ROME AND NIA BUCHAREST COURT OF APPEALS – SECOND CRIMINAL SECTION

File no. 37100/3/2022

CRIMINAL DECISION NO. 12 CO/DL Meeting

in the council chamber on January 10, 2023 The court composed of:

The Public Ministry - the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice - DIICOT was represented by the **CD prosecutor**.

On the docket is the trial of the case with the appeals filed by the defendants T. III E.

A., TT, RAL and NGM against the conclusion of the meeting dated 30.12.2022, pronounced by the judge of rights and freedoms of the Bucharest Court, in file no. 37100/3/2022.

The defendants responded to the roll call made in the meeting in the council chamber: T. III EA, under preventive arrest within the DGPMB and assisted by elected defenders, lawyer ECV who submits the power of attorney series BV no. 216254/2022 and lawyer GCI who submits the power of attorney series BV no. 3/2023, TT, in a state of preventive arrest within the DGPMB and assisted by chosen defenders and assisted by chosen defenders, lawyer ECV who submits the power of attorney series BV no. 216254/2022 and GCI lawyer who submits the power of attorney series BV no. 3/2023, RAL, in a state of preventive arrest within the DGPMB and assisted by the chosen defender, lawyer SA who submits the power of attorney series B no. 7245411/2023 and NGM, in a state of preventive arrest within the DGPMB and assisted by elected defenders, lawyer ECV who submits the power of attorney series BV no. 216254/2022 and lawyer SA who submits the power of attorney series B no. 7245412/2023.

The English language interpreter, Ms. MD, who submits the copy of the authorization, also answered no. 3025/14.04.2000 on file.

The summoning procedure is legally fulfilled.

The report of the case was made by the court clerk, after which, at the

request of the defense, **the Collegiate Panel of Judges of Rights and Freedoms** allows the elected defenders to contact the appellants-accused, who are in preventive detention, in order to ensure the right to defense.

The collegial panel of judges of rights and liberties grants the floor on the preliminary requests.

The chosen defender of the appellant - the defendant RAL, having the floor, requests the approval of the circumstantial documentary evidence, namely the posts of the defendant on her Instagram profile which show her character and a medical certificate confirming the death of the defendant's grandfather who raised her and took care of her. her since she was little, the death being last night and he mentions that he has now notified her.

Also, to help the court and resolve with celeriT. case, he extracted the statements of two injured persons from the criminal investigation file that he is making available to the court.

Present the documents to the representative of the Public Ministry.

The chosen defender of the defendants T. III EA, TT, and NGM, lawyer ECV, having the floor, requests approval and administration of evidence with documents. Thus, it shows that there was talk about a chronic illness of the defendant T. III EA and in this sense he wants to submit relevant medical documents regarding the existence of a medical condition and a medical letter issued by doctors. On the other hand, having the statements of the injured parties that the prosecution used at the time of formulating the proposal for preventive arrest, it submits notarized statements given by the security agents, contractual staff of the beneficiaries T. at the location in from which it follows that it was the type of contractual relationship, if the security agents really stood with their guns in sight, if they really had or did not have the obligation to legitimize the people who

were entering or leaving the house and if they had instructions from the T. brothers not to let the alleged injured persons leave the house. He also submits two notarized statements recently given by two of the witnesses in this present file. by the Public Ministry as victims and which were indeed discussed in substance, it is about PIV and AB. It also submits images taken from a social media site of the UA injured person from the reference period when she was in Romania, which shows that she was free, went out in the city and was free to post any type of information on the social networks.

At the same time, he submits the criminal record of Mr. TT and the criminal record of Mr. TA, from which it follows that they do not appear in the criminal record with any criminal act, as the prosecution wrongly claims. He also submits a press article by a public figure in a former relationship with Mr. TT, which shows his moral profile and that he has never assaulted. It shows that a public person from the territory of Bulgaria and another person sent an email to the defense, from which their behavior in friendships with women is shown, as the contested conclusion shows an absolutely reprehensible attitude of the T. brothers towards the female sex and , also submits a T8 media report showing the fact that since 29.12.2022, the reference period is 29.12.2022 – 05.01.2023 regarding visibleT.a or public opinion regarding the state of arrest of the defendants T. from which it can be seen that today, 80% of public opinion believes in their innocence, unlike on 30.12.2022 when public opinion was 11% positive towards the criminal investigation bodies and 89% negative towards the T. brothers and on of 05.01.2023, 70% of public opinion posts and opines in favor of the T. brothers and 30% against them. It takes into account the fact that in the contested sentence there is talk about concrete social danger, public opprobrium, opprobrium regarding the fact that letting the defendants go freeT. would somehow affect the image of justice or the methods of its execution.

Present these documents to the Public Ministry.

The chosen defender of the defendants T. III EA, TT, and NGM, lawyer GCI, having the final say, regarding the admissibility of the documentary evidence requested by the defense, referring in particular to the extrajudicial notarial statements made by the persons about whom already spoken, requests to take into account the context in which these statements took place, noting that they appeared as a result of an express desire of the respective persons who, seeing the extraordinary media coverage of this case, felt the need to express their point point of view regarding the respective matters, not being an attempt by the defense to obtain such documents. It should also be emphasized that the collective feeling that these people felt when they came up with the respective statements was extremely unfair. which they felt was produced in this case.

Precisely in order to be proactive from the perspective of how to formulate a defense under the conditions of criminal proceedings, he submitted a request in defense of the request for evidence before the Public Ministry on 05.01.2023, which included these aspects, in the sense of the rehearing to the people he talked about or the hearing of the security guards he indicated as such in the request for evidence, some of them already posting their point of view in the statements he talked about, a request that, unfortunately, did not receive any echo from the Public Ministry.

The representative of the Public Ministry, having the floor after having previously studied the documents, shows that he agrees with the requested documents.

The collegial panel of judges of rights and liberties, after deliberation, approves the evidence with the documents submitted today to the case file for the appellants - defendants T. III EA, TT and RAL, following that these documents will be assessed at the time of deliberation.

When questioning the panel of judges of rights and liberties regarding other requests, the chosen defender of the defendants T. III EA and TT, lawyer GCI, requests the approval of the hearing of the defendants T. III EA and TT, noting that they wish to formulate these statements for that, from the moment of the arrest and the moment of the trial of the present appeal, they finally succeeded in obtaining the decision of the Bucharest Court by which the measure of preventive detention was taken, tR.s the respective decision and communicated it to the defendants and they, seeing the content of the respective conclusion, as well as the transcript of some of the conversations

purT. on WhatsApp between these defendants and some of the injured parties detained in this case, they are now able to express, knowingly, certain points of view regarding the respective matters. It shows that until this moment, they did not have access to such documents, which is why they request the approval of their hearing.

The chosen defender of the appellants – defendants RAL and NGM, having the floor, also requests the approval of the hearing and the defendants RAL and NGM.

The collegial panel of judges of rights and liberties sees the fact that it is the right of the appellants to give statements at any time during the criminal process and grants the floor to the Representative of the Public Ministry regarding the request made by the defense.

The representative of the Public Ministry, having the floor, shows that he is of the same opinion, reason for which he shows that he agrees with the hearing of the accused appellants.

When questioned by the panel of judges on rights and liberties, the chosen defender of the defendants T. III EA, TT and NGM, lawyer ECV, shows that, from the T.a ways in which the defendants understood to express this right, he would like to give statements in front of the court before the debates, following that they express their point of view and in the last word, with the request that the defendant TT be heard first.

The collegial board of judges of rights and liberties, pursuant to art. 204 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in relation to art. 225 paragraph 7 of the Criminal Procedure Code, proceeds to the hearing of the defendants TT, T. III EA, NGM and RAL, their statements being recorded, read, signed and attached to the case file.

Upon questioning the collegial panel of rights and freedoms judges, the participants in the process see the fact that they have no more requests to make.

Next, with no more requests to be made, exceptions to be invoked and evidence to be administered, the collegial panel of judges of rights and liberties finds the case in a state of trial and grants the floor on the basis of the objections formulated.

The chosen defender of the appellants-defendants T. III EA and TT, lawyer GCI, having the floor, requests the admission of the appeals formulated by the defendants, the annulment of the conclusion of the Bucharest Court and the rejection of the proposal for preventive arrest formulated by the Directorate for the Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism, considering the present considerations, orally, but also those that will be submitted to the case file, formulated in writing. In the alternative, if it is assessed that for the proper conduct of the criminal investigation it is necessary to take a preventive measure, it requests that the preventive measure of judicial control be taken into account, with priority, considering that it is sufficient to ensure the purpose of the preventive measures, as it is regulated by criminal procedural legislation.

In the development of the legal conditions in which a court can arrive at taking a preventive measure, the legislator referred, first of all, to the existence of some evidence from which it can be concluded that a criminal act was committed. In order to have the quality of evidence, any element of a factual nature must first of all be administered, in the general conditions for the administration of an evidence, respectively in compliance with the principles of legality and loyalty of the administration of evidence, in order not to unbalance, in an impermissible way, the procedural balance which must always exist between prosecution and defense. However, from the manner in which the criminal prosecution in this case has been carried out up to the present moment, the principle of loyalty in the administration of evidence has been totally violated by the prosecution. Given the special importance of the notion of evidence and legality. of the administration of the respective evidence, believes that some clarifications related to this matter are required. In this sense, it is requested to note that, given that, from 11.04.2022, it was known that there were certain complaints regarding the activities of the two defendants, that their homes were searched and they were - have picked up certain assets, the continuation of the criminal prosecution in person against them is not ordered until after more than 9 months, under the conditions in which the judicial practice of the European Court in Strasbourg, but also the practice of the national courts, constantly enshrine mandatory T.a to the criminal investigation body that, immediately after the determination or identification of the person who could be the subject of an investigation, to order the continuation of the criminal investigation in person against him, with the notification of the quality, in order to be able to exercise, in the manner asset, procedural rights. Regarding this aspect, it requests to be noted that, in an unauthorized manner, the criminal investigation body proceeded on 14.04.2022 to

the anticipated hearing of two of the injured persons, in the conditions in which the defendants were not informed about the respective matters, putting them in the position of never having the possibility to ask questions to the respective persons. The respective statement is presented to the judge of rights and freedoms, although the defendants were deprived of the possibility to get involved in the respective hearing from the perspective of formulating questions, a statement which, according to the prosecution, enjoys a presumption of absolute truth. At no time was the criminal investigation body concerned with ensuring, even formally, a minimum of legal assistance or compliance with legal procedures in such a situation, proceeding in the manner previously mentioned, respectively, the continuation of the criminal investigation in person very late, compared to the existing elements in the case file.

Moreover, it is requested to note the fact that even in the report with the proposal for preventive arrest, there is talk about the existence, in the case file, of two psychiatric forensic expert reports, but volume III of the criminal investigation file begins with an extrajudicial expert report which, from the perspective of the defense, has a symbolic to non-existent evidentiary value. If it really was a forensic expert report, it could not be administered during the criminal investigation phase in rem. This is an additional argument for which the continuation of the criminal investigation in personam should have been ordered much earlier than it actually was. On the other hand, he believes that there is talk of a judicial expert report in the case in order to create a false impression, in front of the court, that there is evidence obtained under legal conditions. which would indeed have a certain difficulty, although the reality is completely different.

Also from the perspective of the lovalty of the administration of the means of evidence, it shows that several conversations made through the WhatsApp application made available by the alleged injured persons were submitted to the case file, conversations about which there is no certainty of authenticity.. It can be seen very easily from the ways in which they were collated in the report with the proposal for preventive arrest, that they are not in a logical sequence, that there are missing passages that might have been relevant from the defense perspective, for the correct and exact understanding of what was discussed between the respective persons. He considers that it is not possible to arrive at the substantiation of a solution of taking the preventive arrest measure of such gravity, based on evidence on which a huge amount of uncertainty hangs. This disloyal approach, of the accusation finds its purpose in the conclusion challenged by the present judicial action. Thus, on tab no. 49 of the contested conclusion, a whole series of communications and messages between AT and P. I is transposed, but it can be observed that the question is formulated at one time, and the answer, in some situations, appears after 3 weeks, including the question of February 19 and answer from March 5, question from March 9 and answer from April 10. He considers that it is totally unjustified and totally illegal to collate the respective conversations in such a way, putting behind a question from March, an answer from April. He considers that, through this procedure, the judge of rights and liberties on the merits was obviously induced into error.

For the previously stated reasons, he requests to look with great caution at the methods of administering the evidence in the criminal investigation phase, which he considers disloyal, a matter that led to the misleading of the trial court as well.

Regarding the material of the charges against the defendants, speaking of the crime of human trafficking, the prosecutor considers, as well as the modalities. of committing this crime, from the multiple offered by the incrimination norm, first of all recruiting the respective persons by misleading, by exercising acts of mental and physical violence, harboring and transporting the respective persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation, forcing them to carry out pornographic materials and being subjected to forced labor. Having drawn these coordinates, the prosecution should have brought evidentiary elements to demonstrate each of the normative variants or each of the hypotheses considered as modalities. of committing the crime. First of all, regarding the compulsion to pornographic manifestations, he shows that, studying

the file, he did not notice any pornographic manifestation, being exclusively the statements of the injured persons, without any film, screen captures or captures from the file

social networks, which demonstrate the existence of a pornographic manifestation. In the last instance, he believes that there should have been proof of such a manifestation so that, in the end, the magistrate in charge of analyzing the respective matters could assess whether, indeed, it is a manifestation of a pornographic nature, considering that it is about such an abstract notion that for two people, the same notion can have different content.

Regarding the recruitment of the injured persons, he believes that there is no factual element from which to derive the idea that those persons were recruited. This notion of recruitment, in the opinion of the defense, involves much more than simple communication between certain people on a social network. However, each time, in the case of all the persons mentioned, the prosecution itself admits that there were free discussions between the respective persons, that at least twice, the initiative in starting the discussions belongs to the persons supposed to be injured by committing the acts.

As for the exercise of acts of physical violence or mental coercion, not only is there no element of this nature, there is no medico-legal certificate or other medical documents, being simply statements of injured persons that have never been proven. Regarding the transportation of injured persons,

it is requested to note that absolutely all persons came on their own initiative, by their own means or received money and bought their own plane ticket. Therefore, the most that can be detained is self-transportation, which is not considered by the criminalization norm.

Regarding the sheltering of the injured persons, it shows that this hypothesis considered by the prosecution is not proven, in any way, in this case, as long as the persons in question lived in a building from which they had freedom. full to act in any modaliT..

He considers that no means of proof can be extracted from which it can be concluded that there was a restriction of free movement. Moreover, the prosecution does not even consider deprivation of liberty as a possible concurrent crime.

The legal norm considered by the prosecution refers to a purpose for which it would be exercised. the respective activities - with a view to sexual exploitation. As far as this sexual exploitation is concerned, first of all, in order to be able to hold the respective accusation against these defendants, the prosecution would have had to prove when they, personally, would have done acts of a nature to characterize the exploitation of the injured persons. By the fact that they live at a distance of 2 km and that they meet once or twice in certain time intervals, it is difficult to imagine that these matters can characterize the content of the exploitation option.

Regarding the assumption of submission to the execution of a job, it shows that, according to the provisions of art. 4 para. 2 of the Labor Code, the term forced labor denotes any work or service imposed on a person, under threat or for which the person has not expressed his consent. It can be easily observed, even from the depositions of the alleged injured persons, that there are no elements of threat and there are no elements from which could result a coercion or the absence of consent for the performance of the respective activities, which were strictly in favor of their own person, and not in favor of the defendants. Next, regarding the statements of the four

injured persons, it shows that, by the very virulence of the attack they unleashed against the two defendants, following a careful analysis, it can be concluded that the claims made by the representatives of the prosecutor's office through the proposal of preventive detention. Thus, from the testimony of the UA injured person, it follows that other people with whom she interacted proposed to her to make video recordings to post on TikTok. Moreover, the first post on TikTok of this person is from 10.03.2022, given that during that period the defendant T.

A. was not in the country. Regarding the manner in which the said post was reached, it requests to be taken into account that the injured person stated that "B suggested that I go live with her on TikTok, suggested that I do so ". Therefore, none of these so-called activities of persuasion were carried out by any of the two defendants. It also shows that this statement is repeated by the same injured person who says "although initially I never agreed to take pictures or be posT. on OnlyFans, I finally gave up

convinced by B". Thus, this statement also excludes any involvement on the part of the two defendants in making the alleged posts on the TikTok network.

With regard to the accusation of rape held in relation to this injured person, he states that from the manner in which the injured person presents the course of the accusation, it can be seen that even the trial court does not consider the proposal of preventive arrest justified in relation to this crime, recommending criminal investigation bodies to continue the investigations, it being implausible that a person could be raped in thet Hotel - one of the most guarded and well-known places in Bucharest, especially in the conditions where the injured person together with other people have waited for the defendant's arrival for more than two hours. Also from the perspective of the relationship between the two persons, with regard to the second accusation of rape held against the accused TA, it shows that this too is not based on anything other than the simple statement of the injured person that there was the respective action, which would was accompanied by certain acts of violence, which the injured person herself states could be part of a sexual game. If the injured person himself raises such questions about the manner in which the respective report was carried out, if this action had really existed, there are no evidentiary elements from which it can be concluded that the respective crime was actually committed. In order to have an even more accurate picture of the GR of involvement or how traumatic this experience was for the injured person, UA shows the court a passage from her statement, in the sense that at a certain moment "we, the girls, have offered to A., a sexual act". It is requested to note that the injured person does not remember when the matter in question took place, from which it can be easily deduced that that moment did not have a particular impact. Referring to this passage from the injured person's statement leads to the creation of an accurate picture of how involved that person was and of the alleged impact that the defendant's actions had on him. It is impossible for the defense to imagine how, at the moment when harm happens to you, of whatever nature it may be, it is so easy for you to get over it and not be able to provide any concrete data about it.

From the present evidence. at this term, it follows that during the period in which this person said that he was forced, that he was in an impossible situation. to express his consent freely, this had an activity. intense on Instagram. He states that he managed to take pictures from her Instagram profile, in which she poses in a casual manner, incompatible with retaining any trace of coercion on her.

Regarding the injured person GE A, he states that this person was active on OnlyFans since he lived in the USA, as it appears from the transcript of the conversations on WhatsApp, if indeed they are real or in accordance with the realT.. It is discussed in those conversations about the circumstance that the injured person wants a new account, on which to post his activities which, according to the Public Ministry, were pornographic activities.

If this activity was continued or not after the moment 04.05.2022, when this person arrived in the country, it is difficult to find out, considering that the file lacks any evidence that this person really posted certain materials on the respective network and, moreover much, that this matter would have occurred at the insistence of one of the two defendants.

Also, from the same deposition of the injured person, the following statement results: "I don't realize if during this time, (respectively the 6 days he stayed in Romania), I was deprived of my liberty. because T. was telling me that I can leave whenever I want". Thus, he does not believe that the measure of preventive arrest can be ordered for certain manifestations against which even the injured person cannot characterize them as being of a nature to represent any limitation of his free movement and it seems to him totally devoid of any legal support. The defense shows that it paid a lot of attention to this deposition because, the very key to the prosecution represents of these depositions offer an extraordinary number of arguments of innocence in favor of the defendants and especially the defendant TT.

Moreover, regarding the threat to which this person would have been subjected, it shows that this threat consists in the fact that: "they will publish my real name, the names of my parents, the phone number I use". This so-called threat does not fulfill the most primitive form of apprehension of the crime of threat or of the characterization of an act of threat. In this context, publishing a person's real name or phone number

of it is not an illegal fact with which the injured person can be threatened. This person's perception of the notion of threat is totally wrong, conditions in which the whole statement must be seen as a huge exaggeration.

It also shows that the injured person GE A uses, in his deposition, to characterize the defendant TT, the notion of a loverboy. This issue together with the description of a new form of human trafficking reflects either an impermissible involvement of the criminal prosecution body that recorded the respective statements, or an above average intelligence of this person, who has the representation of the notion of human trafficking and cE of "loverboy", from a legal point of view and uses the respective notions appropriately, an aspect incompatible with retaining a constraint or pressure on the respective person, likely to affect, in any way, their freedom of action and decision . As far as this injured person is concerned, it

shows that at the time of the search on 11.04.2022, as it appears from the content of the search report, there was no question of a forced entry into the respective building, an aspect that proves, still once, that these people had a liberT. full of movement and action.

Regarding the statement of the injured person AS A., it is requested to note that the alleged exploitation of this person for the benefit of the two defendants, asserted only by her, is proven by the fact that 50% of the money obtained was transferred to the account of co-defendant G.. But, since she herself transfers the said amount of money, it is obvious that someone could not have authoriT. on how this person manages his assets or money. The reality of the discussions and transfers between the respective persons is not known, but this simple statement is sufficient, without further analysis of the accounts, since the injured person is the one who made the transfers, willingly. There is no argument to show that this injured person had no control over the said money. Particularly relevant in the testimony of this injured person is her account of an event on 28.10.2021, during which another injured person, PCD, requested the support of the police bodies, by calling 112, because she had been subjected acts of violence. The account of the injured person AS, regarding the respective event, is extraordinarily negative. This incident took place, according to the statement, before the injured person AS moved into that house, and thus it is not understood what would be the reason why a person who witnesses an event that he presents in such a way, after that event, to move into that house.

At the same time, it shows that this injured person, AS A., offers new values to the notion of human trafficking, quoting from her statement: "somehow I felt constrained by T. not to give up", but without elaborating what it means " somehow". This word is so relative, so volatile, like its content, that it is incompatible with the notion of evidence and elements of certainty to support that, indeed, this person was trafficked. This person's full freedom of action is also demonstrated by the content of his deposition, given before the criminal investigation body, in the sense in which he reports that he traveled to the city, that he went shopping, that he had an absolutely natural social life, of a nature to exclude any element that could characterize a coercion or an impairment of the freedom of will of the person in question. Regarding the

statement of the injured person, PC requests that it be observed that the event of 28.10.2021 is not presented in her deposition. Moreover, although there was this incident, which ended with the intervention of the police, he does not understand why this injured person has not said since then that he is being trafficked and subjected, against his will, to doing certain activities. He considers that there is no logic in the conduct of the detained activities. In the continuation, he states that from the content of the conversations on WhatsApp, if they are indeed real, it appears that the injured person agreed to post materials on OnlyFans at the suggestion of Yasmina, and in a discussion with co-defendant NG, the injured person expressly states: "Thank you from the bottom of my heart, I won't disappoint you, I promise! Wow, I'm crying with happiness!". These matters are incompatible with the notion of a person trafficked or subjected to certain activities against his will.

Returning to the statement given by GE, he reproduces the following passage: "T. he told me to text him with my final answer because when he had asked me in person I had told him that

I do not know". It is about a discussion between the two in which she demanded the sum of 50,000 euros in order to send the defendant T. nude photos of her, and from her testimony it follows that, if at first she sat down to think about the proposal made, the only condition put, in the end, was to have his face blurred. Thus, this kind of manifestation was absolutely accepted by the person in question who, in exchange for a sum of money, very easily consented to the production of such material, which he had no problem making available to the defendant.

Concluding, he appreciates that the analysis of the concrete content of the depositions of the injured persons, however likely it may seem to create a feeling of public opprobrium or a negative emotion towards the defendants, the concrete content of these statements lead to the idea that the essential constituent elements for the existence of the crime of human trafficking.

At the defense's request, the collegial panel of rights and liberties judges allows the defense to hand each of the defendants a sealed bottle of water.

The chosen defender of the defendants T. III EA and TT, lawyer ECV, having the floor, since his colleague spoke about the issues of theory and the meeting of the constitutive elements of the crimes for which the defendants are targeted, shows that it will not be repeated, but appreciates that it is essential that from all this reference, return to the evidentiary elements in the file, the situation of each girl, which is of the nature of creating confusion, considering that the criminal investigation file has 17 volumes and the defense was made available to the criminal investigation material only yesterday at at 15:00 and a team of seven people stayed to study them because, following the issuance of the continuous preventive arrest warrant, both the defendants and the defense wondered what exactly was in the file that was the basis for taking this measure, since until yesterday there was no criminal prosecution material to support the charges against the defendants. Today, the defense is forced to state that, indeed, there is no evidence against the defendants, the judge of rights and liberties of the Bucharest Court did not have the grounds to form his reasonable opinion that the acts held against the defendants had been committed and believes that he is the best able to provide the homogeneous picture of this file, considering that he has been a lawyer in the file since April 11, 2022.

Thus, it shows that on April 11, 2022, the named EG, in discussions with her mother and her boyfriend, and not how, totally wrongly, the prosecutor's office is trying to accredit in order to form an image of her morals. complete, her boyfriend to whom she said "honey" and that she misses him, they had a conversation on a private chat group in which she confessed to them that she would like to leave Romania, confessed to them the fact that he changed his plane ticket and that he lied to her that he would be in England and that, in fact, he would be in Romania, that the country is very beautiful, that things would not be so bad in relation to the state of armed conflict, only that the T. brothers do not have the behavior and are not what was expected. Next, it shows that the said E. G. was very relaxed and relaxed in this conversation. At that moment, the mother and her boyfriend went into a state of terrible panic and induced her to think that she was in imminent danger and advised her to contact the Embassy or the police. She stated several times that no one monitors their phones, that she is with another friend from the Republic of Moldova, named A., and that it is good that she came to help her, as well as that she does not know what it happens there, but he doesn't like it much. At the time when the authorities in England notified by the beloved EG informed the authorities in Romania, there was a terrible argument between the said EG and his mother in which she reproached him with the following: Go to hell. Because of you I am in this situation, I was going very quietly to England, now I have to sit and give an account to the authorities, now you have put me in danger, I just want to go back to England."

That being the case, he sees that this is how this big file started, namely with a phone call from the American Embassy given to the authorities in Romania and because the named person is an American citizen supposed to be seized on Romanian territory, a large house search was ordered at the brothers' home T., on which occasion he participated in caliT. of a lawyer to ensure the legality of search documents.

That night, the named EG, the named UA and all the persons present at the residence of the T. brothers were questioned on the occasion of the entry of the law enforcement forces into the building. At that time, the defense went home together with the T. brothers in caliT. of witnesses, during the search, mobile assets with extraordinarily high values, i.e. sums of money, laptops and various

devices. Since that moment, seeing the suspicion of the crime of rape, because the criminal prosecution in rem had been started for this crime and for the seizure of some people, as well as the aspects submitted by the prosecutor, the defendants notified the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Buftea Court with the resolution of two complaints criminal charges filed against the said UA and GE for misleading the judicial bodies and insisted to the public prosecutor's office that any documentation be made available to them or to proceed with computer searches for the release of the witnesses' property or that they will be available with any other information for the fair settlement of this case.

Since April, no activity has been carried out, thus, in December, seeing that they still have the quality of witnesses, that an indescribable mediatized event took place in April with devastating consequences for the persons of the defendants, since the daughter of the defendant TT was refused to enroll in the American school on the grounds that the press does not match the profile of the school as a student, because their bank accounts were blocked, because they were created an absolutely ugly image worldwide, the defense he kept insisting with addresses and with returns to the Department of Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism for the fair settlement of the case, in which sense it also submitted a memorandum on December 13, through which it showed the damage caused to them for caliT. of witnesses for about 8 months, with the assets seized and the image ruined.

On December 29, the same bodies, in the same house and against the same persons, carried out a new computer search where they picked up part of the same goods seized in April and handed over during some computer searches, as well as watches worth of millions of euros, the same bodies seized 11 cars and then four more cars, after the defendants were in custody, cars that were in a rented garage to stay during the winter, pressure was put on the amounts de mill.e, when in the criminal investigation file there were only two statements of the named C and A.. In this sense, it is seen that the named C and A. are persons whom the defendants have known for more than 2 years, people who, throughout this period, had the possibility to formulate countless criminal complaints against them, or at least on April 11, 2022, when they had the possibility, when the event was so publicized, to appear at the prosecutor's office and give statements by which to confirm the commission of acts provided for and punished by the criminal law and to acquire the status of injured persons in the case. However, they waited for the months of October and November to pass so that, being called by the criminal investigation body, they would give statements and on this occasion it could be observed that, in fact, they have the quality of injured persons. Going over this aspect, it shows that a lot of people from the T. brothers' entourage were called throughout the summer in an attempt to obtain unfavorable evidence against them in order to form a criminal investigation file that could be sent to court, which what didn't happen.

It also considers that the defense insisted on formulating requests by which it requested a just resolution of the case and the closure of the file, because they were aware of the fact that they had not been charged with any crime, in order to later obtain these two statements, the occasion for which would have been born, on December 29, 2022, the opportunity to be made aware of the suspect quality, and later the defendant quality in five minutes, after 12 hours of searches and to be put in a position to give statements on 17 volumes of criminal investigation material, which they had never seen because they had witness status.

That being the case, he appreciates that it is necessary to clarify the moment when this opportunity was born, because the defense will refer to the conclusion pronounced by the judge of rights and freedoms of 12.30.2022, which he understood to attack on illegal reasonsT. and unfounded and in which it was held, on the one hand, that the concrete social danger for public order exists, is current and that letting the defendants go free would represent a danger in this sense.

At the same time, he appreciates that it is necessary to clarify whether the prosecution presented any new evidence or any reprehensible act against the defendants starting from 11.04.2022 and up to 29.12.2022, the defense claiming that it did not and does not know what exactly stopped the criminal investigation body on 11.04.2022 to change their status from witness to suspect and later to defendant that evening, considering that they had the same two statements, respective

the statement of the named UA and the statement of the named EG, the search was carried out recently, being more opportune at that time, because it would have been justified.

With regard to the evidence that formed the reasonable suspicion retained by the judge of rights and freedoms, it shows that the DVR containing the footage captured by the surveillance cameras of the house of the T. brothers from April 11, 2022 was picked up and even at this moment the IT search is completed, although the DVR was returned since the summer. In this sense, it shows that from these recordings it follows that the girls entered and left the house when they wanted, accompanied or unaccompanied, that the guard did not carry a weapon and that the girls were not intimidated or threatened with weapons, that the security personnel did not have as their objective the protection to any person or to prevent any person from entering or leaving, but only the real estate objective. That being the case, it is necessary to find out why these pieces of evidence are not in the case file and, in addition to Mr. GCI lawyer, he appreciates that from this point of view the prosecution is at least unfaithful.

Both the defendant TT and the defendant TA, on April 11, 2022, continuously requested the prosecutor to watch the DVR as soon as possible that they will be immediately allowed to go home, because they will consT. that no one was seized, and since it was night, the prosecutor, in good faith, informed them that he had no way, but that he would administer this evidence as well. It further shows that the defense addressed the Directorate of Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism to administer, above all, this evidence that was likely to shed light on the charge from that period of seizing people, later transformed into the crime of human trafficking.

At the same time, it requests the judge of rights and freedoms within the Court to consider the notion of possibly immoral things in a society. increasingly decadent and, in caliT. by legal professionals, on issues related to illegality, namely where immorality ends from a social point of view and where illegality begins, for which today the court was called to check whether it plans reasonable suspicion on the commission of certain acts and punished by the criminal law from the point of view of legality.

Moreover, it requests to analyze the distinction between character and person, a matter which the judge of the fund rejected ab initio stating that everything posted by the defendants on social networks accuses them motivated by the fact that they are free of opinion and they are guilty of the crime of opinions.

It also requests to take into account the notion of capacity restricted by exercise or lack of capacity. of exercise and full exercise capacity, because, on this occasion, he refers to the fact that the trial court, in an absolutely illegal and impermissible manner, arrogated to itself the right to remove from the case file or to remove the meaning the statements of the named P. Isi AB showing that, although these two statements are favorable to the defendants, they will not be considered by the Court motivated by the fact that they are still under the influence or power of the defendants

Or, as long as there is no court decision banning these persons regarding their exercise capacity or as long as there is no medical document expressing a mental state affected by any possible illness, the court must corroborate the statements given by people who enjoy capaT. full exercise with all the evidence and to analyze to what extent it can verify or corroborate it, but it cannot reject a statement ab initio motivated by the fact that the people who gave the statements could be influenced by the defendants, without there being any evidence In this regard. It shows that she is in an absurd situation in the sense that the criminal investigation body would tell a person that she was raped and she would deny it, but she is passed off as a victim because the criminal investigation body said so.

It also shows that it was held that the defendant TT had a connection with the said EG and two other injured persons and after 8 months, they realized that they may have been injured persons and the crime of human trafficking against the said EG was also held who stayed in Romania for 6 days, which is why he believes that it is necessary to clarify when the crime of human trafficking began and when this crime was consummated.

In addition, it requests the judge of rights and freedoms within the Court to also take into account the conversation carried out through the WhatsApp application between the defendant TT and EG submitted to the substantive file of the case, from which it can be very clearly observed that the loverboy method is not supported, because the defendant TT was very distant and very cold and very indifferent,

and she sent him hearts, icons and signs of affection, told him that she wanted to leave America because it is a decadent country and where the principles are no longer respected. and wants to travel, see the world, at which point the defendant simply asked her: "Are you sure you want to come to Europe?", and she answered: "yes, I'm single". Next, it shows that the defendant sends him a PrintScreen with his Revolut card to purchase a ticket, and the application will ask him if he understands or not to confirm the transaction and it will come to Romania. Against this circumstance, he appreciates that it is necessary to clarify whether the loverboy method can be supported.

