1 2	JAMES Y. PAK (SBN 304563)  james.pak@skadden.com SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER	& FLOM LLP	
3	525 University Avenue, Suite 1400 Palo Alto, California 94301		
	Telephone: (650) 470-4500		
4	Facsimile: (650) 470-4570		
5	KEVIN J. MINNICK (SBN 269620) kevin.minnick@skadden.com		
6	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER	& FLOM LLP	
7	300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 687-5000		
8	Telephone: (213) 687-5000 Facsimile: (213) 687-5600		
9	P. ANTHONY SAMMI (admitted <i>pro hac</i>	vice)	
10	anthony.sammi@skadden.com		
	KURT WM. HEMR (admitted pro hac vice kurt.hemr@skadden.com		
11	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER of Four Times Square	& FLOM LLP	
12	New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 735-3000		
13	Facsimile: (212) 735-2000		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
15	Crytek GmbH		
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
_	WESTERN DIVISION		
18	WESTERN	DIVISION	
		<b>DIVISION</b> Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM	
19	CRYTEK GMBH,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM	
19 20	CRYTEK GMBH, Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF	
19 20 21	CRYTEK GMBH, Plaintiff, v.	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION	
19 20 21 22	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION THAT RULE 16 CONFERENCE	
19 20 21	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES CORP.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION	
19 20 21 22	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION THAT RULE 16 CONFERENCE	
19 20 21 22 23	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES CORP.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION THAT RULE 16 CONFERENCE	
19 20 21 22 23 24	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES CORP.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION THAT RULE 16 CONFERENCE	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES CORP.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION THAT RULE 16 CONFERENCE	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	CRYTEK GMBH,  Plaintiff,  v.  CLOUD IMPERIUM GAMES CORP. and ROBERTS SPACE INDUSTRIES CORP.,	Case No. 2:17-cv-08937-DMG-FFM  PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF FILING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND SUGGESTION THAT RULE 16 CONFERENCE	

- 1 2
- 3

- 9
- 11

- **17**

- 24
- 25
- 27
- 28

- 1. On August 14, 2018, this Court entered its Order granting in part and denying in part Defendants' motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 38.)
- The Court granted Defendants' motion insofar as it sought "dismissal of 4 the aspect of Plaintiff's cause of action for breach that is based on section 2.1.2's 'exclusive' grant to embed CryEngine in the Game." (Id. at 21.) The Court noted that "section 2.4 may support Plaintiff's theory of breach in connection with Defendants' alleged use of another software engine in Star Citizen." (*Id.* at 11 n.6.) The Court also dismissed Crytek's prayer for punitive damages. (*Id.* at 22.)
- The Court denied Defendants' motion in all other respects and granted **10** Crytek leave to file an amended complaint. (*Id.*)
- 4. Today, Crytek filed its Second Amended Complaint, which addresses the relief granted by the Court's Order by (i) expressly alleging Crytek's theory of 13 | breach in connection with section 2.4 of the license agreement at issue; and 14 (ii) removing its prayer for punitive damages. As the redline comparison attached 15 hereto as Exhibit 1 shows, that Second Amended Complaint is otherwise **16** substantially identical to the pleading addressed by the Court's Order.
- 5. The Court stated in its Order that it "will schedule a Rule 16 Scheduling" **18** Conference once the pleadings are finalized." (ECF No. 38 at 22.) While 19 Defendants may elect to respond to that complaint by filing another motion to dismiss, Crytek respectfully submits that in view of the Court's denial of Defendants' motion to dismiss with respect to numerous claims that are substantially unchanged 22 | in Crytek's Second Amended Complaint, that conference might be convened now so 23 that discovery may proceed.

Crytek is mindful of Magistrate Judge Mumm's remark in his order addressing Defendant's motion for a protective order concerning discovery that "[a]lthough early discovery is strongly encouraged, Judge Gee's policy is not to require the parties to participate in discovery until a scheduling order has issued." (ECF No. 34 at 2.)

28

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on August 16, 2018, I electronically filed the foregoing
3	document with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF, which sent notification of such
4	filing to all counsel of record.
5	By:/s/ James Y. Pak
6	James Y. Pak
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2