


DEEP STATE

How to Defeat America's Worst Enemy



Contents

Introduction.....	3
Part1: The Deep State.....	4
1 Deep State Pulling Strings From Behind the Scenes	4
2 Deep State Bureaucracy vs. Trump, America, Constitution	7
3 Underbelly of the Intelligence Community	16
4 Deep State Behind the Deep State: CFR, Trilaterals, Bilderbergs	24
5 Follow the Rothschild, Soros, and Rockefeller Money	35
6 Secret Societies: Skull and Bones, Bohemians, Illuminati	42
Part 2: The Deep State's Plan to Remove Trump from Office	52
7. The Trump Dossier.....	52
8. The Truth about "Russian Collusion"	62
9. Mainstream Media Attack Trump.....	74
10. Antifa Anarchists Go Wild.....	82
Part 3: How Trump Wins.....	87
11. How Trump Can Win the Propaganda War	87
12. The Counteroffensive Trump Must Launch.....	95
Conclusion: The Fight to Save President Trump.....	103

Introduction

The topic of the “Deep State” has been relatively unexplored until recent years. Now, it is becoming a commonplace part of discussion on television, in break rooms, and even at the dinner table. Once the 2016 presidential race began and Donald Trump was brought into the White House, the Deep State was thrust into the forefront of conversation. It’s a subject that, although many citizens may have been vaguely familiar with, they are now finding it to be one of the most popular themes in private and public discourse.

What is the Deep State, anyway? How do you know if it is even real? What does the Deep State want to accomplish? Should we be concerned—and what should we do about it? In the following pieces, we’ll illuminate the darkest corners of the Deep State to answer questions like these.

Essentially, the Deep State is a layer within another layer. It is a hidden government that influences and exploits the actions and policies of the exposed government. The Deep State operates selfishly—it is not concerned with Constitutional laws, mandates set by Congress, public policies set by the president, or even what is best for the nation. This book provides evidence that the hidden Deep State most certainly does exist, and that it is actively working to push the United States into global governance, all in direct opposition to Trump’s widely publicized “America First” foreign policy. Repeated intelligence leaks—which serve to undermine and even impeach—Donald Trump are simply one part of the evidentiary puzzle that proves the plotting, power, and presence of the Deep State.

The Deep State is more than government bureaucracy and intelligence officials—it is also composed of another layer behind the Deep State. The elite and powerful figures behind the scenes of the Deep State are both inside and outside of our nation’s visible government. This includes the Council on Foreign Relations; funding from Rothchild,

Soros, and Rockefeller; and secret societies that have become entrenched into the essential framework of the “behind the scenes” operation of the Deep State.

Part 1: The Deep State

1. Deep State: Pulling Strings From Behind the Scenes

The thought of an organized composed of private individuals controlling the government would have been laughable a few years ago. Now, more and more people are starting to open their eyes to its existence.



Confronted with one piece of evidence after the next that points to a wide and keenly organized campaign to discredit President Trump, Americans are increasingly becoming aware that a hidden power exists behind what the mainstream media shows. In truth, Trump has been a large part of this massive awakening. During his campaign and even now, Trump made a point to warn voters about a “global government” set into motion by a secret “cabal,” about a “swamp” that needs to be drained, and the “Deep State,” which looks to sabotage his “America First” approach (and, by default, the nation itself). Evidence shows that this point of view is now becoming more mainstream.

According to a poll from ABC News and the Washington Post in April 2017, approximately half of US citizens agree that a “Deep State” is operating behind the scenes of our visible government, with the aim to exploit and influence policies. Don’t forget that these polls are typically skewed to favor the running establishment, as demonstrated by polls that predicted Hillary Clinton winning by a landslide. More than likely, the actual results of the polls show over half of Americans agreeing on the existence of a Deep State—even independents and members of the younger generation.

As defined by the poll, the Deep State is composed of “military, intelligence and government officials who try to secretly manipulate government policy.” Although at least half acknowledge that the Deep State exists—along with confirmation from several media outlets—many Americans have yet to grasp a full understanding of what the Deep State entails. Without this understanding, Americans run the risk of falling prey to false and detrimental conspiracy theories that gravitate around the Catholic church, white male privilege, or even religious groups. If the Deep State is going to be stopped, we first must learn how to properly expose it.

This is exactly what this book aims to do—by illuminating the shadowy corners of the Deep State, Americans will be able to approach this organization with a clear understanding. We will look at the various indiscretions of the intelligence community and the vast machine of bureaucracy to show their disregard for the constitution and drive to discredit Trump. On their own, the facts are more than sufficient to prove that

the Deep State exists, comprised of secret societies, public organizations, and the individuals who provide massive amounts of funding to keep the machine running.

Skeptics will have a difficult time wrapping their head around the idea of a Deep State that covertly pushes policy and organizes events. However, its existence is easy enough to prove—and many Deep State members have even acknowledged that it is real. Today, conspirators within the Deep State have no shame about their actions, bragging about their secret and highly organized group.

The mere fact that conspirators and a hidden government behind our visible one should not come as a surprise. Secret conspiracies and private ruling classes have always had a part in history. What about the assassination of Julius Caesar, and all of the secret conspiring that took place beforehand? America's first president, George Washington, read about Freemasons and the Illuminati. Let's not forget to mention that the French Revolution was also a massive conspiracy in its own right.

Conspiracies are all throughout the Bible as well. Samuel details Absalom's plot to take King David off the throne. A conspiracy against the Apostle Paul can be found in Acts. Ephesians warns against upcoming struggles "against principalities, against powers, against the rulers of the darkness of this world, against spiritual wickedness in high places." There are multiple instances where conspiracies are acknowledged to exist throughout the Bible.

Regardless of opinion on Trump and the policies that he enacts, there is plenty of proof that shows he is under an ongoing attack campaign from the Deep State. In fact, Newt Gingrich hinted at this when on air at Fox News—when asked to explain why Trump was such a compelling option, Gingrich explained that he is "an outsider; he's not part of the club; he's uncontrollable; he hasn't been through the initiation rites; he didn't belong to the secret society."

Now we know that the Deep State certainly does exist within the shadows—and it's now our responsibility to expose them and put an end to their manipulation.

2. Deep State Bureaucracy vs. Trump, America, Constitution

Bureaucrats that have not been elected by the people are constantly creating rules and regulations, even though this goes directly against the constitution.



Hiding within the deepest recesses of the US government, there are bureaucrats that maintain radically different viewpoints than the everyday American citizen. This clash of values comes with some worrisome implications for freedom. Although elections can help remove and replace politicians, there is little that can be done about long-standing bureaucrats who are hidden in the shadows. Having been in place for years—and in some

cases, decades—these individuals have set regulations and decrees to keep their salaries padded and to ensure that they aren't going anywhere. Once an organization or an individual becomes a threat to the power that they exert over taxpaying citizens, they retaliate with a vengeance. This is the Deep State—or, at the very least, this is one key piece of its foundation.

Technically, Trump's role as president is to be the chief executive officer of the federal government. This is at least true on paper—but not necessarily true when considering the involvement of the Deep State. President Trump is at the very top of an incredibly vast and strong network of nearly three million federal employees. This is just on the civilian side of things—there are also over two million citizens in the armed services, and over 20 million state and local government employees. There are hundreds of bureaucrats that are distributed throughout various departments, organizations, agencies, firms, and more. Exact numbers are unavailable, but most estimates range between 350-450. No matter where they are placed, nearly all of these employees that participated in the presidential campaign did so with Hillary Clinton.

While serving as the US National Security Council Director for Strategic Planning within the Trump administration, Rich Higgins distributed a memo in which the Deep State is referred to more than once:

“The successful outcome of cultural Marxism is a bureaucratic state beholden to no one, certainly not the American people. [Emphasis added.] With no rule of law considerations outside those that further deep state power, the deep state truly becomes, as Hegel advocated, god bestriding the earth.”

These references continue throughout the rest of the memo, and even includes Republican leaders as willing participants within the Deep State's agenda.

According to Higgins, the Deep State is composed of a strange conglomerate of alliances. This includes the hard left, globalists, bureaucrats, Islamist organizations, mega-corporations, and more. Together, the Deep State aims to destroy both Trump and America along with him, in what Higgins calls “a political warfare effort.”

Once this memo was brought to their attention, the Deep State removed Higgins from his role. The Deep State is alive and well, operating directly out of Washington, D.C., and seeking to cause destruction with no accountability. Federal officials are tucked into the deepest recesses of this shadow government, making it impossible to hold them accountable. This is exactly what the awakened press outlets are referring to when they mention “the Deep State.”

While many still believe that the Deep State is nonexistent, those that love and cherish the Constitution as a true patriot know that the mere mention of the Deep State should send off alarms. There are a few reasons why the Deep State is such a powerful threat: first, evidence shows that this shadow government seeks to dismantle the core values that America was built on. These include traditional morality, limited government, inalienable rights given by God, and more. The actions of the Deep State have consistently revolved around these core values—the most obvious ones come from limiting personal freedoms, widening the power of the government, and drastically increasing governmental spending to put us into a \$20 trillion national debt.

The Deep State Bureaucracy is With Hillary

It is impossible to deny that the Deep State has been significantly politicized. Approximately 95 percent of all donations from federal employees during the 2016 election went directly to the Clinton campaign.

