## Reactionary Anti-Homosexual Manifesto

- 1. Shamelessness: The behavior of gays is utterly lacking in shame, a trait for which they've been singularly infamous from time immemorial; they proudly wear their deviance on their sleeve<sup>1</sup>. Whereas a normal person doesn't parade around his sexual proclivities, the gays constantly shove theirs in everyone's face. When gays are present, the atmosphere itself becomes gay, because they keep broadcasting their gayness in broad daylight. They emit an incessant sexual noise, forcing the rest of us who are naturally averse to homosexuality to seek refuge from it, psychologically or physically<sup>2</sup>. Can you imagine straight men parading around giant sex toys and so on?
- 2. Perversity: It's extremely common for homosexuals to possess a plethora of aberrant fetishes<sup>3</sup>, which, like their "main" deviance, they also seek to normalize. The BDSM world (gimp suits, sexual torture, etc.) is inextricably linked to gays, who pioneered it, and who were embraced by it. They relish dangerous, risky sexual behavior that leads to harm and death4. Gays host scat parties in which the participants excrete diarrhea and vomit on each other; they are fond of various sexual gratification toys that most normal people are repulsed by; they are often sexually attracted to prepubescents, even toddlers (nepiophilia); there is the whole queer "furry" thing; and in all aspects, their sexual behavior is abnormal and depraved, bearing resemblance no to that of most heterosexuals.

Pictures and/or study(s) regarding flamboyance of gays

<sup>2</sup> Example(s) of gays' effect on traditional spaces after inclusion

<sup>3</sup> Study(s) regarding gays' predilection for aberrant fetishes

<sup>4</sup> Study(s) regarding gay mortality rates

- 3. Subversion: A fundamental political problem with homosexuals is that they always seek to upend sexual mores and morality to make them as favorable as they can be to their own "lifestyle." One can say that this is understandable and sympathize with it, but why exactly is it in society's best interest to abandon its own healthy ways to cater to the deviant desires of sexual ultra-minorities<sup>5</sup>? Homosexuals never cease trying to converge every possible concept to their lifestyle, hence the near universal tendency insinuate themselves into sundry political movements and undermine the dominant, pro-social morality therein in order to better suit their special agenda. They attempt to turn all political and cultural niches incompatible with homosexuality to "gay friendly" and, if that doesn't work, they frantically endeavor to destroy said niches because they are "violent," "dangerous," or "backwards."
- Incongruity With Sex Roles: Homosexuals generally don't conform to natural sex roles, because gays are effetely feminine and lesbians employ effected masculinity; consequently, they have long been the most vociferous and ardent advocates for turning all social institutions — and, indeed, society itself — into "sexually neutral" domains. They champion the entry of women into the workforce and oppose patriarchy and patriarchal marriage for that reason; they support coed education and coed workplaces; they want women to have authority over men; simply put, homosexuals and Feminists share the same goals, and needless to say, there's great overlap between these two categories<sup>7</sup>. This is a truly simple notion, yet surprisingly very few people seem to grasp it: Effete men want to be allowed to do whatever women traditionally do, and masculine women likewise want to be allowed to do everything men traditionally do, thus gays and lesbians are deeply embedded in Feminism, particularly the "classical" Feminism of giving women "equal rights." This can't be otherwise, and as reactionaries, we must view homosexuals as an integral component of Feminist the bane.