Continuing, it shows that the injured person EG stated that, on the occasion of December 2021, when she met the defendant TT for the first time in the territory of the United States of America, they lived together for a week and had sexual relations and that she was allegedly strangled by him, but that the next day she did not remember whether she requested it or not, and when she came to Romania she forgot that she was strangled and had sexual relations again in Romania with the defendant TT, occasion with which she then remembered that, in fact, she was also strangled in America. That being the case, it is necessary to clarify who and under the influence of what exactly is in the file, if the named P. I and AB are under the influence of the defendants, the other two girls under the influence of what exactly they are. In order to confirm the defence's claims, they request to check volume 4, page 10 of the criminal investigation file, where the defendant TT tells "Dear" who is actually called EG that: I am not obsessed, it was very simple.", and this he replied: "Ha, ha, ha, I'm so ashamed, although I have a kind of affection for obsessive bullies." Therefore, this is the one who came to Romania self-invited, she was not taken from the airport by the defendant TT, so the crime of human trafficking cannot be apprehended in the form of transportation from the airport to her home, she stayed for six days and this person the injured party also stated two extremely important issues, in the defense's opinion, namely that on April 10, after E-day, on a Sunday, she was alone in the house with the injured person UA and they started to tell about the fact that they do not like the home of the defendants T., which is not supported either by the alleged sequestration of persons. This can never be, as long as she was alone in the house, with the phone, an aspect confirmed by her mother in the discussion we had at the time related to the Embassy. Moreover, she cannot maintain that she was guarded either, because she stated that she was alone with the injured person UA.

The injured person EG also stated in the statement that the defendants would have held certain conferences on the territory of the United States of America where there were hundreds of very rich men who were willing to pay 7000 euros for the ticket to listen to them. However, a person who has thousands of euros, who holds seminars with hundreds of participants, who pays 7000 euros for a ticket, as the injured person claimed, does not need the injured person EG to come to Romania for six days, to provide work for them and to share the sums of money. As a consequence, he appreciates that it is necessary to clarify what activities, criminal case was detained by the prosecutor's office because he does not identify himself and does not understand her.

With regard to the immoral part T., namely that they held each other's necks when they were in love and that the injured person EG had an appetite for harassers, an aspect declared by her herself, that there were parties in which the defense would not participate, these are of an immoral nature, but where is the criminal act, if two agree that one will make OnlyFans, and the other will make available a management team and share their income and, wanting to go on the image of the prosecutor's office, the defense does not find the crime. Where is the crime, maybe it would arise at a later time when taxes are not paid and activities are not legally registered at the Trade Registry and taxes from this type of activity are not paid, but this is a worldwide phenomenon, sport world.

Contrary to the opinion of the Bucharest Court, it shows that no one is impressed by OnlyFans on which, in 17 volumes of criminal investigation, the prosecutor's office did not present the pornographic material even in one tab, but the pornographic material is known from many pornographic photographic plates sent by the injured person UA to the defendant TT.

Thus, he understands to show the court why, being angry with the defendant TA, he made the statement about the injured person UA that he discovered the fact that she was a prostitute and that he did not leave her alone because she was taking drugs, there being in this sense two conversations or discussions from the person injured UA and in connection with this. Thus, in a conversation in which the injured person UA takes

told the defendant TA that "God made me know you and that you saved me", he was referring to drugs because he had taken them the wrong way in the UK, as well as the statement in volume 1, page 265 of the case file, where the witness AM A. showed: "During the summer, in the villa, A. came a little closer to me and started to open up to me, telling me that she was raped, which she affirmed with a smile on her face, and I noticed several notes in her phone with certain amounts of money that she received, amounts that were in euros and with the hotel room numbers, and from this I deduced that she was sleeping with men for money, something she also admitted to me."

Regarding these aspects, it shows that the defense is interested in describing the moral profile of these two injured persons because they are the quintessence of this file and that it does not want to refer to the other two injured persons who gave forced statements, because one of them she already had an account on OnlyFans, had been strangled and has an appetite for aggressive men and came to Romania, lying to her family, declaring herself to be part of a disorganized family and that she was a rebellious and difficult child, and that of - the second injured person, likewise came to Romania without notifying his family, has a past with narcotics, declared that he was saved, there is also the reasonable suspicion that he has inclinations towards prostitution activities, which have not been confirmed, but he has the reasonable suspicion to do it, given that it has evidence unlike the prosecution.

He further understands to quote Mr. Professor Dongoroz who ruled that when the court has to deal with statements taken from injured persons resulting from or allegedly suffering criminal damage from love relationships, the court has a difficult mission to discover what lies behind that venom, other are the things that hurt there. It can be observed that the loverboy theory as regards the injured person UA is not supported, asking the court to consider volumes 11 and 12 of the criminal investigation, where, in all the arguments and on page 24 and page 28 and further vol.12, the injured person A. is the one who returned and showed the defendant AT how much she loves him and how much she is subject to him, and the latter made the statement that "Now you pack your bags and leave.", after which , the injured person told UA "I'm sorry for making you stupid.", in turn, the defendant replied: "You'll do well, bitch." Next, the injured person AU asked him: "Are you serious? I can not.

I'm going to pack my bags, as you told me, my king.", to which the defendant replied: "Good, I'm tired of your attitude. I'm being very fair with you, but it's a waste of time." Later, "Baby, what are back to me like this: I missed you, you doing? I'm sorry if I pissed you off today, it kept coming I'm glad I saw you today. I love you.", passage found on page 75 of volume 12.

In connection with the alleged crime of rape, he shows that he submitted to the court file photographic plates from which it appears that the injured person UA, in the evening of March 2021, arrived at Mariott, an hour and a half T. earlier than the defendant TA, together with A. and P. I, and the most important thing is that she was sending him pictures extremely scantily clad with a glass of wine on her thighs, showing her waiting for him to come to the hotel room. However, this evidence in which the injured person UA did not know that "she was going to be raped" is corroborated with the messages on the WhatsApp group that the girls had with the defendants, messages in which the defendant TA showed very clearly that evening that he wanted to make tonight, girls, keep " love with the three, and the injured person told them We're having a party your pants up." and laughed. of the latter: It shows that U A was violated in this way. Because the judge of rights and freedoms of the Bucharest Court, indeed, did not consider that there was any evidence regarding this crime, the defense will not score for either not to waste time.

Moreover, it shows that since April 2021 the defendants have been trying to do something in this file, that since December 29 they have been detained and only last night they were able to find out the reason for the detention, that they could not give statements in front of the Court because they did not have the file in front and that, until this procedural moment, they could not speak.

The trial court also notes that the attitude of the defendants TEA and TT regarding female persons and the fact that they would work for them represents a concrete social danger, thus, the defense showed that on social media platforms a character is being sold and not a person, who hyperbolizes, exaggerates, they said they have 34 cars, and in reality.

they had 13, that they do certain businesses, but in fact there were two searches about which nothing was found and there was never any suspicion that they were dealing in drugs or other matters. In the online environment, the characters promote some ideas to attract a number of followers and for the platforms in question to support them and pay them large sums of money. As an example, it shows that there are people who make up very old women to make them look much better, others do all kinds of messes around the house, this being the virtual online environment, but there was never anyone interested in this file to know these defendants as they are as persons, to call them to hearings, to put their accusations in front of them and to seek to find out the truth in the case.

As for the famous extrajudicial expert reports, it shows that it is necessary to clarify how it is possible to use in the prosecution an extrajudicial expert report that they call a judicial expert report and which, in fact, is nothing. It further shows that, in reality, these two injured persons went to a psychologist and asked the latter to evaluate them, and this constitutes evidence in the file.

That being the case, for equal treatment, regarding the public opprobrium, documents to this effect being submitted to the case file, it is requested to note that online, on January 5, these defendants have 70% of the support from around the world , and, in caliT. lawyer, for several days, on all social networks, including on the mobile phone, he receives thousands of messages with the following content: "The T. brothers are innocent. Do something and take them off." The press, from what they had at hand from the press release of the Directorate of Investigation of Criminal OffensesT.

Organized, she noticed the fact that the file is very thin and everyone wonders what exactly is behind this file, so that there is no longer any offense of opinions, the concrete social danger has also disappeared and, it returns and shows, very important for taking the measure of preventive arrest, when the concrete social danger appeared, considering that the defendants were not committing crimes on the street, they were collecting them from America and England.

It further shows that, for the injured person UA who stayed for six weeks, an injured person EG who stayed for six days in Romania and two other girls who have not spoken to the defendants for 2 years, abusively, the defendants not only they are detained and arrested, but their assets worth tens of millions of euros are also seized, which is why two questions arise, namely how many thousands of girls they exploited along how many miles It took years to make such a fortune and, from the statements of four people, about whom the moral profile was made, the defense wonders how exactly the existence of acts foreseen and punished by the criminal law can be retained.

He comes back and shows that he disapproves of the dialogue conducted by the defendant TA with the injured person UA, but he disapproves of it equally on both sides, because they are fetishes, quarrels between lovers who understand how to live their love life in a way that adultsT.a he doesn't understand how to do it. Moreover, he wants to show that there is no evidence from which the reasonable suspicion regarding the commission of crimes can result and on the other hand, in his capacity as a lawyer, the defense did not hear about art. 381 proc.pen code regarding the preliminary hearing.

On the other hand, it is necessary to clarify how exactly the injured persons were able to affirm these matters and leave, and today the defendants are in a state of arrest when the position towards them is not even known anymore and as long as the injured person EG was arguing with his mother who reproached him for calling the Embassy, that she did not want to harm the defendants, that she only wanted to get to America. So, he specifies that it is no longer known what exactly is the position of the injured person, an aspect that can be observed and requests the court to verify the purT discussions. with his mother and his lover in which the injured person stated that she is free, and her mother tells her that she is unconscious, that she is in danger, to call the embassy, the police and the authorities, that they are human traffickers.

Regarding the loverboy method, it shows that this method is suspect, given that the injured person UA gave the copy-paste statement, as the defendant TA shows, on a podcast the statement that the loverboy method is used, or, towards a person who does not know exactly how she arrived in Romania or whether she was trafficked or not, it is necessary to clarify how this injured person managed to memorize with a comma the method described by the defendant.

It is clear that she was given that podcast before giving her statement to remember how things were.

With regard to the personal circumstances retained by the trial court, it appreciates that the aspects retained are hasty and illegal, considering that it was shown that it cannot be ignored that the defendants TT and AT were previously investigated on the territory of Great Britain for crimes of violence. Or, in his understanding, this equates to the fact that if a person makes a complaint in an abusive manner and the criminal investigation bodies classify the file, it will train a possible continuous criminal behavior, aspects that cannot be accepted. The trial court had to apply the letter of the law, put the disgust aside and refer to the evidence, the facts, the accusations and see that the accusation is the task of the prosecutor's office in the criminal process and take a legal and thorough measure.

Last but not least, it shows that many female persons wanted to declare in favor of the defendants how nice they behave and especially that the defendant TT is a gentleman, but submitted to the case file the public statement of the BD stating about the defendant TT that he was really a child and without any problem of aggression, he also submitted an email from a person from Pitesti who called yesterday and wanted to give a notarial statement and the notary did not want to receive it, informing him that the prosecutor's office must gives statements, in which it is shown that she approached the defendant TT if he deals with the chat because he needed money, and he told her that he does not deal with such things, as well as the statement of a singer from Bulgaria settled in Constanÿa and who he showed that they had a nice relationship with the defendant TT, but that he could not continue it because they were both very busy and that he is a gentleman, he has a vast culture and that it seems to him a great injusticeT. to what is happening to him.

It can also be observed that what was shown by the lawyer GCI is supported, in the sense that the injured person UA was always out of the house and did a lot of sports, that he always notified the defendant TA and that, indeed, he ran at night. He also appreciates that the manner in which all the statements of the two injured persons EG and UA and a discussion between the defendant N.

G. and a lady owner at regarding the existence of a search and regarding the evidence. Next, in order to complete the moral profile of the two persons, it is requested to take into account that in the case file there are numerous photographic plates with pornographic content sent by the injured person UA to the defendant TA, so that, as long as the injured person posed in - a person who does not have these skills, appreciates that he cannot make his body material with pornographic content against the one who, apparently, would abuse it.

Therefore, out of four contrary statements and several favorable ones, it shows that there are only two statements left by the injured persons EG and UA from the months of October and November which have nothing to do with the defendants for at least two years and which are simple statements, without discussions, evidence, footage,

messages or phone calls. In the end, he requests the admission of the appeal, to consT. that there is no criminal prosecution file, there is no evidence from which the court can form its reasonable suspicion that the defendants are guilty of acts provided for and punished by the Romanian criminal law and that all their opening and the defense be accepted in the sense that there was and there is an interest in the case to find out the truth, with the mention of the fact that the court's theory cannot be supported either, that, according to 223 par. (1) Penal Code, the defendants could escape, as the hearing prosecutor stated that he bases his request for issuing a warrant of preventive arrest only on the provisions of art. 223 para. (2) Pen proc. code as a material error of the previous request. in the first phase. Related to the provisions of art. 223 para. (2) Code proc.pen, appreciates that it cannot be argued that there is a danger that they will evade criminal prosecution when, the defendant TA, knowing about the fact that the criminal prosecution in rem has been started at the complaint of the injured person UA and that it targets him absolutely, from April to December, at least six times he left the territory of Romania and returned.

The media pressure is terrible, so they request that this process not be made a public trial and, if it is necessary to make a fair trial and to protect the criminal procedural interest of the good conduct of the criminal investigation, but also the fundamental rights of to man, it requires at most the preventive measure of judicial control, the application of a measure to satisfy

the rigor of the prosecutor's office to show public opinion that it is protected and for the defendants to formulate their defense and enjoy the status they really have, their image already being ruined.

The chosen defender of the appellants - defendants RAL and NGM, having the floor, in essence, requests, by reference to art. 204 para. 12 of the Civil Code, admitting the appeals, canceling the appealed conclusion and, rejudging, to order the rejection of the proposal to take the measure of preventive detention.

The defense considers that the challenged conclusion is illegal, since the conditions provided by art. 223 para. 2 of the Criminal Code, on the two levels, namely reasonable suspicions and danger to public order.

Considers that from the present factual situations. the prosecutor's office cannot find any evidence to justify the reasonable suspicion that the defendants constituted a criminal group.

Administrative relations or hierarchical work relations, regardless of the activity carried out, cannot generate reasonable suspicion as long as the video chat activity is lawful and profitable.

It requests to be noted that two of the injured persons P. I and ABG, together with AM, called Smurf, at a given moment took care of the other girls, sometimes accompanying them where they moved, which is why the defense wonders why these two girls, who apparently were supervising the others, are not part of the organized criminal group. The idea of a group would no longer be sustainable if two girls who are seized and exploited, because they actually live in the T. brothers' ..., were part of that group and, moreover, those girls shared the rent among themselves, as it results from all the statements that are in the criminal investigation file.

Also, the statements of these three girls, two of whom have the title of injured person, without understanding why the prosecutor's office assigned them this title, contradict those of the injured persons in the present case, the opinion of the defense being that there is no no kind of constitution and no kind of organized criminal group.

As far as the crime of human trafficking is concerned, there is only evidence that disproves the accusation, none that justifies the reasonable suspicion of the commission of the act, since there are not the two normative variants supported by the accusation consisting of transportation and sheltering.

It requests to be noted that UA and GE A were, in the opinion of the prosecutor's office, forced to be transported. from America and respectively England to Romania under the conditions in which they came alone and of their own free will. Not to mention the sheltering, also by coercion, in of the T. brothers.

Regarding the other injured persons A SA. and P. CD, will make brief references, considering that his colleagues have already spoken about them.

Returning to the injured persons P. I and ABG, he shows that he does not understand why they qualify as injured persons in this case, considering their statements given in April and the notarial statements given yesterday in favor of the defendants. It is requested to take into account the fact that all these girls were partners in video chat activities, being co-interested and receiving half. from the amount received, considering that the thesis of coercion is excluded, highlighting the patrimonial interest that the girls in the villa had, given the fact that the video chat activity is an extremely onerous one, there is no synonymous relationship between a possible immoral character of these activities and the illegal nature of the acts impuT., such nudiT. on the platforms of special T., not being incriminated. In addition, it requests that it be observed that the evidence shows close friendships between the cerceT persons. and the alleged injured persons.

He claims that all these accusations are based only on some statements and on some truncated conversations transcribed by the prosecutor's office and from the statements of some allegedly injured persons. It shows that these statements were taken in April 2022 and without carrying out any other act of criminal prosecution other than two statements, a danger to public order suddenly appears, a danger so serious that the prosecutor's office decides to detain people and proposes preventive arrest their's.

The defense believes that the danger to public order must be assessed concretely, starting from the exact contribution of the two girls to the commission of the alleged acts, that is, from the actions or inactions that are imputed to them. because only these explain the seriousness of the facts or not and the measure that can be taken or not against you.

He believes that in the particular case of the two girls there is not even an indication of the negative impact that the release of T. it would have on civil society, the simple association with the image of the T. brothers in some work relationships not being sufficient.

Equally, it requests to be noted that the personal circumstances of the defendants, i.e. they have higher education, they have no criminal record, make them eligible for the investigation in the state of freedom.

He also requests to observe the mental state of the accused RA, who, under the given conditions, categorically cannot present a danger to public order. In the alternative, if it is judged

that the public interest must be protected at this procedural stage, by reference to art. 204 para. 12 with 11 Criminal Procedure Code, requests the placement of the defendants under the measure of judicial control, with all the guarantees provided by art. 215 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and in the event that it will be appreciated that a more severe control is imposed on the defendants, requests to refer to art. 218 Criminal Procedure Code which has the same conditions from art. 223 Criminal Procedure Code.

At the same time, it shows that the court has at its disposal the provisions of art. 221 para. 3 Procedure code criminal with reference to art. 215 Criminal Procedure Code.

As a consequence, the defense requests the admission of the appeal, the annulment of the contested conclusion and the rejection of the proposal of the prosecutor's office to take the measure of preventive detention in the main, and in the subsidiary, if it is judged that there is a reasonable suspicion, although the defense further states that there is no of evidence in this file, requests the taking of a milder alternative preventive measure, namely the preventive measure of judicial control or the preventive measure of house arrest. Submit written notes to the case file.

At the request of the chosen defender of the defendants T. III EA and TT, lawyer ECV, the collegial panel of rights and liberties judges orders the suspension of the case for 5 minutes.

The representative of the Public Ministry, having the floor, requests the rejection of the appeals formulated by the four defendants, considering the fact that the conclusion of the judge of rights and liberties of the Bucharest Court by which the preventive arrest was ordered is legal, thorough, ample and very convincingly motivated. Considers that it was correctly found that the provisions of art. 202 para. 1 Criminal Procedure Code, given that

the evidence administered in the case shows reasonable suspicion that the defendants have committed the crimes of forming an organized criminal group and human trafficking for which they are being prosecuted. In essence, it shows that the corroborating evidence is the statements of the injured persons UA, GE A, AS A., P. C – D, the transcripts of the purT conversations. through the Whatsapp

application, the minutes of investigations in the online environment, the minutes of computer searches, the reports of psychological expertise regarding the injured persons UA and GEA From these evidences, concrete methods of committing the crimes, the hierarchy within the criminal group result and the role of each of the members.

Thus, the defendants AT and TT had the role of recruiting victims who were transported. and sheltered in houses in Romania, and the defendants NGM and RAL had the role of supervising and coordinating the entire activity. exploitation.

From the conversations of the injured person UA with the defendant AT it emerged that this defendant is the one who leads the organized criminal group.

It shows that the recruitment of the victims by the defendants AT and TT was done through the so-called "loverboy" method, the defendants identified vulnerable people, exploited their needs for affection and trust, created the impression of a close relationship, after which the victims were exploited. sexually by being forced to perform pornographic manifestations and subjected to forced labor.

The defendants NGM and RAL had a very important contribution, they carried out the constant surveillance of the victims, coordinating their daily activities and exerting physical and mental pressure to make the victims work as much as possible.

He claims that all the evidence he referred to and the factual situation are extensively analyzed in the contested conclusion, under this aspect the criticisms formulated by the defendants' defenders are unfounded.

The prosecution cannot fail to note that the defense attorneys for the defendants contradict their own statements, when they vehemently claim that there is no evidence or solid evidence to support the charges, but call for lighter preventive measures, such as house arrest or judicial review. Article 202 para. 1 Criminal Procedure Code is very clear, all preventive measures can only be taken if there is evidence or solid indications that a person has committed a crime.

If they request the taking of lighter preventive measures, they implicitly recognize the existence of evidence or solid indications that the defendants they defend committed the crimes for which they were arrested and, consequently, in the opinion of the prosecutor's office, they can no longer credibly criticize regarding this aspect.

It was stated by the defendants' defenders that the purT conversations were not legally obtained. through electronic messaging applications, these claims are unfounded, because part of the conversations were obtained following computer searches authorized by a judge, and the access to the computer systems made available by the injured persons was also done with the authorization or the confirmation of the judge.

At the same time, according to art. 139 para. 3 sentence I of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the recordings made by the parties or by other persons constitute evidence when they concern their own conversations or communications they had with third parties.

Regarding the claims of the defense, in the sense that the reports of extrajudicial psychological expertise would have certain legal defects, T. believes that these expertises comply with the provisions of art. 97 of the Criminal Code, and the judge assessed these evidences within the administered evidentiary ensemble and assessed them based on art. 103 Criminal Procedure Code, so there was no misrepresentation of the judge of rights and freedoms at the Bucharest Court by the prosecutor's office regarding these expertises.

Considers that the judge correctly considered that the offenses of forming an organized criminal group and human trafficking fall among the offenses provided for by art. 223 para. 2 Criminal Procedure Code and also correctly found that deprivation of libertyT. of the four defendants is necessary to remove a concrete danger to public order, taking into account the following: the nature and gravity of the crimes committed, respectively the formation of an organized criminal group and several crimes of human trafficking, the concrete way of committing facts, respectively through physical, psychological and verbal violence, taking advantage of the victims' vulnerabilities, by adopting an insidious behavior in order to mislead the victims, the purpose of committing the crimes which is to obtain profit from the exploitation of the victims seen only as a commodity, the consequences produced towards the victims, especially their irreversible psychological damage, as it results from the psychological expert reports that were contested. by the defenders of the defendants, without having a reasonable argument, in the opinion of the prosecutor's office.

Psychological and verbal violence perpetrated. for the purpose of coercing the victims are found in almost all conversations between defendants and victims. Thus, the defendant NG-M., regarding whom his defender claims that he has a physical constitution too frail to be able to coerce the victims in any way, does not miss any opportunity to insult, or even threaten with death.

For example, in the conversations reproduced on pages-49 of the contested conclusion, the defendant NG says to Yasmina P.: I, punch you in the mouth until I arrive, because after that I'll give you ten more, incurable lazy person you are, I'll break you when I get there." And in the conversation mentioned on pages 111-112 of the report with the proposal for preventive arrest, the same defendant tells lasmina P. that maybe she will end up directly in the morgue, if she doesn't post enough clips.

The same acts of coercion were exercised by the defendant RL, who, as a former police officer, knew very well what she was doing. For example, it follows from the statement of the injured person PC that, when she wanted to leave, the defendants RL and NG opposed her, NG put her hand in her throat, pushed her under a desk, asking her "where she thinks she is going", and RL told him that he was trying to leave for nothing

police, because nothing can happen to her, given that she has relations in the police and in DIICOT.

Victims are seen only as a profit-producing commodity, some of them being depersonalized and dehumanized almost completely.

For example, PI Accused AT repeatedly addresses him only with "whore", all the other defendants an insult, but PI no longer has any reaction, this being already "brainwashed", according to the statement of the injured person UA.

The criminal activities of these defendants are a form of slavery, according to the prosecutor's office. Like 19th century US slave owners who branded their slaves with a red iron, the AT and TT defendants brand their victims with tattoos indicating that they are on the defendants' property. For example, tattoos with the message "owned by T.", in English.

He believes that correctly, the judge from the Bucharest Court assessed that the arrest of the defendants is necessary for the proper conduct of the criminal process, considering that people who may have knowledge of the criminal activities of the defendants are to be heard, some victims still being under the control and influence of the defendants. For this reason, he requests the judges of rights and freedoms from the Bucharest Court of Appeal not to take into account the four notarial statements, dated 09.01.2023, submitted by the defendants' defenders in the court session, statements given by the security guards at the scene the deed and two of the victims.

In the correct way, the judge from the Bucharest Court assessed that the arrest of the defendants is necessary to avoid their evasion from prosecution or trial, considering their financial possibilities and the public statements of the defendant AT, who stated that, in the case in which there is a risk of going to prison, he can leave the territory of the country without problems. Correctly, the judge at the Bucharest Court assessed that

the arrest of the defendants

it is necessary to prevent the commission of other crimes.

Defendant AT is not just a defendant who committed the crime of human trafficking. In the opinion of the prosecutor, he is, equally, a theoretician of the crime of human trafficking, who disseminates through social networks his primitive conceptions regarding how they can be exploited. women, having tens of thousands of followers.

At the same time, he publicly stated that Romania has authorities that are easy to corrupt, and the laws are very permissive.

Considering these aspects, the lack of a firm response from the judicial bodies to the acts committed by the defendants would constitute an encouragement for these defendants and other persons to commit or continue to commit such acts, especially in Romania.

It should also be borne in mind that the defendants AT and TT also committed the crimes by computer means, so that, in the event that preventive measures other than preventive arrest, such as judicial control or house arrest, would be taken against them, such as requested, they would not prevent them from continuing their criminal activity, considering that the judicial bodies would no longer be able to exercise effective control over them.

Regarding the proportionality of the preventive arrest with the seriousness of the accusation, it states that there is clearly such a proportionality. Moreover, this is the reason why the legislator provided in art. 153 para. 2 lit. c Penal Code that the crime of human trafficking has no statute of limitations and placed, under this aspect, the crime of human trafficking alongside the crimes of murder, genocide and crimes against humanity and war crimes.

At the same time, it shows that the assertions of the lawyers, who claim that the injured persons are in friendly relations with the defendants and that, in fact, they would have only benefited from the defendants and that they would have willingly come to the defendants, are unfounded. These statements are common defenses of human traffickers and the legislator came to meet such situations and provided in art. 210 para. 3 of the Criminal Code that the consent of the person who is a victim of human trafficking does not constitute justifiable cause, a legal text that cancels all the claims of the defendants' defenders, including those related to the Labor Code.

The lawyers' assertion that preventive arrest is not required because nine months have passed since the beginning of the criminal investigation, during which the defendants did not evade the investigations, is unfounded. During these nine months, it shows that the defendants had the status of witnesses, not suspects or defendants, and DIICOT, before requesting preventive arrest, had to provide evidence to corroborate the statements of the injured persons. During this period, several thousand pages were transcribed and translated into Romanian with the conversations between the injured persons and the defendants, from which the relevant parts for the case were extracted. Only after it was established that these conversations corroborate with the statements of the injured persons, the proposal for preventive arrest could be formulated.

Therefore, the prosecutor's office fully complied with the provisions of art. 305 para. 1 and 3 of the Criminal Procedure Code, according to which, when the reporting act meets the conditions provided by law, the criminal investigation body orders the initiation of the criminal investigation regarding the act committed or the commission of which is being prepared, even if the perpetrator is indicated or known, and when there is evidence from which there is a reasonable suspicion that a certain person has committed the act for which the criminal investigation has been initiated and there is not one of the cases provided for in art. 16 para. (1), the criminal investigation body orders that the criminal investigation continue to be carried out against it, which acquires the status of a suspect.

The claim of the lawyers, that the victims could have left at any time, is baseless and attempts to make a mockery of the victims. Thus, from the statements of the injured persons it follows that they were always accompanied, from the conversations of the defendants T. it follows that they forbade them to leave the house alone, from the statement of the injured person GE A it follows that there were armed guards in the yard, moreover, the injured person GE A explains that he did not call the police because the defendant T. T. scared her by implying that she is being watched all the time with surveillance cameras placed all over the house. She stated that once, when she was writing something, the defendant TT sent her a message and told her that he saw her writing.

The defenders of the defendants stated that there is no evidence, medico-legal documents, to prove the physical violence. There are no such medico-legal documents because the defendants acted on the sly, leaving no traces. For example, from the statement of the injured person AS A., it follows that the defendant NG, in order to avoid leaving traces of violence on the body of one of the girls who had a recent breast implant, lifted her arm in order to undo the operation, after which he affirmed that she is not stupid to beat her to leave her marks".

Regarding the previous contacts of the defendants AT and TT with the criminal law, it is stated that, from the minutes of 20.07.2022, drawn up by the police bodies, it follows that the authorities in Great Britain communicated, through the Center for International Police Cooperation, that the defendant AT is charged with two crimes against the person and two crimes of a sexual nature, and the defendant TT is charged with crimes committed against the person.

He appreciates that these data prove the tendencies of the defendants AT and TT towards physical and sexual abuse, and the records from Romania, submitted by their defenders, in which these data from foreign T. do not appear, are irrelevant.

The statements of the defender of the defendant AT in the sense that, from the contents of the video postT. on social networks, the defendant would only interpret some characters that cannot be accepted. and the judge from the Bucharest Court, correctly did not accept them because he shows the same attitude in the relations with the injured persons, as is very clear from the conversations with these.

The statements of the defendants are disingenuous and do not corroborate with the rest of the evidence administered in the case. Today, they tried to nuance their statements given at the Bucharest Court, after seeing that they were arrested, statements that are still insincere.

Contrary to those supported by the defense of the defendants, T. indicates that the legal basis is art. 223 paragraph 2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure on the basis of which he supports the proposal for preventive arrest, considering that all the evidence and statements of the defendants prove a risk of evasion, of leaves the territory of Romania and considers that there are no incidents pursuant to art. 223 paragraph 1 letter a of the Code of Criminal Procedure because this ground would be an incident in question in the context in which preparations or attempts to leave the territory of Romania had already been made.

The fact that the defendant RL's grandfather has died does not justify the admission of the appeal filed by her, considering that, according to art. 99 paragraph 1, lit. e from Law 254/2013, the persons arrested. preventively, they have the right to participate, under escort, in the funeral of close relatives.

The medical conditions of the defendant AT, invoked by the defenders, do not justify the admission of the appeal formulated by him either, because in the pre-trial detention unit he benefits from all the medical care he needs.

It was stated by the defendant AT that the file would be related to his conversion to the Islamic religion. The case has nothing to do with the Islamic religion, it only has to do with the criminal activities of the defendants. The authorities in Romania did not have a disrespectful attitude towards the Islamic religion, and the prosecutor, personally, also respects the Islamic religion. In conclusion, taking into account the

considerations set forth, pursuant to art. 4251

, para. 7, point 1,

LIT b C.pr.pen., requests the rejection of the four appeals as clearly unfounded.

The collegial panel of judges of rights and freedoms rejects the reply requested by the chosen defender of the defendants RAL and NGM, although the defense stated that the Representative of the Public Ministry did not claim any traffic offense for the two defendants and only mentioned the exploitation.

The appellant-defendant TT, personally having the last word, sees that it is hard for him to believe that he is surrounded by high-class lawyers and the discussions revolved around issues like who has TikTok, who has Instagram, who has WhatsApp. He believes that it is the prosecutor's job to prove that he is a trafficker of human beings, but this should be done on the basis of evidence, and not on what is said or what is heard in the press.

He believes he is here because of the media, the same media that accused his girlfriend of being transsexual and now she has a child, the media that accused him of going on a date with a very hot American woman , but that person is actually his sister, and he wonders if these are the people responsible for determining who goes to prison.

He shows that today should be a day of celebration for him, considering that his girlfriend is giving birth to his child today, he does not know if it has already happened, if the child is healthy, he does not know if they are alive or not because he is in court listening to the accusation that says how serious human trafficking is, given that he also knows how serious it is.

He mentions that he is a person who founded non-profit organizations in this country and who helps people who are abused in this country, but no one has proven that he can be called a human trafficker.

His life may have been destroyed, but whatever is done to him, his character will not be destroyed, he will continue to politely address the charge and continue to thank the woman in the prison who brings him breakfast, food and everything he has need. He claims that he is a good person and his character cannot be destroyed.

The details of this case will not remain in this court, the details of this case will be spread all over the world, the only thing that will be destroyed from this story written by the prosecutors is the international reputation of the Romanian judicial system. The world will know who are the real victims here and who are the real criminals.

The chosen defender of the accused appellants TA and TT, lawyer ECV, sees that the conventional representative of the defendants, the UK lawyer who has a contract with the defendants, is present in the court and requests that he be given the opportunity to be present in the courtroom on the occasion the last word of the accused AT.

The Collegiate Panel of Rights and Freedoms Judges requests evidence of whether the UK attorney has power of attorney in this case.

The appellant - the defendant T. III EA, personally, having the last word, sees the fact that he respects the time of the judges of the Court, and it would take him hours to destroy the myth of the 75 mistakes that are like in a play Shakespeare and will do this together with his lawyer in writing and in a few minutes will tell what really happened..

DIICOT focused on a very narrow channel in building this case, ignoring certain aspects, they lied by omission, they did not consider the evidence in their favor, this is the short version of the absolute truth. He shows that in the box are his brother, his personal assistant and a girl he knows by the name of Ely and whom he has greeted 2-3 times over the course of 2 years, these are them.

During the defenses of the defendant appellant AT, the lawyer from the UK presented himself and the ECV lawyer submits the contract and its delegation to the case file.