This significant imbalance of funds was the same across the board for all agencies analyzed by The Hill. Even more disturbing is the fact that over 99 percent of donations from the US State Department went to Hillary.

With an incredibly skewed ratio of donations, it may be easier to explain strange events like the plot from State Department officials to employ Joseph Macmaus, a top aide to Clinton, as the US ambassador to Colombia. Consider that Macmanus was Clinton’s aide

through Benghazi, as well as the ongoing plot from the Deep State to employ communist forces in the country, a move which was facilitated by both Castro and Obama. This may also explain why, despite the significant change in leadership, foreign policies that began under prior administrations continued to move along, like the support of gay rights in Africa.

The State Department hasn't gotten the worst of it. In the US Department of Education—which has garnished nearly all control over what teachers can do in the classroom—over 99 percent of campaign donations were for Clinton. Two-hundred and twenty-three dollars went to Trump, whereas over \$74,000 was donated for the Clinton campaign. Don't forget that Clinton was in full support of the Common Core standards to provide a global and national education standard.

This is exactly why policies have been slow to change—if at all—since the transition from a Democratic White House to a Republican. The US Department of Education is still pushing their Common Core standards despite significant backlash and frustration from teachers, parents, and citizens.




Federal departments—with many possessing a significant amount of influence and power—are just as radically tilted to favor Clinton and her standards that revolve around dismantling the Constitution and increasing governmental influence. One good example is the Department of Justice. During the campaign, 97 percent of donations from their employees were given to Clinton. (These are the same people who were tasked with investigating Clinton for crimes, like using a private email server while serving as secretary of state.) Even with Attorney General Jeff Sessions at the head, the DOJ continues to be composed of a strong and far-reaching collection of bureaucrats who are clearly in favor of Hillary Clinton and her policies—not those of President Donald Trump.

Although American farmers are traditionally significantly composed of conservative individuals, over 99 percent of donations that came from the US Department of Agriculture went to Hillary. This same type of funding disparity was reflected in the US Department of Labor, the US Commerce Department, and the Department of Energy (with all percentages of donations coming in at between 95-99 percent). Bureaucrats within the Health and Human Services department gave 96 percent of their donations to the Democratic Party, as well as the Department of Housing and Urban Development. The IRS gave nearly 95 percent of their donations to Clinton (keep in mind that this organization has been criticized for years for intentionally targeting conservative individuals and businesses). The Treasury Department gave 95 percent of their donations to Clinton, and the EPA gave 96 percent. Consider that former bureaucrats at the EPA were found distributing an instructive guide on resisting pro-Trump policies.

What we see here is that those in positions of power to create and implement policies for commerce, health, education, agriculture, housing, and foreign policy are all verifiably opposed to the man who is now their boss—elected by the people, President Trump is now in charge of retaining and upholding each organization and network of bureaucrats. In other words, this is the Deep State, and they are likely to continue providing donations and support to Democrats throughout the years to come. Of course, many of these individuals enjoy a certain job security that everyday Americans will never understand, including policies and procedures that prevent their firing. These implications are far-reaching, and can have formidable power over the day-to-day lives of every American.

These skewed donation trends have been explained by some analysts as a means for agencies and their employees to practice a form of self-preservation. Because Trump announced that he wanted to cut back on federal control and spending, these bureaucrats became anxious about whether they would lose their jobs, their influence, or both. While this sounds like a legitimate explanation, it's still worth stating that Trump performed significantly poorly when comparing his donations to Hillary's. This is true even in the agencies for which Trump vocally supported—the Defense Department gave about 84 percent to Clinton, while Homeland Security gave 90 percent. Hillary also got approximately 90 percent of donations from Veterans Affairs, which solidifies the fact that these skewed figures are no coincidence.



Can the Bureaucratic Swamp of the Deep State Be Drained?

Whether President Trump has been unable or unwilling to “drain the swamp” is unclear—but the fact remains that it still exists. There are many political figures appointed by Obama that are still in their posts, a fact which extends beyond the hidden bureaucracy. For example, the State Department has six undersecretary of state positions, but only one of them has been filled—and this was done by an official from the Obama administration. There are more than 20 positions for the assistant secretary of state, with only three having been filled. Warnings have been issued by neoconservatives that the State

Department continues to fulfill policies set into place like Obama, like supporting LGBT agendas worldwide.

When questions about the number of empty appointments to be made, Trump mentioned that these may not even be filled, so as to reduce the government size. According to Trump, many of these positions are largely unnecessary—and he's right. Many jobs and agencies that they are associated with are not necessary. Unfortunately, by refusing to fill those empty positions while the bureaucracy framework beneath them stays intact, the remnants of Obama's administration are holding onto their power and undermining the goals of his own administration in the process. It is possible that Deep State members are giving President Trump poor advice.

Some of the leading figures within the Deep State—like Henry Kissinger, an advocate for a global “New World Order” and population control—have been brought into Trump's circle. President Trump has even called him a friend, and someone that he has “great, great respect for.” In addition, his cabinet does have some links to the globalist movement, the annual Bilderberg meeting, and the Council on Foreign Relations (this organization is another piece of the Deep State's network).

Both Hillary Clinton and Joe Biden know that it is crucial for Deep State bureaucrats to stay in their roles, which allows them to disassemble Trump's “America First” initiatives. Biden and Hillary have both been quoted as pleading for these federal employees not to go, asking them to help maintain “competence and normalcy” while they “stick it out.” According to data from the Government Accountability Office, there have been approximately 80 appointees by Obama that have found their way into civil service jobs at the highest level where they cannot be fired.

How the Deep State Can Bring Their Enemies Down


In yet another turn of events, bureaucrats and organizations within the Deep State have an arsenal of tools that they can use to bring their enemies down. For example, recent estimates say that there are nearly half a million federal regulations that hold criminal penalties—but the exact number is unavailable. The Congressional Research Services was asked to provide lawmakers with an exact calculation of how many statutes and regulations came were associated with criminal penalties, and they could not provide an answer. In fact, they said that they did not have the resources nor the manpower to compile those numbers. Although Congress holds legislative power, much of this has been overtaken by the Deep State, its administration, and a never-ending string of regulations. Despite the Constitution delegating all legislative powers to Congress, the reality is that most legislative powers (and even many judicial powers) have today been usurped by the administrative arm of “Deep State” and its never-ending deluge of regulations and decrees.

One of the most important takeaways from this is the stark reality that, each day, nearly every American will commit more than one federal crime without even knowing it. Anyone who became a threat to the Deep State could, in all theory, become a target for violating a federal rule that they had no idea even existed. In fact, some experts suggest that every single American over the age of 18 could potentially be charged with some sort of federal crime. This is the type of thing that makes the ongoing “fishing expedition” by Special Counsel Robert Mueller against Trump into such a potentially dangerous task. Along with a wide range of intelligence methods—not surprisingly, intelligence is part of the very foundation of the Deep State—it’s safe to assume that no one is safe. Two examples of the Deep State using this method come to mind: first, with Mike Flynn, the first national security advisor in the Trump administration, and second with Dinesh D’Souza, whose writings exposed Obama and his administration. Both men were targeted for minor infractions—in comparison to the rest of Washington, DC, they may as well have gotten a ticket for an expired parking meter.

Depending on who you talk to, the term “Deep State” will mean different things from one person to the next. Many consider the Deep State as one layer, with a second, darker Deep State behind it. This second Deep State can include the Trilateral Commission, the Council on Foreign Relations, secret societies, and more. It is also important to consider the intelligence network employed by the Deep State. However, no matter how you look at it, the widespread network of Deep State operatives nestled within the government is perhaps the most common element of what the general population considers to be the Deep State. Of course, this network is only one of many, and is far from being the head of operations. But this network of bureaucrats is significantly strong, powerful, and creative when it comes to protecting their interests. Disarming this administrative branch of the Deep State will be crucial to maintain Constitutional liberties. President Trump now has the ability to begin that process—but he has not yet taken the first steps, which could include appointing constitutionalists, putting an end to all unconstitutional organizations, and continue undoing the regulatory damage imposed by the Obama administration. We need the Constitution to get America out of this mess.

3. Lurking Beneath the Intelligence Community

American intelligence agencies have little to no oversight from Congress or the government, and yet their actions can make a significant impact on our individual freedoms, national laws, and global policies.



In the first few months of his term, President Trump received repeated threats as he faced off against the intelligence community. Both the intelligence and security branches of the Deep State are tools of the bureaucracy that are employed at every turn.

Ever since Trump's election to the presidency, many pieces of the so-called "intelligence community" have been coming together in ways that most of the general public could have never imagined. Secretive government agencies, lawmakers, and bureaucrats have all acknowledged that Trump has been under surveillance and various forms of attack. Part of this comes from repeated intelligence leaks, including the (discredited) Trump

dossier. Using a multitude of distasteful strategies under the guise of protecting security has been a part of their game plan for many years.

It is clear that there is an overwhelming network of spy agencies that continue to perform dirty jobs for the second layer of the Deep State. There are many key players inside the Deep State intelligence community that hold memberships within semi-secret and secret organizations. There are bosses within the CIA and the NSA who are regularly in attendance at Bilderberg meetings. Nearly every single one has been a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, and could be part of the Trilateral Commission. There is evidence to suggest that they have been a part of Skull and Bones and Bohemian Grove.

