Deleon stated that Operator	has received additional t	raining on assisting disabled patrons
		and a second sec
and overall communication with		
	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated	that Operator currently
understands that he can stop the	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.
	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated bus at any time in any loca	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.
understands that he can stop the Operator acknowledged th	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated bus at any time in any loca	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.
understands that he can stop the	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated bus at any time in any loca	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.
understands that he can stop the Operator acknowledged th	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated bus at any time in any loca	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.
understands that he can stop the Operator acknowledged th	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated bus at any time in any loca	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.
understands that he can stop the Operator acknowledged th	patrons. Ms. Deleon stated bus at any time in any loca	that Operator currently tion to assist a disabled patron.



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Cristina Rubke, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #:	#575439
Hearing Date:	09/20/2019 at 2:15pm
Hearing Officer:	Ivan Morales
Attendees:	
Incident Date and Time:	08/28/2019 at 5:53pm
	e customer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an access- olation.
311 Complaint (Che	ck Category)
Patron contends the	operator ignored her request to stop the bus at her intended bus stop.
Operator's Respons	e (Brief Summary)
ensure the safety of DVD Evidence: VY Video evidence is av Avenue/Howth Street Video shows the pat	
stop was passed. Vi	deo confirms the operator did not stop, but rather continued to drive to the next patron exited the bus.
	ni Rule Violations: Yes Do Insufficient Evidence
The complaint is vali	d.
Comments	
	operator agreed that Muni service at the outbound bus stop, located at Ocean Street, should be reconsidered or altered when heavy traffic is present.
For Internal Use O	nly:
15	11-14-19
/	
learing Officer	Date

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

SFMTA.com



Cheryl Brinkman, Chairman Malcolm Heinicke, Vice Chairman Cristina Rubke, Director Gwyneth Borden, Director Amanda Eaken, Director

Lee Hsu, Director Art Torres, Director

Edward D. Reiskin, Director of Transportation

Muni Neutral Accessibility Hearing Finding

PSR #: #	#572350
Hearing Date: 0	08/16/2019 at 3:15pm
Hearing Officer:	Eugene Chin
Attendees:	, Juan Coleman
Incident Date and Time:	07/11/2019 at8:45pm
Burden of Proof: The crelated Muni rule violated	sustomer has the burden of proving that the operator committed an accesstion.
311 Complaint (Check	
Mission St. Upon a req claimant we will see wh unsafe.	ne outbound 33 Muni bus line at and after the intersection of 16 th St and quest to be dropped off at her residence, claimant states operator told the hen we get there. Operator refused to stop at claimant's address saying it was
Operator's Response (I	Brief Summary)
DVD Evidence: Yes	□ No
The claimant left the be visible from this stop. Per SFMTA's Rules and requesting passenger to nondesignated stop ob accommodation can be The operator's respons reasonably interpreted until the location can be conditions may vary or	se does not constitute a refusal to accommodate the passenger but is most to be a deferral of the determination of the safety of the nearside location be examined. This determination is reasonably made on each occasion as
Access-Related Muni F	Rule Violations: Yes Insufficient Evidence
Based on the video evid related Muni rule violat	dence and testimony of the operator and claimant, no violation of an Access- tion is established.
Comments	

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103



Based on the video evidence and testimony of the operator and claimant, no denial of a requested accommodation was found as the claimant was no longer on the coach.
For Internal Use Only:

Hearing Officer Date