He shows that he is a man of integrity, he traveled to London where he met A. who worked in a strip club in London and had problems with drugs, she was the one who told him several times that she would like to comes to Romania, and he agreed. One day, when he was out of the country, he told her that he wanted to come to Romania, she came to Romania by plane and asked him to live in his house.

He also states that his first fear when he moved to this country was that he would be on the wrong side of the judicial system and he spent his entire life as if he were under constant surveillance, he did not want to allow himself to be in his house a person who is a drug addict, so he told her to stay with G., who is his personal assistant, because he trusts G. and knows that she is not a drug addict.

The girls moved in together and A. sent her messages on WhatsApp 12 h/day, every day, all these received messages were ignored and only a very small fragment was given importance.

He shows that he is a very busy man, A. told him that she wants to open a cafe, she wants to act in a television show, she wants money from him to help her with a beach bar, that she wants to become famous on TikTok. He asks the court to believe that he did not know and did not understand what plans he had related to the money, she constantly sent him messages with 24 different ideas every time. In this file which is full of

untruths you can see how she told him that the girls also want to do TikTok with her, that she can earn money, his answer was to make sure she keeps all her money and told him that whatever ideas the girls have , to make and keep their money. With all due respect, he shows he didn't care about it, he has big problems in a big world.

She also shows that she asked him for 200,000 euros to buy her sister a house in Chisinau. At the same time, whenever they met, 2-3 times a week, he noticed that she was missing sums of money, not large, from his room, then, from reliable sources, he found out that she was a prostitute and told him that she no longer he wants to have anything to do with her.

He ignored all these messages in which she begged him to stay with her and then told her that if he was going to leave, at least give him the money for his sister's house. When he refused to give her the money, she filed …… a complaint with DIICOT with an imaginary story. She made this complaint and then left to be sure that a whole circus was unleashed here. His house was raided, no girl was found, no drugs were found, they found no violations of the law, he cooperated with DIICOT and gave them the electronic equipment, and for the next six months DIICOT asked what can he give them to prove that this person is lying. Thus, it shows that the Directorate for the Investigation of Criminal Offenses was available for a whole week.

Organized and Terrorism to help them and for them to see and understand that this person is a liar. In conclusion, it shows that it

does not make any sense for a well-known man like him, who has been in the news every day for the past few months, to have no statement against him, except for a single statement from a prostitute. Millions of people in this country know where the evidence is then against him as if he was lying and evidence for everything. The only two victims in this file gave statements in his favor and wonder why the world, the universe of a drug addict should be respected and the world and universe of other girls who do not take drugs should be ignored. This is not justice and he swears, as his brother said, that the facts involved in this case, the phantasmagorical history without concrete evidence will not last long and it is time for this circus to end.

It also shows that A. is looking at us and eating popcorn.

The appellant-defendant RAL, personally, having the last word, considers that she knows the fact that the crime of human trafficking is an unlimited and without

scruples, but it was not committed by her and she does not believe that it can be imputed to her. He also shows that he did not want to nuance anything, it is the first time he gives a statement and he will answer any question to the DIICOT criminal investigation bodies if he is given such a chance. He will go to the prosecutor's office next week to say more and unlock his phones. It requests to take into account the fact that criminal liability is personal.

The appellant-defendant NGM, personally, having the last word, sees that she understands the seriousness of the accusations, but she did absolutely nothing, she did not coerce anyone, she did not transport anyone, she did not force anyone to do any work. In relation to her quarrels with I, and she can confirm this, she mentions that they were related to cleaning or stealing food from the house, an aspect that can also be proven from purT's messages. on the group where all the girls were. In the end, he shows that he hopes the truth will be revealed as soon as possible.

The collegial panel of judges of rights and liberties retain the case in the pronouncement. THE COMPLETE COLLEGE OF RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS,

Deliberating on the present criminal appeal: By

the conclusion of the session dated 29.11.2022, the Bucharest Court - Criminal Division I accepted the proposal made by the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice - Directorate for the Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism - Central Structure. Pursuant to art. 226 C.pr.pen.

related to art. 223 para. 2 C.pr.pen. and art. 202 para. 4 letter e C.pr.pen., ordered the preventive arrest of the defendant T. III EA, son of AAT and IT, born on 01.12.1986 in DC USA, British citizen, domiciled in the street, county ..., holder of passport no..., issued on 27.02.2017.by.United ST.s - Department of ST., for a duration of 30 days, starting from 30.12.2022 until 28.01.2023 inclusive.

Based on art. 230 para. 1 C.pr.pen., ordered the immediate issuance of the preventive arrest warrant. Pursuant to

art. 226 C.pr.pen. related to art. 223 para. 2 C.pr.pen. and art. 202 para. 4 letter e C.pr.pen., ordered the preventive arrest of the defendant TT, son of AAT and IT, born on 15.07.1988 in the USA, British citizen, with domicile in the street, county ..., holder of residence permit.no......, issued on 02.03.2020 by IGI CNP ..., for a duration of 30 of days, starting from 30.12.2022 until 28.01.2023 inclusive.

Based on art. 230 para. 1 C.pr.pen., ordered the immediate issuance of the preventive arrest warrant. Pursuant to

art. 226 C.pr.pen. related to art. 223 para. 2 C.pr.pen. and art. 202 para. 4 letter e C.pr.pen., ordered the preventive arrest of the defendant NG-M., daughter of ... and ..., born on 27.02.1992, in the city of, county ..., domiciled in commune ..., county ..., holder of escries for a period of 30 days, starting from the date of execution of the preventive arrest warrant.

Based on art. 230 para. 1 C.pr.pen., ordered the immediate issuance of the preventive arrest warrant. Pursuant to

art. 226 C.pr.pen. related to art. 223 para. 2 C.pr.pen. and art. 202 para. 4 letter e

C.pr.pen., ordered the preventive arrest of the defendant RA-L., daughter of, born on 11.04.

1990, in the municipality of Bucharest, sector 2, domiciled in the municipality,

of, holder of CI series no., CNP, for a period of 30 days, starting with the date of execution of the preventive arrest warrant.

Based on art. 230 para. 1 C.pr.pen., ordered the immediate issuance of the preventive arrest warrant. Based on

art. 275 para. 3 C.pr.pen., the legal expenses advanced by the state remained in charge of it.

In order to decide in this way, the trial court held that through the proposal registered on the roll of the Bucharest Court - First Criminal Section on 30.12.2022, under no. 37100/3/2022, the Prosecutor's Office attached to the High Court of Cassation and Justice - Directorate for Investigation of Offenses

CriminalsT. Organized and Terrorism - Central Structure - The Section for Combating Organized Crime requested the preventive arrest of the defendants T. III EA, TT, R.

AL and NGM, for a period of 30 days, starting from 30.12.2022 until 28.01.2023 inclusive.

In essence, in the report with the proposal to take the measure of preventive arrest of the defendants, the prosecutor showed the following:

By ordinances no. 1305/D/P/2022 from the dates of December 28, 2022 and December 29, 2022 of the Criminal Investigation DepartmentT. Organized and Terrorism - Central Structure - DEPARTMENT FOR FIGHTING ORGANIZED CRIME ordered to continue the criminal investigation and initiate the criminal action against:

T. III EA, for committing the crimes of: 1. setting up

aft organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3 and 6 Cp; 1.1. 1.2. human trafficking, prev. art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA); 1.3. rape, prev. of art. 218 para. 1 C.pen. (injured

person UA, act of 16.03.2022); 1.4. 27.03.2022); 1.5. human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap.

to rape, prev. of art. 218 para. 1 C.pen. (injured person UA, act of art. 182 lit. a

and c C.pen. (victim P. I); human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c 1.6.

C.pen. (victim AB - G.); all

with applique. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes. 2T, for committing crimes of: 2.1. establishment

of an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp; human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c 2.2.

C.pen. (injured person GE A); 2.3. human

trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.); 2.4. human trafficking, prev. of art.

²¹⁰ para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), all with application. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding

the actual contest of crimes. 3.

NG-M., for committing the crimes of: 3.1.

establishment of an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp; 3.2. human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA) human trafficking, prev. of art. 210

para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c 3.3.

C.pen. (injured person GE A); 3.4. human

trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.); human trafficking, prev. of art. 210

para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c 3.5.

C.pen. (injured person P. CD); 3.6.

human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I); 3.7. human trafficking,

prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB - G.); all with applique. Art. 38 para. 1

Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes. 4.

RA-L., for committing the crimes of: 4.1.

establishment of an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp; 4.2. human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A); human trafficking, prev. of art. 210

para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c 4.3.

C.pen. (injured person A SA.);

^{4.4.} human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD); 4.5. human trafficking,

prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I); 4.6. human trafficking, prev. of art.

210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c

C.pen. (victim AB - G.); all

with applique. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes.

Considering the need for the proper conduct of the criminal investigation, the prosecutor assessed that it is necessary to take the measure of preventive arrest against the defendants T. III EA. TT, NGM and RAL.

It was shown that the administered evidence also showed that the defendants T. III EA, TT, NGM and RAL are in the prev situation. of art. 224 para. 1 and paragraph 2 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, considering that there is evidence that they have committed crimes for which the punishment provided by law is greater than 5 years - establishment of an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3 and 6 Cp; human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. and rape, prev. of art. 218 para. 1 C.pen. at the same time there is clear evidence that the release of the defendants. presents a concrete danger to public order.

It was found that the existence of concrete danger results from the extremely serious social danger of the crimes of forming an organized criminal group, human trafficking and rape from the public reaction to the commission of such crimes, from the possibility of similar acts being committed by other people, in the absence of an appropriate reaction towards those suspected of being the authors of such serious crimes against human freedom and dignity.

The prosecutor assessed that the release of T. of them would not lead to fulfilling the requirements of art. 224 C.proc.pen., as it would create the impression, both for these persons and for the other recipients of the criminal law, that such behavior is allowed without taking a firm measure on the part of the authorities and, in this way, it could form a state of deep dissatisfaction and fears, disrupting the state of normality. called by the legislator "public order".

Next, it was noted by the prosecutor that when establishing the concrete danger regarding the perpetrators of the crimes, both data related to their person, known with criminal antecedents, such as the case of the defendants T. III EA, TT, can be taken into account, according to the correspondence made through CCPI.

At the same time, it was assessed that the particularly high social danger of the facts for which the defendants are being investigated stems

from: - their practiced ability to identify potential victims of human trafficking and to take advantage of the victims' vulnerabilities, based on their age tender, the lack of support, affection and attention from family members and society, the existence of previous acts of violence that caused unidentified and untraT traumas, including sexual abuse; - the capacity and effort of the defendants

to exercise permanent psychological control over the victims, including by resorting to acts of manifest violence. constantly, precisely in order to defeat the resistance of the victims and turn them into "slaves"; - the propagation by the defendants T. III EA and TT of

some justifications regarding violence against women, at the international level, through the "War Room" organization, concepts already put into practice by the defendants and highlighted in the ways of committing the acts.

- the capacity of the defendants to generate violence in order to obtain significant amounts of money and goods resulting in the creation of examples and the questioning of the most important social values such as equality, justice, happiness, honesty, hope, gratitude, responsibility, love, respect, tolerance, empathy.

From the evidentiary material administered in the case, there was clear evidence regarding the fact that the defendants T. III EA, TT, NGM and RAL sexually exploited the victims by forcing them to perform pornographic manifestations and by forcing them to perform some work, after initially recruiting them by misleading and later, during the sheltering of the victims, by permanent physical and mental coercion.

Also, the prosecutor, in the report with a proposal for preventive arrest, considered that it must be taken into account that the sums of money obtained from the exploitation of the victims were used by all the defendants to support themselves, to invest in properties and goods, they not having any other source of legitimate income, preferring such a way of life, located outside the Law. It was appreciated that the possible position of the victims who were exploited must also be taken into account. In the material interest of the defendants, respectively a possible procedural position designed to exclude the criminal liability of the defendants, especially since the defendants misled the injured persons regarding the feelings they had towards them, being accordingly manage to obtain forgiveness in the same way or to amplify their sense of fear as a result of acts of violence exercised. by the defendants while they carried out their criminal activities. In this context, the prosecutor argued that it is justified to take the

preventive measure of arrest against the defendants T. III EA. TT, NGM and RAL to enable the creation of a sense of security for trafficked victims. and to allow obtaining testimonies corresponding to reality, highlighting the trauma suffered, the brutal behavior of the traffickers and their lack of empathy.

Thus, the state of preventive arrest is absolutely necessary to continue the activities of hearing all the people who have knowledge of the criminal activities.

It was also noted in the report with a proposal for preventive arrest that for the defendants, the phenomenon of human trafficking is a common and common fact, they did not give statements or continued to blame the victims, such as the situation of the defendant T. III EA who went on to claim that "Women understand how to use the court system to punish men and I believe that these statements against me are made by these women who are jealous who have known nothing but asking me for money to go shopping. This in the context in which all the injured persons in this case asked the defendant T. III E.

A. attention and affection, a request that was obviously conditioned by this defendant on the performance by the victims of activities that would bring him income. The situation being the same in the case of the defendant TT.

The prosecutor also noted the fact that the activities carried out by these two defendants could not be carried out without the essential involvement of the defendants NGM and RAL (former police officer) who acted as true guardians of the interests of the defendants TT and T. III EA and in turn exercised acts of violence on victims, completing the mechanism of victim destruction.

The evolution of this type of crime has caused the perpetrators to move on to the material element of the crime by manipulating the victims, taking advantage of their moral superiority, generally renouncing acts of physical or moral coercion or other brutal instruments. In other words, currently, the act of recruiting and sheltering the victim of human trafficking is carried out, in the first phase, through actions to gain the trust of the victim by the trafficker, a circumstance that is achieved as a result of his ability to identify vulnerabilities (poor material condition, lack of family support, limited education, sexually and physically abused person, since childhood) and the needs of the victim, namely the need for affection, trust (for this condition a close relationship is necessary).

Then, after the initial stage of trafficking through actions of recruitment, transport and shelter, the trust of the victim in the aggressor, his perpetrators, the fear generated by the acts of violence carried out during the sheltering of the victim are used as the purpose for which the initial trafficker acted, respectively the exploitation of the victim, to be achieved by determining, facilitating and obtaining patrimonial benefits from the practice of prostitution, in no way with a view to founding a family or to lay the foundations of a relationship.

In this context, it was appreciated that, given the state of authoriT. of the perpetrators on the victims, as well as the state of vulnerability. of all injured persons, it can be appreciated that the releaseT. of the defendants will create for the victims a state of fear and distrust in the institutions that have a role in documenting and holding the defendants

accountable. As far as the defendants T. III EA and TT are concerned, it was assessed that the investigation of them in a state of freedomT. would create real risks for the proper conduct of the criminal process, as it is possible for them to evade investigations, leave Romania and settle in countries that

allow extradition. In this regard, it was mentioned the capacity of the defendants to leave Romania for long periods of time, as well as their interest in diversifying the places where they could hide - "If I'm wrong and England wants me in prison, I can fly with a Nigerian passport or with an American one, or English or Polish, or Estonian. / I have bank accounts in 19 countries" -

The European Court of Human Rights has accepted that, in exceptional circumstances, by their gravity and by the public's reaction to their commission, certain crimes may cause a social disturbance such as to justify detention before trial.

It was shown that the manner in which the perpetrators acted outlines a mode of operation clear and sustained, denoting criminal specialization and mutual support.

It was also mentioned in the proposal that according to statistical data, the mortality rate for exploited persons. sexual abuse is between 10 and 40 times higher than the average and between 60 and 80% of "workers" have experienced physical and sexual abuse regularly.; 40% of exploited women. sexually imagined themselves committing suicide and 20% attempted suicide. 80-89% of exploited women. sexually active women say they want to stop prostitution, and the chance of contracting HIV is almost 14% higher for them than for the rest of society. 60-75% of prostituted women have been raped, 59% of prostituted women have been raped more than 5 times, 70-95% have been physically assaulted; 76% of victims of physical assault sustained a chronic wound as a result of the assault, 88% were subjected to continuous and repeated verbal and emotional abuse, and 68% met criteria for post-traumatic stress disorder, in the same category as war veterans in treatment and victims of state-organized torture.

The traffickers used various methods to keep the victims in a state of dependence, they used complex strategies to win the victim's trust, betting on the vulnerable T. of the victims, whom they manipulated, so that they end up not perceiving their existence in the their absence. In order to achieve their hidden objective, the traffickers gained the trust of the victims by posing as affectionate, honest people, while also offering them a "better" version of life, thus gaining total control over the victim. In reality, the "better" version of life belonged to the traffickers.

Therefore, it was opined that from the evidence administered so far during the criminal investigation, there are plausible reasons that outline at least the reasonable suspicion described in art. 5 paragraph 1 letter c of the ECHR, that the defendants committed the acts for which the criminal action was initiated (the case of Fox, Campbell and Hartley v. the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland) reasons that could lead to the conviction of an objective observer that the persons . have committed the crimes they are charged with, without it being necessary that at this time there is sufficient evidence to make a full charge, that is, to send them to court (see the case of Murray v. the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland). In addition, it was appreciated that the deprivation of libertyT. of the defendants is necessary for carrying

out the criminal investigation activities consisting of conducting computer searches, hearing other witnesses, as well as for the prevention of committing acts of the same kind in the future and for the safety of the injured persons, who, although they were removed from the influence of the defendants, they can come back under their influence and can be influenced during the criminal process.

Analyzing the documents and works of the file, the judge of rights and freedoms found, in accordance with T. with the provisions of art. 226 para. (1) C.pr.pen., that in the case the conditions provided by the law for taking the measure of preventive arrest of the defendants are met.

Thus, it was taken into account that the provisions of art. 202 para. (1) and (3) C. proc. pen., art. 223 para. (2) C. proc. pen

The judge of rights and liberties noted, at the same time, that art. 5 lit. c of the European Convention for the Protection of Fundamental Human Rights and Freedoms conditions the legality of the deprivation of liberty measure on the existence of credible and solid reasons in the sense that a crime has been committed, which presupposes the existence of sufficient data to convince an objective observer that it is possible that the person in question has committed the crime he is charged with.

In order to order the preventive arrest of the defendant, the conditions provided by art. 223 C.pr.pen. regarding the existence in question of the evidence from which the suspicion results

reasonable that he has committed a crime and one of the situations provided for in paragraph 1 letters a)-d) or the situations provided for in paragraph. 2 of the same legal text, the aforementioned procedural provisions being compatible with the provisions of art. 5 paragraph 1 letter c and para. 3 of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

Analyzing the legal provisions invoked, in relation to the facts held against the defendants, the judge of rights and liberties held that the provisions of art. 223 para. 2 C.proc.pen. rap. to art. 202 para. 1 and 3 C.proc.pen.

Through ordinances no. 1305/D/P/2022 from the dates of December 28, 2022 and December 29, 2022 of the Criminal Investigation DepartmentT. Organized and Terrorism - Central Structure - Section for Combating Organized Crime was ordered to continue the criminal investigation and initiate criminal action against: 1.T. III EA, for committing the crimes of constituting an

organized criminal group, provision of art. 3..... para. 1, 3 and 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), rape, prev. of art. 218 para. 1 C.pen. (injured person UA, act of 16.03.2022), rape, prev. of art. 218 para. 1 C.pen. (injured person UA, act of 27.03.2022), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB - G.), all with application. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes.

- 2. TT, for committing the crimes of: setting up an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), all with application. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes.
- 3. NG-M., for committing the crimes of: constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB G.), all with application. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes.
- 4. RA-L., for committing the crimes of: setting up an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB G.), all with application. Art. 38 para. 1 Criminal Code, regarding the actual contest of crimes. In fact, in the charge of the defendant T. III EA, the prosecutor noted that: at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of

Romania, together with the defendant TT and the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the said NG-M. and RA-L., in order to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to the execution of a job, in forced way, using the website www.tiktok.com.;

- between the end of January 2022 and February 2022, the defendant 1. III EA, through the
Instagram application and following meetings in London (February 2022), UK, recruited her by
misrepresenting the intention to lay the foundations of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the
existence of false feelings, on the injured person UA, and in the period March 1, 2022 - April 11, 2022,
together with the defendant NG-M., by exercising acts of physical violence and mental coercion
resulting. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a
building located in the city of,, county, as well as in a building located in str.
, no complex, str
str, county and transported her from the UK, London to Romania and within the county,
together with the defendant NG-M., for the purpose sexual exploitation, with the help of the RA-L., by
forcing them to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials,
using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to forced labor, using in this
sense the website www.tiktok.com (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers;
- on 16.03.2022, at the Hotel in the city
of Bucharest, the defendant T. III EA, coerced the injured person UA by exerting psychological
and verbal pressure, augmenT. of the entire factual context in which he was, to maintain normal and

....., str., county ..., the defendant T. III EA, coerced the injured person UA by using physical violence and psychological and verbal pressure, augmenT. of the entire factual context in which he was, to maintain normal and oral sexual relations with him:

oral sexual relations with him and with the said P. I and; - on 27.03.2022, in a building located in

- in May 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the said P. I - V. by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, and in the period May 2021 – December 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L., by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in, ..., countyo..., anddrapteported ster within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation ... by forcing pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok in this sense. com (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - in February 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the AB - G. by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/

cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, and during April 2021 – December 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L., by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in,, county ..., and transported her within the county for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform pornographic manifestations in order to produce and domonates to por tographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced, manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of over 1000 followers; In the charge of the defendant TT, it was noted that: - at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendant T. III EA and the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of

Romania and on the territory of other sT. like

USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the said NG-M. and RA-L., in order to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website in this sense

www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense;

- between November 2021 and 04/05/2022, the defendant TT, through the Reddit and Whatsapp applications and following the meetings in Miami Florida (end of December 2021), USA, recruited her by misrepresenting the intention to put the basis of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person GE A, and between April 5, 2022 and April 11, 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L., by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance and control, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex, str., county ..., as well as in a building located in, . . in this str. sense the site www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a Work, in a forced manner, using in this sense the site www.tiktok.com, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - between July 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant TT, through the Instagram application and following several meetings that took place within the radius of the municipality of Bucharest, recruited her by misleading about the intention to lay the foundations of a marriage/cohabitation and the existence of false feelings, on the

injured person A SA., and between October 28, 2021 and February 27, 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. and with the defendant T. III EA, through the exercise of mental coercion acts rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in str.

, no complex, str
str, county, and transported her within the county, for the purpose of sexual exploitation,
by forcing her to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic
materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to a forced labor for
12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this
sense .tiktok.com, for identifying a number of more than 1000 followers:

- in August 2021, the defendant TT, through the named P. I and following several meetings that took place in the district of ..., recruited her by misrepresenting the conditions under which she was going to carry out video chat activities, as well as regarding the existence of false feelings, on the injured person P. CD, and in the period August 2021 – October 28, 2021, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. and with the defendant T. III EA, through the exercise of acts of physical violence and acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building str., no. complex, str. located in, for the purpose of sexual exploitation,

by forcing her to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to a forced labor for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense .tiktok.com, for identifying a number of more than 1000 followers; In the case of the

defendant NG-M., the following were detained: - at the

beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendants T. III EA, T. T. and the defendant RA-L. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the said NG-M. and RA-L., in order to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to the execution of a job, in forced way, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense; - after between the end of January 2022 and

February 2022, the defendant T. III E.

A., via the Istagram application and following meetings in London (February 2022), UK,

recruited the injured person UA by misrepresenting the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, between March 1, 2022 and April 11, 2022, together with the defendant T. III EA, the defendant NG-M., by exercising acts of physical violence and mental coercion resulted. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the complex,, county ..., and transported her from the UK, London to Romania and within county of ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to participate in pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using in this sense the website www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after during the period November 2021 – 05.04.2022, the defendant TT, through the Reddit and Whatsapp applications and

following the meetings in Miami Florida (end of December 2021), USA, recruited her by misrepresenting the intention of to lay the foundations of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person GE A, between April 5, 2022 and April 11, 2022, defendant NG-M. together with the defendant RA-L. and TT, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from acts of intimidation, surveillance and control, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, str. complex,, county ..., as well as in a building located in, county ..., and transported it from the USA to Romania and within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing pornographic manifestations, no. in order to produce the broadcast pornographic materials, using the website, str., www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a forced labor, using in this sense the website www.tiktok.com, for identifying a number of more than 1000 followers; - after between July 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant TT, through the Istagram application and following several meetings that took place within the radius of the municipality of Bucharest, recruited her by misleading about the intention to put the basis of a marriage/

cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person A SA., between October 28, 2021 and February 27, 2022, defendant NG-M. together with the defendant RA-L. and T.

T., through the exercise of acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county, as well as in a building located inno...,, county, and liansported her within the county, for the purpose of sexual exploitation, through obliging to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, by force, for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in August 2021, the defendant TT, through the named P. I and following several meetings that took place in the county ..., recruited

her by misleading about the conditions under which she was following to carry out video chat activities as well as regarding the existence of false feelings, on the injured person P. CD, in the period August 2021 - October 28, 2021, the defendant NG-M. together with the defendant RA-L. and TT, by exercising acts of physical violence and acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in

str., no. complex, str., str., str., county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials , using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to a forced labor for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense .tiktok.com, for

- after in May 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the said P. I - V by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings. , between May 2021 and December 2022, the defendant NG-M.

identifying a number of more than 1000 followers;

together with the defendant RA-L. and T. III EA, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county str ..., as well as in a building located in....complementystr, and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing them to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to forced labor, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in February 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited her by misleading about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence

of false feelings, the said AB - G., in period April 2021 – December 2022, defendant NG-M. together with the defendant RA-L. and T. III EA, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in,, county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forcett manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, for identifying a number of more than 1000 followers; In the charge of the defendant RA-L., the following were detained: - at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendants T. III EA, T.

T. and the defendant NG-M. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the said NG-M. and RA-L., in order to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to the execution of a job, in forced way, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense; - after during the period November 2021 – 05.04.2022, the defendant TT, through the

to put the basis of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person A SA., between October 28, 2021 and February 27, 2022, the defendant RA-L., together with the defendant NG-M. and TT, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county, as well as in a building located in,, county, and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, through obligation to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast

str	, no complex,	str.
,	str.	

pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a forced labor for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using in this sense the site- www.tiktok.com, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in August 2021, the

defendant TT, through the named P. I and following several meetings that took place in the county ..., recruited her by misleading about the conditions under which she was following to carry out video chat activities as well as regarding the existence of false feelings, on the injured person P. CD, between August 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant RA-L., together with the defendant NG-M. and TT, by exercising acts of physical violence and acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in str., no. complex, str.

by, county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, forcing her to participate in pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a forced labor for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using in this sense the site-www.tiktok.com, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers;

- after in May 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the said P. I - V by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings., in the period May 2021 – December 2022, the defendant RA-L., together with the defendant NG-M. and T. III EA, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county str ..., as well as in a building located in, ..., downty-...nand.tracospoleted.herstrithin the county ..., the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing them to forcing them to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to forced labor, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in February 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited her by misleading about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the

existence of false feelings, the said AB - G., in between April 2021 and December 2022, together with the defendant NG-M. and T. III EA,, through the exercise of acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in,, county ..., and transported her within the county for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform pornographic manifestations in order to produce and domestical portographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com.in.this.senset and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced ..., manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of over 1000 followers;.

Regarding the condition provided by art. 223 C.pr.pen. regarding the existence in the case of the evidence from which the reasonable suspicion regarding the commission of a crime results, the judge of rights and liberties held that from the criminal investigation documents carried out in the case it results from the reasonable assumption that the defendant T. III EA committed the crimes constituting a organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3 and 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB - G.), that the defendant TT committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), that the defendant NG-M. committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group,

previous of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB – G.) and that the defendant RA-L. committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB – G.).

In order to retain in this way, the judge of rights and freedoms took into account the statements of the injured persons UA, GE A, AS A., P. C - D which corroborate with the resulting aspects. from the reports of extrajudicial psychological expertise and the minutes of the playback of conversations through the Whatsapp application.

Thus, as regards the injured person UA, her statement showed that she met the defendant TA at the end of January 2022, through the Instagram application, in conversations the defendant began to speak to her more and more romantically, and the injured person started to develop feelings for him. On February 6, 2022, the defendant visited her in London, on which occasion they went on a date at a restaurant chosen by the defendant. On the occasion of this meeting, the defendant TA told her that he was looking for a serious woman, like her, to marry, and if the injured person was serious with him, he would marry her. That evening they had sex, and the next day the defendant told her he could no longer stay in London, but two days later they met again and went to another hotel together. The injured person also showed that they continued to meet in February 2022, and on February 14, 2022, the injured person went to the Republic of Moldova. Considering this, the defendant TA told the injured person to come and visit him in Romania, and she agreed. The injured person stated that she had started to trust the defendant, and he told her to move to Romania. In this sense, he put the injured person in contact with the defendant NGM, whom he told him was his assistant, and she bought the injured person the plane ticket to Bucharest.

It was shown that the fact that the injured person UA was recruited by the defendant TA E. by misrepresenting the intention to establish a relationship of marriage or cohabitation and the existence of false feelings of the defendant towards the injured person also result from the purT conversations. through the remote communication application "Whatsapp" by the injured person with the defendant, reproduced in the minutes of the criminal investigation file, from which the following passages are relevant:

"[04/02/2022, 13:55:01] E.: you must understand that once you are mine, you will be mine for always. A woman never leaves her man. I will be the last man in your life.";

"[04/02/2022, 17:15:24] E.: what do we do if we fall in love and I kidnap you to bring you to Bucharest?

[04/02/2022, 17:15:57] AU: I don't think it's kidnapping, if I want to come";

"[05/02/2022, 05:06:57] E.: first I have to see in your eyes that you will never be able to live without me. And then I can trust you to show you everything [05/02/2022,

05:08:55] AU: bring a ring with you when you come

[05/02/2022, 05:11:17] E.: you can be loving enough to be a wife? To always be near me, wherever I go? Talk to 0 men besides me? Ride or die? ";

"[07/02/2022, 20:....:27] E.: you have to move to Romania with me, to keep an eye on you";

```
[08/02/2022, 15:08:12] AU: what do you mean I act like one?
        [08/02/2022, 15:16:51] E.: you should know what it means. To be a good wife";
        "[08/02/2022, 19:53:21] E.: I have said many times that you should live in Romania, have
comment ignored
        [02/08/2022, 19:57:14] AU: Ok, I'm coming with you
        [02/08/2022, 20:13:40] E.: This is my girl. Give me a few hours to make a serious plan [08/02/2022,
        20:31:47] AU: Baby [08/02/2022, 20:31:54]
        AU: but can I trust you?
        [08/02/2022, 20:42:31] E.: Yes. You can.
        [08/02/2022, 20:42:51] E.: you will move to Romania with me. I plan when I get there.
First, I might have to go to Prague for a few days.
        [08/02/2022, 20:42:56] E.: and we'll meet in Romania."
        "[18/02/2022, 15:49:40] E.: and I want to know that you are
        determined [18/02/2022, 15:49:42] E.: serious about
        marriage [18/02/2022, 15:52:40] AU: Yes. I am serious"
        At some point, the injured person UA saw several videos on the YouTube platform in which the
defendant talks about what he does and from which his approach to women results and asked the defendant
questions about these aspects: "[ 09/02/2022, 00:59:22] E.: you're not stupid and you probably guess how I
        make so much money [09/02/2022, 00:59:26] E.: I have to hide what I really do.
        [09/02/2022, 00:59:40] AU: Web cam?
        [09/02/2022, 00:59:45] E.: for a long time I did this with a team of girls who worked
on videochat
        The company was fake.
        [09/02/2022, 00:59:46] E.: yes
        [09/02/2022, 00:59:52] E.: but that's how I wash my dirty money
        [09/02/2022, 00:59: 55] E.: after that
        [09/02/2022, 10:00:14] E.: men started to be jealous and started asking me
how can i start a video chat business They will never do this because they are losers
        [09/02/2022, 01:00:17] E.: but they like the idea
        [09/02/2022, 01:00:24] E.: so I started charging men to "teach" them "
        [09/02/2022, 01:00:31] E.: that's what those videos are about [09/02/2022,
        01:00:33] E.: it's all a cover [09/02/ 2022, 01:00:36] E.:
        you understand [09/02/2022, 01:01:00]
        AU: yes [09/02/2022, 01:01:14] E.:
        now it goes deeper [09/02/2022, 01:01:19] E.: some of the girls that
        worked for me [09/02/2022, 01:01:37] E.: I used them to do other things. To move
        money or illegal things. Documents etc.
        [09/02/2022, 01:01:42] E.: I will never do that with you [09/02/2022,
        01:01:53] E.: but I need those girls for work, sometimes. Money stuff [09/02/2022, 01:02:09] E.: when I
said earlier that
        I need a woman to not hide things from, that's what I meant [09/02/2022, 01: 02:23] E.: if you know the
girls, I want you to be really cool with them.
Friendly. You are on my team to help me
        [09/02/2022, 01:02:41] E.: you don't need details about any of this, what I want is to
trust me and do as I say [09/02/2022, 01:02:45]
        E.: and I will always take care of you [09/02/2022, 01:02:57] E.: no
        nothing bad will happen [09/02/2022, 01:03:01] E.: but you have
        to be on my side"
```

"[08/02/2022, 14:28:54] E.: You are mine. Do not forget that. And act like it. We will be together soon.

Regarding his move to Romania, the injured person UA stated that the defendant T.