There are endless examples to go through. One good one comes from David Petraeus, the now-disgraced general who was once the head of the CIA. Not only is he a regular attendee at Bilderberg, but he is also part of the Council on Foreign Relations, a globalist organization that looks to replace national governments with a worldwide government. After his service, he started working for KKR, a Wall Street investment firm, spending his time advocating for America to turn into the European Union. Many Deep State operatives within intelligence and security have had similar career paths. Former head of the NSA, Keith Alexander, went to Bilderberg and then founded his own private cybersecurity company. Michael Hayden, who has run both the NSA and the CIA, is also part of the Deep State, attending Bilderberg meetings and the Council on Foreign Relations.



State Within a State

For generations, presidents and their closest confidantes have been close to the second layer of the Deep State—the Deep State within the Deep State. As a result, the White House and the various branches of the intelligence community tend to be on the same page. However, with Trump in office, this synergy has been erased completely.

For example, it is common knowledge that Trump's team was being spied on by intelligence. Further, Michael Flynn authorized the recording of his own phone conversations, which is how he was caught for making a false statement to the FBI—nothing illegal was said, resulting in Flynn receiving a minor charge.

Within the first year of Trump's presidency, leaks from Deep State bureaucrats were being released left and right. The average came to about one per day, with each leak designed to delegitimize Trump and tear down his administration. Trump, understandably frustrated, accused intelligence community at large of attempting to sabotage him, stating that the culprits would be found. The Deep State responds in the voice of John Schindler, a former NSA analyst: "Now we go nuclear...[Intelligence community] war going to new levels. Just got an [e-mail from] senior [intelligence community] friend, it began: 'He [Trump] will die in jail.'"

Issuing a threat to the president without a second thought or worry about repercussions demonstrates clear power that most Americans will never realize. However, in Washington, DC, this type of power is a common occurrence, even referenced by top lawmakers. For example, Senator Chuck Schumer (D-NY) told Rachel Maddow that the intelligence community has "six ways from Sunday at getting back at you."

The majority of criticism over the Deep State and their expansive network of intelligence operatives has come from Republicans and conservatives—though a few Democrats have also entered into the mix. For example, while speaking with Sean Hannity of Fox News,

former Congressman Dennis Kucinich (D-OH) expressed concern over the constant leaks, saying: “So we have to be asking, what is the motive of these people? Who’s putting these leaks out? Why doesn’t somebody come forward and make a charge and put their name and reputation behind it, instead of attacking through the media and not substantiating their position?”

Not even Congress can hide from the Deep State intelligence. Dianne Feinstein, a Democrat from California and the Senate Select Intelligence Committee Chairman, accused the CIA for spying on staffers, as well as deleting computer files. Spying on those who make the laws also means looking for ways to blackmail them—not to mention potentially violating what Feinstein calls “the constitutional framework.”

The bureaucracy within the intelligence community—the CIA, the NSA, the DIA, and more—also includes organizations at the cabinet level, like Homeland Security, Energy, State, Treasury, and Justice. Every US Armed Services maintains their own agency for intelligence, as well. Recent estimations say that there are likely more than 1,250 intelligence-related government organizations and nearly 2,000 companies spread across thousands of locations in the country. Add to this nearly a million bureaucrats, military personnel, and “independent contractor” that all receive top-secret clearance.

Of course, some of these are key to maintaining our national security, and are filled with employees that doing their true patriotic duty. However, there are dark shadows within the wider intelligence community, which can pose a significant threat to individual liberties. Some believe that the intelligence community is the Deep State in its entirety. In fact, Mike Lofgren was the first person who actually applied the term “Deep State” to the United States, as a congressional staffer who was given top-secret clearance for nearly thirty years. During that time, he specialized in national security. In his book, *The Deep State: The Fall of the Constitution and the Rise of a Shadow Government*, he says: “The Deep State does not consist of the entire government. It is a hybrid of national security and law enforcement agencies.”

Dirty Dealings

The intelligence community is meant to apply their spying powers towards protecting American citizens and their freedoms from external threats. However, once American citizens themselves become a target, this is a violation of the Fourth Amendment, where the people have the right “to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures.” If the intelligence agencies are watching us for our own good, who is keeping tabs on the intelligence agencies? They operate largely in secrecy, without having to notify Congress of their actions. This has resulted in infractions that exceed far past spying illegally to assassinations, torture, and toppling foreign governments without due process. Can actions like these truly protect the security and liberty of the American people—or could they result in the exact opposite? Below, we’ll look at some examples of the Deep State and their intelligence branch:

- **Jihadists**— To fight communism, Osama bin Laden and other jihadists throughout Afghanistan were trained, funded, and armed by the US intelligence agencies. Later on, these jihadists would become enemies. Since then, this practice has continued, with agencies continuing to support jihadists and al-Qaeda. According to a 2012 memo from the DIA, the Deep State was well aware that al-Qaeda was leading the Syrian rebellion—and they issued their support for the rebellion regardless.



The same document provides a plan to push fundamentalist Islamism throughout Eastern Syria, ultimately creating an Islamic State and revealing one of the Deep State's foreign policies.

- ***Murder and assassination***— Thanks to George W. Bush and his administration, we know that the deliberate assassination of figures who are deemed to be “militants” or “terrorists” can be justified by Islamic terrorism. Because these figures have never been charged for their crimes or convicted in court, this is technically murder.

Murder and assassination have become so commonplace throughout the intelligence community that it often comes up in casual conversation—even jokes. A 2014 video showed a former head of the CIA and NSA joking about how they murder without charges, convictions, or declarations of war. At the 2014 Foreign Affairs Symposium at John Hopkins University, former CIA and NSA head Michael Hayden said, “We kill people based on metadata.”

Today, we all now that the CIA killed thousands of people by using drones and missiles around the world. According to the Obama administration, it is estimated that between 2009-2015, approximately 2,400 people died from a drone strike.

- ***Torture and kidnapping*** — These are both illegal activities, and the Deep State intelligence community should be no exception. The CIA, the DIA, and assorted other intelligence agencies have all confirmed torture methods like beatings and waterboarding, most notably in Iraq and a network of CIA secret prisons. As for kidnapping, they are certainly no stranger here either. In 2003, Egyptian dissident Hassan Mustafa Osama Nasr was kidnapped, shoved into a van, and taken to Egypt for his interrogation and torture. Twenty-three CIA agents were convicted in absentia six years later, in 2009, by an Italian court.

- ***Overthrowing governments*** — Overthrowing governments has been a long-standing part of the CIA's history, particularly when taking action before Congress declares war. The CIA has been instrumental in overthrowing governments in places like the Congo, Iran, and the Dominican Republic—all instances where the involvement of the CIA was globally visible. The total estimated governments that have been overthrown through CIA intervention is seven—but this does not include Deep State operations or government instances of assassination, military involvement, or secretive funding of insurgencies.

- ***False flags*** — There have been cases where the Deep State uses what is called a “false flag” to pursue their objectives. For example, in the 1960s, there is evidence of a proposed scheme to have US agencies carry out a terrorist attack and pin it on Castro and his administration. According to the US Defense Department on Operation Northwoods, these proposed “terrorist attacks” included a bombing, shooting an airliner, or sinking a boat of refugees. Ultimately, this plan was denied by then-President Kennedy, though it is interesting to note that the plan existed in the first place. After all, the American government and the second layer of the Deep State (the Deep State behind the Deep State) were responsible for Castro's rise to power.

- ***Mind control*** — In a supposed attempt to even the playing field with communist warfare advances, the CIA has experimented with mind control and brainwashing. LSD and similar chemicals were used by federal officials in Project MKUltra, along with sexual abuse, torture, and hypnosis. Some experiments were performed on those were unable to consent—like mental institution patients and children.

- ***Drug trafficking*** — Drug trafficking is perhaps the most visible secret that the CIA pretends to keep. Multiple employees, drug lords, and high-ranking officials have said outright that the CIA (along with other intelligence agencies) are actually the masterminds behind the global narcotics business. In fact, the former chief of the DEA, Robert Bonner, once told CBS that they found the CIA in cooperation with Venezuela and importing cocaine into the US. There have also been instances of Mexican officials and figures in the cartel stating that the US is compliant to drugs coming across border, at least to a small degree.

- ***Working with criminals*** —Both the national security and intelligence branches of the Deep State have established long and troublesome histories of working with criminals and supporting their actions. This makes it challenging to find where one starts and the other begins—a common issue with many of the Deep State networks. One example is “Operation Fast and Furious,” in which the ATF allowed illegally purchased guns to be distributed throughout the cartel (a practice called “gunwalking”). The reasoning behind this was to allow the ATF to close in on a target—but the supposed target was actually already part of the FBI’s payroll. It may be that this Deep State operation was largely created to break apart the Second Amendment, used as a demonstration of gun violence and illegal weapons flowing from the United States into Mexico (although they purposely encouraged this).