<sup>5</sup> 

Study regarding gay population size

<sup>6</sup> Example(s) of gay entryism

<sup>7</sup> Example(s) of this practice

- 5. Chesterton's Fence: Almost all functional societies in history either looked down on homosexuality, or altogether strictly prohibited it, executing the offenders<sup>8</sup>. Possibly, the ancient Greeks could coexist with pederasty; but all the societies whose social technologies we seek to emulate view homosexuality unfavorably, to say the least. Likewise, all successful religions with the notable exception of Progressivism<sup>9</sup> prohibit sodomy. This can't be a "pure coincidence," can it? Those societies that manage to reproduce most fruitfully invariably restrict gay activity. If nothing else, this alone should tell you that tolerance of homosexuality is apt to spell trouble, and those preaching tolerance for gays would do well to investigate why homosexuality was denounced in the first place. That's the bare minimum if they are to adhere to the Chesterton's Fence principle<sup>10</sup>.
- 6. Diseases: Gay sex is objectively more unhealthy than natural sex<sup>11</sup>, and moreover, the typically promiscuous habits of most gays<sup>12</sup> — triple digit partners are par for the course among the vast majority of them — vastly exacerbates the spread of venereal diseases. STDs, particularly the serious ones such as AIDS, are essentially a homosexual phenomenon, though it's also shared by other degenerates and reprobates that choose to come into regular contact with them. Gays, whose sexuality is undiscriminating and impulsive, and who are prone to heavy drug use<sup>13</sup> (they do condom-less "chemsex" orgies with complete strangers), are the petri dishes of humanity, carrying assorted manifestations of God's wrath. Like rats, wherever they go, disease follows; thus homosexuals, especially when unrestricted, are a public hazard. Furthermore, through their normalization of gueer practices (cunnilingus, analingus, etc.) among normal people, and through bisexuality, the gays have managed to infect some members of regular society with their abominable filth, which infection is useful for the gays, as it allows them to scaremonger society about the diseases that they themselves spread! Then they demand the taxpayer invest vast resources into solving their sicknesses<sup>14</sup>. By the way, gays, knowing full well that they're disease-

8 Example(s) of documented societies that did this

<sup>9</sup> Brief explanation of the religiosity of progressivism

<sup>10</sup> Brief explanation of Chesterton's Fence/Gate/Principle/Paradox

<sup>11</sup> Study(s) detailing sexual infection rates of gays

<sup>12</sup> Study(s) detailing average number of partners had by gays

<sup>13</sup> Study(s) detailing the drug use patterns of gays

<sup>14</sup> Example(s) of the proportion of research put into AIDS v. other maladies

ridden, steadfastly sought to receive the "right" to donate blood, and achieved it $^{15}$ . Think of the implications.

<sup>15</sup> Brief historical review of this event/incident

- 7. Infertility Normalization: Homosexuals usually have little to no children. While that in itself is excellent, the omnipresent celebration of the LGBT alphabet soup has resulted in a normalization of singlehood and childlessness; it's no longer possible to say in polite society that reproduction is good and lack of reproduction is bad, because, among other things, of "homophobic overtones." Whenever we critique low Total Fertility Rate(TFR), we critique a condition that is part and parcel of the gay lifestyle, one that incessant propaganda, particularly aimed at young women and nerds and of a type often produced by actual gays, has successfully transmitted to the entire society. By being loud, proud, and childless, and by attaining high status in society, the gays have turned childlessness into a "legitimate life choice," indeed, as many leftists will tell you, a preferable choice than breeding. Homosexuality marches shoulder-to-shoulder with anti-natalism<sup>16</sup>.
- 8. Obnoxiousness: Gays have a pronounced tendency to act incredibly annoying, a tendency often used in the portrayal of gay characters in all forms of media. Everything about their behavior and mannerisms is in some sense upsetting. Gays predate on straight men constantly; they are offensively extroverted (gays) and aggressive (lesbians); their manner of speech is disgusting; their body movements are always exaggerated, ostentatious, and sexually nonconforming; they always manufacture more drama than they are worth. Their character's virtues-vices ratio is horrible, as they possess more vices than one can count, and few virtues, if any at all. Everything about them signals "Bad News." They are also extremely petty and politically domineering, hence their going specifically after nice Christian bakeries and forcing them at the government's gunpoint to "Bake the cake." 17
- 9. Lack of Pair Bonding: It is normal for humans to pair bond<sup>18</sup>. This is another aspect of basic human decency which is conspicuously absent in gays: they switch life (death) partners without the tiniest bit of attachment to anyone who came before<sup>19</sup>. It's just a "mood," you see? Every day, nay every hour, can bring someone new to take the place of the previous "sex mate." Gays are never "couples" after the

<sup>16</sup> Brief explanation of Natalism

<sup>17</sup> Reference(s) to the Gay Cakes court fiasco

<sup>18</sup> Study(s) demonstrating this principle

<sup>19</sup> Study(s) documenting the average lengths of relationships, also the number thereof

heterosexual model: They are always non-exclusive friends-with-benefits looking for a novel sexual sensation. Deep affection and spousal loyalty are altogether foreign to their mentality. This has ramifications for normal society, as gays — especially during the Baby Boomer generation — have contributed their part to normalizing divorce, swinging, and promiscuity.<sup>20</sup>

-

<sup>20</sup> Study(s) documenting the changing acceptance of these habits in society over time