A. initially told him that they would live together, but later told him that in the house where he lives there are several men who work with him and his brother, so it is better to get another apartment, just for the two of them. At the time when the injured person arrived in Romania, the defendant TA was not in the country and told the injured person that she would not be able to live in the apartment, she would have to live with NG, who bought her plane ticket, took over from airport and drove her to the building where she was going to live.

These aspects were confirmed by the conversations between the injured person and the accused purT. via the remote communication application Whatsapp: "[24/02/2022, 12:17:28]

E.: what I want you to do is plan your flight here as soon as possible, and when you land I might be gone for a few days / But my personal assistant is here, she's Romanian and she's super nice. he will take care of you until I return.", "[26/02/2022, 13:56:10] E.: you will come to Romania / We will have our apartment, I work with the boys but I can come home in the evening. I'm going to give you a bunch of things to do here, I always need help. /I'll make sure you have money. /And we will be together.)".

The injured person testified that when the defendant NG picked her up from the airport, she told him that she would live with some girls who work for the defendant TA and his brother, who had accounts on OnlyFans and shared the earnings with the two of them. The injured person mentioned that it seemed strange to him what the defendant N. was telling him, but he was already in Romania and had nothing else to do, thinking that when the defendant TA will return to Romania, they will live together, as he had promised.

The statement of the injured person UA was corroborated with the resulting aspects. from conversations purT. by the injured person and the accused through the Whatsapp application:

- "[02/03/2022, 04:24:02] AU: I'm in the house with some girls who work for G.... ai said i will stay with G.

[02/03/2022, 04:25:12] AU: i feel a little weird [02/03/2022,

04:25:43] AU: and G said you're probably coming in 5 days???

[02/03/2022, 04:26:08] AU: it's too much

[02/03/2022, 04:29:36] AU: I wish you'd tell me things like they are so I know what's going on [02/03/2022, 04:30:10]

AU: what exactly do these girls do?

[02/03/2022, 04:32:12] AU: I thought I was coming and we would be together, I understand that it happens things but you have to talk to me and tell me so that I don't feel weird then [02/03/2022, 07:07:56] E.:

you don't have to feel weird [02/03/2022, 07:09:45] E.: I come

home as fast as I can, you know I work"

- "[02/03/2022, 12:24:32] AU: Baby, what are these girls doing anyway? I feel stupid for not knowing, I should know so I can talk to them and not say something stupid or offend them in any way

[02/03/2022, 12:28:57] E.: Only fans

[02/03/2022, 12:29:54] AU: so they undress and stuff? Or something else [02/03/2022,

12:30:39] E.: No, just bikini [02/03/2022, 12:30:41]

E.: and underwear [02/03/2022, 12: 30:47] E.: and

they have a live show on tiktok [02/03/2022, 12:30:56] E.: which

makes a lot of money [02/03/2022, 12:32:00] AU: then why

does he have to work for G.? /I can do this by myself [02/03/2022, 12:35:30] E.: I can't [02/03/2022, 12:35:30] E.: I can't [02/03/2022, 12:35:30]

E.: not properly [02/03/2022, 12:35:40]

E.: not at the top level. They have big teams

behind them [02/03/2022, 12:35:.....] E.: who advertise them, work on them 24/7 etc [02/03/2022, 12:35:56] E.: those girls make a lot of money, it's not amateurism"

" [03/03/2022, 00:33:09] AU: I want to know why you brought me here to this house. If you respected me, you'd ask me first if I was ok with this. /I thought I would come here and live with you.

It's a little weird for me to have you hang out with girls who work for you, especially since you had a thing with one of them. / Y. told me that there was nothing between you two and that you take care of her like

a brother". /I'm not stupid, I know there was something more. I could stay in London until you returned to Romania. /I know you have a lot on your mind right now, but my sister is telling me to go back to London because she thinks I'm not safe here, and I'm very confused. /I want to know what your plan is.

```
[03/03/2022, 02:02:57] AU: i love you [03/03/2022, 07:14:05] E.: aren't you safe? what the??? [03/03/2022, 07:14:16] E.: I wanted you to leave London because of the way you behaved there"
```

For 2 weeks, the injured person lived with the named PY, ABG and AM A., and during this time, the defendant NG was nice to her, she was the one who took care of the shopping and everything needed for the house. The injured person UA also stated that PY and ABG told him that they make Onlyfans and that halfT, from the earnings they made, they gave to the two brothers, AT and TT. At the same time, the one in charge of collecting the sums of money obtained from the posting of various images and videos on the Onlyfans website was the defendant NG, who later gave the sums of money to the defendants AT and TT, and the one in charge of managing the accounts on the platforms socialization was the defendant RL. Next, the injured person stated that the defendant NG proposed to him from the very first day to create a special account for him, in order to obtain sums of money from the posting of live videos, with the card of the said NG being attached to the Tik Tok account. The named ABG proposed to the injured person to go live together on Tik Tok, with the defendant AT suggesting that he could do so because he could earn money. Therefore, the injured person made a live video on Tik Tok with the said ABG, calling her N.. The injured person also reported that she had not been given her own Tik Tok account, but was using another girl's, with the name of A., called "Coculet", who had been in a relationship with TT, but who had already left. He received a Huawei brand phone, in which was the Tik Tok account attributed to him and where he saw the posT videos. by A., in which he noticed that she had tattooed the inscription "Talisman T." and the infinity sign.

The conversations between the injured person UA were considered relevant in this regard and the defendant TAE:

```
"[09/03/2022, 15:49:13] AU: B asked me if I want to go live with her on TikTok [09/03/2022,
        15:49:31] AU: what do you say?
        [09/03/2022, 15:50:45] E.: why not
        [09/03/2022, 15:50:50] E.: what is it? just tiktok?
        [09/03/2022, 15:50:51] E.: do it
        [09/03/2022, 15:50:52] E.: it's simple
        [09/03/2022, 15:51:12] AU: yes
        [09/03/2022, 15:53:00] E.: Cool
        [09/03/2022, 15:53:14] AU: Ok, we'll see.";
        " [10/03/2022, 06:23:05] E.: N.. Really Russian name
        [10/03/2022, 06:23:08] E.:
        [10/03/2022, 06:37:30] E.: I see your bouncy breasts and cute smile on tik tok";
        " [11/03/2022, 12:37:14] AU: Baby, why did G. ask me if I wanted to make a
fake tik tok account?
        [11/03/2022, 12:37:22] AU: I don't want to work for her
        [11/03/2022, 12:45:42] E.: I don't
        understand [11/03/2022, 12:45: 43] E.: what?
        [11/03/2022, 12:50:46] AU: Yesterday he came home and asked if I wanted to go live
with B again and told him I could come in for support, not like I'd like that
```

Then she asked me if I still have a phone with me, that she can make me a fake account on Tik Tok and I told her that I still have a phone but it's only for calls and she told me that she has a phone with good room /And I was hmmmm, weird [11/03/2022,

```
12:51:18] AU: I didn't tell him anything clearly, first I wanted to talk to you about to stay
```

[11/03/2022, 12:51:38] AU: I don't like men talking to me and that

```
[11/03/2022, 12:52:43] E.: instead of going live with B, you go live alone and keep all the money. I
know you don't like men talking to you. /The truth is, I'll be very busy with work a lot of the time, so if you have
something to do, that would be great, plus you'll make a lot of money.
/I won't let you have a normal job where men can see the real you.. No idea. On screen, I don't care. tikTok
is better than in a night club, where men really try."
        " [14/03/2022, 17:39:14] E.: I have a 3 stage plan
        [14/03/2022, 17:39:20] AU: you know you can
        [14/03/2022, 17: 39:20] E.: and if you are my wife I want you to be involved
        [14/03/2022, 17:39:23] AU: I trust you [14/03/2022,
        17:39:39] E.: you have to listen, think carefully and understand what
I'm going to tell you
        [14/03/2022, 17:39:52] E.: the plan is that I want to get this house for free
        [14/03/2022, 17:39:56] E.: For us. I show you.
        [14/03/2022,17:40:19]E.:https://www.imobiliare.ro/inchirieri-case vile/
bucuresti/P./casa-de-inchiriat-6-carnere-X6ME11012#rnodal- galerie-mare [14/03/2022,
        17:40:26] E.: look how we're going to do it [14/03/2022,
        17:41:02] E.: 1] you start working with G. and you work of hard. For the other girls to try to catch up
with you. /You will make a lot of money and keep them all. to be rich
/ But it's important that the girls see you working, so that they too want to work harder.
        [14/03/2022, 17:41:58] E.: 2] both girls are obsessed with you. G. just called me.
I like you a lot. /So we'll pull it together. We'll have a threesome and we'll make them love you as their
girlfriend. /We pull it as a team.
        [14/03/2022, 17:42:18] E.: Part 3 I tell you personally [14/03/2022,
        17:43:03] AU: and what does this have to do with the house?
        [14/03/2022, 17:43:23] E.: earn a lot of money and they will buy it through the company G., from
their salaries.
        [14/03/2022, 17:44:14] AU: so is the house for me or the girls?
        [14/03/2022, 17:44:21] E.: actually it is for me [14/03/2022,
        17:44:29] E.: but that means us. Because you are my wife for
always. Don't spoil it
        [14/03/2022, 17:44:31] AU: I meant me and you [14/03/2022,
        17:44:36] E.: you keep all your money [14/03/2022,
        17:44:44] E.: they buy the house. Can I trust you with this?"
        "[14/03/2022, 18:12:29] AU: when you said to work with G., did you mean TikTok or also onlyfans
[14/03/2022,
        18:14:28] E.: TikTok ONLY [14/03/2022,
        18:15:30] AU: and after that you'll ask me to do that too? I heard stories about A. /They didn't sound
too good [14/03/2022, 18:15:38] E.: I
        didn't care about that bitch [14/03/2022, 18:15:45] E .: I will
        not ask you to do OF [14/03/2022, 18:15:46] E.: never
        [14/03/2022, 18:15:58] AU: and that
        means I will stay in this csa more than 2 weeks?
        [14/03/2022, 18:16:03] E.: you can do TikTok and we pull it on some girls together and I
help with my business
        [14/03/2022, 18:16:16] E.: OF only if YOU want to do it / I will never ask you."
        " [29/03/2022, 13:20:12] AU: I heard you are the one running the girl business [29/03/2022,
        13:20:16] AU: Onlyfans and TikTok [29/03/ 2022,
        13:20:22] E.: T. and G are [29/03/2022,
        13:20:25] E.: But I lead them "
        "[04/04/2022, 15:59:44] E.: we talked a little. I need you in my team to do what G tells you [04/04/2022,
15:59:....]
        E.: talk more later [04/04/2022, 16:01:32 ] AU: is this how our
        relationship will be? Or is it just like that now
```

```
[04/04/2022, 16:03:36] E.: you start complaining [04/04/2022, 16:03:41] E.: as if I don't have enough stress"; "[04/08/2022, 14:23:14] E.: G. is super excited about you [04/08/2022, 14:23:15] E.: you work hard [04/08/2022, 14:23:55] AU: I stayed for almost 8 hours without a break [08/04/2022, 14:25:23] E.: you will be rich ÿ".
```

The injured person UA stated that she did not use the phone she had on her because she had the impression that she was being controlled. At one point, he told the defendant TA that he wanted to go to the Botanical Garden with two girls from Ukraine (whom he previously indicated had been brought by the defendants NG and lived in the house for about five days). The defendant TA told her that they could only go accompanied by the defendant NG or the named PY or ABG. The injured person stated that during the time she lived in the house, she and the other girls were never left alone, always being a trusted person of the T. brothers to "take care of them."

The circumstance that the injured person was not allowed to leave that house unaccompanied also resulted from her conversations with the defendant TAE through the Whatsapp application: "[03/03/2022, 14:43:03]

[03/03/2022, 14:44:23] E.: yes, go to town only with someone else not alone

AU: we had breakfast, we talked with my sister /I just talked to Y. and she told me that there was something between you two /I want to do my exercises, send some emails, read the bible and maybe go to town later if does anyone want to go

```
[03/03/2022, 14:44:32] E.: I try to get home as fast as I can [03/03/2022,
        14:45:53] E.: girls don't go to town alone when they are married"
        "[07/03/2022, 16:40:55] AU: tomorrow we might go to the Botanical Garden/With the girls
from Ukraine
        [07/03/2022, 16:41:10] E.: who we
        [07/03/2022, 16:41:42] AU: it's also women's day
        [07/03/2022, 16:41:52] E.: who are we
        [07/03/2022, 16:41:56] AU: me and them
        [07/03/2022, 16:41:59] AU: the 2 girls
        [07/03/2022, 16:42:18] E.: no. I'll go with you B, Jasmine or Geo
        [03/07/2022, 16:42:21] E.: I don't trust those Ukrainian women [03/07/2022,
        16:43:54] E.: don't go anywhere [ 07/03/2022,
        16:43:57] E.: without one of the 3"
        " 08/04/2022, 22:28:47] AU: why are you so cold to me?
        [08/04/2022, 22:33:11] E.: NO
        [08/04/2022, 22:33:12] E.: go out alone
        [08/04/2022, 22:33:21] E.: without telling me
        [08/04/2022, 22:33:22] E.: Mall. Supermarket
        [04/08/2022, 22:33:22] E.: NOWHERE
        [04/08/2022, 22:33:23] E.: FROM NOW ON [04/08/2022,
        22:33:27] E.: I told you I want to go alone [04/08/2022, 22:33:32] E.: this
        is the last warning [04/08/2022, 22:33:35] E.: I don't feel like
        it to believe you were [08/04/2022, 22:56:23] AU: Ok ÿ" Next, the
```

[03/03/2022, 14:43:14] AU: what are you doing?

[03/03/2022, 14:44:25] E.: still working

victim UA stated that while in London, the

defendant AT told her that they were going to have sex and with other girls, the injured person not agreeing, and at that time the defendant told her that he would not impose this on her. On March 18, 2022, the defendant AT wrote on the group "Obbey the King" that he will have sexual relations with the injured person UA, PY and ABG that evening and that they will be staying at the hotel With this occasion, the injured person UA replied to the said TA that he did not agree, but after talking to the said PY and ABG, she was convinced by them to go to the said hotel, which happened. After they checked in, as the injured person UA was

in a state of tension, as she had never had sexual relations with other girls before, the said PY took two bottles of wine, which the three consumed before the defendant AT arrived. In this context, the defendant AT maintained sexual relations with the injured person UA, PY and ABG. It showed the injured person that although she was dizzy from the alcohol she had consumed, she was aware of what she was doing. There were things that the injured person UA did not consider normal, but the defendant AT always gave him the impression that what was happening was perfectly normal. After the sexual act took place in the hotel room, the victim UA locked herself in the bathroom and began to cry loudly, because she realized that she had been "manipulated".

The injured person stated that on 27.03.2022, the defendant AT told him to get ready because he was going out in the city, but things changed and, that evening, he stayed at home, in which context he noticed that he came another girl who had luggage on her and introduced herself as Kira, the girlfriend of the said TT. While there, defendant AT told him "Go to the room.", as Kira and defendant TT were also present. Later, the defendant AT followed her and told her that first he would rape her and then they would talk. Thus, the defendant AT told the injured person to take off her clothes, keep her shoes, then hit her across the face. According to the statement of the injured person UA, she could not reject the defendant AT, because he, during the sexual act, held her head and told her that he did not want to see any more negative messages from the injured person and that she was lucky not to locked her in a house. Then the defendant AT assumes that first he will have to get her pregnant, then he will lock her in a house and then she will definitely go crazy. The injured person stated that when the defendant AT carried out acts of violence, she cried and was scared because she believed him to be capable of anything.

The injured person UA stated that she did not want to involve the police, because she was afraid that she would be locked up somewhere by herself, by the AT.

According to the statement of the injured person UA, she never agreed to these sexual acts and relations that she had with the defendant AT, but he and the other girls manipulated her and made her accept it.

In the content of the extrajudicial psychological expertise report no. 5/18.04.2022 (vol. 3, f. 27-51), the clinical psychologist who evaluated the injured person showed that Aliona U's narrative seems to correspond to a grooming script that can be compared to a game of chess in which the master is waiting to make the ultimate move to take control. Aliona's needs were first assessed and the hope of meeting them created, after trust and presence were established, the opportunities of a life not to be refused. The isolation reported by A. allowed him to lose power over his own life and gain control over the people who decided what was going to happen. The psychologist showed that he was able to identify during the anamnestic interview elements such as fantasies, manipulation, seduction, complex approach strategies, isolation strategies, persuasion, the motivation of trust in the person who was in control, all of which are elements that can be found in the specialist literature. In the analysis of trafficking or abuse (Ramona Vijeyarasa in 2015 in the work Slavery and the Trafficked Woman). At the same time, in the evaluation of Aliona U, he was able to identify that she experienced elements of psychological pressure. It was found that the traumatic events following which A.

U was a victim, they had important and irreversible consequences on her mental reality, which presents the symptomatic psycho-somatic load specific to the Acute Stress Syndrome: anxietyT., affective vacuum state, susceptibleT., low tolerance threshold and hyperactive restrictionsT., autism (withdrawal into oneself), self-blaming reflexes, affective-emotional rapture, crying spells. (SJ Scheraldi, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Ed. Lowell House Epstein 1993).

Regarding the injured person GE A, it emerged from her statement that she met the defendant TT through the Reddit application, as well as on a matrimonial site, being contacted by him and continuing to talk for about a month - a month and a halfT ., and then they met physically at the end of December 2021. It revealed that while they were talking through the said apps, the defendant TT asked her what qualities she looks for in a man when she is thinking of starting a romantic relationship with someone. It showed that the injured person told the said TT that she was interested in an educated, creative person who would respect her, and the accused TT presented himself exactly as she wanted a boyfriend to be.

It was appreciated that these aspects are also confirmed by the purT conversations. between the person injured GE A and defendant TT, from which the following passages are relevant:

```
[11/15/21, 1:....:29 PM] T.: next question is obvious [11/15/21, 1:....:36 PM]
         T.: why not have you found the man you are looking for?
         [11/15/21, 1:....:40 PM] Bear: is it?
         [11/15/21, 1:....:52 PM] T.: surely he's looking for you too..."
         "[11/15/21, 2:28:06 PM] T.: that means you would fit in Europe /If you like us
you realize you'll be coming to Europe quite often, right?
         [11/15/21, 2:28:31 PM] Bear: yes?
         [11/15/21, 2:28:41 PM] Bear: We'll see"
         [11/23/21, 10:51:29 AM] T.: you are such a beautiful woman [11/23/21,
         12:16:42 PM] Bear: aw! How nice... thank you"
         [11/30/21, 12:47:24 AM] T.: you are very hot [11/30/21,
         1:03:08 AM] Bear: what did you like the most?
         [11/30/21, 1:03:16 AM] T.: the way you look at me [11/30/21,
         1:05:15 AM] Bear: well you're a nice person to look at [11/30 /21, 1:06:39 AM]
         Bear: I love that part of me wants to do whatever you ask.
         [11/30/21, 1:07:18 AM] T.: would you really do whatever I say?
         [11/30/21, 1:07:24 AM] T.: I love how submissive you are" Next, victim
```

GE A reported that she met defendant TT in person at the end of December 2021, when he was in Florida, Miami, at a conference related to the work defendant TT was doing. Defendant TT told injured person GE A that there was no problem if she would also go to this conference and that they would live in a very nice house and it would be a very special first date. There were a lot of men at that conference, and all those attending the conference lived in the house where the injured person GE A and the defendant TT also lived.

Injured person GE A stated that he stayed overnight because he was already in Miami. He stated that during the day, at this conference, all kinds of work events were organized, to which women were not allowed access, later understanding that this happened because they wanted women not to know what was being discussed there. There were conferences specially organized for men to teach them how to relate to women. The injured person specified that in order to enter the conference in Miami, he had an invitation, and in general, the participants of these conferences can buy the tickets online, which can be worth even 7000 euros.

She further testified that on that night she slept with the defendant TT in the same room, but told him that she did not want to have sex, which the defendant agreed to. Injured person GE A stayed with defendant TT in Miami for almost a week and during those weeks had sexual relations with him.

Victim GE A further reported that on the last night she was with Defendant TT in Miami, while they were having sex, he strangled her with both hands, the victim telling her he didn't want to be roughed up, abused. He mentioned that he realized from the look of the defendant TT that he had consumed alcoholic beverages and after that the injured person passed out. Injured person GE A reported that after he recovered, he did not fully understand what had happened. Defendant TT told her that she passed out and that she was the one who asked him to hug her but he didn't do it because he didn't like it. She stated that she had bruises on her neck and it hurt when she swallowed. The injured person indicated that after what happened, she cried all night because she was not sure what had happened, but because she did not remember, she began to believe that what T. was telling her was true. In the continuation of the statement, the injured person GE A showed that after the defendant TT left, they continued to talk through the messages they sent to each other through the Whatsapp

application. Defendant TT told her that he did not want to see her around other men or even look at men. At first, the defendant TT was very romantic, affectionate, then he became cold and distant. From time to time, he would tell her that he missed her and ask her if she missed him.

The injured person GE A also reported that before leaving Miami, the defendant TT asked her if she wanted to move to Romania, and she told him that she did not want to do that. After several months, the injured person GE A asked the defendant TT if he was serious

when he proposed to come to Romania, and he said yes and that he would introduce him to this country and show him everything about the culture of this country. Then, the idea of visiting Romania turned into the idea of moving with the defendant to Romania.

"[12/11/21, 4:45:38 AM] T.: you are moving to Europe

[12/11/21, 4:45:46 AM] T.: you have the plane ticket in January

[12/11/21, 4:45:.... AM] T.: Ok?

[12/11/21, 4:49:23 AM] Bear: ok :]

[12/11/21, 4:55:39 AM] T.: I'm also putting my management team in charge of your onlyfans page. I'll take vou to 100K a month.

[12/11/21, 5:00:33 AM] Bear: ok. I would like a new one"

[12/22/21, 4:40:42 PM] T.: by the end of January you are moving in with me. As soon as I'm home and set everything [12/22/21, 4:40:46

PM] T.: but I'm not home, now [12/22/21, 4:40:49 PM] T.:

God, I would wanted to be"

[1/6/22, 8:54:17 PM] T.: have you changed your mind about Europe?

[1/6/22, 8:54:30 PM] Bear: no"

The injured person GE A stated that in order to get to Romania, the defendant TT was the one who paid the price of the plane ticket with his personal card and then sent him a photo. Thus, the defendant TT sent the injured person GE A the data of the card with which she reserved the plane ticket. The ticket cost about 4000 dollars, because the injured person GE bought it a week before arriving in Romania.

This aspect also resulted from the conversation held by the defendant TT with the injured person through the Whatsapp application, on 28.02.2022: "[3/28/22, 12:11:31 AM] T.: reserve with

this card [3/28/22, 12:11:36 AM] T.: name on card "TT"

[3/28/22, 12:11:43 AM] Bear: ok thanks [3/28/22,

12:12:05 AM] T.: i'll have to confirm when you press "book" so that you let me know seconds before you do it"

Also, from the contents of the conversations between the two, it emerged that the defendant established a series of rules that the injured person had to follow once he arrived in Romania.

[4/1/22, 7:05:23 PM] Bear: can you tell me the rules please [4/2/22,

1:33:15 AM] T.: 3 rules [4/2/22, 1:33:37

AM] T.: 1. No body hair... this is not progressive America.

It's Europe

[4/2/22, 1:33:55 AM] T.: 2. You teach me music. Piano and Guitar [4/2/22,

1:34:14 AM] T.: and 3... the most important [4/2/22, 1:34:42

AM] T.: you never talk to anyone , if I didn't introduce you [4/2/22, 1:35:06 AM] T.: Romania is my world. No friends

from outside"

She reported that she landed at Otopeni airport on Tuesday, 04/05/2022, at 1:15 p.m., and at 1:30 p.m., the defendant TT came and picked her up from the airport in a blue Rolls Royce car. When he picked her up from the airport, the defendant TT told the injured person GE A that they were going to have lunch, although she told him that she was tired and wanted to rest. The defendant also told him that if he tried to leave, he would not have this possibility, and with all that, if she succeeds in leaving, he will make sure that she will return to Romania. In the content of the statement, the injured person GE A also showed that the defendant TT took her to his house,

and when he entered the yard, he found that there were two armed individuals there, who opened the gate. On the first evening, they went to the room of the defendant TT, the injured person asking him if he wanted to do something, thinking that they would talk, to find out what happened during the time when they did not see each other, but the defendant told her that he did not bring her to Romania, to that house, for no reason, so they had sexual relations. When the defendant told her that they were going to have sex, she recalled that the defendant TT, that night in Miami, had actually strangled her, so she simply froze, and she had sex with him.

The injured person GE A specified that she was not afraid of the defendant TT during the time when they continued to talk through messages, but she was afraid only when she remembered what had happened in Miami, and in that moment it was already too late.

Next, the injured person GE A reported that he lived in the house of the said TT, from Tuesday to Friday, in the afternoon. During all this time, the injured person GE asked the defendant TT to introduce Romania to her, but she was told that there was nothing to be done for her. The victim stated that she asked the defendant if she would stay in that house forever, but he told her no, she was not a prisoner, but if she tried to leave, the men guarding the gate would not let her step outside the yard unless he shows them he has an order from Glovo. Defendant TT always argued that it was too dangerous for her to leave that house because he had enemies.

The injured person GE A further stated that she could not mention whether she realized that she was deprived of her liberty at this time, because the defendant TT told her that she could leave at any time, but when he left the residence, she could not get out of the yard Thus, he asked the guards to open the gates so that he could leave, but they told him that they did not understand the language, and at one point, he tried to communicate with them even through Google Translate, but they still said that they did not understand what they are told.

The injured person GE A claimed that she did not call the police because the defendant TT scared her, told her that he had surveillance cameras everywhere in the house and it even happened to her that when she went to the living room to write, the defendant TT he texts her to let her know he sees her in the living room writing. Thus, the defendant TT implied that she was being watched all the time.

The injured person reported that on Friday afternoon, she told the said TT that she no longer wanted to live in his house, and he asked her if she wanted to live in another house where two girlfriends of the said TA lived, the brother of the said TT, or in another apartment where another of his girlfriends lived. The injured person testified that she knew that the defendant TT was a playboy, but had no knowledge that he was living and having love affairs with other women. The moment she realized this situation, she was scared, she just wanted to go home, but she was too scared.

According to the statement of the injured person GE A, while she lived at the residence of the defendant TT, she was alone, until Friday, when she met his girlfriend, the one with whom she was going to move in, but at that time not knowing that she girl is the girlfriend of said TT, thinking she is just a friend. This girl, Carmen, said that she was glad that they were going to move in together, but that he would have to get to know her better. Injured person GE A showed that she was surprised but agreed to this because she wanted to see if Carmen was in the same situation as her. The injured person further claimed that he asked Carmen a lot of questions and thus found out that she had a relationship of one year and four months with the defendant TT and that she was originally from Romania. Carmen told the injured person that she was in the business of video chatting and that she would teach her everything she needed to know about it. According to the statement of the injured person GE A, the day before, the defendant TT asked her if she was willing to post photos of her on Onlyfans. He then told her to text him the final answer because when he had asked her in person, she had said she didn't know. From the question of the said TT, the injured person GE A understood that if she agreed to send him nude photos, he would pay her the sum of 50,000 euros. The injured person stated that she texted the defendant saying that she was not comfortable having nude photos taken of her with her face visible, but that if her face was blurred, she might be able to do so. The injured person showed that when he moved to the other house, the girls who lived there, respectively B, Y. and A, called Smurf, did this, that is, they posted on Onlyfans, except for Aliona, who posted on TikTok.

From the contents of the conversations between the injured person GE A and the defendant TT, purT. through the Whatsapp application, it turned out that she had other expectations regarding how things would develop between her and the defendant after his arrival in Romania: "[4/10/22,

6:12:02 AM] Bear: sorry that I deleted the messages./I was saying that I missed you and I was wondering when we can spend time together soon? /I really wanted to see Romania and you would be the one to show it to me, please

[4/10/22, 6:39:36 AM] Bear: I want to teach you music and find a job nearby I can't show my body on onlyfans – sorry. I want to keep it just for you."

The injured person GE A specified that before arriving at this house, he found out that there were two girlfriends of the defendant TA, but in reality. there were four. He later found out that all these girls, except the injured person UA, were in that house for over a year. Also, all these girls individually said that each of them is the girlfriend of the defendant A. and that they will have a child with him.

The injured person GE A also showed that he saw a message on the phone of one of the girls, in which the defendant AT kept telling her to self-mutilate to prove that she loved him. The girl did not agree with this, but the defendant AT kept insisting. One of the girls who was in a relationship with the defendant AT, respectively Y., said that if someone tries to intervene in their relationship she is able to do anything or if the defendant AT asks her to kill someone, she is able to do this.

Next, injured person GE A reported that when he found out that injured person UA had been there for a month, he wanted to talk to her, as he noticed that she was not happy and wanted to see if they were in the same situation. In speaking with AU, she learned from her that defendant AT had lied to her because he was saying the same things to all the girls and she didn't want to be there.

These matters were found to be confirmed by the injured party UA who stated that the defendant NG mentioned that the defendant TT had a new girlfriend who would be suitable for "COSPLAY" because she had Asian features. The injured person UA also showed that, approximately four days ago, a new girl with Asian features, named E., arrived in the building where she lived. She was brought to the building by the defendant NG who stated that injured party GE A previously did Onlyfans and will try to work together with defendants TT and AT as she has not been able to make much financial gain doing it alone.

The injured person GE A mentioned that before talking to UA, he talked to said PY about everything going on there and realized that A. didn't know what was really going on. The girls were not allowed to talk to each other about their other relationships, and if they did, they were threatened with beatings by the said NG. The named PY was even threatened by the defendant NG. According to the statement of the injured person GE A, they were in that house because they were in the beginning.

These aspects were corroborated with the statement of the injured person UA, according to which the named PY had been working for the defendants TT and AT for about a year and had told the injured person GE A many intimate things, such as that she was realT. in a relationship with the defendant AT and not the injured person UA. He stated that the said PY tried to approach the injured person GE A but failed as she realized that the said PY was lying.

On the birthday of E. (defendant RAL, nn), a party was organized in the home of the T. brothers, a party in which both she and E. participated, and on this occasion, the injured person GE A tried to approach the person injured AU. The day after the party, i.e. Sunday, 10.04.2022, while only she and E. were in the house, the latter told her that they had to leave there. They decided that the situation was critical and that they had to leave as soon as possible, also buying plane tickets to London, wanting to leave without anyone knowing about it. According to the statement of the injured person UA, the injured person GE A made the decision to tell a friend in America about what is happening to him in Romania, but he does not know exactly what he told him.

The injured person GE A also stated that the girls were dictated not only the schedule, namely what they should eat or what to do in a day, but also the way they should look. In this regard, there were girls who underwent cosmetic surgery. The girls controlled by defendants T.

T. and AT are tattooed and these tattoos contain the message "T. owned" (owned by T.).

According to the statement of the injured person GE A, during the time she lived with those girls, she was not allowed to leave the house alone, she was always watched by the other girls, except for A.. Every time she said she wanted to go out , she was told that she must be accompanied by someone else.

She stated that she is very afraid of the defendants TT and AT. They threatened to publish her real name, her parents' names, the phone number she uses, because they have done this before and will do it again.

According to the extrajudicial psychological expertise report no. 4/18.04.2022 (vol. 3, f. 1-26), the social history that includes information about past and current friendships, intimate relationships, sexual history, social support and hobbies and activities portrays E. A.G. as a person who wants the attention of others, she needs to feel special, wanted and loved, aspects that push her to project these needs onto someone who seems to embody her desires, pushing rational arguments into the background. Vulnerability to recruitment arising from this exacerbated need to be chosen and to be special, aspects that are speculated upon at the time of grooming. The basic assessment of E. A G.'s academic and professional history provides the context in which she was able to act appropriately and take the time to notify someone of what was happening where she was. It was also found that the traumatic events after which E. A G. was a victim, had important and irreversible consequences on her mental reality, which presents the psycho-somatic load symptomatically specific to the Acute Stress Syndrome: anxietyT., state of affective vacuum, susceptibleT., low threshold of tolerance and hyperactive restrictionsT., autism (withdrawal into oneself), self-blaming reflexes, affective emotional rapture, crying spells (SJ Scheraldi, Postrtraumatic Stress Disorder Ed. Lowell House Epstein 1993). Example: self-thoughts "I don't feel safe anywhere anymore. I can no longer live the way I used to. I can no longer have the freedom I used to have...". Thoughts about others: "I can't trust anyone anymore..." It was also noted that the behavioral dysfunctions specific to Acute Stress Syndrome can be the etiological source of some paradoxical behaviors, non-existent before the trauma in the psychological profile of subject E.

A G. and generated by the extreme impact of the stressor – exposure to recruitment and sexual exploitation.

Regarding the claims of the defense in the sense that the extrajudicial psychological expert reports were made pro causa, dating from 18.04.2022, under the conditions that, from the prosecutor's report with a proposal for an early hearing of the injured persons UA and GE A, it emerged that they would have left the country in the afternoon of 14.04.2022, the judge of rights and freedoms noted that in the content of these reports it is mentioned that the assessment took place on 14.04.2022, they being redacted. on 18.04.2022. Besides, there is no data in the criminal investigation file that would determine the judge, at this moment, to remove the respective reports for the following reasons. by the defense.

Regarding the aforementioned AS A., it was heard in the case, in caliT. as a witness, on 31.10.2022, the witness claiming that she arrived in Bucharest in July 2021 to work at the casino in the hotel......