- ***Media manipulation*** — American citizens have all been victims of propaganda and media manipulation. For example, in the 1950s, Operation Mockingbird (ran by the CIA) was employed specifically to recruit members of the media to the Deep State network. Here, the goal was to use their media connections to spread pro-Deep State propaganda throughout the nation’s news outlets. Documents from Operation Mockingbird include names like Henry Luce, the founder of *Time and Life*, William F. Buckley, founder of *National Review*, and Joseph Harsch of *Christian Science Monitor*.

- ***Mass surveillance*** — Time and time again, whistleblowers have pointed out illegal spying on American citizens by the Deep State intelligence community. One of these key whistleblowers is William Binney, who spent three decades as a senior NSA official before discovering illegal surveillance and resigning his post. He estimates that the NSA has either collected or stored almost 80 percent of all phone calls throughout the United States, and nearly all emails. In an [interview with Frontline](#), when asked why he retired, Binney said:

“Well, I couldn't be an accessory to the violation of the constitutional rights of everybody in the country. I couldn't be an accessory to that, or an accessory to other crimes being committed, like exposing all this data to the FBI. It was acquired without a warrant, you

know. And this is the kind of data that they would use to arrest people, which they did. So I couldn't be a party to that. That's just a total violation of our justice process.”

For decades, the intelligence network ran by agents within the behind-the-scenes Deep State has been operating without concern for the law, accountability, or transparency. Now, its sights are set on the newly elected president and on our nation’s very foundation—the Constitution.

4. The Shadow Behind the Deep State: CFR, Trilaterals, Bilderbergs

Every now and then, globalist and anti-American organizations will provide an inside look into their motives. They are never good.



Although there have been plenty of references within the media to the Deep State and its ultimate goal of bringing down President Trump, there has not been much coverage on the shadow behind the Deep State—the second layer, or the Deep State behind the Deep State.

This network includes the Trilateral Commission, Bilderberg meetings, and the Council on Foreign Relations, among others. Some of these elements are visible and active, even if their significance is rarely emphasized. There are others, however, that are all but invisible in comparison. Two examples of these are the groups Skull and Bones and the Bohemian Grove. All of the elements together show that there is indeed a Deep State behind the Deep State that wields significant power and influence over both our nation and the globe. Slowly, their agenda is also being revealed—to create what they call a “New World Order” as part of a global government. American citizens may not realize that they have the power to put a stop to this.

The Deep State is, in large part, composed of government elements, like bureaucrats and agencies like the NSA, CIA, and more. There is also evidence that some large corporations may also have their own parts to play.

Mie Lofgren, a former member of the congressional staff and among the first to start connecting the dots on the Deep State, says that their network is a tangled conglomerate of both private and public institutions. There are plenty of others who have noticed that elected officials do not always seem to be in charge of their government roles. There are many who are close to the Deep State behind the Deep State who have even said as much publicly.

In his book titled *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, the late Carroll Quigley includes his own findings on the Deep State. Both a Georgetown University professor and a mentor to Bill Clinton, Quigley was close to the Deep State’s center. He calls the organization an “international Anglophile network,” stating that he had been very close to its inner workings for decades.

He also wrote that two-party elections and their ability to change the course of government was a foolish notion. Rather, he argued that both parties should be nearly identical to eliminate radical policy changes. Quigley provided an inside look at the overarching goals of the Deep State, including “to create a world system of financial control in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole.”

According to Quigley, this political system was largely controlled by regular, covert meetings. Some are well-known, like the G20, while others are less visible, like Bilderberg, the World Government Summit, and the Club of Rome. There are almost certainly more still that are completely invisible.

The Deep State behind the Deep State controls a dark web of organizations that are designed to control the numerous branches of their operation. Although they are secretive, there are plenty of websites, reports, and even membership lists that the public can access. There is no argument on whether they exert a massive amount of control in government, intelligence, banking, business, media, and academia—they certainly do. And, their goals are out in the open. Now, American citizens need to ask themselves whether they can recognize and address the danger before we are beyond saving.

Council on Foreign Relations

By acknowledging the existence of an “international Anglophile network,” Professor Quigley exposed significant information about the Deep State within his book. Included are groups like the Council on Foreign Relations (United States) and the Royal Institute of International Affairs (Great Britain).

Founded in 1921, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) was created by global ideologists and mega-bankers whose goal was to involve America within foreign matters. This

happened shortly after the Senate refused to become a member of the League of Nations. The CFR is one of the most powerful Deep State within the Deep State organizations. Bill Clinton and Chelsea Clinton are both members of the CFR—and according to a 2009 speech from Hillary Clinton, the CFR dictates “what we should be doing and how we should think about the future.”



From this, we can imply that the invisible Deep State establishment within the CFR creates governmental policies, while the visible government implements those policies. There is also a CFR wing within the Republican Party, though the establishment Republicans are quick to acknowledge that this is not something that should be publicly advertised if they want to secure voters.

There are members of the CFR throughout both political parties, including Congress and governmental bureaucrats, members of the media, chairmen and CEOs of banks and businesses, Supreme Court justices, and Cabinet secretaries. Their influence even extends to pastors of mega-churches, presidents and vice presidents, foundation members, and leaders within top-ranking universities across the nation. Sadly, there are even conservatives and neoconservatives that are members of the CFR and therefore a part of the Deep State behind the Deep State.

CFR members within the corporate world span pharmaceutical executives, oil and gas, global mega-banks, publishing houses, media, and military-industrial companies. These include the most well-known names in their industry, such as: Bank of America, Citigroup, Credit Suisse, Deutsche Bank, Soros Fund Management, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce; DynCorp, Booz Allen Hamilton Inc., Raytheon Company, Boeing; ExxonMobil, Shell, Chevron, BP; Fox News, Wall Street Journal, New York Post, Time Warner; The Economist, Bloomberg, and many, many more.

The CFR holds less than 5,000 members, but always maintains a core set of leaders who have integral roles to play. Before defecting and becoming a whistleblower, the late US Admiral Chester Ward was a member of the CFR for nearly two decades. In his book titled *Kissin-ger on the Couch*, he asserted that the most powerful group of CFR members consisted of “Wall Street international bankers and their key agents.” According to Ward, these members work towards a shared goal of creating a global banking monopoly in order to distribute power.

There are many members of the CFR who do not adhere to the Deep State globalist agenda—but Ward says that the majority of them share the same globalist viewpoint. His book discusses the foundation of the CFR agenda and ideology, including the inherent danger in their power over the government.

Ward cautions that the CFR ultimately wants to establish a global government, effectively diminishing the independence and power of the United States—a goal which directly clashes with Trump’s campaign to put “America First.”

Foreign Affairs is a magazine that serves as the propaganda arm for the CFR. Articles within the magazine are designed to promote the underlying themes of deception and subversion, which are how the CFR will ultimately accomplish their global government.

The Trilateral Commission

Founded in 1973, the Trilateral Commission was created by David Rockefeller. He also played a significant role within the CFR, as a long-time member and chairman until he passed away in 2017. While all of the Rockefeller’s have played significant roles within the Deep State, David was particularly useful as part of the Trilateral Commission.

Within his memoirs, Zbigniew Brzezinski discussed a “secret cabal” that was working against what was best for the nation and instead looking to form a globalist, “one world” regime. Brzezinski was another key figure within the Trilateral Commission, becoming the director of the organization, an advisor for Rockefeller, and the national security advisor for President Jimmy Carter.

In 1970, Brzezinski wrote extensively about this new world order within his book titled *Between Two Ages: America’s Role in the Technetronic Era*. In it, he argues that the America-dominated world has now become obsolete, calling for a new globalist mindset, praising Marxism, and arguing for both communist and non-communist nations to unite into one government. Rockefeller seemed to latch onto this idea, and the Trilateral Commission was created.

While the CFR has gotten much more coverage than the Trilateral Commission, the former still has a significant impact. Conservatives have long pointed out that the Trilateral

Commission poses great danger to America and the liberties that all American citizens are endowed with. As Senator Barry Goldwater explains in his memoir titled *With No Apologies*: “In my view the Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power — political, monetary, intellectual, and ecclesiastical...What the Trilaterals truly intend is the creation of a worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nation-states involved.” This sentiment has been echoed in other published documents, including a book called *Trilaterals Over Washington*, written by Antony Sutton and Patrick Wood.

Wood describes one of the primary globalist goals of the Trilateral Commission as something called the “New International Economic Order,” which essentially functions as a rebranding of an earlier “technocracy” movement. This would mean that ruling parties are determined by technocrats instead of elected representatives.

As a crucial piece of the Deep State, the Trilateral Commission has played a major role in advancing a steady progression towards globalism, as seen in the European Union (Europe) and NAFTA (North America).

The Bilderberg Meetings

Bilderberg is within the same branches as the CFR and the Trilateral Commission. This annual meeting at the Hotel de Bilderberg, located in the Netherlands, began over half a century ago in 1954. Former Nazi SS member Prince Bernhard is credited with founding these meetings, designed to create a space for casual dialogue.

These meetings include anywhere between 120-150 attendants, with most of them originating from North America and Europe. Every branch of power within the Deep State establishment is represented, with some analysts suggesting that Bilderberg may indeed be a secretive government that controls the entire globe. While this may be true, analyzing the Deep State behind the Deep State gets much more complicated.