- Disinhibition<sup>21</sup>: Again, they just can't help themselves; they constantly sexually harass normal people, and have absolutely no control over their own aberrant inclinations. Their behavior is wholly impulsive and high time-preference; they are unable to refuse drugs, unable to refuse condom-less sex with AIDS-positive strangers, and usually unable to plan anything ahead they "live for the moment," and as one would expect, die young. Some take pity on them, but since they choose to wallow in their own debauchery, we don't see them as deserving of a merciful attitude their life is ugly, because they make it ugly, as their ability to resist temptations is none. They are entirely controlled by Satan.
- 11. Cultural Marxism: This is naturally a favorite topic among the altright and Kevin MacDonald's acolytes, and while reaction is not the alt-right, here specifically the alt-right is totally correct; that the Frankfurt School Cultural Marxists and the like-minded Freudians have pioneered and disseminated advocacy for homosexuality and various bizarre sexual behaviors is undoubtedly true. This may not be "the hottest take ever," but it's good to bear in mind the intellectual and cultural sources of many modern pro-deviance memes, as these early 20th century Cultural Marxist culprits and their ideological (not necessarily ethnic) successors in both Harvard and Hollywood have certainly done their fair share to plunge Western Civilization deeper into the bottomless abyss.
- Bad Aesthetics: Homosexuality is viscerally repulsive. Knowing 12. that the dude right next to you engages in anal sex with men is vomit-inducing. Beauty is truth, and evolution has instilled in us natural aversion to the unhealthy; we can tell ugliness and vileness when we witness them, and instinctively know to back away and stay away. Those whose instincts don't tell them to avoid homosexuals have something wrong with their brain-wiring and/or brain-structure. By tolerating gays around, society makes itself disgusting. While this alone may not be, and may not register as, a sufficient argument to ban the gays, it does point us to a valid field of inquiry: Why does homosexuality intensely trigger our healthy disgust reflex? Of course, beyond it being a dead-end reproductive strategy, it's also a recipe for quick premature death from disease; it's also eerie, like seeing mutants, androids, or cadavers, alerting us that something is terribly wrong. This is unlikely to be coincidence. а

<sup>21</sup> Definition/Explanation of term

- 13. Leftism: Homosexuals are naturally prone to leftist politics<sup>22</sup>, as leftism is, by no means exclusively but in substantial part, a project to normalize the abnormal for this or that reason; being abnormal themselves, homosexuals are automatically inclined to support general left-wing causes, be it race-denialism, socialism, Feminism, and really any form of artificial egalitarianism or war by the unsuccessful against the successful<sup>23</sup>. Notice how gays constantly blame everyone else for their afflictions, instead of examining their own behavior; so no surprise they're in a coalition with like-minded forces against civilization. Gays are vastly supportive of militant black activists as well as usually die-hard open borders advocates, and, almost inexplicably, they are often highly supportive of expanding Muslim acceptance and veneration.<sup>24</sup>
- 14. Objectively Aberrant: Homosexuality is a bilogical dead-end. If a man is attracted to other men, and is not attracted to women, he is not very likely to use an understatement to pass his genes forwards. It's an evolutionary death sentence in terms of a normal society. It is self-evident: Heterosexuality leads to reproduction, homosexuality does not, and reproduction is biologically vital. Gays can only ever be a minority within a population, because any species that would allow homosexuality to be prevalent, not to say common, couldn't last long, at least not in its gay-friendly condition; and because natural selection works to keep homosexuality in check, radically selecting against it within the population. The evolutionary telos<sup>25</sup> of sexuality is reproduction, which means that homosexuality is by definition aberrant.
- 15. Slippery Slope: That is not a hypothetical process, but actual reality that cannot be dismissed as a mere "fallacy"; the fact of the matter is that "acceptance" of the gays has led to Gay Marriage, normalization of "sex change" disfigurement, Drag Queen Story Hour, and so on<sup>26</sup>. Once sodomy is tolerated, a Pandora's Box from Tartarus full of Hell-spawn is opened, and other perversity follows suit. For example, cuckoldry, which cuckolds now call "polyamory." Presumably, one reason that some people support homosexuality is

<sup>22</sup> Study(s) demonstrating gay political/voting tendencies

<sup>23</sup> Brief explanation of Bioleninism

<sup>24</sup> Examples of each phenomenon

<sup>25</sup> Definition/explanation of "telos"

<sup>26</sup> Brief timeline of these events using court cases and/or polling data

because they themselves are perverted in some or other way, and by clamoring for homosexuality to be normalized, they seek to thereby open the door for their own perversity, whatever it is. Precisely what happened with World War Transsexuality<sup>27</sup>.