He stated that during this period, he approached the defendant TT on Instagram, telling him "to stop showing off on social networks". She later continued chatting on Instagram with him, with him repeatedly asking her out.

Called A SA. stated that he did not accept at first, but finally gave in to the insistence of the said TT and agreed to meet with him. The first meeting was at a cafe in the North area, where the defendant TT was accompanied by his brother, the defendant TA and his girlfriend, Vivien, about whom the A SA was mentioned. he claimed he didn't know many details, but he did know she video chatted and had a tattoo of a cobra on one of her legs. He also knows that he is from Prague, but does not know his nationality. Next, the named AS

- A. stated that after several meetings with the defendant TT and after starting a love relationship with him, he proposed several times to give up work and move to a house where several girls lived, telling her that she didn't need to work anymore and that everything would be fine for her and their relationship. He supported the said A SA. that he did not accept the proposal at first, but he often visited from and sometimes spent an evening there. During the visits, I (called PY, nn) kept showing her videos on Tik Tok, telling her that it was a lot of fun and that there was a lot of money to be made. About Only Fans, I and the other girls didn't give her many details from the beginning, but she knows that both I and BV. (called PY and ABG nn) make videos for Only Fans.

According to the statement of the named A SA., the defendants TT and AT have weapons and swords, a fact that also induced them to be in a state of fear.

She specified that the whole situation C. (injured person P. C - D) made her realize that he has no escape, being at the same time afraid of Eli and G. (the defendants NG and RAL).

According to the statement of the named A SA., in the first week, things were quite easy in terms of activities on Tik Tok, agreeing to carry out this activity. and she, at the insistence of the named PY, who told her that if she did what she was told, she would thus show her love for the defendant TT and that he would like her more. After a week, the attitude of the defendants NG

M. and RL changed, forcing her to work harder and harder, post more and more videos on Tik Tok, and follow their directions regarding pictures and videos for Only Fans.

Called A SA. stated that he was taking pictures and videos for Only Fans at the direction of the named NG and RL, and the latter was handling the posting on Only Fans and other networks.

Also, from then on it started to be a very strict schedule, he had to be live for 12 hours on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes. For each deviation from the program, 10% of the money was deducted. He also specified that the defendants NG and RL determined that they would make at least 10,000 euros per month from Tik Tok.

Next, the named AS – A. stated that in January, ABG also created her Revolut and Paypal account to collect the money from Tik Tok. Before this moment, his Tik Tok accounts were associated with the Paypal account of the so-called ABG. Regarding the amounts of money earned on Tik Tok, they entered his Paypal account and the Paypal accounts of the named PY, ABG and AM A. called "....", then they were transferred to Revolut, and at the end of the month a meeting with the defendant NG, who had a notebook with the names and amounts of money obtained and thus the money was divided.

According to the statement of the named A SA., the defendants NG and RAL had the passwords to all their accounts and checked how much money they made every day. After the calculations were made, called A SA. transferred to the named NG, to Revolut, 50% of the money earned on Tik Tok, in that month. Sometimes, for violations, for example not coming in at the time set by the defendant NG, staying longer during the break, crying on live, wiping their nose during live, different percentages were deducted, about 10% for each deviation. Given these deviations, most of the time, he received much less than half a T. from the amount won.

Regarding Only Fans, called A SA. stated that she and the other girls did not have access to the accounts, only the defendants NG and RAL, and they would tell them what video to make and what pictures, and they would post them. At the end of the month, the defendants NG and RL told them how much money they made on Only Fans and gave them the money in cash, their share. Called A SA. stated that she and the named PY received the money in hand, and about ABG she does not know how she received it. He also stated that he had no way of knowing how much money he was earning on Only Fans, as he may have earned much more than the defendants NG and RL said. On TikTok, she earned about 5000 euros every month, and on Only Fans, her share was about 300-400 euros, and about the rest of the money she does not know how much it really was.

He also mentioned that the defendants NG and RAL always told them that the other girls were making around 40,000 euros on Only Fans and that they were weak from this point of view. Also, the defendants NG and RL specified that only the important girls stay in the house in because it is closer to the house of the T. brothers and that they should make the most money.

According to the statement of the named A SA., there are other houses or apartments where certain girls do the same thing, but he could not specify the locations, noting that he knows a girl named Abigail, who also practices for the defendant TT videochat, with her even having child. He also knows several girls who work for the defendants TT and AT, as follows: Carmen Andreea, who has Tik Tok - Anneese1, anneereysx, Miss_sims -, a blonde girl, both of whom worked for T.. He also knows that for the defendant TA works with a blonde girl, whom he saw in their house and whom he knows, from ABG, that she is 17 years old.

Next, A SA. stated that during the time he lived there, he was part of a Whatsapp group called "Suspicious death", where he discussed both the work schedule and

other things in the house. That group included the defendants NG and RL as well as the named P. Y., ABG and AM A. called "...." and Lexa.

SA. he claimed that he was afraid of the defendant NG and that he had become closer to the defendant RAL, who always gave him advice on how and what to talk to the defendant TT in order to please her and because the said A SA. knew that defendant NG was also advising ABG on how to deal with defendant TA. He showed that the defendant RL behaved normally, but the defendant NG was tougher with them, without ever physically assaulting her. However, both Defendant RL and Defendant NG verbally abused them and threatened to beat them if they did

not do their jobs. In the continuation of the statement, the said A SA. showed that at some point he told the named ABG that he wanted to quit what he was doing and she told the named NG and the named RL. He stated that the two got very angry, wanted to kick her out of the house and told the said TT, who in turn got angry, texted him to listen to the girls and that he can't quit Only Fans, until he comes home. When the defendant TT arrived home, a meeting was held in the house of the named TT and AT, in which the defendants TT and AT, the defendants NG and RAL and the named A SA., PY and ABG participated. In that meeting, the defendant NG gave the named A SA. the last chance, although she wanted to give up, being somehow forced by the defendant TT not to give up, she being the only girl in the house, of the said TT, throwing all the others out. He also mentioned that after the meeting, the defendant TT told him that another girl would come to the house who was going to do OnlyFans.

SA. stated that during all the time he lived there, he considered himself to be in a love relationship with TT, and had sexual relations with him several times.

SA also reported. that since he found out that his father had serious health problemsT., he told all the girls in the house, including the named NG, that he wanted to go home and quit Only Fans and everything he was doing there, but she insisted telling her that he would help her with money for his father, just not to give up. Easy, easy, he distanced himself from them, and on the day of the named NG, on the occasion of the party organized at the house of the named TT and AT, taking advantage of the happy state of the named NG, he told them that he wanted to leave everything. Defendants NG and RAL also told the said TT, who did not react that evening. After the party, defendant TT texted her and called her to talk. Thus, she went to the defendant TT's home, who treated her nicely and tried to convince her not to give up, thinking that at some point she would go to the police, being scared by the incident related to C.

Called A SA. stated that he went to the girls' house, packed his luggage, also notified the defendant NG, because BV. and the Smurfs told him that he could not leave until he gave G. his share of the money, and she came, clarifying the situation of the sums of money. NG also told the girls with her that she would return to them by herself.

He also mentioned the said A SA. that at the insistence of the said P. I and the said TT, and a T.'s name, "Talisman T.", tattooed on the collarbone.

Called A SA. stated that she believes that she was manipulated throughout the period. She was not allowed to leave the house without telling the said NG, the girls and TT, she was not allowed to go out alone, she was always accompanied by the said ABG or PI

Called A SA. claimed that he could not quit earlier because he was afraid that the defendants NG and RL would post pictures or video of it, as they did in the case of C. In addition, he was afraid of what his parents would say if he be found out.

SA also declared. that he lived in the house of the T. brothers, from October 28, 2021 until February 27, 2022. He never paid any sums of money as rent payment.

He earned about 5,000 euros every month, but he received about 1,500 euros, which he used to buy clothes that he would also use to generate money.

Also, according to the statement of the named A SA., the defendant AT was the head and boss in the house. He coordinated the activities of the named TT, and BV.. It was also the defendant AT who coordinated the activities of the named NG, this being his personal assistant and in a subordinate relationship. If the defendant AT told the named NG something, she had to do it.

The defendant NG had the role of coordinating the other people, namely the girls who published images on the Internet, as well as other people who carried out activities at the house. The defendant NG deals with everyone's activities on the Onlyfans website. It manages passwords and accounts. defendant

NG was more with defendant TA, and coordinated the activities of Eli (defendant RAL nn), who was more with defendant TT. RL had the role of managing Instagram, Tik Tok, Twitter accounts. The defendant NG has no relationship with AT. The defendant RAL does not have a relationship with T.

T., she joined the brothers a short time ago, in the sense that she joined the activity shortly before she came into the house.

According to the statement of the said A SA., the said ABG called "BV." is the girlfriend of the said T. A., was doing what she was doing and had no role to manage the accounts or divide the sums of money. He also showed that the said P. I is the girlfriend of the said TA, she was doing what she was doing and had no role to manage the accounts or divide the sums of money. The named AS – A. claimed that P. I, at the instigation of the named TT, induced her to agree to record and post images of her. She also mentioned that the defendants TT and AT knew everything that was happening to them, being convinced that the money they obtained went to them. Regarding the mechanism for obtaining the

amounts of money from Tik Tok, the named AS A. showed that this meant that, depending on the posts they made, various people paid for these posts as gifts. They had to have 1000 followers on Tik Tok to go live and get amounts of money. This scale was necessary because it was assumed that a good portion of the accounts were actually bots. After they passed 1000 followers and could go live on Tik Tok, they would invite followers on Instagram and from Instagram they would go to Onlyfans, where followers would pay a monthly subscription.

According to the statement of the named A SA., the defendant NG told them that they are not able to make 30,000 - 40,000 euros per month, compared to the girls before them.

AS – A. also mentioned that the two houses in the neighborhood of in belong to the names T. A. and TT. She also lives in P.

During the criminal investigation she was heard in caliT. of the injured person named P. CD, who stated that in the winter of 2021, he met the named P. I, while he was in Timiÿoara, being in the same group of friends. In the summer of 2021, she came to Bucharest, on which occasion she met the said PI. On this occasion, the said P. I told him that she is in a relationship with the defendant AT and that she is very happy. At the same time, he told him that he was doing OnlyFans, earning large amounts of money, namely 2000-3000 euros per month. Also, the said P. I told her that she gives the money she earns from OnlyFans to the said TA, considering that he invested in her, in the sense that she got her teeth done, and later she also got breast implants .

The injured person also reported that during the discussion, the named P. I proposed to the injured person P. CD to do the same thing, i.e. OnlyFans, telling him that he would earn large sums of money, and if the defendants TT and AT would see that he works, they will give him vacations and other rewards. Injured person P. CD mentioned that he agreed with the proposal of the named P. I, setting up a meeting with the T. brothers, the very next day. So, the next day, the injured person P. CD went to their house where the named PI was waiting for her. There, she introduced her to the brothers.T.T, and AT and started talking to the defendant TT, who told her that she would win a lot a lot of money and that they still have many girls working for them doing OnlyFans and earning large amounts of money. At that time, defendant TT gave an example of a girl named Cora who allegedly earned as much as \$40,000 a month. At the same time, the defendant TT proposed that he stay in the house where the named P. I also lived, for a week to learn what he had to do, and then, if he could handle it, he would offer him an apartment paid for by them, where a person injured P. CD to live alone.

According to the statement of the injured person P. CD, she agreed with the defendant TT, who told the named P. I to talk to the defendant NG to buy a Samsung phone for the injured person P. CD to work on. The next day, he moved into the house with the said P. I, where the said AM A. called ÿtrumfi and ABG also lived. On the same day, the defendant NG also came to the house, who explained to her again what she had to do. Also, the injured person P. CD went with the defendant NG and ABG to Mall Bÿneasa, where the defendant NG bought him a Samsung mobile phone. After they returned home, the said defendant NG told Yasmina to help her make more TikTok accounts for her, which she did. The injured person also stated that on the same day, she created an account on the OnlyFans platform, whose password was later changed by NG, without her being able to access that account. pictures

for the OnlyFans account they were taken by the named P. I and AM A.. These were pictures or videos in which she appeared in underwear or nude, in pornographic poses, sometimes even using sex toys. The injured person claimed that he was sending these photos and videos to the defendant NG, who selected them and posted them on the OnlyFans platform.

The injured person P. CD stated that the defendant NG was the one who told everyone how many pictures or videos he had to take daily, as well as their content. He showed that he had set a schedule by the defendant NG and a number of hours spent live on TikTok.

In this sense, it was appreciated that the discussions on the Whatsapp application, which the injured person was wearing them with the defendant

NG: "[27.08.2021, 23:38:39] G.: Pictures when you pack your bags to go on vacation Coffee in the morning Use the

mop/broom Wipe the dust

Sexy video

when you change the linen in bed When you wash

the sink in the bathroom and you would have foam on yourself (picture)

When you make french fries and eat them

Video when you put cream on and get ready for sleep and get dressed in your pajamas

[27.08.2021, 23:39:50] C: Thank youÿÿ I made a part of tik tok uri, I have 8 more and I

grab the pictures I still have from the scriptÿÿ"

"[05.10.2021, 13:57:54] G.: Yes, and you can also do it in which you say Good

morning baby, and send a kiss at the end [05.10.2021,

13:58:18] G.: In which shows the breasts but with the nipples covered [05.10.2021, 13:58:25] C:

Ok [05.10.2021, 13:58:26] G.: Don't

post these on anything"

" [16.10.2021, 02:19:40] C: What am I going to do

[16.10.2021, 02:19:54] G.: so a 2-minute video in which you put on a T-shirt instead of a dildo something (like you did with the pants) and tied behind the back with handcuffs and tied to the mouth".

At the same time, the injured person stated that the named P. I and ABG were doing the same thing as her. There were also girls who did the same for the defendants TT and AT, but he cannot specify the names or the locations where they carried out their activities, mentioning a girl called Cora, who was from Constanÿa or Pitesti, a girl who used Instagram account Carmen Andreea00, as well as a girl with the nickname Draxsan from Timiÿoara.

The injured person P. CD stated that he did these things from August 2021 to November of the same year, and during this entire period of time, he lived in the location of

He specified that he could only leave the location accompanied by one of the girls, a rule established by the defendant NG.

Also, the injured person reported that the defendant NG informed her that the defendant TT asked her to get a tattoo with his name as a guarantee for a future breast augmentation surgery. He agreed, and the next day he got a tattoo on his ribs with the following message "T. s Girl". Before that, the defendant TT also told him that he would be very happy if he got such a tattoo and that it would mean that the injured person cared for him and respected him. The injured person also showed that the other girls, who worked for the defendants TT and AT, have tattoos either with the name of the said TA, or with the name of the said TT or with a cobra, considering that the defendant TA is known by the nickname of Cobra T., and the defendant TT with the nickname Talisman T..

It was found that this circumstance is also confirmed by the discussion held with the defendant N.

GM:

```
"[12.09.2021, 18:16:49] G.: He said yes with one condition [12.09.2021, 18:16:58] C: What
```

[12.09.2021, 18:17:02] G.: Ti I say it face to face [12.09.2021,

18:17:09] C: Wow...

[12.09.2021, 18:17:12] C: Ok

[12.09.2021, 18:18:53] C: Thank you from the bottom of my heart.. god no matter what. I won't liven't disappoint, I promise! I'm crying with happiness

```
[12.09.2021, 18:22:30] G.: I don't know how long you will cry after
```

[12.09.2021, 18:22:35] C: You mean?

[12.09.2021, 18:22:40] C: What does tattoo want?

[12.09.2021, 18:22:46] G.: Dap [12.09.2021, 18:22:51] C: Morp

[12.09.2021, 18:22:54] C: Wow where

[12.09.2021, 18:23:01] G.: As a guarantee" In the

continuation of the statement, the injured person P. CD showed that after about a month, a meeting was held at the T. brothers' home, in which all the girls from the house participated, Carmen, the defendant NG and the defendants TT and AT, a circumstance in which a girl named Elli (the defendant RAL nn) was presented to him. On the occasion of this meeting, they discussed marketing, how the promotion on TikTok was going to be carried out, on which occasion defendant RAL handed her a Samsung phone on which they were connected. 2 Tik-Tok accounts that belonged to her, telling her to replace the pictures and videos with her own and to change the name, reasoning that those accounts have a lot of followers.

At first it seemed that E. (defendant RL nn) required the consent of the named NG in everything and was subordinate to her, but later, things changed, in the sense that both were equally involved in them. It was decided that E. should take care of the said P. I and Carmen, and NG should take care of her and Cora. However, E. also checks their TikTok accounts and guides them on what type of content to post.

According to the statement of the injured person P. CD, she was part of a group on the WhatsApp application called "Suspicious death" in which the defendant NG, called P. I, AM A. and ABG, were also members, a group in which affairs were discussed you manage Regarding the purchases that were necessary, they discussed in the group and at the end of the week they went shopping together with the defendant NG, she being the one who paid for the purchases every time, always using bank cards issued in the names of the named TT and AT.

There was also a group on the Telegram app that included all the girls who worked for defendants TT and AT and defendants NG and RAL, where they were given instructions on what to do on the TikTok and OnlyFans accounts. The injured person P. CD claimed that everything he posted had to be initially checked and approved by the defendants RL and NG.

Next, the injured person P. CD stated that at the end of October 2021, considering the fact that the relationship with the defendant NG had deteriorated due to the fact that he was not freeT. and she was not getting paid for what she was doing, she told the named NG that she wanted to quit and leave that house. Defendant NG advised him to speak with Defendant TT about this matter, which he did. To make her give up the idea of leaving, the defendant TT proposed that she move in with him. Thus, he spoke to the defendant NG about this, but she did not agree. The injured person P. CD also reported that the defendant NG talked with the defendant TT, and later he accused the injured person P. CD of being a prostitute and that she could leave.

They, respectively the defendant TT and the defendant NG, when they saw that she really wanted to leave, demanded various sums of money from her, representing the expenses they had with her.

Thus, initially the defendant TT and the defendant NG asked her for the sum of 2000 euros, then 5000 euros, blackmailing her with these sums of money to make her not leave.

Injured person P. CD reported that on 28.10.2021, while she was gathering her clothes, the defendant RL came to her and asked her to go down to the kitchen where the named AM A., P. I, ABG, and the defendant NG, as well as a newly arrived girl named A. (AS A. nn).

Injured person P. CD mentioned that he sat down at the table and initiated a discussion about his departure. At that moment, the defendants RAL and NG told her that she could leave only after she returned the money spent on the breast implant, and the defendant RAL asked her for the amount of 2000 euros for the time lost with it. The discussion degenerated, the defendants RL and NG started yelling at her, and she started crying, telling them she was going to the police. At that moment, the defendant RAL told him that she had relations in the police and DIICOT and that nothing could happen to her, because she previously worked in the Gendarmerie.

According to the statement of the injured person P. CD, she went to her room to pack her luggage, at which point the defendant NG came over her, very nervous, put her hand in her

neck and pushed her under a desk, saying "where do you think you're going?". At the same time, the defendant NG grabbed her by the hand and dragged her out of the house, where she stayed for about an hour, being scantily clad. At one point, AM A. came to her, crying, and brought her some clothes and her personal phone, telling her that he felt sorry for her. All these scenes were witnessed by the other girls in the house. Considering the situation he was in, the injured person stated that he called a friend named Victor to whom he told what happened to him and asked for his help. She went to his house to get some money and the keys to a studio apartment, and on this occasion, Victor advised her to go to the police, which she did. Later, she went to the Police ..., where a complaint was taken, and the next day, when she went to the police to be questioned, she was met by a young, bearded policeman, who advised her to he reconciles with them, telling them that he knows the defendant RAL, as they were colleagues, and that he will call them to the police headquarters. In the evening, G. and E. also showed up at the City Police and he noticed that they were talking to the respective policeman. Next, he asked for an explanation of what was happening, on which occasion the policeman told him that the two had gone to get his things. After some time, the defendants RAL and NG returned to the police with a bag containing very few clothes and shoes, which they defiantly threw in their faces. Valuables, namely the laptop, jewellery, cards and company clothes were not brought and returned to him. Seeing this, he went with the police from ... where he lived to take his other belongings, on which occasion the defendant RAL came to the door and said that without a warrant you cannot enter the house and that she cannot prove that he was there. The injured person P. CD claimed that even today he did not recover those goods, later he even saw that his clothes were purT. by the girls in the house. The laptop may be with the defendant NG, being a black and gray Acer laptop.

In the continuation of the statement, the injured person P. CD showed that in the evening when she left, the defendant NG accessed her Facebook and WhatsApp Web account from her laptop, sending various messages to the relatives of the injured person. Also, the defendant NG posted on the Facebook profile of the injured person, pictures and videos of him in indecent poses, which he had previously sent to the named NG, to post them on OnlyFans, specifying that only the defendant NG had access to these pictures.

Later, the injured person P. CD had several discussions with the defendants RL and N. G., and in one of them, the defendant RL admitted to him that the defendant NG posted those photos and video on her Facebook profile. The injured person mentioned that immediately after learning that they were posT. those things on the Facebook page, she changed the password and deleted all the posts that did not belong to her.

These aspects were also confirmed by the said A SA., who, being heard, reported that during a visit, one of the girls who lived in the house she knows as C, because she was not free to to leave the house without their consent, she called the police and said she was being seized. She stated that this event took place around October 28, 2021, when she had not yet moved. By the time the police arrived, defendant NG was very angry with C for calling the police, went to C's room with the intention of kicking her out and, to avoid leaving marks of violence on her body, picked her up the arm precisely because she had recently had a breast implant and thus there was a risk of the operation falling apart. At that moment, the defendant NG admitted that this was the goal, to have C.'s operation take place, saying "I was just not stupid to beat her to leave her marks". The named AS – A. showed that at that moment, apart from her and C, G., Eli, BV., Strumfi and I (the defendants NG and RAL and the named PY, ABG and AM A.) were also in the house. said "....."). Defendants NG and RL had them all collect C.'s things in bags and take them to the attic and hide them, so that the police would not find them. Previously, C had been kicked out of the house without clothes or any luggage. When the police, who were accompanying C, arrived, defendants NG and RL said they did not know C and that she had never lived there. That day, C left with the police, and the next day, the defendants NG and RL were called to the police.

The named AS - A. further reported that on the day of the event E. (defendant RAL nn) said that she "has police records, she worked in the police and nothing will happen." He also stated that the defendant RL always said that she worked for the police to scare them or possibly to feel protected and not to worry that they were doing something illegal.

According to the statement given in caliT. as a witness by the said AS – A., after C.'s departure, as revenge, the defendant NG, helped by ABG and AM A. called "...", hacked C.'s accounts, using even her laptop, which she had stayed in the house, specifying that the defendant NG was at her house and did all these things while on the phone with ABG. Called A SA. she mentioned that she was there, as she had already moved there too. After learning the passwords, defendants NG and RL posted several indecent photos and videos on C.'s accounts, threatening them all that the next time they left, it would be worse. Hereinafter, called A SA. showed that all C.'s things were taken to the house of the named TT and AT, in the room of the named TA, as all the girls, especially the defendant NG, said that "absolutely no one enters A.'s room".

Regarding the money obtained on the OnlyFans platform, the injured person P. CD stated that he did not receive any amount of money, the defendants RAL and NG telling him that he did not win anything.

Injured person P. CD claimed that these things are not true, because during that period, he sent them daily pictures and videos of her just to be posT. on OnlyFans.

Those OnlyFans accounts did not have the injured person's card attached, but a foreign card, he suspects it was G.'s card, and the real beneficiaries of the money obtained from OnlyFans were the defendants TT and AT. Also, ABG received from the defendant NG, at a given moment, the sum of 3000 lei, money that she had earned from OnlyFans. Both she and the other girls had a percentage of 50% of the earnings made on OnlyFans and TikTok, but she never signed a contract for it. The other girls received their part of the sums of money physically/in cash or on Revolut, directly from the defendant NG.

At one point, the defendant RAL told her that they were returning only 25% of the amount won by the injured person and that the other 25% goes to the defendants TT and AT.

She also reported that in a discussion with ABG, she learned from her that the defendant TA was scolding her because he had learned from the defendant TT that he was not working enough and was not earning enough money, the defendant TA considering that ABG was embarrassing him.

The injured person P. CD, stated that she believes that during the whole period when she worked for the defendants TT and AT, the defendant NG had the role of manipulating them all, in the sense that she showed herself available to help them, but in reality. play a double role.

According to her statement, the defendant NG is the right hand of the T. brothers, having a close relationship with them, spending a lot of time together. Defendant NG is the one who administers the bank accounts of the named TT and AT and makes payments for them. And about the defendant RAL, injured person P. CD stated the same things, they were always in the company of the named TT and AT.

The injured person also claimed that the defendants TT and AT had several girlfriends at the same time, this being their tactic of racketeering. The defendant TA was in a relationship with both the named P. I, as well as ABG, even slept with both at the same time. When one of the defendants TT or AT met a girl, those with whom they were already in a relationship had to accept that they were getting new girlfriends and they introduced themselves to the new girls only as employees. Every time a new girl was picked up, defendants TT and AT would throw a party to display the opulent lifestyle they could provide, as they did in his case.

According to the statement of the injured person P. CD, the defendants TT and AT also have sexual relations with the gang girls, often asking them to have sex in a group. In this regard, the injured person P. CD specified the fact that the defendant TT also asked her to have sex with him and another girl, named Carmen, which initially, the injured person P. CD refused.

Later, after consuming alcoholic beverages, he had sexual relations with the defendant TT and Carmen.

Injured person P. CD claimed that American cards were used for the OnlyFans and TikTok platforms and through a VPN they were banned for Romania.

The injured person stated that I took money out of TikTok only once, about 50 dollars. TikTok money was transferred to a PayPal account, and from there it was transferred to a bank account.

With regard to the human trafficking offenses charged to the defendants regarding the said PY and ABG, the judge of rights and liberties held that their commission results from the statements of the injured persons UA and GE A, which are corroborated with the statements of the said A SA., of the injured person P. CD, but also with the resulting aspects. from the conversations between the defendants and them. The judge did not consider the statements of the named P.

Y. and ABG, these being obviously still under the authority of the defendants TT and TEA, but also in close relations with the defendants NGM and RAL.

Thus, the injured person UA stated that the said PY and ABG told him that they make Onlyfans and that halfT. from the earnings they made they gave to the defendants AT and TT.

According to her statement, the said PY has been working for the defendants TT and AT for about a year and told the injured person GE A many intimate things such as that she is realT. in a relationship with the defendant AT and not the injured person UA. The said PY tried to approach the injured person GE A but failed as she realized that the said PY was lying. The injured person UA also showed that he knows that if the girls do not post on Onlyfans, the defendants AT and TT give them fines, called PY owing them the sum of 4000 euros. At the same time, the injured person UA specified that the named PY had a tattoo with the message "Owned by T.", i.e. owned by T. to T.. Defendant TEA told him that said PY did this for the boys, that she was grateful. Also, the injured person UA appreciated in the statement that the named PY and ABG "are brainwashed and do whatever the defendants TT and AT ask them to do".

It emerged from the statement of the injured person GE A that when she moved to the other house, the girls who lived there, respectively the named PY, ABG and AM A., were posting on Onlyfans. She also stated in the statement that one of the girls who was in a relationship with the defendant AT, respectively called PY, said that if someone tries to intervene in their relationship she is able to do anything or if the defendant AT asks her to kill someone, he is able to do so.

At the same time, the injured person GE A reported that the girls had to post exactly the pictures and videos that the defendants TT and AT told them about, and if they didn't do that, the girls were fined. Thus, the named PY had a debt of 4000 dollars.

Called A SA. stated that all the girls who were in a relationship with defendant AT had a tattoo of defendant AT's name and a cobra. This showed that PY told her that the defendant AT was the one who made her get those tattoos, moreover he scolded her on the grounds that one of the tattoos was too small. Called A SA. mentioned that, from his point of view, the reason why the defendant AT had them tattooed was to show that they belonged to him.

According to the statement of the named A SA., both I and BV. (called PY and ABG nn) make videos for Only Fans. She also mentioned that the defendants NG and RAL determined that she and the named PY, ABG and AM A. called "...." would make at least 10,000 euros per month from Tik Tok.

She also stated that the named ABG, called "BV." she is the girlfriend of the said TA, she was doing what she was doing and had no role in managing the accounts or dividing the amounts of money. Also, according to the statement of the said A SA., the said P. I is the girlfriend of the said TA, she was doing what she was also doing and had no role to manage the accounts or divide the sums of money.

Regarding Only Fans, called A SA. stated that PY, ABG and AM A. called "...." did not have access to the accounts, only the defendants NG and RAL, and they told them what video to make and what pictures, and they posted them. He stated that the defendants NG and RAL had the passwords to his accounts and those of the named PY, ABG and AM A. called "...." and they checked how much money they made every day. At the end of the month, they would tell them how much money they made on Only Fans and give them cash, part of these amounts. She showed that only she and the said PY were receiving the money in hand, about AB G. does not know how he received the sums of money.

SA. also stated that both defendant RL and defendant NG were verbally abusing and threatening to beat them if they did not do their job, both her and the named PY, ABG and AM A. said "...." . At one point, the defendant NG made her and the named PY, ABG and AM A. called "...." sign papers in which they undertook to obtain the sum of 10,000 euros monthly from

on Tik Tok, and in the situation where they did not get these amounts, all the money was taken by the defendant N. G.. Called A SA. showed that he did not sign that paper and neither did the named ABG, but the named P. I did.

From the statement of the injured person P. CD it emerged that the said P. I told the injured person that she gives the money she earns from OnlyFans to the said TA, considering that he invested in her, in the sense that he had his teeth done, and later she also had a breast implant. The injured person P. CD also stated that what she was doing was also done by the named P. I ABG.

The injured person P. CD also showed that he was part of a group on the WhatsApp application, called "Suspicious death", which also included the defendant NG, called P. I, AM A. and ABG. Regarding the aforementioned

P. I, the injured person P. CD specified that she is a very naive person, being manipulated by the defendant AT, who addresses her only with the appellation "Bitch". She is also constantly blackmailed by defendant NG that she will tell her parents what she is doing. At the same time, he showed that even the named P. I is not allowed to leave the location alone, she is always accompanied by the defendant NG or the named ABG or AM A.. The injured person also specified that the defendants TT and AT had several girlfriends at the same time, this is their rallying tactic. The defendant TA was in a relationship with both the said P. I and ABG, even sleeping with both at the same time.