According to their website, the 2018 Bilderberg meeting was held in Turin, Italy. No matter where the meeting takes place, there is one common thread among all of the attendants—they are passionately committed to keeping their dedication to globalism. Perhaps not surprisingly, they share an equal commitment to secrecy. Attendees of the Bilderberg meeting include key players in banking, media, intelligence, government, internet, data, and more.

Publicly, the organizers of the Bilderberg meeting portray the annual event as a forum for casual and friendly discussion, in the hopes to support and “foster dialogue between North America and Europe.” The Bilderberg website also states that “There is no detailed agenda, no resolutions are proposed, no votes are taken, and no policy statements are issued.” However, it should be mentioned that many of those who have attended have suggested that this summit deals with much more than an informal discussion.

Prior attendees have said that policies are both discussed and implemented while at Bilderberg, with leaders in politics using the speeches and notes from each meeting as a guideline. In fact, in an interview with EUobserver, a former European Union commissar and former Bilderberg chairman explained that the euro currency was developed within one of their annual meetings. Evidence points to the Bilderberg summit as playing a key role in developing the European Union despite public outcry from their citizens.

This writer had an opportunity to go to Copenhagen, Denmark, in 2014 to cover the Bilderberg summit taking place there for The New American magazine. And outside the confab, which as usual took place at a fancy hotel, Diederik Samsom, a Bilderberg attendee and the leader of the Socialist International-aligned Dutch Labor Party, admitted on camera that he was attending the summit in his official capacity as parliamentary leader. Asked if he was there in an informal capacity, as Bilderberg claimed, Samsom responded: “Well, I’m formal, because being a politician, you’re 24/7, so there’s no way of exiting my role.” By contrast, the Bilderberg website says, “Participants take part in the conference as individuals in their own right.” The comments by the Dutch lawmaker and “sustainability” zealot, however, suggest otherwise.

Although Bilderberg attendees claim that the meeting is an informal event, there have been multiple contradictory statements that suggest otherwise. Rather than a casual meeting between nations, the discussions that take place behind the closed doors of this summit have significant impact on international policies, dictating the development of laws across the globe.

Ultimately, the definitive factor between all Deep State attendees of the Bilderberg meeting is that each of them is devoted to transferring governance away from individual nations and states—and consequently, the citizens within them—and creating a globalist society with one government entity which reigns supreme. Regardless of their political affiliation—even self-proclaimed conservatives and libertarians—anyone who attends this meeting is committed to destroying national independence and spreading globalism.


For example, Denis Healey (former British chancellor of the Exchequer) has given a statement to the UK Guardian that attests to this. In 2001, he said that Bilderberg attendees “felt that a single community throughout the world would be a good thing.”

Many big names in politics and policy creation have attended Bilderberg. For example, before running for president, then-governor of Arkansas Bill Clinton was in attendance;

this would happen years later, with Barack Obama, who experienced the same career trajectory after Bilderberg. Members of executive branch cabinets and top officials within Trump's administration have also attended the summit, including James Mattis, Rick Perry, and Wilbur Ross.

British Prime minister Tony Blair attended Bilderberg while he was a member of Parliament. This has occurred multiple times throughout the globe, leading many to suggest that Bilderberg meetings are ultimately used as a sort of testing ground to vet new leaders on whether they will fall in line with and support the group's globalist regime.

Presidents within the European Union have experienced significant career trajectories after their attendance at a Bilderberg meeting. Keep in mind that these presidents are put into power with little to no input from the citizens whom they govern. In some cases, these appointments appear to make little sense in the larger framework—for example, former Belgian Prime Minister Herman Van Rompuy was given the role of EU president mere days after Bilderberg. In his acceptance speech, he announced the advancement of a new “global governance.”



Prevalence

There are plenty of overlaps in leadership and membership when analyzing the Deep State behind the Deep State. Along with CFR, Trilateral, and Bilderberg, many of these overlaps include the World Affairs Council, the Club of Rome, the Aspen Institute, the World Economic Forum, and more. All of these organizations are deeply embedded within the infrastructure of the Deep State.

There are also plenty of secret societies to consider, too, like the Bohemian Grove and Skull and Bones. Key leaders like Soros, Rockefeller, and the Rothschild family exercise significant influence and control over these organizations, relying on their connections to escape taxation and gather funds to consider pushing their globalist goals.

These are the ones that we know of—there are almost certainly many more that operate purely in the shadows, away from the public light.

Trump is, unfortunately, surrounded by Deep State members within the very constructs of his own administration. Cabinet secretaries are either former or current members of the Trilateral Commission and the CFR; there are also Bilderberg attendees. Still, what is becoming increasingly obvious is that the Deep State does not trust Trump. They may even hate him, which is a good sign. However, this is not to say that change is guaranteed.

In the sections to follow, God-fearing Americans will learn that they do not have to sit back and become victims. There is an opportunity to take our country back, and to deny the globalist agenda from taking over. A key part of this will inevitably be exposing the existence, values, and actions of the Deep State—and each individual and entity that is a part of it. Before sending action through Congress, it is crucial for the American people to educate themselves on the Deep State, organize together as a united front, and finally, have the courage and the drive to act.

5. Funding from Rothschild, Soros, and Rockefeller

Wall Street has a stream of money that is nearly limitless—and they apply that revenue stream to the government. It's capitalism at its worst, providing customized government legislation for a price.




When law enforcement is looking to catch a criminal, they may use the phrase “Follow the money”; it sounds like a common cliché, but more times than not, it works. This phrase can be applied to the Deep State, along with the Deep State within the Deep State—following the money can light the way towards understanding how bureaucrats and intelligence figures work together. Their network depends on money, whether they are using secretive organizations or public ones.

While mainstream media tends to refer to the federal government as the Deep State, there is plenty of evidence to suggest that Wall Street and Big Business also play crucial roles. As mentioned earlier, Mike Lofgren says that the American Deep State is a “hybrid entity,” filled with both “public and private institutions ruling the country.”

The Deep State and Wall Street

According to Lofgren, Wall Street has a crucial role to play within the Deep State—they provide the cash that funds political initiatives and even diversions. If a politician steps out of line from the globalist agenda, those funds are poured into aggressive lawsuits, legal battles, and top-notch lawyers. While he isn't wrong on the role that Wall Street plays, there are other components to consider.

A peer-reviewed Swiss paper published in PLOS ONE journal focused on examining what they call the “network of global corporate control.” The findings were that a miniscule group of companies—largely within the financial and banking industry—had an enormous amount of control within international economies. The study pointed to mega-banks like JP Morgan, Deutsche Bank, Barclays Bank, and more as the core group of controllers.



Many officials have pointed out that those who are elected to govern do not seem to be in charge—Lofgren was far from the first. These include the late Carroll Quigley, who was very close to the center of the Deep State for most of his life. Although he admitted to disagreeing with many of their actions, he also admitted to going along with some of their agenda. In 1966, Quigley published a book titled *Tragedy and Hope: A History of the World in Our Time*, which became an expose on the Deep State.

The insights gained on the inner workings of the Deep State from Quigley are invaluable. In it, he says that they desire “nothing less than to create a world system of financial control in private hands,” a system which would enable each country to be politically controlled, along with “the economy of the world as a whole.” Quigley goes on to explain that global banks are at the heart of this plan.

It’s hard to deny that international banks wield a significant amount of power. These include the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, and the Bank of International Settlements. A small, close-knit group of financial institutions exerts massive control over political systems and the economy. Take for example the Federal Reserve, which maintains a tributary system of owned member-banks. Because federal banks are technically private corporations, they do not have to adhere to governmental laws that other agencies may need to follow—namely, requirements on transparency.

It is impossible to argue that the Federal Reserve exerts incredible control over our national economy. During the last economic crisis, the estimated cost of bailouts required approximately \$23.7 trillion. (The annual GDP of the United States comes in at around \$18 trillion. Apparently, the bailout funds were created out of thin air.) Entities that received a bail out were comprised largely of mega-banks and those within the Federal Reserve.

Some suggest that prices are rigged for assets like gold. Top officials also say that these massive bankers have reached the point of being too big to fail or be prosecuted, meaning that they can freely commit any crimes they choose. As former Attorney General Eric

Holder testified during a Senate committee in 2013: “I am concerned that the size of some of these institutions becomes so large that it does become difficult for us to prosecute them when we are hit with indications that if you do prosecute, if you do bring a criminal charge, it will have a negative impact on the national economy, perhaps even the world economy.”

Deep State Banks Support Globalism and Communism

High-ranking leaders of the Deep State behind the Deep State (an expansive network which utilizes political organizations and central banks to further its agenda) have no qualms about bragging over their power. The late David Rockefeller, who was once a leader in the Deep State and the former CEO of Chase Manhattan, was also a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission. Published in 2002, his autobiography mentions that many suspect his family of being “part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States...conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure.” As he concludes, “If that’s the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.” Rockefeller was a globalist who had no qualms about bragging over his Deep State, “New World Order” agenda.

He also had ties to communism as well, as a *New York Times* piece reported Rockefeller singing Mao Tse-tung’s praises. In the article, he called the communist revolution in China “one of the most important and successful [social experiments] in human history.”

For Rockefeller—who was a key component of the Deep State—success goes hand-in-hand with murder and complete dominance. Whether the Deep State succeeds or fails in creating a globalist existence will likely depend very little on the needs of the lower and middle class.