- 16. Signaling Hazard: It's just no longer possible for men to hang out with each other, especially in intimacy, without the lingering suspicion that something of the gay variety, be it overt or covert, must be going on. The gays destroyed men's friendships. It used to be possible for men to walk together down the street and even share beds or domiciles without anyone having the faintest tendency to suspect that anal sex is involved. To have successful cooperation, men have to be able to meaningfully bond with each other, but meaningful bonding is absolutely impossible when signaling "I love you bro" translates to "I want to fuck your ass." Without gays in society, men would be able to express legitimate affection and signal brotherly loyalty to each other freely and openly without the nagging need to perpetually explain that they are "no homo."
- 17. Confusion: In a general sense, having gays around creates endless confusion about what is sexually normal and what is not. People are being bombarded with all kinds of nonsense about "orientation" and "gendersex identity" and so on, leaving many to be lost in the confusion, unable to effectively sort out what is going on. We seek to usher in clarity: People need to know what is expected of them and where they are hierarchically stationed. Homosexuals disrupt hierarchical clarity in all things, bringing turmoil and vagueness into both day-to-day life as well as the political scene at every level. Being neither fish nor fowl, being sexually inverted, they've intentionally proceeded to insert "queerness" into manifold aspects of life, from clothing to school curricula to the ever expanding inclusion of fantastical sexual identifications foisted upon society. We now need to set things straight, if you pardon the pun.

- 18. Preference Politics: The homosexuals have made it impossible to discuss sexuality in a logical way, because sexual politics have been marred by "preference politics": The idea that your political objectives correspond to, and inevitably boil down to total advocacy of, your personal sexual proclivities. Thus, when one of us says "Attraction to 13-year-old chicks with boobs is part of normal healthy male sexuality," most readers automatically assume that he has a "fetish for jailbait," and will call him "hebephile"28 or "ephebophile"29 for it; he will then have to spend ages explaining that his own preferences (or lack thereof) have nothing to do with it, because he absolutely does not engage in Preference Politics, just as he absolutely doesn't engage in Preference Politics when he points out that 9-year-old girls are often sexually auto-stimulated. Since gays are all about preference politics, politics in service of a specific sexual preference, people assume that all discussions of sexuality must likewise necessarily revolve around preference politics. It is frustrating indeed to be unable to say "It's normal for men to be aroused by 13-yearolds" without people assuming that you, personally, have a specific fetish for 13-year-olds, and are saying what you're saying solely due to your own personal fetish. That "This person is engaging in preference politics" is now most people's null hypothesis is the direct result of gays, lesbians, bisexuals, and similar types of sexual deviants forcing themselves on normal society.
- 19. Hypersexuality: Gays are hypersexual, and invest tremendous efforts acquiring more and more sexual experiences, to the exclusion of other pursuits<sup>30</sup>. Their excessive lust leads them, and whoever is politically influenced by them, to prioritize gay sex above e.g. scientific-technological advancement and cultural creation. The sodomite's quest to attain ever greater sexual pleasure takes precedence over whatever else he wants to do, so often he ends up doing nothing else but cruising for sex. Individually, that's self-destructive; on the political level, it results in gay parasitism, e.g., gays allocating public funds to gayness subsidization<sup>31</sup>. By and large, homosexuals are not "also gay," but rather, are "gay above all else."

<sup>28</sup> Definition of "hebephile"

<sup>29</sup> Definition of "ephebophile"

<sup>30</sup> Study demonstrating the sexual tendencies and habits of gays

<sup>31</sup> Example(s) of gay subsidy

20. Biological Leninism: Another reason that the gays support leftwing causes is because of Biological Leninism: Since their status under normal circumstances is naturally low, gays inevitably attach themselves to and promote whatever political faction promises to artificially raise their status. They are natural members of the leftwing coalition, and are status-invested in maintaining the Cathedral<sup>32</sup>, for without the Cathedral's elevation of the gays, they would rapidly lose their social prestige. They may not rank as highly as transsexuals and abortionists on the "Progressive Totem Pole," but under any healthy system they'd be absolute pariahs, or dead, so they side with the Cathedral. Moreover, they need a Cathedral to normalize homosexuality through "point deer, make horse,"<sup>33</sup> i.e., by collectively and unanimously pretending that homosexuals are totally normal. Without the Cathedral, people will once again notice that the deer is not in fact a horse – that gays are not anything remotely normal.