The statements above present, they also corroborated with the rezulT aspects, from conversations purT, through the Whatsapp application and identified during computer searches: "RL: You don't start either 07/02/2022 18:15:37 (UTC+0)

AB: No, I said to change. I wasn't complaining here, but rather than staying here, I'd rather make a video for only because I haven't done it before. 07/02/2022 18:15:44(UTC+0)

AB: actually how do you want 07/02/2022 18:15:57 (UTC+0)

AB: I can stay live because it's not difficult for me 07/02/2022 18:16:11 (UTC+0)

NG: For only you have videos at the moment, you can make them better tomorrow 02/07/2022 18:17:06 (UTC+0)

RL: I don't mind if you don't stay live. I can share the money with G and T. From aviation and not put you in the equator 02/07/2022 18:17:13 (UTC+0)

doesn't suit me to give 50% to you either 02/07/2022 18:17:27 (UTC+0)

RL: Like 10 k from December is only from him 07/02/2022 18:17:40 (UTC+0)

RL: Of which I took 1500. And maybe G took 20% tt 25 and I took the rest 02/07/2022 18:18:17(UTC+0)

AB: I was just asking how it's better, so that you don't scold me for staying for 7 hours for \$20 when I could make a video";

RL: It's Sunday and it's going well 11:09:09(UTC+0) 23/01/2022

RL: Go and make yourself a coffee 23/01/2022 11:09:14(UTC+0)

AB: Ok 23/01/2022 11:09:21(UTC+0)

RL: I leave video pictures during the week 23/01/2022

11:09:22 (UTC+0)

RL: I'll tell G that I told you so. After 23/01/2022 11:09:35(UTC+0)"

everyone finishes creating a new tik tok account RL: 07/01/2022 18:53:44

(UTC+0)

RL: And posted on it and what else you have to do 07/01/2022 18:53:54(UTC+0)

RL: You are free tonight to sleep. Do not rub mint07/01/2022

18:54:11(UTC+0)

RL: To be rested tomorrow Saturday 07/01/2022 18:54:20(UTC+0)

RL: "And Sunday for the weekend. (signed by G & L management)" 07/01/2022 18:55:00(UTC+0)"

N.G.: are you coming live tonight? 24/03/2022 00:55:06 (UTC+2)

P. I: I want to take pictures, so I'm not 100% 03/24/2022 01:03:26 (UTC+2)

NG: ok 24/03/2022 01:03:35 (UTC+2)

24/03/2022 NG: so clearly there is no longer a problem to recover 01:08:18 (UTC+2) P. I: You mean? 24/03/2022 01:08:35 (UTC+2) P. I: But I didn't say that I'm not going in today at all 03/24/2022 01:08:53 (UTC+2) NG: well, how much did you have to recover until now? 24/03/2022 01:08:54(UTC+2) NG: And don't take me by the spell because you didn't do anything today, do you have the feeling that I'm swallowing the spell? if I didn't tell you, nor did you open the topic 03/24/2022 01:09:54 (UTC+2)" " 09/03/2022 14:47:08(UTC+2) AT: did you tell the whore cE us that you make a lot of money? 09/03/2022 14:59:36(UTC+2) AT: ask him to take pictures with you 09/03/2022 14:59:50(UTC+2) P. I: yes 09/03/2022 15:16:15(UTC+2) P. I: you mean me and her in the pictures? 09/03/2022 15:16:32(UTC+2) AT: yes 09/03/2022 17:02:57(UTC+2) P. I: what kind of pictures? 09/03/2022 17:03:20(UTC+2) AT: anything for onlyfans 09/03/2022 17:04:39(UTC+2) P. I: in underwear? He won't 09/03/2022 17:05:20(UTC+2) AT: see 09/03/2022 17:09:25(UTC+2) P. I: G talked to her today and will come in live with B tonight to try 10/04/2022 01:08:13(UTC+3) AT:And with T's new girl, you are doing a very good job 10/04/2022 01:08:21(UTC+3) AT: T. wants you to bring her back and stay there 10/04/2022 01:08:30(UTC+3) AT: I'm taking care of B tomorrow and U, we have to be alone and find a solution to this". P. I:. does he know about onlyfans? 19/02/2022 18:25:49(UTC+2) TT: no 19/02/2022 18:29:38(UTC+2) TT: and studying to become a lawyer, he doesn't care 19/02/2022 18 :29:46(UTC+2) TT: and would never do it 19/02/2022 18:29:49(UTC+2) P. I:. know. He asked me to show him my TikTok and I wasn't sure 02/19/2022 18:30:15(UTC+2) P. I:. Heyy. Sefora just messaged me that she is in Bucharest and asked me to go to her hotel for a bit 05/03/2022 21:36:12(UTC+2) TT: go 05/03/2022 21:36:57(UTC+2) TT: get some information from her 05/03/2022 21:37:07(UTC+2) TT: for me 05/03/2022 21:37:08(UTC+2) P I: But I haven't finished my live hours on TikTok 05/03/2022 21:37:19(UTC+2) P. I.: I did well financially, I only have 4 hours of live left. You decide 05/03/2022 21:38:20(UTC+2) TT: it's ok, let's go" "P. I: Yes, and after me it's taken because I didn't make money even though you work a lot for us. 10/03/2022 09:37:45 (UTC+2) RL: You see we split on Only 12/03/2022 23:26:57(UTC+2) RL: G. takes O. 12/03/2022 23:27:06(UTC+2) RL: Me on you 12/03/2022 23:27:08 (UTC+2) RL: Maybe you don't listen that I'm killing you 12/03/2022 23:27:13(UTC+2) RL: If we, don't make money 12/03/2022 23:27:18(UTC+2)" And let me punch you in the mouth until I get there, then I'll give you 10 NG: an incurable sloth you are 07/03/2022 18:13:35 (UTC+2) NG: I'll break you when I get there 07/03/2022 18:13:46 (UTC+2) P. I: What did I do? 07/03/2022 18:13:53(UTC+2) NG: You have one hour and 10 minutes to speak 03/07/2022 18:14:04 (UTC+2)

NG: Disappear07/03/2022 18:14:07(UTC+2)

P. I: But what did I do?? 07/03/2022 18:14:27(UTC+2)

NG: Better said what did you do yesterday than one hour 07/03/2022 18:15:49 (UTC+2)"

" N.G.: And you have 20% off, you have 4 days since you haven't posted 30/03/2022 02:55:30 (UTC+3)

RL: I'm waiting for the money for vesterday 03/30/2022 02:55:34(UTC+3)"

"NG: WELL IF THEY LOOK A. LET'S SEE IF YOU'VE POSTED TIKTOKS YOU WILL NOT EVEN HAVE TIME TO PACK YOUR LUGGAGE I GUARANTEE YOU, FLY FROM THE BALCONY DIRECTLY 30/03/2022 03:39:01(UTC+3)"

Regarding the income obtained from the posting of videos and photos taken by the victims on the platforms OnlyFans and Tik Tok, from the statement of the injured person UA that the income obtained from the videos posT. on Tik Tok they are divided, halfT. of the amount going to the girls, and the other half to T., brothers A. and TT, and from the posts made on Onlyfans, the girls don't know the income they get. He also showed that he does not know exactly how the business of the two brothers works, but he knows that if the girls do not post on Onlyfans, the defendants AT and TT apply fines to them, called PY owing them the sum of 4000 Euros.

It was found that these aspects regarding the income obtained from the posts and the fines applied in case the girls do not make these posts are also confirmed by the statements of the injured person GE A, who showed that the amounts of money the girls obtained from the production of live videos on the Tik Tok app they were divided halfT.-halfT. with defendants T.

A. and TT. A percentage of 50-65% belonged to them. As for the posts on the Onlyfans website, the girls were unaware of the amounts they were making. He showed that the defendants R.

L. and NG and the defendants TA and TT are the ones who know the value of the actual amounts, and they tell the other girls some amounts that they allegedly produced from these posts. The girls also have to post exactly the pictures and videos that the T brothers told them to, and if they don't, the girls are fined.

The statements of the injured persons UA and GE A were also corroborated with the statement of the named AS - A., according to which the defendants NG and RL had the passwords of all the accounts used by her as well as PY, ABG and AM A. and checked how much money they made every day. After the calculations were made, the named AS - A. transferred to the named NG, on Revolut, the 50% share of the money earned on Tik Tok in the respective month. She also stated that for deviations, for example because they did not come in at the time set by NG, because they stayed longer during the break, because they cried on the live, because they wiped their nose during the live, different prices were reduced, approximately 10% for each deviation. Thus, the said AS – A. showed that, most of the time, she received less than half T. from the amount won. With regard to Onlyfans, she showed that she, PY, ABG and AM A. did not have access to the accounts, only the defendants NG and RL had access. At the end of the month, they would tell them how much money they made on Onlyfans and give them part of these amo The named AS – A. claimed that he did not know how much money he earned on Onlyfans, he may have earned more than the defendants NG and RL told him, but on Tik Tok he earned approximately 5000 Euros every month, and his share on Onlyfans it was about 300-400 Euros. He stated that the defendants NG and RL always told them that the other girls were making around 40,000 Euros on Onlyfans and that she, PY, ABG and AM A. were weak from this point of view.

In the same sense, the statement of the injured person P. C - D was judged to be the same, showing that he did not receive any amount of money from the money obtained on the Onlyfans platform, the defendants RL and NG telling him that he did not earn anything. The injured person mentioned that this is not true because she was sending pictures and videos daily to be posT. on OnlyFans. stated that those OnlyFans accounts were not attached to his card, but to a foreign card, and the real beneficiaries of the money obtained from OnlyFans were the defendants TT and TA. The injured person mentioned that the named AB – G. received at one point the sum of 3000 lei, representing money earned from OnlyFans. It showed the victim that both she and the other girls had a percentage of 50% of the earnings made on Tok Tok and OnlyFans, but she never signed any contract to that effect. The other girls received daily, in cash or on Revolut, part of the sums of money, directly from the defendant NG. The injured person claimed that at one point, the defendant RL told him that only 25% of the amount won by the injured person was going to her, and the other 25% was going to the brothers TA and TT.

The same aspect concerning the division of sums of money also resulted from the purT conversations. by the defendant RAL with the defendants NGM and the named ABG:

RL: Take and stay there 07/02/2022 18:15:29(UTC+0)

RL: I already have 2 bad ones 07/02/2022 18:15:35 (UTC+0)

RL: You don't start either 07/02/2022 18:15:37(UTC+0)

AB: No, I said to change. I wasn't complaining here, but rather than staying here, I'd rather make a video for only because I haven't done it before. 07/02/2022 18:15:44(UTC+0)

AB: actually how do you want 07/02/2022 18:15:57 (UTC+0)

AB: I can stay live because it's not difficult for me 07/02/2022 18:16:11 (UTC+0)

NG: For only you have videos at the moment, you can make them better tomorrow 02/07/2022 18:17:06 (UTC+0)

RL: I don't mind if you don't stay live. I can share the money with G and T. From aviation and not put you in the equator 02/07/2022 18:17:13 (UTC+0)

RL: That it doesn't suit me to give 50% to you either 02/07/2022 18:17:27 (UTC+0).

At the same time, the conversation on the WhatsApp messaging application between the named P. I – V., ABG AS A., AM A., A. and the defendants NGM and RL, obtained as a result of the computer search, confirmed the aspects declared by the injured persons regarding the fines received in the case of the so-called violations:

NG: I BEAT YOU LIKE BEANS LIKE THAT WE DON'T HAVE MONEY FOR MEAT 17/01/2022

06:38:50 (UTC+0)

RL: "So until now Juicy 160O. 115Mercedes 120"

17/01/2022

06:38:52 (UTC+0)

NG: "I: last tiktok posted on 13A.: last tiktok posted on 15"

18/01/2022

11:00:10 (UTC+0)

NG: Draw the consequences yourself18/01/2022 11:00:26(UTC+0)

P. I: 10% 18/01/2022 11:00:39(UTC+0).

With regard to the crime of constituting an organized criminal group, it was assessed that both the statements of the injured persons and the named AS - A., as well as the purT conversations, are relevant. through the Whatsapp application, which shows both the hierarchy within the group and the role of each of the members, the defendants TEA and TT having the role of recruiting persons, who were transporT. and sheltered in houses in Romania, and the defendants NGM and RAL, the role of supervising and coordinating the entire activity. exploitation.

From the conversations of the injured person AU with the defendant AT, pertinent aspects regarding the hierarchy of the group emerged:

"[29/03/2022, 13:20:12] AU: I heard that you are the one who runs the business with the girls

[29/03/2022, 13:20:16] AU: Onlyfans and TikTok

[29/03/2022, 13:20:22] E.: T. and G are

[29/03/2022, 13:20:25] E.: But I lead them"

The following passage from the conversation between Ra AL and the said P is also relevant.

Y.:

"RL: Money for yesterday 23/03/2022 00:32:38 (UTC+2)

RL: 3\$ 23/03/2022 00:33:05(UTC+2)

RL: Yes, I'm getting goosebumps 03/23/2022 00:33:14 (UTC+2)

RL: That at Sf luinii A. will argue with tt. Tt me and me you 23/03/2022

00:33:31(UTC+2)"

Regarding the crimes of rape held against the defendant TAE, it was found that in order to retain these crimes, the prosecutor took into account the statements of the injured person UA. The judge of rights and liberties assessed that in order to reach the standard of evidence necessary to outline the reasonable suspicion regarding the commission of these crimes by the defendant, additional evidence is required to corroborate the statements of the injured person and to clarify the factual situation.

The defendants did not admit to committing the acts they were charged with. Thus, the defendant T. EA argued, in essence, that the statements of the injured persons were given for the purpose of revenge and to obtain a media spectacle. About UA he stated that she was his friend, she told him that she would like to leave London, to move to Romania, so she told him that if she comes, she will help her. She mentioned that she doesn't know if he found her accommodation, she stayed with him for 4-5 days, then she wanted to bribe

with some girls he knew. The defendant also stated that he did not know if she earned her money from activities on TikTok or Onlyfans, he never asked her to remit money from the earnings, because he did not know that she was making money on TikTok. She mentioned that he was the one who bought her bags, paid for her trips and never asked her for money. He also claimed that he does not have a TikTok account, never had, does not understand this platform and does not use it. At the same time, the defendant stated that he never invited girls to come to work in Romania and never requested money from their earnings. The defendant emphasized that he is not in Romania most of the time and cannot have an activity. of organized crime, as long as he's not here. He stated that he had no agreement with his brother and the other defendants to bring girls to Romania and ask them to work and earn money on social networks.

Defendant TT stated before the rights and liberties judge that 2 years ago he met a woman in Miami, Florida who seemed a little crazy, but they spent a fun week together. He returned to Miami to see her a second time. While he stayed in Miami, he never suggested that she come to Romania. One day, at her suggestion, she sent him her card details to book a flight herself. During his stay in Romania, he attended a party at his home, but he was not present at that party. He further stated that she had a friend in Miami, and from her Instagram posts from his home, he recognized the house, which was his suspicion. To apologize for cheating on both him and that friend, she told his American friend that she didn't want to be at his house party. He mentioned that he was glad the police came and searched the house because she wasn't hanging out there and the party was over. He specified that he spent thousands of dollars in Miami, this woman used his card to book business class to get to Romania, so it cannot be said that it was a business transaction for him. Regarding P. CD, he showed that when he broke up with her, 2 years ago, out of revenge he made a complaint against her, from which it appears that he destroyed her clothes and everything that belonged to her, but it was not mentioned not once about exploitation, TikTok, Onlyfans or kidnapping.

The police and the prosecutor mention that this was also a business for him, but they state that he paid for a breast augmentation operation for her, which cost 6000 Euros. He also mentioned that from April 2022 until now he left Romania 6-7 times, but he always returned to cooperate with the police authorities, talked to those who investigated him and answered all the questions. Regarding the security guards, he stated that he does not know MajoriT.a and it is absurd to believe that a man like him could hold other people prisoner. He stated that he did not collude with his brother and the other defendants in order to obtain earnings from other people's work, nor would there be a need for such a thing, as they have enough money from their salaries.

The defendant NGM stated that she does not understand where these accusations started, as she is still currently friends with two of the respective girls, PY and AB, and goes to the gym and shopping with them. He also stated that he has his own house, does not live with these people and has no way of knowing what they are talking about or what they are doing. He stated that it is difficult for him to understand these accusations, considering that he weighs about 50 kg. She mentioned that she is a woman and could not cause such trouble to another woman. She also showed that she did not understand how she could be accused of such a thing, given that she had been out of the country for several months. Regarding the TikTok platform, she revealed that she saw some posts on the feed and noticed that they were rewarding themselves with diamonds, she started doing it too, and the other girls asked her how to do it. They weren't made to do this by anyone, they asked her how it worked and she showed them. Money from TikTok was transferred to their Paypal. Regarding the girls in question, she showed that they were friends with the T. brothers and she, being friends with them, became friends with them as well.

The defendant also stated that there was no collaboration between her and the T brothers in terms of supervising the girls in any way, they only asked her to help them in case they needed a hairdresser appointment or with other such information. Regarding the existence of transfers between her and the girls, she showed that she borrowed money from PY and A., the money was not transferred in exchange for something, it was of a simple loan, which he returned. The defendant also stated that the girls were not locked in the house, which would not have been possible, since there was a latch on the inside that allowed the door to be opened. He also specified that these girls had phones, laptops, internet access and could go out anytime.

The judge of rights and freedoms could not retain the present versions. by the defendants, these not being reliable and not corroborating with the other means of evidence administered in the case

Regarding the condition provided by art. 223 para. 2 thesis I Penal Code, the judge of rights and liberties noted that at this procedural moment, there should be no evidence of the same difficulty. with those that form the basis of a referral to court or that establish a conviction. As the European Court of Human Rights has consistently ruled, art. 5 par. 1 lit. c of the Convention does not require that the authorities have sufficient evidence to formulate a complete accusation from the moment of deprivation of liberty, the role of the preventive arrest measure being precisely that of allowing the clarification or, on the contrary, the removal of suspicions, so that at this moment the evidence must provide a series of reliable and credible data, information that supports the reasonable assumption that the person against whom criminal prosecution has been initiated has committed the deed/deeds of which he is accused.

Therefore, the evidence from which the reasonable suspicion on which the measure is based must not present the same level of certainty as that which justifies the prosecution of a person and, even less, with that which allows a conviction to be pronounced.

The judge of rights and freedoms noted the fact that the statements of the injured persons and the witnesses heard in the case revealed a pattern in the way of action of the defendants, which makes it difficult. and credible. the statements taken individually, all the more so as these statements were corroborated with the psychological expert reports regarding the injured persons UA and GE A, but also with the resulting aspects. from purT conversations. between victims and defendants through the Whatsapp application.

Also seeing that, according to ECtHR jurisprudence on the matter (the case of Fox, Campbell and Hartley v. the United Kingdom), at the time of taking the preventive arrest measure it is not necessary to have sufficient evidence to be able to formulate a complete accusation, the judge of rights and freedoms found that there is sufficient evidence for this procedural moment, as they are presented above, from which it is reasonable to assume that the defendants TT, NGM and RAL committed the crimes charged against them and that the defendant TEA committed some of the crimes of which he is accused, the condition stipulated by art. 223 para. 2 thesis I C. proc. pen

Thus, it was assessed that the evidence administered up to this point in the case is sufficient to convince an objective observer that it is possible that the defendant T. III EA committed the crime of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3 and 6 Criminal Code and three offenses of human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA, victim P. I, victim AB - G.), that the defendant TT committed the crime of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Criminal Code and three offenses of human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A, victim A SA. and injured person P. CD), as defendant NG-M. to have committed the offense of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Criminal Code and six crimes of human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA, injured person G.

E. A, victim A SA., injured person P. CD, victim P. I, victim AB - G.) and as defendant RA-L. to have committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3.... para. 1, 3, 6 Criminal Code and five crimes of human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A, victim A SA., injured person P. CD, victim P. I, victim AB - G.).

At the same time, it was specified that at this moment the criminal investigation is ongoing, and the fact that 9 months have passed since the time when the criminal investigation in question was started and the first evidence was administered is not likely to remove the fulfillment of the conditions provided by the provisions procedures for taking the preventive measure.

The judge of rights and freedoms also emphasized the fact that taking a preventive measure against the defendant during the criminal investigation does not affect the existence of the presumption of innocence as a fundamental principle of the criminal process. of art. 4 C.pr.pen., their compatibility

resulting precisely from the purpose pursued when taking the measure, as it is provided by the provisions of art. 202 C.pr.pen.

Thus, the provisions of art. 202 para. 1 C.pr.pen., but also ECtHR jurisprudence.

However, as it appears from the documents in the file, the defendants TEA and TT are internationally known persons, having received special exposure both in the press and on social media platforms, and the facts of which they are accused are serious. special, so that it can be reasonably estimated that letting them go free would be likely to really disturb public order.

Regarding the condition regarding the concrete danger to public order, the judge of rights and liberties held that the notion of "danger to public order" must be evaluated from the perspective of concrete facts that determine the retention of this condition, and according to art. 223 para. 2 C.proc.pen., they consist in the assessment of the seriousness of the deed, the manner and circumstances of its commission, the entourage, the environment, the criminal antecedents, as well as the circumstances related to the person of the defendant.

The judge of rights and liberties also held that certain crimes, by their particular gravity, by the manner of committing them, by the reaction of the public, can cause a social disturbance of such a nature as to justify a provisional detention, the danger to order public being sufficient to exist even only in the area of society's perception of the manner in which the authorities act to stop the criminal phenomenon, in order to discourage possible other similar illegal behaviors and in order to restore confidence in the act of justice, and on the other hand, a mild reaction to this kind of defendants would create a feeling of insecurity, public.

It has been shown that it is true that until a decision in a criminal case is final, the person judged enjoys the presumption of innocence, but the legislator has established that, in the event that certain expressly provided conditions are found, one can be taken from the preventive measures, regardless of whether or not the accused admits to committing the acts for which he is being tried, whether or not he has a criminal record, the internal rules of procedure being in full agreement with the provisions of art. 5 of the European Convention on Human Rights.

The judge of rights and freedoms assessed that the release of T. of the defendants would affect the wishes imposed by the criminal law and create a climate of insecurity. social and distrust of citizens in the act of justice, all the more so as the defeat of social relations that protect the freedom and dignity of vulnerable people creates in the community. a strong reaction of dissatisfaction and insecurity. In the context of Decision no. 1389/2010 of the

High Court of Cassation and Justice, it was noted that from the definition of human trafficking, contained in the Protocol on the prevention, suppression and punishment of human trafficking, especially of women and children, additional to the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, it follows and the forms in which this crime takes place in relation to the characteristics of the trafficked persons and traffickers, the purpose pursued and the interest targeted, the nature of the causes that generated the phenomenon, the social implications, but also the specifics of the social values damaged (human rights).

Thus:

- viewed from the point of view of human rights, human trafficking includes slavery, forced labor, violence, abuse of trust, physical and mental aggression of the person, being the assessment that human trafficking is a form of slavery is fully justified beginning of the millennium; - from an economic

point of view, trafficking involves financial interests (huge profits), regional and international networks, the illicit circulation of money (laundering money that comes from trafficking and on the basis of which trafficking activities are carried out);

- from the point of view of the origin of the phenomenon, the factors that generate and support trafficking are the extreme poverty of the victims, low educational level, lack of self-confidence, failures in life;

- from the perspective of damaged social values, trafficked persons are reduced to the condition of "commodity", they are gradually dehumanized, their deepest feelings being damaged, the trauma suffered marking their entire future evolution;
- from the point of view of social implications, due to the alarming increase in recent years, human trafficking is becoming a national and transnational phenomenon, being favored by the general process of globalization and the use of modern technologies; -

from the perspective of the intended purpose, trafficking implies huge profits for traffickers, including in the case of trafficking for the purpose of forced labor.

From the perspective of the victims, human trafficking can be classified by the nature of the abusive acts perpetrated. on them and which aims, among others: the social aspect (psychological and physical abuse, supervision to prevent the movement or free movement of victims, lack of decision-making power, lack of access to medical services); the legal aspect (deprivation of identity documents, possession and use of false documents, threats to surrender to the police); the economic aspect (burdened with debts, non-payment, withholding of unjustified payments).

The criminal phenomenon in the sphere of organized crime is a dynamic one, it develops and adapts to social and economic realities, and the authorities must adjust their mode of action and reaction to the current ways of committing such acts.

Crimes of human trafficking have experienced a constant evolution, so that the recruitment of victims is also achieved by gaining trust and determining them to have feelings of affection towards the defendants, being misled about the reciprocity of the feelings, the possibilities. of a stable relationship or even starting a family, in order to then be manipulated and determined to perform pornographic manifestations and submit to the execution of a forced labor, in order to produce and disseminate such materials, using platforms such as the website www.onlyfans.com and the website www.tiktok.com, in order to obtain material gains.

Specifically, the judge noted the ability of the defendants TEA and TT to identify vulnerable people and to exploit the needs of affection, trust, stability. of them, creating the impression of a close relationship and thus removing any suspicion that the victims might have had, the need for attention and affection from the defendants being then exploited in order to determine them to perform the above-mentioned activities. It was shown that the contribution of the defendants NGM and RAL cannot be ignored either, who carried out the constant surveillance of the victims for the defendants, coordinated their daily activities and exerted psychological pressure on them to make them work as much as possible.

Under this aspect, the judge of rights and liberties held that leaving the defendants freeT. presents a danger to public order and it is necessary to take the measure of preventive arrest to remove this state of danger, considering the particular gravity of the crimes committed by the defendants, the concrete ways in which they acted, proving a fundamental contempt for relations social protected by criminal rules.

Thus, regarding the condition provided by art. 223 para. 2 Penal Code, respectively deprivation of liberty. is necessary for the removal of a state of danger for public order, the judge deemed it fulfilled, by referring to the nature and concrete gravity of the facts held against the defendants, intentional crimes, by which the freedom of will and action of the persons is affected, but also in the manner of committing, the defendants TEA and TT creating for the victims, by misleading, the illusion of feelings of affection and stable relationships, so that then, taking advantage of their vulnerability, they are introduced into the house where found out the other girls and determined to create photo and video content, under the supervision and control of the defendants RAL and NG, in order to post the materials on the TikTok and OnlyFans platforms, with the aim of obtaining material gains, aspects that highlight the particular gravity of the facts and dangers of the defendants. The judge of rights and freedoms also takes into account the consequences of committing the acts on the victims, being likely to seriously endanger their mental and emotional balance. Thus, acts of this type have a strong psychological impact on trafficked persons, who are practically dehumanized, this form of exploitation being likely to produce effects both psychologically and emotionally, as well as socially.

Regarding the personal circumstances of the defendants, with reference to the aspects invoked in the defense in this regard, the judge of rights and liberties assessed that they are not likely to remove the concrete danger to public order that they present. Even if the defendants are not known to have criminal records, this is a state of normality. and cannot justify, in the context of the present case, the release. of the defendants at this procedural moment, in relation to the high gravity of the alleged crimes of human trafficking and the creation of an organized criminal group, for which they are being investigated. Moreover, although the documents in the file did not show that the defendants had any previous convictions, it cannot be ignored that the defendant TT was previously investigated on the territory of Great Britain for a crime against bodily integrity, and the defendant TAE for crimes against the person and sex life offences.

Also in the context of personal circumstances, the attitude of the defendants TAE and TT regarding the female persons working in their interest and regarding the ways of obtaining some income easily, as they resulted from the posT video contents, was considered relevant. on social media and audio-video content broadcasting platforms, namely TikTok and YouTube, from which we exemplify the following passages: "When I started, the girls worked for me because

they loved me yes? This is the old one school to be a fish, isn't it? I love this man, we're on our way to the top together."

"So then we had these four locations, these bosses, managers, it started to get out of control. So, I narrowed it down to a special forces team of about 8 girls. So that's when I made the most money: I had four girlfriends, my brother had four girlfriends; me and my brother, eight girls, we all lived in the same house and all the women adored us and obeyed us, and at our peak we were making \$400,000 a month."

"My main girlfriends got maybe 20 percent of their money, I kept 80 percent of the money they made. So they were mostly working for free, working for my love and attention. With the other girls it was the other way around, I kept 20 percent, for all the help I offered, housing, writing."

"Women are sheep. Everyone says men are...everyone says women are complicated, no they aren't. Women are extremely simple. Women are programmable, women are blank sheets of paper and they are programmed. And either they are programmed by you as a man or they are programmed by society.."

"Anyway, I don't think, I don't think I'm a mobster, so I told T., look, we're not mobsters. T. says "Yes, we are". And I asked why? And he says "We are two big fighting brothers with undressed women on the Internet, who own casinos, who drive around Bucharest, Romania in cars that exceed 2 million dollars. Everyone is afraid of us. And if someone pissed us off at any point, even if we didn't mean to screw them up, we can make one phone call and they can be in a ditch or lose any right to stay here if I have visa issues we can kick them out of the country or arrest them for no reason and put them in jail for a period of time. We're as mobsters as you can get." /"So I guess I'm a mobster, I didn't want a son either.

So I was sitting there saying, I never intended to be an organized criminal."

"Romanian laws are very permissive."

"Romania is corrupt from head to toe, totally.."

From the contents of this content, there was undeniably a profound lack of respect for legal norms and total indifference towards the protected social values shown by the defendant TAE, but also the attitude of disregard towards women in general, whom he perceives only as a means to earn big easily.

The judge could not agree with the defense's claim that these video contents show only one character and could not be taken into account, as the defendant also shows the same attitude in relations with the injured persons, and this is evident from the content of the conversations with these found in the criminal investigation file.

Regarding the need to prevent the commission of another crime, purpose mentioned in the enumeration in art. 202 para. 1 C.pr.pen., the judge held that the situation is considered where, through his conduct prior to committing the deed that is the subject of the case, the defendant proves the real risk of reiterating a criminal behavior. Moreover, it has been shown that it is not necessary to exist

reasonable suspicion or certainty that the defendant will commit crimes, but only a risk, deduced from the concrete circumstances of the case. However, as it was shown, the defendants acted in a similar manner with regard to the injured persons and the victims, the defendants TEA and TT initiating love relationships with them, in order to then introduce them into the house where the other girls were also and where they came under the supervision and coordination of the defendants RAL and NG, who ensured that they produced photo and video content, which was later posted on the TikTok and OnlyFans platforms for profit.

The judge of rights and freedoms thus assessed that the necessary requirements are met. and proportionalT. provided by the procedural provisions, the measure of preventive arrest being necessary for the purpose of ensuring the smooth conduct of the criminal process and preventing the commission of another crime, is proportional to the gravity of the facts held against the defendants, in accordance with with the provisions of art. 202 para. (3) of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Regarding the necessity of the measure for the proper conduct of the criminal process, also related to the defense's arguments in the sense that up to this moment the defendants T. III EA and TT were at the disposal of the criminal investigation bodies, the judge took into account the fact that up to this moment they qualified as witnesses in the case, but it cannot be ignored the possibility of them evading investigations, leaving Romania and settling in countries that do not allow extradition, considering their financial possibilities, but and public statements in this regard ("If I'm wrong and England wants me in prison, I can fly with a Nigerian passport or an American one, or English or Polish, or Estonian./I have bank accounts in 19 countries").

Also, also in the analysis of the necessity of the measure, the fact that the investigation is ongoing was also taken into account, with people who could be aware of the criminal activities being interviewed, especially since some of the victims are still under the law. and control of the defendants TT and TEA and in close relations with the defendants RAL and NGM,

Or, taking into account the above-mentioned aspects, it was found that another preventive measure is insufficient at this moment to ensure the achievement of the intended purpose. of art. 202 para. 1 Penal Code, namely the prevention of new crimes and the preservation of public order.

The judge of rights and liberties assessed that the achievement of the goal of preventing the commission of other such acts, as well as that of removing a concrete state of danger for public order, cannot be achieved only by taking the preventive measure of house arrest or control judicial.

Therefore, analyzing the possibilities of taking other less intrusive preventive measures against the defendants in their freedom, in relation to the circumstances of the case at this procedural stage, in relation to the necessary character of the measure of preventive arrest for the proper conduct of the criminal process and for the protection of the public against the danger of repetition of extremely serious acts, of the nature of those for which investigations are being carried out and against the proportional nature of the measure with the gravity of the criminal charges against the defendants and the intended purpose, the judge found the insufficient nature of such measures preventive. It was found that, at this procedural moment, the ordering of a milder preventive measure is not appropriate, in the judge's opinion, the personal circumstances of the defendants, as well as the concrete circumstances of the acts held against them being incompatible with another preventive measure, which would not be sufficient for the efficient achievement of the purposes provided for by art. 202 Criminal Procedure Code

Against this conclusion, the defendants T. III EA, TT, RA filed appeals L. and NGM, the case being registered on the roll of the Bucharest Court of Appeal – Second Criminal Section, on 05.01.2023, under no. 37100/3/2022.

In support of the formulated objections, the chosen defender of the appellants-accused T. III EA and TT, requested their admission, the annulment of the conclusion of the Bucharest Court and the rejection of the preventive arrest proposal formulated by the Directorate for the Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism, and in the alternative, if it is appreciated that for the proper conduct of the criminal investigation it is necessary to take a preventive measure, to take into account, with priorityT., the preventive measure of judicial control, appreciating that it is sufficient to ensure the purpose of the preventive measures, as regulated by criminal procedural legislation.

In the development of the legal conditions in which a court can arrive at taking a preventive measure, the legislator referred, first of all, to the existence of some evidence from which it can be concluded that a criminal act was committed.

The defense appreciated that, from the manner in which the prosecution in this case was carried out up to the present moment, the principle of lovalty in the administration of evidence was totally violated by the prosecution. Given the special importance of the notion of evidence and legality, of the administration of the respective evidence, considered that some clarifications related to this matter are required. In this sense, he requested to note that, in the conditions where, from 11.04.2022, it was known that there were certain complaints regarding the activities of the two defendants, that their homes were searched and certain assets have been seized, the continuation of the criminal investigation in personam against them is not ordered until after more than 9 months, under the conditions in which the judicial practice of the European Court in Strasbourg, but also the practice of the national courts, constantly enshrine mandatory, of the criminal prosecution body that, immediately after the determination or identification of the person who could be the subject of an investigation, to order the continuation of the criminal investigation in personam against him, with bringing to the knowledge of the quality, in order to be able to exercise, in actively, procedural rights. Regarding this aspect, he requested to note that, in an unauthorized way, the criminal investigation body proceeded on 14.04.2022 to the anticipated hearing of two of the injured persons, in the conditions where the defendants were not informed about the the respective issues, putting them in the position of never having the possibility to ask questions to the respective people. The respective statement is presented to the judge of rights and freedoms. although the defendants were deprived of the possibility to get involved in the respective hearing from the perspective of formulating questions, a statement which, according to the prosecution, enjoys a presumption of absolute truth. He showed that at no time was the criminal investigation body concerned with ensuring, even formally, a minimum of legal assistance or compliance with legal procedures in such a situation, proceeding in the manner described previously speaking, respectively the continuation of the criminal investigation in person very late, compared to the existing elem-

Furthermore, the defense requested to note the fact that even in the report with the proposal for preventive arrest, there is talk about the existence, in the case file, of two forensic psychiatric expert reports, but volume III of the criminal investigation file begins with a report of extrajudicial expertise which, from the perspective of the defense, has a symbolic to non-existent probative value. If it really was a forensic expert report, it could not be administered during the criminal investigation phase in rem. This is an additional argument for which the continuation of the criminal investigation in personam should have been ordered much earlier than it actually was. On the other hand, he believes that there is talk of a judicial expert report in the case in order to create a false impression, in front of the court, that there is evidence obtained under legal conditions. which would indeed have a certain difficulty, although the reality is completely different.

Also from the perspective of the loyalty of the administration of the means of evidence, the defense showed that several conversations made through the WhatsApp application made available by the alleged injured persons were submitted to the case file, conversations about which there is no certainty of authenticity. He showed that from the ways in which they were collated in the report with the proposal for preventive arrest, it can be seen that they are not in a logical sequence, that there are missing passages that could perhaps have been relevant from the defense perspective, for the correct and exact understanding of what it was discussed between the respective persons. He considered that it is not possible to arrive at the substantiation of a solution of taking the preventive arrest measure of such gravity, based on evidence on which a huge amount of uncertainty hangs. He showed that this disloyal approach, of the accusation, finds its purpose in the conclusion challenged by the present judicial action. Thus, on tab no. 49 of the disputed conclusion is transposed a whole series of communications, messages between AT and P. I, but, as can be seen, the question is formulated at one time, and the answer, in some situations, appears after 3 weeks, including the question from February 19 and answer from March 5, question from March 9 and answer from April 10. He considered that it is totally unjustified and totally illegal to collate the respective conversations in such a way, putting behind a question from March, an answer from April. He considered that, through this procedure, the judge of rights and liberties on the merits was obviously misled.