George Soros, who has given a substantial amount of funds to the Deep State and is tied to the Rothschild family, has made similar comments about China and its communism.



Soros called for China to become a part of the globalist “New World Order” not once, but twice. The second time this happened occurred when he received the Globalist of the Year award from the Canadian International Council. He praised China for their “vigorous economy” and their government that functioned better than the United States. In every corner of the globe, there are high-ranking politicians and officials who all support the progression towards a globalist regime, creating orders like the Union of South American States, the African Union, and the European Union.

Although they are not commonly discussed, the Rothschild family is a supreme group within the Deep State. With more power and money than the average citizen can even comprehend, the Rothschilds have been involved in global finances for over two centuries. Current estimates of the dynasty’s total wealth expand into trillions of dollars.

Centuries of historians have taken note of the Rothschilds and their influence, even tracing their funding to determining the outcome of the Napoleonic wars. Since that time, their wealth and power have continued to grow, expanding from financial services and banking into mining, energy, agriculture, real estate, and more. Despite their attempts to stay relatively hidden and out of the media, their expansive reach makes this impossible.

Evil is Financed through the Deep State and Their Foundations

Tax-exempt foundations are the organization of choice for many globalists, including Soros, the Rockefellers, and the Rothschilds. These foundations allow them to shelter money from taxes and provide the basis for the financial foundation of the Deep State. For example, the Rockefellers maintain a web of foundations, culminating in billions of dollars. These foundations provide financing for other—also tax-exempt—foundations. Soros maintains Open Society Foundations, which provides funding for hundreds of others throughout the globe. The Deep State has provided financial backing for countless others, including the Ford Foundation, the Carnegie Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. They all have their own role to play, each equally as important as the next.

To see how these foundations and their tax-exempt status provide such a keen benefit to the Deep State, let's look at what they do. Foundations under Soros promote abortion, statism, and globalism. For example, some recent leaks show that some of this funding goes to Catholics for Choice (a pro-abortion organization). Similarly, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation funds Planned Parenthood and population control. It also finances building the Common Core standards, pushing towards a globalized education. Foundations through the Rockefellers support environmentalism, feminism, and statism. This is only a small sampling—there are many more.

In a 2014 report by the Senate Environment and Public Works Committee, a wide network of billionaires and the foundations that they maintain was referred to as the “Billionaires Club.” According to the report, this club benefits from a combination of front groups and

tax loopholes, manufacture propaganda through phony science, and create false “grassroots” movements to advance their agenda.

There have been cases where this ultra-rich club provides funding for “scientific” research as well. These findings are promoted by liberal, far-left media outlets that receive a cut of the funds shared by the Billionaires Club. For example, the study showed that the Park Foundation funded a scientific study on fracking—it was then publicized and spread on social media by Park-funded organizations.

The Deep State behind the Deep State is consistently using—and abusing—the advantages that come from tax-exempt foundations. What’s worse is that they have been doing it for years. The Deep State has been using foundations as their monetary foundation since the early 1950s, if not longer. Consider the Select Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations and Comparable Organizations, which was created by Congress to determine whether funds from a foundation were being diverted to promote communism.

The staff director of the committee, Norman Dodd, gave an interview in 1991, in which he recounted a chilling conversation with H. Rowan Gaither (then-president of the Ford foundation). According to Dodd, Gaither mentioned that the policymakers at the Ford Foundation planned to “use our grant-making power to so alter life in the United States, that it can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union.”

Foundations, Wall Street, and the financial backers behind them have been supporting the establishment of communism in America for decades. In fact, financiers from Wall Street were instrumental in creating Russia’s communist regime—not only did they provide the funds necessary to establish communism, but they also profited from it. Antony Sutton, author of the book *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, goes into great detail on the role that Wall Street played in pushing Leon Trotsky into power. In turn, it is also possible to draw a connection between Wall Street financiers and slavery throughout the globe, as the Soviet nation drew strength from their financing and support. More than 100 million citizens were murdered, with billions more being pushed

into slavery. Deep State operatives have issued similar support for China, Cuba, and other communist nations.

Thanks to their never-ending web of networks and organizations—both secret and visible—the Deep State is now closer than ever to accomplishing their goal of creating a new globalist existence. At the same time, they are also inching closer to complete exposure, as the conversation about the Deep State continues to expand and more people start to open their eyes. Should the Deep State accomplish their goals, we can safely say that self-governance and liberty will disappear. With such dire consequences, it is imperative that everyone begins to do their part, educate themselves, begin to investigate, and wake up to the harsh reality of the Deep State.

6. Secret Societies: Skull and Bones, Bohemians, Illuminati

We can trace documentation about various secret societies back to the 1700s. While they may seem like a thing of the past, rest assured that secret societies created to manipulate the government are just as alive and well today.



These secret societies are influential within American politics and events, a fact which has remained true for centuries. Unfortunately, many American citizens do not pay attention to current affairs past what they are shown by the mainstream media. In recent years, however, that trend is changing for the better, as the Deep State continues to peek its head out of the dark recesses of government and bureaucracy. Because of repeated leaks—like email hacks and public statements—we now know that the Deep State is waging a war against our nation, our Constitution, and our president. Many have criticized President Trump, his administration, and his agenda, and many have praised him for “being an outsider.” As the president, he is still an outsider in the sense that he does not belong to these clandestine societies.

There is a Great Awakening rippling throughout the nation, despite the coordinated attacks against Trump to undermine his authority as president. Along with that awakening comes a growing understanding that the media is only showing us what they want us to see. While once deemed part of the imagination of a conspiracy theorist, the concept of a Deep State—or a shadow government operating behind the scenes—has now burst into mainstream consciousness. Polls show that approximately 50 percent of American citizens now believe that this secret collective exists. Even the media has had no choice but to mention “The Deep State.” Our goal is to provide much-needed clarity about the various components of the Deep State, how they function, and why this shadow government is so pivotal within domestic and international policies.

There are two key components of the Deep State that are commonly referenced—the bureaucracy and the intelligence community. (Both are decidedly anti-Trump.) There is another layer to the Deep State that must be explored, however; the Deep State behind the Deep State. This is the collective that is calling the shots, so to speak, which the remainder of the Deep State implements their directives.

The Deep State behind the Deep State is composed of visible and invisible elements. Some of these are semi-secret organizations that we have covered extensively already, like the Bilderberg meetings, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Trilateral Commission, and more. Of course, there are also parts of the Deep State that include secretive

organizations, existing without websites, press releases, or member lists. While they operate in the shadows without recognition, these secret societies have played pivotal roles within the American lawmaking process before “America” even came to be.

The Illuminati

George Washington, our nation’s first president, carried substantial worry over the Illuminati. In a letter to a friend, he said that he did not doubt its existence and spread of influence, calling them “diabolical tenets.” According to various scholars throughout history, the goals of the Illuminati involve disseminating governments and religions of all kinds to create a collective brotherhood.

The Illuminati that Washington referred to was created in Bavaria in 1776. The secret society was founded by Adam Weishaupt, a law professor at Ingolstadt University who was inspired by French philosophers like Rousseau and Voltaire. All of these “philosophers” played significant roles in the French Revolution and expressed decidedly anti-Christian ideals.

The operations of the Illuminati were largely unknown until 1797, when John Robison published his book titled: *Proofs of a Conspiracy Against All the Religions and Governments of Europe Carried on in the Secret Meetings of Freemasons, Illuminati and Reading Societies*. Robison was a professor at a university and a Freemason—according to the National Archives, even George Washington had a copy of his book within his personal library. In his book, Robison gave an account of how the Illuminati infiltrated Europe and tore France apart through the French Revolution. The Freemason’s were also invaded by the Illuminati in an attempt to tear down cultural and societal staples like religion, morality, government, and more.

This secret society gathered influence through infiltrating the European Masonic lodges and by bringing powerful men into their fold. According to scholars, the official disbanding


of the historical version of the Illuminati occurred after Bavarian authorities discovered that the group had ill intentions. Some scholars, however, suggest that this initial disbanding was ineffective—instead, many Illuminati members could have simply gone into hiding to evade Bavarian authorities. Another argument is that they left Bavaria entirely and traveled to various places in Europe.

Regardless, the ideals established by the original group of Illuminati continue on to this day. In fact, Karl Marx used them in his manifesto; the French Revolution applied the hatred of religion and the embracing of brutality. Even today, we can see remnants of the Illuminati in our colleges and universities, with students learning that religion does nothing but soothe the ignorant, and that rights to private property should be stripped down to the bare essentials. As the Illuminati “disbanded” and their ideas began trickling across the continent, this growing shift in consciousness developed into a rejection of morality and traditional religion—more specifically, Christianity.

Skull and Bones: Brotherhood of Death

Secret societies are not easy to track—and this makes them even more difficult to understand. Of course, this is exactly what they want. Aside from looking at the similarities between their values, it can be challenging to see what connects one secret society to the next. However, there is plenty of evidence that secret societies that share similar interests and practices with subversive actions, ideologies, hatred of religion, and occult practices certainly do exist in today’s America.

The Skull and Bones society is one of these, founded in 1832 and housed at Yale University. Its founder, William H. Russell, created the group after returning from Germany, which has led many to believe that the American Skull and Bones could possibly be an extension of either a German or global organization.