21: Pedo-Hysteria: Hysteria about "pedophiles" is in large part a consequence of Gay Liberation, as many homosexuals have a distinct preference for prepubescent boys<sup>34</sup>. The current witch hunts against "pedophiles," which result in multitudes of normal heterosexual men getting sent to the slammer for bogus sex-crimes<sup>35</sup>, is facilitated by both the presence of homosexuality in the social atmosphere (leading to the invention of the "pedophilia" anti-concept) and by actual homosexual predation on boys who are often prepubescent. Pedohysteria doesn't allow us to notice that Humbert Humbert<sup>36</sup> doesn't creep into the bedrooms of 9-year-old girls, but that it's the other way around. Furthermore, LGBT politics are fundamentally hostile to male sexuality and to heterosexuality in general<sup>37</sup>, because inherent to LGBT politics is a re-definition of normalcy to exclude old-fashioned socially acceptable — aka "heteronormative," aka "oppressive and marginalizing" — mating habits ("pursuit and predation, conquest and surrender") and, of course, to include deviance.

Brief explanation of the Cathedral Concept

<sup>33</sup> Brief explanation of 指鹿為馬

<sup>34</sup> Study(s) documenting gay preferences in sexual partners

<sup>35</sup> Examples of the phenomenon

<sup>36</sup> Brief explanation of Lolita

<sup>37</sup> Example(s) of this phenomenon

- 22. Priests vs. Warriors: Homosexuals give power to the leftist priesthood<sup>38</sup> (and in turn are supported by the leftist priesthood), because acceptance of homosexuality rests on rule-by-priests. A society ruled by warriors does not tolerate gayness and male effeminacy, so gays are naturally inimical to warrior rule and to warriors; they feel much more comfortable when society is ruled by priests, and are adept at playing holiness signaling games<sup>39</sup>. (To paraphrase Robert Conquest's Second Law of Politics: Any Priesthood not explicitly anti-homosexual sooner or later becomes homosexual)<sup>40</sup> Rule by warriors will definitely incentivize and accelerate the finding of a solution to the Gay Problem.
- 23. Conspiracy: Gays always conspire, form secret cliques and secret clubs, which gives them leverage over those not in the know; that's one way in which gays acquire power<sup>41</sup>. Their conspiratorial behavior makes them dangerous, because in order to govern effectively, the government which is fundamentally a conspiracy needs to eliminate other conspiracies that vie for power. Government being a conspiracy, it should be the only conspiracy in place; thus, by having secret societies, the gays undermine the government, and it's in the interests of the King to uncover the gay cliques and to eliminate them.
- 24. Cosmopolitanism: Homosexuals are atomized individuals not invested in the prosperity of their tribe; generally leaving no descendants behind them, being genetic dead-ends, they freely associate with members of other tribes, and form alliances based on homosexuality, rather than on ethnicity, or geography, or religion. They are natural outsiders and outcasts within their own society and among their own ethnicity, so they tend to ally themselves with other outsiders and outcasts, and, in the modern era, with any global power promising to advance their agenda, perceiving their in-group to include fellow gays and perverts, to the implied exclusion of most everyone

<sup>38</sup> 

Brief list of priest-type vocations and positions

<sup>39</sup> Brief description of holiness signaling

<sup>40</sup> Conquest's Three laws

<sup>41</sup> Example(s) of this phenomenon

25: Consent Culture: In order to attain sexual liberty, the LGBT crowd had to sign off on Feminist morality, i.e. "consenting adults" morality. Hence their enthusiastic embrace of whatever the latest installment of Feminist dogma is, without which they would be condemned as "rapists" by the Feminist system. If only as a lip service, homosexuals, transsexuals, etc., parrot whatever is expected of them, so they can be members in good standing of the Sexually Oppressed Class. They themselves benefit from Consent Culture, because it allows them to do as they please ("consenting adults") while preventing evil privileged heterosexual men from forming stable families with young women. Thus, all homosexuals, regardless of political affiliation, as near to all of them as makes no difference, adhere to the Consent Culture Feminist dogma.

26. Suicidal Ideation: Gays are not only apt to self-destruct, a quintessential feature of their psyches<sup>42</sup>; they also flaunt their self-destructive proclivities before everyone else, seeking — and, through propaganda in the media and in the entertainment industry, succeeding — to normalize suicidal tendencies. They are sick, morbid people, and they spread their morbidity around; misery doth love company. By making suicidal ideation "cool," they have wreaked damage on whoever absorbed that idea and became depressed and dysfunctional as a result. By inflicting their suicidal ideation and self-destructive modes of thinking and behavior on the rest of society, they have further reduced the fertility of all those likely to be influenced by fashion, a massive subset of all Western populations, which is now purportedly determined by gays. The TFR, and overall happiness and satisfaction in life, suffer under the homosexuals' cultural domination; gays accelerate civilization's downward spiral.