For the previously stated reasons, the defense requested to look with great circumspection at the methods of administration of the evidence in the criminal prosecution phase, which it considered disloyal, a matter that led to the misleading of the trial court as well.

Regarding the material of the charges against the defendants, speaking of the crime of human trafficking, the prosecutor took into account, as well as the modalities. of committing this crime, from the multiple offered by the incrimination norm, first of all recruiting the respective persons by misleading, by exercising acts of mental and physical violence, harboring and transporting the respective persons for the purpose of sexual exploitation, forcing them to carry out pornographic materials and being subjected to forced labor. Having drawn these coordinates, the prosecution should have brought evidentiary elements to demonstrate each of the normative variants or each of the hypotheses considered as modalities. of committing the crime. First of all, regarding the obligation to pornographic manifestations, he showed

that, studying the file, he did not notice any pornographic manifestation, being exclusively the statements of the injured persons, without any film, screenshots or captures from the networks in the file of socialization, which proves the existence of a pornographic manifestation. In the last instance, he assessed that there should have been proof of such a manifestation so that, in the end, the magistrate in charge of analyzing the respective matters could assess whether, indeed, it is a manifestation of a pornographic nature, considering that it is about such an abstract notion that for two people, the same notion can have different content.

With regard to the recruitment of the injured persons, the defense considered that there is no factual element from which to derive the idea that the persons in question were recruited. This notion of recruitment, in the opinion of the defense, implies much more than simple communication between certain people on a social network. However, each time, in the case of all the persons mentioned, the prosecution itself admits that there were free discussions between the respective persons, that at least twice, the initiative in starting the discussions belongs to the persons supposed to be injured by committing the acts.

As for the exercise of acts of physical violence or mental coercion, it was shown that there is no element of this nature, there is no medico-legal certificate or other medical documents, being simply statements of injured persons that have never been proven . Regarding the transportation of the

injured persons, the defense requested to note that absolutely all persons came on their own initiative, by their own means or received money and bought their own plane ticket. Therefore, at most one car transport can be detained, which, however, is not considered by the incrimination norm.

With regard to sheltering the injured persons, the defense showed that this hypothesis considered by the prosecution is not proven, in any way, in this case, as long as the persons in question lived in a building from which they were free. full to act in any way.. He considers that no means of proof can be extracted from which it would appear that there was a restriction of free movement. Moreover, the prosecution does not even consider deprivation of liberty as a possible concurrent crime.

The defense showed that the legal norm considered by the prosecution refers to a purpose for which it would be exercised. the respective activities - with a view to sexual exploitation. As far as this sexual exploitation is concerned, first of all, in order to be able to hold the respective accusation against these defendants, the prosecution would have had to prove when they, personally, would have done acts of a nature to characterize the exploitation of the injured persons. By the fact that they live at a distance of 2 km and that they meet once or twice in certain time intervals, it is difficult to imagine that these matters can characterize the content of the exploitation option.

Regarding the assumption of submission to the execution of a job, the defense showed that, according to the provisions of art. 4 para. 2 of the Labor Code, the term forced labor denotes any work or service imposed on a person, under threat or for which the person has not

expressed consent. He also showed that from the depositions of the alleged injured persons, it can be observed that there are no elements of threat and there are no elements that could result from coercion or the absence of consent for the performance of the respective activities, which were strictly in favor of the individual, and not in favor of the

defendants. Next, regarding the statements of the four injured persons, the defense showed that, by the very virulence of the attack that they unleashed against the two defendants, following a careful analysis, it can be concluded that the claims made by the representatives of the prosecutor's office through the proposal for preventive arrest. Thus, from the testimony of the UA injured person, it follows that other people with whom she interacted proposed to her to make video recordings to post on TikTok. Moreover, the first post on TikTok of this person is from 10.03.2022, given that during that period the defendant T.

A. was not in the country. With regard to the ways in which that post was reached, T.a requested that it be taken into account that the injured person stated that "B suggested that I go live with her on TikTok, suggested that I do this work". Therefore, none of these so-called activities of persuasion were carried out by any of the two defendants. He also showed that this statement is repeated by the same injured person who says "although initially I never agreed to take pictures or be posT. on OnlyFans, in the end I was convinced by B". Thus, the defense appreciated, and this statement excludes any involvement on the part of the two defendants in making the alleged posts on the TikTok network.

Regarding the accusation of rape held in relation to this injured person, the defense specified that from the ways in which the injured person presented the course of the accusation, it can be seen that even the trial court does not consider the proposal of preventive arrest iustified in relation to this crime, recommending the criminal investigation bodies to continue the investigations, it being implausible that a person could be raped in thet Hotel - one of the most guarded and well-known places in Bucharest, especially in the conditions where the injured person together with other people waited for the defendant's arrival for more than two hours. Also from the perspective of the relationship between the two persons, with regard to the second accusation of rape held against the defendant TA, the defense showed that this too is not based on anything other than the simple statement of the injured person that the said action had taken place, which would have been accompanied by certain acts of violence, which the injured person herself states could be part of a sexual game. If the injured person himself raises such questions about the manner in which the respective report was carried out, if this action had really existed, there are no evidentiary elements from which it can be concluded that the respective crime was actually committed. In order to have an even more accurate picture of the degree of involvement or how traumatic this experience was for the injured person, the UA showed the court a passage from her statement, in the sense that at one point "we, the girls, did not I offered A. a sexual act". He requested to note that the injured person does not remember when the matter in question took place, from which it can be easily deduced that that moment did not have a particular impact. He appreciated that the reference to this passage from the injured person's statement leads to the creation of an accurate picture of how involved that person was and of the alleged impact that the defendant's actions had on him. The defense shows that it is impossible for him to imagine how, at the moment when an evil happens to you, of whatever nature it may be, it is so easy for you to pass over it that you cannot give any concrete data about it.

The defense showed that from the present evidence. at this term, it follows that during the period in which this person said that he was forced, that he was in an impossible situation. to express his consent freely, this had an activity. intense on Instagram. He stated that he managed to take pictures from her Instagram profile, in which she posed in a casual manner, incompatible with retaining any trace of coercion on her.

With regard to the injured person GE A, he stated that this person had been active on OnlyFans since he lived in the USA, as can be seen from the transcript of the conversations on WhatsApp, if indeed they are real or in accordance with reality.. It is discussed in those conversations about the circumstance that the injured person wants a new account, on which to post his activities which, according to the Public Ministry, were activities of a pornographic nature. A

showed that if this activiT. was continued or not after the moment 04.05.2022, when this person arrived in the country, it is difficult to find out, considering that the file lacks any evidence that this person really posted certain materials on the respective network and, moreover much, that this matter would have occurred at the insistence of one of the two defendants.

Also, from the same deposition of the injured person, the following statement results: "I don't realize if during this time, (respectively the 6 days he stayed in Romania), I was deprived of my liberty. because T. was telling me that I can leave whenever I want". Thus, the defense showed that it does not believe that the measure of preventive arrest can be ordered for certain manifestations that even the injured person cannot characterize as being of a nature to represent any limitation of his free movement and it seems to him totally devoid of any legal support. He showed that he paid a lot of attention to this deposition because, the very key to the accusation represents. of these depositions offer an extraordinary number of arguments of innocence in favor of the defendants and especially the defendant TT.

Moreover, regarding the threat to which this person would have been subjected, he showed that this threat consists in the fact that: "they will publish my real name, the names of my parents, the phone number I use". This so-called threat does not fulfill the most primitive form of apprehension of the crime of threat or of the characterization of an act of threat. In this context, publishing the real name of a person or their phone number is not an illegal fact with which the injured person can be threatened. This person's perception of the notion of threat is totally wrong, conditions in which the whole statement must be seen as a huge exaggeration.

Also, the defense showed that the injured person GE A uses, in his deposition, to characterize the defendant TT, the notion of a loverboy. He assessed that this matter, together with the description of a new form of human trafficking, reflects either an impermissible involvement of the criminal prosecution body that recorded the respective statements, or an above-average intelligence of this person, who has the representation of the notion of human trafficking and of "loverboy", from a legal point of view and uses the respective notions appropriately, an aspect incompatible with the retention of a constraint or pressure on the respective person, likely to affect, in any way, their freedom of action and decision.

As regards this injured person, the defense showed that at the time of the search on 11.04.2022, as can be seen from the content of the search report, there was no forceful entry into the respective building, an aspect that proves , once again, that these people had a freeT. full of movement and action.

Regarding the statement of the injured person AS A., the defense requested that it be noted that the alleged exploitation of this person for the benefit of the two defendants, asserted only by her, is proven by the fact that 50% of the money obtained was transferred to the account of co-defendant G. But, since she herself transfers that amount of money, it is obvious that no one could have been the author. on how this person manages his assets or money. The reality of the discussions and transfers between the respective persons is not known, but this simple statement is sufficient, without further analysis of the accounts, since the injured person is the one who made the transfers, willingly. There is no argument to show that this injured person had no control over the said money. Particularly relevant in the testimony of this injured person was her account of an event from 28.10.2021, during which another injured person, PCD, requested the support of the police bodies, by calling 112, because he would was subjected to acts of violence. It was assessed that the account of the injured person AS, regarding the respective event, is extraordinarily negative. This incident took place, according to the statement, before the injured person AS moved into that house, and thus it is not understood what would be the reason why a person who witnesses an event that he presents in such a way, after that event, to move into that house.

At the same time, the defense showed that this injured person, AS A., offers new values to the notion of human trafficking, quoting from her statement: "somehow I felt constrained by T. not to give up", but without detailing what means "somehow". This word is both

relative, so volatile, as content, that it is incompatible with the notion of evidence and elements of certainty to support that, indeed, this person was trafficked. This person's full freedom of action is also demonstrated by the content of his deposition, given before the criminal investigation body, in the sense in which he reports that he traveled to the city, that he went shopping, that he had an absolutely natural social life, of a nature to exclude any element that could characterize a coercion or an impairment of the freedom of will of the person in question. Regarding the statement of the

injured person PC, the defense requested that it be observed that the event of 28.10.2021 is not presented in her deposition. Moreover, he showed that, although there was this incident, which ended with the intervention of the police, he does not understand why this injured person has not said since then that he is being trafficked and subjected, against his will, to doing certain activities. He considered that there is no logic in the conduct of the detained activities. Next, he stated that from the content of the conversations on WhatsApp, if indeed they are real, it appears that the injured person agreed to post materials on OnlyFans at the suggestion of Yasmina, and in a discussion with co-accused NG, the person the injured party expressly states: "Thank you from the bottom of my heart, I won't disappoint you, I promise! Wow, I'm crying with happiness!". These matters are incompatible with the notion of a person trafficked or subjected to certain activities against his will.

Regarding the statement given by GE, he showed that it was a discussion between the two in which she claimed the sum of 50,000 euros to send the defendant T. nude photos of her, and from her testimony it follows that, if at the beginning he sat to think about the proposal made, the only condition set, in the end, was to have his face blurred. Thus, this kind of manifestation was absolutely accepted by the person in question who, in exchange for a sum of money, very easily consented to the production of such material, which he had no problem making available to the defendant.

In conclusion, the defense appreciated that the analysis of the concrete content of the depositions of the injured persons, however likely it may seem to create a feeling of public opprobrium or a negative emotion towards the defendants, the concrete content of these statements lead to the idea that the essential constituent elements for the existence are missing the crime of human trafficking.

Thus, the defense showed that there is no evidence against the defendants, the judge of rights and freedoms from the Bucharest Court did not have the grounds to form his reasonable opinion that the acts held against the defendants were committed and he opines that he is the most measure to provide a homogeneous picture of this file, considering that he was a lawyer in the file since April 11, 2022.

He showed that on April 11, 2022, the named EG, in the discussions with his mother and his boyfriend, and not how, in a totally wrong way, the prosecutor's office is trying to accredit in order to form an image of her morals. complete, her boyfriend to whom she said "honey" and that she misses him, they had a conversation on a private chat group in which she confessed to them that she would like to leave Romania, confessed to them the fact that he changed his plane ticket and that he lied to her that he would be in England and that, in fact, he would be in Romania, that the country is very beautiful, that things would not be so bad in relation to the state of armed conflict, only that the T. brothers do not have the behavior and are not what was expected. Next, it shows that the said EG was very relaxed and relaxed in this conversation. At that moment, the mother and her boyfriend went into a state of terrible panic and induced her to think that she was in imminent danger and advised her to contact the Embassy or the police. She stated several times that no one monitors their phones, that she is with another friend from the Republic of Moldova, named A., and that it is good that she came to help her, as well as that she does not know what it happens there, but he doesn't like it much. At the time when the authorities in England notified by the beloved EG informed the authorities in Romania, there was a terrible argument between the said EG and his mother in which she reproached him with the following: Go to hell. Because of you I am in this situation, I was going very quietly to England, now I have to sit and give an account to the authorities, now you have put me in danger, I just want to go back to England."

That being the case, he saw that this is how this big file started, namely with a phone call from the American Embassy given to the authorities in Romania and because the named person is an American citizen supposed to be seized on the territory of Romania, a big search was ordered

home searches at the home of the T. brothers, on which occasion he participated in caliT. of a lawyer to ensure the legality of search documents.

That night, the named EG, the named UA and all the persons present at the residence of the T. brothers were questioned on the occasion of the entry of the law enforcement forces into the building. At that time, the defense went home together with the T. brothers in caliT. of witnesses, during the search, mobile goods with extraordinarily high values, namely sums of money, laptops and various devices were recovered. Since that moment, seeing the suspicion of the crime of rape, because the criminal prosecution in rem had been started for this crime and for the seizure of some people, as well as the aspects submitted by the prosecutor, the defendants notified the Prosecutor's Office attached to the Buftea Court with the resolution of two complaints criminal charges filed against the said UA and GE for misleading the judicial bodies and insisted to the public prosecutor's office that any documentation be made available to them or to proceed with computer searches for the release of the witnesses' property or that they will be available with any other information for the fair settlement of this case.

Since April, no activity has been carried out, thus, in December, seeing that they still have the quality of witnesses, that an indescribable mediatized event took place in April with devastating consequences for the persons of the defendants, since the daughter of the defendant TT was refused to enroll in the American school on the grounds that the press does not match the profile of the school as a student, because their bank accounts were blocked, because they were created an absolutely ugly image worldwide, the defense he kept insisting with addresses and with returns to the Department of Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism for the fair settlement of the case, in which sense it also submitted a memorandum on December 13, through which it showed the damage caused to them for caliT. of witnesses for about 8 months, with the assets seized and the image ruined.

On December 29, the same bodies, in the same house and against the same persons, carried out a new computer search where they picked up part of the same goods seized in April and handed over during some computer searches, as well as watches worth of millions of euros, the same bodies seized 11 cars and then four more cars, after the defendants were in custody, cars that were in a rented garage to stay during the winter, pressure was put on the amounts de mill.e, when in the criminal investigation file there were only two statements of the named C and A.. In this sense, the defense stated that the named C and A. are persons whom the defendants have known for more than 2 years, people who, throughout this period, had the possibility to formulate countless criminal complaints against them or at least on April 11, 2022 when they had the possibility, when the event was so publicized, to appear at the prosecutor's office and make statements to confirm the commission of acts provided for and punished by the criminal law and acquire the status of injured persons in the case. However, they waited for the months of October and November to pass so that, being called by the criminal investigation body, they would give statements and on this occasion it could be observed that, in fact, they have the quality of injured persons. Going over this aspect, he showed that a lot of people from the T. brothers' entourage were called throughout the summer in an attempt to obtain unfavorable evidence against them in order to form a criminal investigation file that could be sent to court, which did not happen.

Also, the defense stated that it insisted on formulating requests by which it requested the just resolution of the case and the closure of the file, because they were aware of the fact that they had not been charged with any crime, in order to later obtain these two statements on the occasion of who would have been born, on December 29, 2022, the opportunity to be made aware of the quality of suspect, and later of the quality of defendant in five minutes, after 12 hours of searches and to be put in a position to give statements on 17 volumes of criminal prosecution material, which they had never seen because they had witness status.

That being the case, the defense appreciated that it is necessary to clarify the moment when this opportunity was born, because the defense will refer to the conclusion pronounced by the judge of rights and freedoms on 30.12.2022, which he understood to attacks on illegal groundsT. and unfounded and in which it was held, on the one hand, that the concrete social danger for order

public exists, it is current and that letting the defendants go free would represent a danger in this sense

At the same time, the defense assessed that it is necessary to clarify whether the prosecution presented any new evidence or any reprehensible act against the defendants starting from 11.04.2022 and up to 29.12.2022, the defense claiming that it did not and does not know what stopped the criminal investigation body on 11.04.2022 to change their status from witness to suspect and later to defendant that evening, considering that they had the same two statements, respectively the statement of the named AU and the statement of the named EG, the search was carried out recently, being more opportune at that time, because it would have been justified.

With regard to the evidence that formed the reasonable suspicion retained by the judge of rights and freedoms, the defense showed that the DVR containing the footage captured by the surveillance cameras of the T. brothers' house on April 11, 2022, and not on this at the moment the IT search is not completed, although the DVR was returned already in the summer. In this sense, he showed that from these recordings it follows that the girls entered and left the house when they wanted, accompanied or unaccompanied, that the guard did not carry a weapon and that the girls were not intimidated or threatened with weapons, that the security personnel did not have the objective the protection of any person or to prevent any person from entering or leaving, but only the real estate objective. That being the case, he assessed that it is necessary to clarify why these evidences are not in the case file and, in addition to Mr. GCI lawyer, he assessed that from this point of view the prosecution is at least unfaithful.

The defense also showed that both the defendant TT and the defendant TA, on April 11, 2022, continuously requested the prosecutor to watch on the DVR as soon as possible that they would be immediately allowed to go home, because a to consT. that no one was seized, and since it was night, the prosecutor, in good faith, informed them that he had no way, but that he would administer this evidence as well. Next, he showed that the defense addressed the Directorate of Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized and Terrorism to administer, above all, this evidence that was likely to shed light on the charge from that period of seizing people, later transformed into the crime of human trafficking.

At the same time, the defense requested to consider the notion of possibly immoral things in a society. increasingly decadent and, in caliT. by legal professionals, on issues related to illegality, namely where immorality ends from a social point of view and where illegality begins, for which today the court was called to check whether it plans reasonable suspicion on the commission of certain acts and punished by the criminal law from the point of view of legality.

Moreover, the defense requested to analyze the distinction between character and person, a matter which the judge of the case rejected ab initio stating that everything posted by the defendants on social media was motivated by the fact that it would be free of opinion and are guilty of opinion crime.

Also, the defense requested to consider the notion of capacity restricted by exercise or lack of capacity. of exercise and full exercise capacity, because, on this occasion, he refers to the fact that the trial court, in an absolutely illegal and impermissible manner, arrogated to itself the right to remove from the case file or to remove the meaning the statements of the named P. I and AB showing that, although these two statements are favorable to the defendants, they will not be considered by the Court motivated by the fact that they are still under the influence or power of the defendants. Or, as long as there is no court decision banning these persons regarding their exercise capacity or as long as there is no medical document expressing a mental state affected by any possible illness, the court must corroborate the statements given by people who enjoy capaT. full exercise with all the evidence and to analyze to what extent it can verify or corroborate it, but it cannot reject a statement ab initio motivated by the fact that the people who gave the statements could be influenced by the defendants, without there being any evidence In this regard. It shows that she is in an absurd situation in the sense that the criminal investigation body would tell a person that she was raped and she would deny it, but she is passed off as a victim because the criminal investigation body said so.

The defense also showed that it was held that the defendant TT had a connection with the said EG and two other injured persons and after 8 months, they realized that they may have been injured persons and the crime of human trafficking against the named EG who stayed in Romania for 6 days, which is why he believes that it is necessary to clarify when the crime of human trafficking began and when this crime was consummated.

In addition, the defense requested to take into account the conversation carried out through the WhatsApp application between the defendant TT and EG submitted to the case file, from which it can be very clearly observed that the loverboy method is not supported, because the defendant TT he was very distant and very cold and very indifferent, and she sent him hearts, icons and signs of affection, she told him that she wanted to leave America because it is a decadent country and where principles are no longer respected. and wants to travel, see the world, at which point the defendant simply asked her: "Are you sure you want to come to Europe?", and she answered: "yes, I'm single". Next, he showed that the defendant sends him a PrintScreen with his Revolut card to purchase a ticket, and the application will ask him if he understands or not to confirm the transaction and it will come to Romania. Against this circumstance, the defense appreciated that it is necessary to clarify whether the loverboy method can be supported.

Next, the defense showed that the injured person EG stated that, on the occasion of December 2021, when she met the defendant TT for the first time in the territory of the United States of America, they would have lived together for a week and would have maintained relations sexual assault and that she was strangled by him, but that the next day she did not remember whether she requested it or not, and when she came to Romania she forgot that she was strangled and again had sexual relations in Romania with the defendant TT, on which occasion she remembered that, in fact, she was also strangled in America. That being the case, it is necessary to clarify who and under the influence of what exactly is in the file, if the named P. I and AB are under the influence of the defendants, the other two girls under the influence of what exactly they are. To confirm his claims, the defense requested to check volume 4, page 10 of the criminal investigation file, where the defendant TT tells "Dear" who is actually called EG that: I'm not obsessed, it was very simple.", and she replied: "Ha, ha, ha, I'm so ashamed, although I have a kind of affection for obsessive bullies." Therefore, this is the one who came to Romania self-invited, she was not taken from the airport by the defendant TT, so the crime of human trafficking cannot be apprehended in the form of transportation from the airport to her home, she stayed for six days and this person the injured party also stated two extremely important issues, in the defense's opinion, namely that on April 10, after E-day, on a Sunday, she was alone in the house with the injured person UA and they started to tell about the fact that they do not like the home of the defendants T., which is not supported either by the alleged seguestration of persons. This can never be, as long as she was alone in the house, with the phone, an aspect confirmed by her mother in the discussion we had at the time related to the Embassy. Moreover, she cannot maintain that she was guarded either, because she stated that she was alone with the injured person UA.

The injured person EG also stated in the statement that the defendants would have held certain conferences on the territory of the United States of America where there were hundreds of very rich men who were willing to pay 7000 euros for the ticket to listen to them. However, a person who has thousands of euros, who holds seminars with hundreds of participants, who pays 7000 euros for a ticket, as the injured person claimed, does not need the injured person EG to come to Romania for six days, to provide work for them and to share the sums of money. As a consequence, the defense appreciated that it is necessary to clarify what activities, criminal case was detained by the prosecutor's office because he does not identify himself and does not understand her.

With regard to the immoral part T., namely that they held each other's necks when they were in love and that the injured person EG had an appetite for harassers, an aspect declared by her herself, that there were parties in which the defense would not participate, the defense showed that these they are of an immoral nature, but where is the criminal act, if two agree that one will make OnlyFans, and the other will provide a management team and share their income, and, wanting to go in the image of the prosecutor's office, the defense does not find the crime. Where is the crime, maybe it would be born at a later time when the taxes are not paid and the activities are not legally registered at

The Trade Register and the taxes from this type of activity are not paid, but this is a world phenomenon, a world sport.

Contrary to the opinion of the Bucharest Court, it showed that no one is impressed by OnlyFans, on which, in 17 volumes of criminal investigation, the prosecutor's office did not present the pornographic material even in one tab, but the pornographic material is known from many photographic plates with character pornographically sent by the injured person UA to the defendant TT.

Thus, the defense showed the court why, being angry with the defendant TA, he made the statement about the injured person UA that he discovered the fact that she was a prostitute and that he did not leave her alone because she was taking drugs, there were two conversations or discussions in this regard from the person injured UA and in connection with this. Thus, in a conversation in which the injured person AU told the defendant TA that "God made me know you and that you saved me", he was referring to drugs because he had taken them the wrong way in the UK territory, as well as the statement found in volume 1, page 265 of the case file, where the witness AM A. testified: "During the summer, in the villa, A. came a little closer to me and started to open up to me, telling me that he was raped, which she told me with a smile on her face, and I noticed several notes in her phone with certain amounts of money that she received, amounts that were in euros and with the hotel room numbers, and from here I deduced that she was sleeping with men for money, which she also admitted to me."

Regarding these aspects, he showed that the defense is interested in describing the moral profile of these two injured persons because they are the quintessence of this file and that he does not want to refer to the other two injured persons who gave forced statements, because one of she already had an account on OnlyFans, she had been strangled and has an appetite for aggressive men and she came to Romania, lying to her family, declaring herself to be part of a disorganized family and that she was a rebellious and difficult child, and the the second injured person, likewise came to Romania without notifying his family, has a past with narcotics, declared that he was saved, there is also reasonable suspicion that he has propensities for prostitution activities, which have not been confirmed, but he has reasonable suspicion to do it, given that it has evidence unlike the prosecution.

The defense also showed that, as can be seen, the loverboy theory as regards the injured person UA is not supported, asking the court to consider volumes 11 and 12 of the criminal investigation, where, in all the arguments and on the tab 24 and on page 28 and further vol. 12, the injured person A. is the one who came back and showed the defendant AT how much she loves him and how much she is subject to him, and the latter made the statement that "Now you your luggage and leave.", after which the injured person told UA "I'm sorry for making you stupid.", in turn, the defendant replied: "You'll do well, bitch." Next, the injured person AU asked him: "Are you serious? I can not. I'm going to pack my bags, as you told me, my king.", to which the defendant replied: "Good, I'm tired of your attitude. I'm being very fair with you, but it's a waste of time." Afterwards, it always came back like this: "Baby, what are you doing? I'm sorry if I pissed you off today, I missed you, I'm glad I saw you today.

I love you.", passage found on page 75 of volume 12.

In connection with the alleged crime of rape, the defense showed that it submitted to the case file of the substantive court photographic plates from which it appears that the injured person UA, in the evening of March 2021, arrived to Mariott, an hour and a half T. earlier than the defendant TA, together with A. and P. I, and the most important thing is that she was sending him pictures extremely scantily clad with a glass of wine on her thighs, showing her waiting for him to come to the hotel room. However, this evidence in which the injured person UA did not know that "she was going to be raped" is corroborated with the messages on the WhatsApp group that the girls had with the defendants, messages in which the defendant TA showed very clearly that evening that he wanted tonight, girls, keep your pants " to make love with the three, and the injured person has a party up." and transmitted to the latter: he was laughing. It shows that U A was violated in this way. Because the judge of rights and liberties of the Bucharest Court, indeed, did not consider that there was evidence regarding this crime, the defense will not point either in order not to waste time.

Moreover, he showed that since April 2021 the defendants have been trying to do something in this file, that since December 29 they have been detained and only last night they were able to find out the reason for the detention, that they did not

they were able to give statements in front of the Court because they did not have the file in front of them and that, until this procedural moment, they could not speak.

He showed that the trial court also held that the attitude of the defendants TEA and TT regarding women and the fact that they would work for them represents a concrete social danger, thus, the defense showed that on social media platforms a character and not a person, who hyperbolizes, exaggerates, they said they have 34 cars, and in reality. they had 13, that they do certain businesses, but in fact there were two searches about which nothing was found and there was never any suspicion that they were dealing in drugs or other matters. In the online environment, the characters promote some ideas to attract a number of followers and for the platforms in question to support them and pay them large sums of money.

Regarding the extrajudicial expert reports, the defense showed that it is necessary to clarify how it is possible to use in the prosecution an extrajudicial expert report that they call a judicial expert report and which, in fact, is nothing. Next, he showed that, in reality, these two injured persons went to a psychologist and asked the latter to evaluate them, and this constitutes evidence in the file.

That being the case, for equal treatment, regarding the public opprobrium, documents to this effect being submitted to the case file, the defense requested to note that online, on January 5, these defendants have 70% of the claims from the whole world, and, in caliT. lawyer, for several days, on all social networks, including on the mobile phone, he receives thousands of messages with the following content: "The T. brothers are innocent. Do something and take them off." The press, from what they had at hand from the press release of the Directorate of Investigation of Criminal OffensesT. Organized, she noticed the fact that the file is very thin and everyone wonders what exactly is behind this file, so that there is no longer any offense of opinions, the concrete social danger has also disappeared and, it returns and shows, very important for taking the measure of preventive arrest, when the concrete social danger appeared, considering that the defendants were not committing crimes on the street, they were collecting them from America and England. Next, he showed that, for the injured person UA who

stayed for six weeks, an injured person EG who stayed for six days in Romania and two other girls who have not spoken to the defendants for 2 years, abusively, the defendants did not only that they are detained and arrested, but their assets worth tens of millions of euros are also seized, which is why two questions arise, namely how many millions of girls they exploited throughout how many thousands of years to make such a fortune and, from the statements of four people, about whom the moral profile was made, the defense wonders how the existence of acts foreseen and punished by the criminal law can be retained .

He also showed that he disapproves of the dialogue conducted by the defendant TA with the injured person UA, but he disapproves of it equally on both sides, because they are fetishes, quarrels between lovers who understand how to live their love life in a way that adults T.a he doesn't understand how to do it. Moreover, he wants to show that there is no evidence from which the reasonable suspicion regarding the commission of crimes can result and on the other hand, in his capacity as a lawyer, the defense did not hear about art. 381 proc.pen code regarding the preliminary hearing.

On the other hand, he appreciated that it is necessary to clarify how exactly the injured persons were able to affirm these matters and leave, and today the defendants are in a state of arrest when the position towards them is not even known anymore and for so long while the injured person EG was arguing with his mother who reproached him for calling the Embassy, that she did not want to harm the defendants, that she only wanted to reach America. Thus, he specified that it is no longer known what the position of the injured person is, an aspect that can be observed and requested the court to verify the discussions. with his mother and his lover in which the injured person stated that she is free, and her mother tells her that she is unconscious, that she is in danger, to call the embassy, the police and the authorities, that they are human traffickers.

Regarding the loverboy method, the defense showed that this method is suspicious, given that the injured person UA gave the copy-paste statement, as the defendant TA shows, on a podcast the statement that the loverboy method is used, or, in relation to a person who does not know exactly how he arrived in Romania or whether he was trafficked or not, it is necessary to clarify how this injured person managed to memorize with a comma the method described by

to the defendant. It is clear that she was given that podcast before giving her statement to remember how things were.

With regard to the personal circumstances retained by the trial court, it assessed that the aspects retained are hasty and illegal, considering that it was shown that it cannot be ignored that the defendants TT and AT would have been previously investigated on the territory of Great Britain for crimes of violence. Or, in his understanding, this equates to the fact that if a person makes a complaint in an abusive manner and the criminal investigation bodies classify the file, it will train a possible continuous criminal behavior, aspects that cannot be accepted. The trial court had to apply the letter of the law, put the disgust aside and refer to the evidence, the facts, the accusations and see that the accusation is the task of the prosecutor's office in the criminal process and take a legal and thorough measure.

Last but not least, he showed that a lot of female people wanted to declare in favor of the defendants how nice he behaves and especially that the defendant TT is a gentleman, but he submitted to the case file the public statement of BD stating about the defendant TT that he was really a child and without any problem of aggression, he also submitted an email from a person from Pitesti who called yesterday and wanted to give a notarial statement and the notary did not want to receive it, informing him that the prosecutor's office must to give statements, in which it is shown that she approached the defendant TT if he deals with the chat because he needed money, and he told her that he does not deal with such things, as well as the statement of a singer from Bulgaria established in Constanÿa and who showed that they had a nice relationship with the defendant TT, but that he could not continue it due to the fact that they were both very busy and that he is a gentleman, has a vast culture and that it seems to him a great injusticeT. to what is happening to him.

The defense also stated that, as can also be seen, that what was shown by the lawyer GCI is also supported, in the sense that the injured person UA always left the house and did a lot of sports, that he always notified the defendant TA and that, indeed, he was running at night. He also appreciates that the manner in which all the statements of the two injured persons EG and UA and a discussion held between the defendant NG and a lady owner at regarding the existence of a search and regarding the evidence. Next, in order to complete the moral profile of the two persons, the defense requested to take into account that in the case file there are

numerous photographic plates with pornographic content sent by the injured person UA to the defendant TA, so that, as long as the injured person has posed in a person who does not have these abilities, appreciates that he cannot make his body material with pornographic content against the one who, apparently, would abuse it.

Therefore, out of four contrary statements and several favorable ones, he showed that there were only two statements left by the injured persons EG and UA from the months of October and November which have nothing to do with the defendants for at least two years and which are simple statements, there being no discussions, evidence, footage, messages or phone calls.

In the end, the defense requested the admission of the appeal, to consT. that there is no criminal prosecution file, there is no evidence from which the court can form its reasonable suspicion that the defendants are guilty of acts provided for and punished by the Romanian criminal law and that all their opening and the defense be accepted in the sense that there was and there is an interest in the case to find out the truth, with the mention of the fact that the court's theory cannot be supported either, that, according to 223 par. (1) Penal Code, the defendants could escape, as the hearing prosecutor stated that he bases his request for issuing a warrant of preventive arrest only on the provisions of art. 223 para. (2) Pen proc. code as a material error of the previous request. in the first phase.