Although its existence is commonplace knowledge, some have called it one of the most secretive organizations across the globe. Skull and Bones has brought in plenty of criticism, particularly for their rituals that bear a disturbing resemblance to the occult. These ceremonies are filled with references to death, like lying in a coffin while discussing their sexual proclivities. We know some of this information due to videos of these bizarre ceremonies, all of which occur in “The Tomb.”

ABC News aired a film in 2001 that included members of the society (called Bonesmen) that appear to be engaged in a “mock killing.” Members of Skull and Bones have gone on to become significant players in the American economic and political machines, including Congress, the Supreme Court, Wall Street, and the White House.

Both George W. Bush and John Kerry were once members of Skull and Bones, a fact which got the society a fair amount of attention throughout the 2004 presidential election. When asked to explain their involvement, both candidates only said that it was a secret. Interestingly, this meant that the American voters had to choose between two presidential candidates who both shared the same occult-like experience within a secretive society—and neither of them were willing to discuss what that might mean.

There have been plenty of Bonesmen throughout all levels of government, including high-ranking officials in the Cabinet, the CIA, the Supreme Court, and of course, the president. While not all members of this secret society continue on to these influential roles, there is a significant number of them that do.

Not much is known about the Skull and Bones, other than bits and pieces. For example, their logo is composed of a skull and crossbones, and the number “322” holds some significance. Researchers have undergone numerous investigations, but with little results. However, the most significant investigation comes from Antony Sutton, a historian at Stanford University who wrote *America's Secret Establishment: An Introduction to the Order of Skull and Bones*. He says that the group is part of a larger “global conspiracy for world government,” and that it exists purely for recruiting purposes.

There are still more books authored by Sutton that provide significant exposure to the Deep State and their connections to supporting communism. Along with writing on Skull and Bones, he has also researched and written about Wall Street and the Trilateral Commission, giving unique insight into how the Deep State operates and thrives.

The Bohemian Grove

Similar to Skull and Bones, the Bohemian Grove is a secret society which is known for its influence and its ability to attract future secretaries, bankers, intelligence offices, military leaders, and other key figures of the Deep State. Founded after the Civil War by Henry Harry Edwards, the Bohemian Grove was originally intended to be an organization where journalists, writers, and artists of all kinds could find a sense of community and privacy. Since that time, however, the Bohemian Grove has brought in thousands of the richest and most influential men in the world. They hail from all across the country to meet each year in the California redwood forest. Members can only be male, and must adhere to complete secrecy. Despite this secrecy, every GOP president has been a member of the Bohemian Grove—with Trump as the only exception, of course.

The motto of the Bohemian Grove is “Weaving Spiders Come Not Here,” which is ironic, considering that the club is known for conducting business during their meetings.



Power and influence are certainly present at the Bohemian Grove—but so are occult ceremonies and perverse acts. The members are known to urinate wherever they please, and dance through the forest drunk and naked—all as a representation of their innate freedom. There is a darker side to the Bohemian Grove, however, which falls somewhere along the spectrum ranging from pagan to satanic. Perhaps the most infamous ritual is one which mocks a child sacrifice called the “Cremation of Care.” Men in robes and donned in hoods chant, carry torches, and congregate beneath an owl that some suggest represents Moloch, a demon god that the Canaanites sacrificed babies and children for. A child “effigy” is thrown on the fire as a sacrifice to the owl, all amidst eerie chants and disturbing screeching from the men.


We can thank the dedicated journalist, Alex Jones, for providing us with so much information about the Bohemian Grove. He was able to record the above-described ritual on camera and put it online, providing the public with the first actual piece of footage, proving without a doubt that the occult ceremony exists.

The actual actions of the ceremony are no less strange than the words spoken throughout. In Jones' video (available from multiple streams on YouTube), the speaker can be heard proclaiming the following speech beneath a giant concrete owl:

"The Owl is in his leafy temple. Let all within the grove be reverent before him. Lift up your heads oh ye trees and be lifted up ye everlasting spires, for behold here is Bohemia's shrine, and holy are the pillars of this house. We shall read the sign. Midsummer sets us free! Ye shall burn me once again! Not with these flames! Which hither ye have brought from regions where I reign. Ye fools and priests, I spit upon your fire! O Owl! Prince of all mortal wisdom, Owl of Bohemia, we beseech thee, grant us thy counsel."

Along with video footage, we also have century-old still photographs. While they are blurry, they still stand as evidence that this ritual has been alive and active for at least 100 years.

After filming the Cremation of Care ritual, Jones stopped David Gergen on the street for an interview. Gergen regularly attended the Bohemian Grove, and acted as a head advisor to four presidents, composed of both Democrats and Republicans. Gergen had already admitted to the public that he was a member, and when Jones asked about the Cremation of Care, Gergen quickly shut down. His mood visibly changed, his facial expression darkened, and the interview ended.



We also know that members of the Bohemian Grove were not pleased about Trump's presidential candidacy or his "America First" platform. DCLeaks posted a hacked email from Colin Powell, former Secretary of State which provides unique insight into this. In it, Powell says that he has returned from the Bohemian Grove and states that "Grove attendees know that Trump is a disaster." For American citizens who had long been concerned about government officials attending secret society rituals, this leaked email gave them everything they needed to put Trump into the presidency.

Without a doubt, these secret societies include the most powerful individuals in the nation—and, in many cases, across the world. The societies that intensely hold onto their secretive nature are also those with the most similarities—like the strange rituals and connections to the occult within the Skull and Bones and the Bohemian Grove. Along with the visible and invisible pieces of the Deep State behind the Deep State, there are plenty of other globalists that remain completely behind the scenes. In all likelihood, there are many other societies that we may never know their existence.

Fighting back against the secret societies of the Deep State represents the most classic battle of them all: good versus evil. The goal of the globalists is not to make the world a better place for everyone—it is to manipulate the world to their own personal benefit. They will not measure freedom, good, and prosperity as markers of success.

Along with good versus evil, there are also spiritual implications that cannot be removed from this battle. For those that maintain a moral compass—and particularly for those who hold to the Christian faith—the Deep State globalist campaign is one that holds serious implications for our nations spirituality.

The most powerful weapon that we have in this fight is truth. Exposing the Deep State and all of its branches, networks, organizations, and players is the only way that we can win this war. We must seek to inform, educate, and enlighten those who do not understand the Deep State, or perhaps have not seen their influence. With a united and educated America, we can continue to expose the Deep State; fight for freedom; fight for our God; and ultimately, fight for our country.

Part 2: The Deep State's Plan to Remove Trump from Office

7. The Trump Dossier

The real Russia scandal? [The] Clinton campaign paid for the fake Russia dossier, then lied about it and covered it up.

—Sarah Huckabee Sanders, October 2017

The attempts of the deep state to infuse politics into the bureaucracy of the US government means that organizations like the FBI, the DOJ, and the IRS all agree on the so-called “enemies of the state” who are primed for harassment, tax audits, criminal prosecutions, and investigations (both civil and criminal). Of course, the Deep State would first need a system to gather intelligence, a system that exceeds the CIA and any other organizations meant to gather secret intelligence. This well-oiled system is the National Security Agency, or the NSA.

With the careful eye of the national intelligence director, the NSA has grown and morphed into a huge surveillance entity. Rather than focusing on screening for threats to national security, the NSA has a secondary purpose of watching United States citizens to identify any potential threats to the Deep State and its objectives when it comes to global governance.

In 1976, the Church Committee investigated the US intelligence agencies and found that, at that time, the FBI had already created over half a million files on domestic intelligence. Between the years of 1953 and 1973, there were almost a quarter of a million first-class letters that had been opened by the FBI, and the CIA maintained a digital index that

included the names of close to 1.5 million citizens. In 1967, the NSA had expanded their reach, gathering information for a “watch list” that included peace groups, Black Power groups, and any individual or group that was thought to be involved in activities that may create a civil disturbance or any action that might threaten the United States’ national security.

During the Watergate Committee in June 1973, John Dean released information that President Nixon held a list of “enemies,” or political adversaries. As a result, the people on this list were subsequently targeted and harassed by the administration. This included denial of grants and federal contracts, as well as harassment by the IRS and FBI, leading to potential prosecutions—essentially any federal action that could be thought up and administered to make their lives more difficult or complicated.

When the Patriot Act was passed following the terrorist attacks of 9/11, surveillance agencies gained surprising authority for the gathering of domestic intelligence. Whistleblowers like Edward Snowden and William Binney have revealed that the process of gathering domestic intelligence to surveil US citizens has reached astonishing new levels.

Deep State Surveillance under President Obama

Under the Obama administration, the NSA participated in a massive surveillance operation, taking domestic surveillance to a new level in the United States. Edward Snowden, formerly an employee of the CIA, released thousands of NSA classified records in 2013, sharing them with newspapers across the world: The Guardian (London), the New York Times and the Washington Post (United States), and Der Spiegel (Germany). These stolen documents revealed a program called PRISM, or the NSA’s tapping of nine major internet servers to track domestic communication. These included Facebook, Google, Microsoft, Apple, AOL, SKYPE, YouTube, Paltalk, and Yahoo. In doing so, Snowden effectively opened the door for a wave of disclosures that proved the NSA (and in turn, the Obama administration) was conducting large-scale domestic surveillance.