- 27. Sexual Relativism: This is a useful concept when examining the Gay Problem. The Sexual Relativist agenda tells us that sexual morality is not determined by Nature or by Nature's God, but is altogether socially constructed, according to ever changing cultural norms; it tells us that sexual propriety is essentially "fluid and flexible," and that consequently, homosexuality is not any less deserving of acceptance than heterosexuality. Indeed, in light of Sexual Relativism, all sexual proclivities may be valid, or alternatively, may be invalid - everything's determined by culture. If a given society decides that bestiality and necrophilia are valid, while heterosexual sex with fertile females is invalid, then that is not any less proper than any other state of affairs. Thus, homosexual and prohomosexual activists tell us that gayness, or queerness, or whatever their preferred deviance is, is not in any way fundamentally inferior to normal sexuality. The gays undermine a normal society's naturalistic worldview to promote social constructionist theory purely out of self preservation as they voluntarily lack the natural ability to transfer ideology through subsequent generations.
- 28. Liberal Marriage: This is a crucial point. We seek to restore young arranged marriage (among other things); whereas, the homosexuals and their leftist supporters will viciously fight tooth and nail against anything like that, because "What if someone is gay?" In a society in which everyone is assumed straight, gays being either all dead or hiding deep in the closet (or not born in the first place), there is no such problem; but when gays are tolerated and accepted, and their presence is constantly celebrated, then any attempt to restore arranged marriage will be met with fierce opposition and cries of "Not everyone is heterosexual! Therefore, you oppressive bigots need to allow people to voluntarily choose their sex-mates, and only upon reaching fully mature adulthood!" - thus, modern Liberal Marriage. Gays are heavily invested in sexual liberalism, in allowing all adults to consent to sex with all other adults, and to withdraw consent to sex at their most frivolous whim, hence rampant divorce and defect-defect equilibrium. Liberal Marriage is absolutely incompatible with the reactionary program to make real young patriarchal marriage legal and easily attainable again. Gays are agents of sexual liberalism, and misbehaving women like them for that reason.

29. Parenthood: Whenever homosexuals are allowed, they inevitably arque, "We are normal, just like everyone else. We should be allowed to have kids." And when they do get children, what happens? For one, the children grow up in utter confusion about what normalcy is and what it isn't, leading to dysfunction later in life as they try to make sense of the world<sup>43</sup>. Secondly, state and society face endlessly weird, even absurd, "Clown World" dilemmas in dealing with homosexual "families," e.g. when homosexuals fight over custody over children donated by sperm or egg or adopted. Thirdly, the traditional family unit itself is wholly unraveled as the door is now opened for whatever bizarre sort of household one can imagine: "Why can't transsexuals be parents too? My single mother has already transitioned to a single father and Xe still loves me!" and so on and so forth. And fourthly, allowing sex freaks to raise children is prone to result in sexual abuse, whether it's diddling by gay "parents," or anti-testosterone hormonal torture by lesbian "parents," or growing up in a whorehouse attended by fetishists of every shade, hue, and color<sup>44</sup>.

30. Political Correctness: Allowing gays around stifles our ability to communicate through reactionary shibboleths, as gays invariably institute a reign of terror under which all pro-reproduction notions unflattering and inconvenient for Gay Acceptance are banned from public discourse; they can't stand our liberty to call them out on the destructiveness of their behavior, so they always rush to prevent us from speaking our minds. Tolerance for homosexuality and free speech cannot be reconciled, as homosexuals are deeply offended by sexual normalcy, and never miss an opportunity to penalize and bring down whoever speaks with disfavor about anything homosexualityrelated. Gays are always among the first to call for restrictions on right-wingers' speech. Even ostensibly pro free speech gays are only so up to a certain point; they cannot tolerate reactionary thought crimes because those thought crimes effectively render homosexuality altogether illegitimate - we not only intend to do away with it completely, but also have the capacity to engage in effective meme warfare to carry out our program. Homosexuals want to disrupt and

d
i

\$3
a Study(s) documenting the aspects of children raised by homosexuals Examples of these phenomena
I

O
W

o u