Related to the provisions of art. 223 para. (2) Code proc.pen, appreciates that it cannot be argued that there is a danger that they will evade criminal prosecution when, the defendant TA, knowing about the fact that the criminal prosecution in rem has been started at the complaint of the injured person UA and that it targets him absolutely, from April to December, at least six times he left the territory of Romania and returned.

Thus, the defense showed, in order not to turn this trial into a public trial and to be fair and to protect the criminal procedural interest of the proper conduct of the criminal investigation, but also fundamental human rights, the defense requested at most the preventive measure A

judicial control, the application of a measure to satisfy the rigor of the prosecutor's office in order to show public opinion that it is protected and for the defendants to formulate their defense and enjoy the status they really have, already having their image ruined.

The chosen defender of the appellants - defendants RAL and NGM, in essence, requested, by referring to art. 204 para. 12 of the Civil Code, admitting the appeals, abolishing the challenged decision and, rejudging, to order the rejection of the proposal to take the measure of preventive detention, considering that the challenged decision is illegal, since the conditions provided for by art. 223 para. 2 of the Criminal Code, on the two levels, namely reasonable suspicions and danger to public order.

He verified that from the present factual situations. the prosecutor's office cannot find any evidence to justify the reasonable suspicion that the defendants constituted a criminal group.

Administrative relations or hierarchical work relations, regardless of the activity carried out, cannot generate reasonable suspicion as long as the video chat activity is lawful and profitable.

He requested that it be noted that two of the injured persons, P. I and ABG, together with AM, called Smurf, at a given moment took care of the other girls, sometimes accompanying them where they moved, which is why he wonders why these two girls, who apparently were supervising the others, are not part of the organized criminal group. The idea of a group would no longer be sustainable if two girls who are seized and exploited, because they actually live in the T. brothers' ..., were part of that group and, moreover, those girls shared the rent among themselves, as it results from all the statements that are in the criminal investigation file.

Also, the statements of these three girls, two of whom have the title of injured person, without understanding why the prosecutor's office assigned them this title, contradict those of the injured persons in the present case, the opinion of the defense being that there is no no kind of constitution and no kind of organized criminal group.

Regarding the crime of human trafficking, it was shown that there is only evidence that disproves the accusation, none that justifies the reasonable suspicion of committing the act, as there are no two normative variants supported by the accusation consisting of transportation and sheltering.

The defense requested that it be observed that UA and GE A were, in the opinion of the prosecution, forced to be transported. from America and respectively England to Romania under the conditions in which they came alone and of their own free will. Not to mention the sheltering, also by coercion, in of the T. brothers.

Regarding the other injured persons A SA. and P. CD, made brief references, considering that his colleagues have already spoken about them.

Returning to the injured persons P. I and ABG, he showed that he does not understand why they qualify as injured persons in this case, considering their statements given in April and the notarial statements given yesterday in favor of the defendants. He asked to take into account the fact that all these girls were partners in the video chat activity, being co-interested and receiving half. from the amount received, considering that the thesis of coercion is excluded, highlighting the patrimonial interest that the girls in the villa had, given the fact that the video chat activity is an extremely onerous one, there is no synonymous relationship between a possible immoral character of these activities and the illegal nature of the acts impuT., such nudiT. on the platforms of special T., not being incriminated. In addition, it requests that it be observed that the evidence shows close friendships between the cerceT persons. and the alleged injured persons.

He claimed that all these accusations are based only on some statements and on some truncated conversations transcribed by the prosecutor's office and from the statements of some allegedly injured persons. He showed that these statements were taken in April 2022 and without carrying out any other act of criminal prosecution other than two statements, a danger to public order suddenly appears, a danger so serious that the prosecutor's office decides to detain people and proposes arrest their preventive.

He considered that the danger to public order must be assessed concretely, starting from the exact contribution of the two girls to the commission of the alleged acts, i.e. from the actions

or the inactions imputed to them. because only these explain the seriousness of the facts or not and the measure that can be taken or not against you.

He also considered that in the particular case of the two girls, there is not even an indication of the negative impact that release T. it would have on civil society, the simple association with the image of the T. brothers in some work relationships not being sufficient.

Equally, the defense requested to note that the personal circumstances of the defendants, i.e. they have higher education, they have no criminal record, make them eligible for the investigation in the state of freedom.

He also requested to observe the mental state of the accused RA which, under the given conditions, categorically cannot present a danger to public order. In the alternative, the defense

showed that if it will be appreciated that it is necessary to protect the public interest at this procedural stage, by referring to art. 204 para. 12 with 11 Criminal Procedure Code, requests the placement of the defendants under the measure of judicial control, with all the guarantees provided by art. 215 of the Criminal Procedure Code, and in the event that it will be appreciated that a more severe control is imposed on the defendants, requests to refer to art. 218 Criminal Procedure Code which has the same conditions from art. 223 Criminal Procedure Code.

At the same time, he showed that the court has at its disposal the provisions of art. 221 para. 3 Procedure code criminal with reference to art. 215 Criminal Procedure Code.

As a consequence, the defense requested the admission of the appeal, the annulment of the challenged conclusion and the rejection of the proposal of the prosecutor's office to take the measure of preventive detention in the main, and in the subsidiary, if it is judged that there is a reasonable suspicion, although the defense further notes that there is no kind of evidence in this file, he requested the taking of a milder alternative preventive measure, namely the preventive measure of judicial control or the preventive measure of house arrest. Submit written notes to the case file.

Examining the documents of the file and the disputed conclusion in light of the criticisms formulated, in accordance with T. with the provisions of art. 4251 and art. 204 C.proc.pen., the collegial panel of rights and freedoms within the Court considers as unfounded the appeals formulated by the accused appellants TT, T. III EA, RAL and NG, for the following reasons:

The collegial panel of rights and liberties within the Court finds, in agreement with the judge of rights and liberties who resolved the proposal to take the measure of preventive arrest, that in the case the conditions provided for by art. 223 para. 1 and 2 C.proc.pen., respectively: **1.** the existence of evidence from which there is a reasonable suspicion that the defendants have committed a crime, which can be found in the enumeration of the mentioned legal text and 2. deprivation of libertyT. of the defendants is necessary to remove a state of danger for public order.

In the analysis of the first condition mentioned above, the Collegial Panel of Rights and Freedoms within the Court considers, contrary to what was claimed by the accused appellants, that in the case there is sufficient **evidence** (interpreted appropriately in relation to the current procedural moment and reproduced exhaustively both in the content the report with the proposal to take the measure of preventive arrest No. 1305/D/P/2022 but also in the conclusion of 30.12.2022 of the judge of rights and freedoms within the Bucharest Court - Criminal Section I) likely to convince an observer objective, in the sense of art. 5 par. (1) lit. c) from the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms **regarding the existence of a reasonable suspicion** that: 1. **the defendant T. III EA** would have committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3 and 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of

C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB - G.), consisting in essence that: - at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendant TT and the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through

recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting

to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to the execution of a job, in forced way, using the website www.tiktok.com.; - between the end of January 2022 and February 2022, the defendant T. III EA, through the Instagram application and following meetings in London (February 2022), UK, recruited her by misrepresenting the intention to lay the foundations of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person UA, and in the period March 1, 2022 - April 11, 2022, together with the defendant NG-M., by exercising acts of physical violence and mental coercion resulting. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex,, county ..., as well as in a to Romarbiailalimby/libbanethhen str. str.,, county ... and transported her from the UK, London, str. county ..., together with the defendant NG-M., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, with the help of the named R. A.-L., by forcing him to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by forcing him to perform a job, using in this sense the site- www.tiktok.com (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - in May 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the said P. I - V. by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/ cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, and in the period May 2021 -December 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L., by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, county ..., as well as in a building located in, str., county..., and tralesported for within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, through bliging to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a forced labor, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - in February 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the AB - G. by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, and during April 2021 -December 2022, together with the defendants N. GM and RA-L., by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county stis well as undy anoth to tensor it state. in a building located in,, it within the county for the purpose staual exploitation, by forcing pornographic manifestations ..., in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to forced labor, using the website www. tiktok.com. to identify a number of more than 1000 followers. 2. the defendant TT, would have committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp. human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), essentially stating that: - at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendant T. III EA and the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through recruitment

actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the named NG-M. and RA-L., for the purpose of obtaining

large sums of money by forcing victims to

victims, made through the involvement in criminal activities of the said NG-M, and RA-L., in order

pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense; - between November 2021 and 04/05/2022, the defendant TT, through the Reddit and Whatsapp applications and following the meetings in Miami Florida (end of December 2021). <u>USA. recruited</u> her by misrepresenting the intention to put the basis of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person GE A, and between April 5, 2022 and April 11, 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L., by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance and control, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex,, coffty, as well as in a str. building located in,, county ..., and transported her from the USA to Romania and within the county for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using in this meaning the website www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - between July 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant TT, through the Instagram application and following several meetings that took place within the radius of the municipality of Bucharest, recruited her by misleading about the intention to lay the foundations of a marriage/cohabitation and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person A SA., and between October 28, 2021 and February 27, 2022, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. and with the defendant T. III EA, through the exercise of mental coercion acts rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, county ..., as well as in a building located in, str., county ..., and makes ported Her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, through bliging to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, by force, for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes. using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers: - in August 2021, the defendant TT, through the named P. I and following several meetings that took place in the district of ..., recruited her by misrepresenting the conditions under which she was going to carry out video chat activities, as well as regarding the existence of false feelings, on the injured person P. CD, and in the period August 2021 – October 28, 2021, together with the defendants NG-M. and RA-L. and with the defendant T. III EA, through the exercise of acts of physical violence and acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as in a building located in, ..., county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation...by/forcing complexaphicstnanifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, by force, for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers: 3. the defendant NG-M., would have committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3..... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap.

a and c C.pen. (injured person UA), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB – G.) essentially stating that:

- at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendants T. III EA, TT and the defendant RA-L. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of human trafficking crimes, through recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the said NG-M. and RA-L., in order to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events inorder to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to the execution of a job, in forced way, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense;

pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a job, in forcibly, using the website www.tiktok.com (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000

followers; - after during the period November 2021 – 05.04.2022, the defendant TT, through the Reddit and Whatsapp applications and following the meetings in Miami Florida (end of December 2021), USA, recruited her by misrepresenting the intention of to lay the foundations of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person GE A, between April 5, 2022 and April 11, 2022, defendant NG-M. together with the defendant RA-L. and TT, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance and control, sheltered her in a building located in, str., located in no. the city of, county ..., as well as in a building str. complex, str., county ..., and transported it from the USA to

meetings that took place within the radius of the municipality of Bucharest, recruited her by misleading about the intention to put the basis of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and Feb AL and TT, by exercising acts of manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the www.onlyfans.com website in this sense and by subjecting them to forced labor, using the www.tiktok website in this sense .com, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after between July 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant TT, through the Istagram application and following __several meetings that took place within the radius of the municipality of Bucharest, recruited her by misleading about the intention to put the basis of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person A SA., between October 28, 2021 and Feb AL and TT, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance,

control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, county, as well as innobuilding hotested in Str.,, county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purbose of sexual exploitation, through obliging to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, by force, for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in August 2021, the defendant TT, through the named P. I and

following several meetings that took place in the county ..., recruited her by misleading about the conditions under which she was following to <u>carry out video</u> chat activities as well as regarding the existence of false feelings, on the injured person P. CD, during the period August 2021 - October 28

V by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings., between May 2021 and December 2022, the defendant NG-M. together with the defendant RA-L. and T. III EA, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex, str. judeÿul ..., as well as in a building located in,, county ..., and transported her this within the county ..., for, the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing pornographs manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a job, in the manner forced, using the website www.tiktok.com (March 10, 2022), to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in February 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited her by misleading about the intention to establish a marriage/ cohabitation

relationship and the existence of false feelings, the said AB - G., in period April 2021 – December 2022, defendant NG-M. together with defendant RA-L. and T. III EA, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex, str. str. county ..., as well as in a building located in,, county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform peterntographic eventshiroadcast, pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans .com and by stibmitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com for the identification of a number of more than 1000 followers. 4. the defendant RA-L., would have committed the crimes of constituting an organized criminal group, prev. of art. 3.... para. 1, 3, 6 Cp, human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person GE A), human

trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person A SA.), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (injured person P. CD), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim P. I), human trafficking, prev. of art. 210 para. 1 lit. of C.pen. rap. to art. 182 lit. a and c C.pen. (victim AB – G.) consisting, in essence, that: - at the beginning of 2021, on the territory of Romania, together with the defendants T. III EA, TT and the defendant NG-M. constituted an organized criminal group, in order to commit on the territory of Romania and on the territory of other sT. like USA and UK, mainly of

human trafficking crimes, through recruitment actions. of the victims, carried out by the defendants T. III EA and TT, and later, under their coordination, through actions of sheltering and transporting the victims, carried out through the involvement in the criminal activities of the said NG-M. and RA-L., in order to obtain large sums of money by forcing the victims to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by subjecting them to the execution of a job, in forced way, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense; - after between November 2021 and 04/05/2022, the defendant TT, through the Reddit and Whatsapp applications and following the meetings in Miami Florida (end of the month

December 2021), USA, recruited the injured person GE A by misrepresenting the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, between 05 April 2022 and 11 April 2022, defendant RA-L., together with defendant NG-M. and TT, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance and control, sheltered her in a building located in the city of complex county as well as in to building located in,, stunty ..., and transported her from the USA to Romania and within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform pornographiœvents in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using in this meaning the website www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after between July 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant TT, through the Istagram application and following several meetings that took place within the radius of the municipality of Bucharest, recruited her by misleading about the intention to put the basis of a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, on the injured person A SA., between October 28, 2021 and February 27, 2022, the defendant RA-L., together with the defendant N. GM and TT, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of,, county ..., as well as innobuildiconhobated in str., ..., county ..., and transported her within the county ..., for the purbose of sexual exploitation, through obliging to pornographic manifestations in order to produce and broadcast pornographic materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this sense and by submitting to the execution of a work, by force, for 12 hours live on Tik Tok. with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers; - after in August 2021, the defendant TT, through the named P. I and following several meetings that took place in the county ..., recruited her by misleading about the conditions under which she was following to carry out video chat activities as well as regarding the existence of false feelings, on the injured person P. CD, between August 2021 and October 28, 2021, the defendant RA-L., together with the defendant NG-M. and TT, by exercising acts of physical violence and acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control, invoking fictitious debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex, county ..., as well as in a building located in str. str., county ..., forcing.heanto treentsprorted rhequalthing the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by, str. events in order to produce and distribute pornographic materials, using in this sense the website www.onlyfans.com and by being forced to perform work for 12 hours live on Tik Tok, with a break of only 5 minutes, using the website www.tiktok.com, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers: - after in May 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited the said P. I -V by misleading her about the intention to establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the of false feelings., in the period May 2021 - December 2022, the defendant RA-L., together with the defendant NG-M, and T. III EA, by exercising acts of mental coercion rezulT, from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex, county ..., as well as in a building located in,, county ..., and transported her on district ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing them to perform pornographic events in order to produce and broadcast pornographic str., materials, using the website www.onlyfans.com in this seale and by subjecting them to forced labor, using the website www.tiktok.com (March 10, 2022) to identify more than 1000 followers: after in February 2021, the defendant T. III EA, recruited her by misleading about the intention to

establish a marriage/cohabitation relationship and the existence of false feelings, the said AB -

G., in period April 2021 – December 2022, together with

defendant NG-M. and T. III EA,, through the exercise of acts of mental coercion rezulT. from actions of intimidation, surveillance, control and invoking false debts, sheltered her in a building located in the city of, no. complex,, county.str..str.county.swellnes in a building located transported her within the county ..., for the purpose of sexual exploitation, by forcing her to perform pornographic events in order to produce and distribute pornographic materials, using in this sense the website www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a work, in a forced manner, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers.

The collegial panel of rights and freedoms within the Court notes that the main criticism formulated by the defendants TT, T. III EA, RAL and NG concerns the factual basis of the preventive measure, they dispute the substance of the accusations made by the prosecutor and appreciate that there is no evidence to support the accusations .

Considering the standard of proof necessary for taking the measure of preventive arrest (reasonable suspicion regarding the commission of one or more crimes) which does not coincide with that of the proof beyond any reasonable doubt required by art. 103 para. 2 C.pr.pen., the panel of judges proceeding with its own evaluation, without affecting the presumption of innocence of the defendants, considers that these criticisms are unfounded.

Thus, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms finds that, when defining the reasonable suspicion that the defendants would have committed the above-mentioned facts, the rights and freedoms judge of the first instance had in mind a cumulative evidence result. from the corroboration of several means of evidence administered during the criminal investigation, namely the statements of the injured persons UA, GE A, AS A., P. C - D which corroborate with the resulting aspects. from the reports of extrajudicial psychological expertise and the minutes of the playback of conversations through the Whatsapp application.

Evidence is abundantly present. and analyzed in the context of the conclusion of the dispute, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms within the Court appropriating from this point of view the following. by the judge of rights and liberties from the court of substance, following that he will proceed to an analysis of them, punctually, in relation to the criticisms formulated by the defense.

The elected lawyers of the accused appellants T. III EA and TT invoked the violation of the principle of loyalty of the administration of evidence during the criminal prosecution, arguing, in this sense, that although the criminal prosecution in rem began on 11.04.2022, the bodies of criminal investigation unjustifiably postponed the ordering of the further criminal investigation against the two defendants, during which they proceeded to the administration of evidence, without granting the possibility to participate in the criminal investigation, thus violating their right to defense.

Contrary to the defense, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms finds that the case prosecutor proceeded according to the provisions of art. 305 para. 3 C.pr.pen. which establish that" when there is evidence from which there is a reasonable suspicion that a certain person committed the act for which the criminal investigation was started and there is none of the cases provided for in art. 16 para. 1, the criminal investigation body orders that the criminal investigation be continued against him, who acquires the status of a suspect". However, as long as, in the period prior to the acquisition of the quality of suspects, the criminal investigation body acted in order to administer the necessary evidence to establish or not the reasonable suspicion regarding their participation/guilt, no violation of the right to defense can be held, thus as claimed by the two appellants.

Also, the accused appellants criticized the evidence administered during the criminal investigation, on the one hand, in terms of the **legality of the evidence**, with reference to the transcripts of conversations through the Whatsapp application and to the reports of extrajudicial psychological expertise, and on the other hand part, in terms of **the reliability of the evidence** that was the basis of the criminal accusation, with reference to the statements of the injured persons UA, GE A, AS A. and P CI.a. Regarding the

minutes of the playback of the conversations through the Whatsapp application, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms notes that, according to art. 139 para. 3 C.pr.pen.

the recordings made by the parties or other persons constitute means of evidence when they concern their own conversations or communications they had with third parties.

Therefore, under the conditions in which the records made available to the criminal investigation bodies, concern their own conversations. by the injured persons with defendants T. III EA and TT, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms finds that they can constitute evidence in this case.

Also, the "extrajudicial expert reports" carried out regarding the injured persons A. U and GE A are means of evidence, in the sense of the provisions of art. 97 para. 1 lit. e C.pr.pen., respectively **documents**, which emanate from a clinical psychologist specialist in psychological expertise applied in justice. Regarding their

probative force, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms recalls the provisions of art. 103 para. 1 C.pr.pen. according to which" the evidence does not have a value established in advance by law and is subject to the free judgment of the judicial bodies following the evaluation of all the evidence administered in the case".

Without proceeding to an exhaustive analysis regarding the reliability of the administered evidence, considering that the analysis of the validity of the accusation is the competence of the court vested with the indictment, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms ascertains from the corroboration of the statements of the injured persons heard during the criminal investigation (UA, GE A, AS A. and PCI.a) with the transcripts of the conversations through the Whatsapp application that the defendants T. III EA and TT recruited the victims, in order to carry out activities generating illicit profits, as they were mentioned above, through misleading maneuvers regarding the intention to establish a relationship of cohabitation/marriage and the existence of false feelings and, in the case of the injured person P CI.a through the false presentation/promise that he will obtain income and other extremely attractive rewards from presT video chat activities, for the defendants T. III EA and TT, which excludes the existence of a free, unaltered and untainted consent, as claimed by the two defendants. Next, from the corroborated analysis of the means of evidence it shows. above, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms notes that, through methods of persuasion, manipulation and both mental and physical coercion, the defendants T. III EA and TT together with the defendants RAL and NG transported and sheltered the victims, for the purpose of exploitation, through forcing pornographic manifestations, using the website www.onlyfans.com and by submitting to the execution of a work, by force, using the website www.tiktok.com in this sense, to identify a number of more than 1000 followers, the amounts of money obtained from the performance of this activity returning almost entirely to them. members of the criminal group.

Although the defendants defended themselves, claiming that they did not exercise any form of coercion or misleading, trying to substantiate the idea that the injured persons used the TikTok and Onlyfans platforms of their own free will, being free to quit at any time, the evidence administered up to this point procedurally proves the opposite. Specifically,

using the emotional authority he possessed and permanently exercised over **the injured person UA**, the defendant T. III EA forced her to make a live video on Tik Tok together with the injured person ABG, the conversation being relevant carried through the Whatsapp application, on that date, which, for more accuracy, the panel of judges will reproduce below:

[10/03/2022, 13:56:22] E.: kiss girls and send me video /Do something hot and fun. /I'm bored and alone on the plane

[10/03/2022, 13:58:46] AU: everyone's still sleeping /and I can't do this baby. I'm not like that

[10/03/2022, 13:59:21] E.: "A. said he wanted a video of my pussy on your face"

•••••

[10/03/2022, 14:02:04] AU: I'm not going to do that

[10/03/2022, 14:02:10] AU: you mean with all the girls?

[10/03/2022, 14:02:18] E.: ... and ...

[10/03/2022, 14:02:20] E.: do<u>n't be boring</u>

[10/03/2022, 14:02:55] AU: are you serious?

[10/03/2022, 14:08:10] AU: it's not that I'm boring / But it's one thing to ask just me, and another thing to ask all the girls [10/03/2022, 14:08:50] AU:

why do you want to see the girls?

[10/03/2022, 14:09:10] E.: I want to see that YOU obey me /It's not about them /It's about the fact that I want to know that my woman does whatever I tell her

[10/03/2022, 14:09:29] E.: I know you don't want to. That's why it means something when you want it. [10/03/2022, 14:09:37] E.: so obey your man. We're together forever, it's like a picture, damn it

[10/03/2022, 15:01:15] AU: Okay. /I'll do

it. /I want to make you happy.

[10/03/2022, 15:02:07] E.: you start to

understand [10/03/2022, 15:02:25] E.: a woman who always obeys and does what I say, especially things which she doesn't usually do, she is a woman who is really loyal to her man [10/03/2022,

15:02:31] E.: I want the best woman on the planet [10/03/2022, 15:02:33]

E.: ÿ ÿ ÿ [10/03/2022, 15:07:14] AU:

you know I'm loyal to you /And yes, I don't do this normally, but I want to make you happy and I hope you see how important you are to me \ddot{y} \ddot{y} [10/03/2022, 15:09:15] E.: that's

exactly what you show me [10/03/2022, 15:09:18]

E.: Plus it's sexy [10/03/2022, 15:09:27] E.: I

can't explain how much I like it when you obey me without asking questions [10/03/2022, 15:09:29]

E.: it's exciting

[10/03/2022, 15:25:39] AU: I'm only

taking pictures with B because Jasmine just woke up and was like "do we really have to do it now?"/It's going to take too long

.....

[10/03/2022, 16:40:11] E.: the pictures are gorgeous

[10/03/2022, 16:40:13] E.: I'm proud of ine

[10/03/2022, 16:40: 15] E.: you ***

[10/03/2022, 16:40:18] E.: very good baby

[10/03/2022, 16:40:37] AU: thank you baby \(\vec{v}\) [10/03/2022,

16:40:42] AU: Okay?

[10/03/2022, 16:40:58] E.: sure

[10/03/2022, 16:44:23] E.: now I really miss you [10/03/2022,

16:44 :28] E.: you should try to obey me more often [10/03/2022, 16:44:31]

E.: **ALWAYS LISTEN** [10/03/2022, 16:54:34] AU: i miss you too baby [10/03/2022, 16:54:36] AU: cool [10/03/2022,

16:55:10] AU: what if i don't obey?

[10/03/2022, 16:55:23] E.: you will obey, because that's how you attract my attention and love [10/03/2022, 16:55:32] E.: and punishment comes from love

.....

In the same way, using **the emotional authority** he possessed and permanently exercised over **the injured person A SA.**, the defendant TT induced her to work for him, meaning that the injured person agreed to carry out video chat activities and post on Tik Tok, under the direction/supervision of defendants NG and RL, who forced her to work harder and harder, post more and more videos on Tik Tok, and follow their directions regarding pictures and videos for Only Fans.

The injured person **A SA.** continued her activities, following a very strict schedule (she had to be live for 12 hours on Tik Tok with a break of only 5 minutes), the defendants NG and RL requiring her to make a minimum of 10,000 euros/month from on Tik Tok and threatening her, at the same time, that they will beat her if she doesn't do her job. From the statement of the injured person A SA. it appears that she was afraid to give up, so that the defendants NG and RL would not proceed as they did in the case of C. (injured person P C- nn) respectively hack her accounts and post indecent pictures or videos with her.

Using the same way of misleading, by which he instills in the victims the illusion that they are his girlfriends and will ensure them a better life, the defendant TA induced the said P. I and **ABG** to **carry** out video chat activities for him and post on Tik Tok, under the direction/supervision of defendants NG and RL, who forced them to work harder and harder, to post more and more videos on Tik Tok, and to comply with their instructions regarding pictures and videos for Only Fans . Moreover, from the statement of the injured person UA, a form of psychological coercion can also be observed by claiming some debts, the so-called fines" that were applied to them "by the defendants Taste T. and Taste A. if the girls did not post on OnlyFans, so that the named P At that time, he owed them the sum of 4,000 euros.

Although the named **P. I** and **ABG**, both in the statements given before the criminal prosecution bodies, in caliT. witnesses as well as through the authenticated statements given before the notary and submitted by the defense to the case file, denied any form of exploitation on the part of the four defendants, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms appreciates that these statements do not reflect reality, it being known that , in the case of the ``lover boy" or ``in love" recruitment method, victims of human trafficking do not always recognize the fact that they have been enslaved and exploited. and they do not cooperate with the judicial bodies, attesting to the idea that these activities were practiced voluntarily.

As for the **injured person P CD**, she was misled and subsequently maintained that she would obtain income and other extremely attractive rewards, so that she performed activities on Tik Tok and the Only Fans platform from August 2021 and until November of the same year, **without a contract**, having a schedule set by the defendant NG and a number of hours spent live on Tik Tok, not being able to leave the location unless accompanied by one of the girls, a rule also set by the defendant NG. Regarding the money obtained from these activities, the injured person P CD stated that, although she did not sign any contract, both she and the other girls obtained a percentage of 50% of the earnings made on Tik Tok and OnlyFans, the defendant RL telling her that, of the other 50%, 25% belongs to her and the remaining 25% goes to the defendants T. and AT. However, the injured person P CD stated that he did not receive any amount of money from the Only Fans platform, the defendants NG and RL telling him that he did not win anything even though during that period he sent daily pictures and videos to be

posted . on OnlyFans. The circumstance that the injured person GE A did not actually perform the activities for which he was recruited and housed does not exclude the existence of the act, as long as the purpose pursued by the

defendants was represented by its exploitation. With regard to the crimes of rape held against the defendant TAE, in agreement with the judge of the trial court and the collegial panel of rights and freedoms, consider that the administration of additional evidence is required, to corroborate the statements of the injured person and to clarify the factual situation .

The collegial board of rights and freedoms of rights cannot ignore the early stage of the criminal investigation, which will analyze all the defendants' claims vis-à-vis the concrete modalities in which the acts took place, however, in relation to the means of evidence administered until currently appreciates that from the evidentiary perspective there are enough elements to lead to the reasonable suspicion that the defendants would have

committed the above-mentioned acts. In the verification of the subsequent requirement of the legal text (art. 223 paragraph 2 C.pr.pen.), respectively, if deprivation of libertyT. of the defendants is necessary to remove a state of danger for public order, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms within the Court states, based on the assessment of the seriousness of the act, the manner and circumstances of its commission, the entourage and the environment from which the defendants come, of the criminal antecedents and other circumstances regarding their person, that they present a condition, since the defendants are being investigated for the commission of human trafficking crimes, the seriousness of the charges brought is obvious, and the manner and circumstances of their commission denote an elaborate manner of action through the prism of a large-scale criminal activity.

The collegial committee on rights and freedoms notes that human trafficking is one of the most serious

forms of crime. organized, in which the violence, the humiliation of the abused people, with a promiscuous living environment, but also with the obtaining of fabulous sums of money, dishonestly, are interwoven. The phenomenon of human trafficking, which has increased exponentially in recent years, is of the nature of a

alters the moral health of the population, gathering around him wide circles of people, whose human, emotional and social evolution is seriously affected.

Starting from these premises, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms within the Court emphasizes that, through its jurisprudence, the European Court of Human Rights has held that it is accepted that due to their particular gravity and the public's reaction to their commission, certain crimes *can generate social disturbances that justify preventive arrest*, at least for a certain period of time. In exceptional circumstances, this factor may therefore be taken into account under the Convention, at least to the extent that domestic law recognizes the notion of disturbance of public order caused by a crime. However, this reason cannot be considered relevant and sufficient unless it is based on facts that demonstrate that the release of a detainee would actually disturb public order (*ECtHR Cases LetE.r v. France of June 26, 1991, application 12369/86, point 51; IA v. France of 23 September 1998, application 1/1998/904/1116, point 104; Prencipe v. Monaco of 16 July 2009, application 43376/06, point 79; Tiron v. Romania of 7 April 2009, application 17689 / 03, points 41-42).*

However, in the case, the facts allegedly committed by the defendants are likely to cause a strong resonance within society.

Consequently, the lack of a prompt reaction of the judicial system and the release of the defendants. at the present procedural moment, it would induce disruptions of public discipline, of respect for the law, stimulating the collective fear that justice is not effective against dangerous acts, thus generating a feeling of insecurity, potentially encouraging other people to commit similar acts.

The concrete danger to public order thus derives from the nature of the crimes, the way they are committed (through the combined action of several people, recruiting several victims by misleading them, through multiple acts of execution, against victims in vulnerable situations, helping to satisfy the patrimonial interests of the defendants), the period of time in which the criminal activity would have been carried out, but also from the environment from which the defendants come and other circumstances regarding their person, meaning that the collegial panel takes into account the fact that the defendants T. and AT are people with a particular influence (influencers) in the digital environment, addressing a very vulnerable segment of the population (young people, especially males, aged between 16 and 25) with messages that denote a total lack of respect for women, encouraging acts of the nature alleged to be committed by the defendants.

However, such facts must be clearly repressed and disavowed by the representatives of society, in order to set a clear example for anyone who would consider that the laws or social rigors are inferior to his own person and do not apply to him.

As such, the judges of rights and liberties within the Court consider that taking the measure of house arrest or one of the non-custodial measures. provided by the criminal procedure code, cannot be compatible with gR.I of the social danger of the defendants and their deeds, the obligations that could be imposed on them not being sufficient to guarantee the safety of society, the defendants presenting a concrete danger to the other members of society, leaving them freeT. not being welcome at this time.

On the other hand, in agreement with the judge of rights and liberties from the trial court, the collegial panel of rights and liberties appreciates that at this early stage of the investigations, the presence of the defendants in a state of freedom is not required, such a solution could even have adverse effects on the criminal investigation activity, people who may have knowledge of the criminal activities will be heard, all the more so as some of the victims are still under the perpetrators and the control of the defendants TT and TEA and in close relations with defendants RAL and NGM.

With regard to the medical situation invoked by the defendant AT, the collegial panel of rights and freedoms finds that there is, at this moment, no evidence to show that the defendant would not benefit from adequate medical treatment in a custodial regime. or that such treatment could not be ensured, in the public health network, under permanent guard.

Against these considerations, based on art. 425 ind. 1 paragraph 7 point 1 lit. b C.pr.pen. rap. to art. 204 C.pr.pen., the collegial panel of rights and freedoms within the Court will reject as unfounded the appeals formulated by the defendants T. III EA, TT, RAL and NG against the conclusion of the council chamber dated 30.12.2022 of the judge of rights and freedoms within the Bucharest Court - First Criminal Section pronounced in file no. 37100/3/2022.

Based on art. 275 para. 2 and 4 C.proc.pen. will compel the defendants to pay the sum of 500 lei, each, as legal expenses to the state.

FOR THESE REASONS IN THE NAME OF THE LAW AVAILABLE:

Based on art. 425 ind. 1 paragraph 7 point 1 lit. b C.pr.pen. rap. to art. 204 C.pr.pen. rejects as unfounded the appeals filed by the defendants T. III EA, TT, RAL and NG against the conclusion of the council chamber dated 30.12.2022 of the judge of rights and freedoms within the Bucharest Court-Criminal Section I pronounced in file no. 37100/3/2022. Based on art. 275 para. 2 and 4 C.proc.pen.

compels the accused appellants to pay the sum of 500 lei, each, as legal expenses to the state.

Definitive.

Pronounced in the council chamber, today, 10.01.2023

President,

Judge of rights and freedoms

CLERK,