Section 702 of the US Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) allows for PRISM to monitor online communications without a warrant as long as a meaningful portion of said surveillance is meant to collect information on foreign intelligence. A secondary program underneath the same clause is called “upstream” scanning, which allows the government to search any and all internet-based communications, including chats, emails, and web traffic.³ ACLU attorneys have issued cautions that any emails, messages, or websites sent and visited internationally are almost guaranteed to have been viewed by the NSA. Upon finding a “hit,” NSA agents will store the communication to allow for deeper analysis, and it may even be shared with the FBI to assist in criminal investigations.

Sara Carter, an investigative journalist, published a report in May 2011 that exposed President Obama’s recurrent violations of US privacy defense while reviewing interceptions from overseas (despite having revised the guidelines for electronic surveillance as detailed in Section 702). According to Carter, as many as one out of every 20 “upstream” database searches violated the very safeguards instilled by Obama and his administration.⁵ She also found that illegal “unmasking” of names occurred while searching the database of upstream internet collections.

In her investigation, Carter alleges that campaign officials for Trump were unmasked through this widespread privacy violation, including communications that required a warrant (US Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court) and those that did not (Section 702). This enabled officials within the Obama administration to use FBI and NSA surveillance tools to covertly gather information about the Trump campaign.

NSA whistleblower William Binney, formerly a top code-breaker during the Cold War, has posed that the ultimate goal of the NSA is to gain complete control over US citizens. According to Binney, at least 80 percent of global fiber optic cables are run by the United States, meaning the US can monitor and store global communication. According to Snowden, the NSA maintains a surveillance program that can record every telephone call within any foreign country, including long term recording and playback capabilities (available through a tool called RETRO).

The suggestion here is that the NSA is fully capable of both recording and archiving email and phone conversations, including the ability to return to any recording years later. Historically speaking, the NSA and CIA are prone only to admit to increased surveillance after they have been caught in the act. How can US citizens trust that their online and phone-based communications are truly private? Binney has cautioned that the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court does not give protection from domestic surveillance, suggesting that there have already been between 15-20 trillion violations of the constitution for domestic communication surveillance.

In 2013, the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court issued a secret order that approved surveillance warrants from the FBI and NSA against foreign spies within the US. This is proof that US citizens were submitted to extensive (and secret) surveillance by the government. This order allowed the NSA to receive ongoing, daily data on telephone calls made through Verizon, both domestic and international. Data available to be collected and stored included: call locations, durations, and other identifiers. The actual content of the calls was not covered under the order; however, the aforementioned data was collected for years.

Where this order becomes particularly problematic is with US citizens who communicate with foreign nationals. Under these circumstances, the US government does not need probable cause to surveil a foreign national on the condition that there is a “legitimate purpose” to conduct an investigation.

The Deep State Denies Claims of Surveillance on US Citizens

According to NSA surveillance, the communication of US citizens is routinely captured when speaking with a foreign national. Even with this evidence, the Deep State denies that US citizens are actively under surveillance.

In a 2013 open session of the Senate Intelligence Committee, James Clapper (National Intelligence Director) was asked if there was data being collected on US citizens. He

claimed that “There are cases where they [intelligence officials] could inadvertently, perhaps, collect, but not wittingly.”

Clinton Campaign and the DNC Fund Anti-Trump “Russia Dossier”

The US firm Fusion GPS was hired in 2013 by Paul Singer, a billionaire donor to the GOP. Fusion GPS was hired to research the presidential candidate, Donald Trump. Years prior, Singer had issued sizable donations to the presidential campaigns of Jeb Bush and Marco Rubio. He was also a strong supporter of Paul Ryan, House Speaker, and a conservative media website called the Washington Free Beacon.

In 2011, Fusion GPS was hired by Democrats to do oppositional research on Mitt Romney, the then-presidential candidate for the GOP. In 2015, Fusion GPS was on a retainer with Planned Parenthood to investigate undercover videos (courtesy of the Center for Medical Progress) that allegedly pictured PP officials negotiating with medical researchers over the sale of fetal tissue taken from abortions. The grand jury did not indict PP officials, the producers of the videos (David Daleiden and Sandra Merritt) were charged with criminal felonies for invading privacy and filming without being given permission.

In May of 2016, Senator Ted Cruz dropped out of the race and Trump secured the GOP primary. With enough delegates to win the GOP nomination, Fusion GPS lost their funding, and Singer became a significant donor and supporter of the Trump presidential campaign.

In April of 2016, Fusion GPS was retained to gather information on Trump by Hillary Clinton’s campaign and the DNC through Marc Elias, the lawyer of record for both entities. In November of 2017, Reuters reported that Elias’ firm, Perkins Coie, had paid over a million dollars to Fusion GPS. This money then went to Orbis Business Intelligence. Orbis then hired Christopher Steele, a member of British M16 intelligence with ties to US intelligence. Steele completed the dossier on Trump (called the “Russia dossier”) to counterintelligence officers in the FBI and, allegedly, to intelligence officers in England.

Although Fusion GPS attempted to get the “Russia dossier” published throughout the summer of 2016, their efforts ultimately failed because the information could not be verified. The first to publish the dossier was Mother Jones, in an article titled “A Veteran Spy Has Given the FBI Information Alleging a Russian Operation to Cultivate Donald Trump.” The article was published in October of 2016, just before the November election.

Steele was paid by the FBI to continue gathering intelligence on the link between Trump and Russia. This payment was canceled once it was discovered that information within the “Russia dossier” had been falsified. As a result, Fusion GPS attracted congressional inquiries and media attention, and President Trump declared the dossier to be “fake news.”

In late October of 2016, James Comey (then FBI director) received a letter from Senate Minority Leader Harry Reid, urging him to make the “Russia dossier” public information. According to Senator John McCain, his first knowledge of the dossier was one month later, in November of 2016. McCain first stated that an emissary was given the dossier in an undisclosed airport. Since then, it has been suggested that McCain was personally handed a copy of the dossier by Fusion GPS with the agreement that he would assist in press distribution.

The official story told by McCain details a private meeting with James Comey in December of 2016. Roughly a week before Trump was inaugurated, BuzzFeed published an unredacted version of the dossier, titled “These Reports Allege Trump Has Deep Ties to Russia.” This contained allegations that Trump had been directly supported and groomed by Russia for years prior to his election.

Mainstream Media and The Deep State Partner to Promote “Fake News” from Fusion GPS as the Truth

BuzzFeed published what is now called the “Golden Shower” dossier from Steele, which was published anonymously and included allegations of Trump and his sexual improprieties with Russian prostitutes. Allegedly, this was used as blackmail by the Kremlin, as well as to maintain a connection between the Trump administration and Russian intelligence. Trump later tweeted that it was reminiscent of Nazi Germany, calling it a pile of garbage.

The media reported that Steele, the author of the dossier, ran the Russian surveillance at MI6 and was a respected intelligence veteran. At the same time, publications printed stories that detailed how McCain gave the dossier to Comey after meeting a British senior diplomat who personally knew (and vouched for) Steele.

Even in October 2017, the Deep State and Democratic media were advertising the “Russia dossier” funded by Fusion GPS as the absolute truth. In fact, they claimed that this dossier supported a January 2017 report which asserted that the FBI, CIA, and NSA were all confident that Vladimir Putin had influenced the presidential election; that Russia intentionally set out to stir doubt in the American democratic process while harming Hillary Clinton’s campaign; and that both Putin and the Russian government had a clear and vested interest in seeing president-elect Trump succeed.

The story of the “Russia dossier” was published in October 2017 by the Guardian in London, implying that the ongoing news was under intense (and lengthy) scrutiny. The story was then published by the Washington Post, along with a letter from Perkins Coie admitting that Hillary Clinton had hidden her role in funding the dossier. One year later, neither the Clinton campaign or the DNC had not acknowledged that they potentially spent \$9 million in funding Fusion GPS’s creation and publication of the discredited “Russia dossier” detailing collusion and sexual indiscretions. This was supported by statements from White House Press Secretary Sarah Sanders and Senate Judiciary Committee

Chairman Chuck Grassley, raising question on whether the FBI is truly operating independently of politics.

Once the “Russia dossier” was deemed fraudulent, the story of Russian collusion and a “rigged” election became equally as fraudulent. There were also questions raised as to Clinton’s allegations of Trump being responsible for the Russian hack that was responsible for publishing DNC emails. Other than tarnishing Clinton’s reputation through WikiLeaks, how else could Russia have helped Trump win?

One claim did remain, however—that Trump’s Michigan and Wisconsin-based ads worth approximately \$100,000 were linked to Russia. These states were crucial in ensuring Trump’s electoral win; however, because Hillary’s campaign had spent over \$1 billion in election costs, this point was largely left alone. It seemed as though even the strongest supporters of Hillary Clinton were slowly coming to the conclusion that Clinton lost purely because America did not want her—of course, with exceptions in Democratic states like New York and California.

The Seth Rich Murder Case

The Podesta emails were first published by WikiLeaks in October of 2016, almost simultaneously with the Washington Post and their publication of the infamous “locker room comments” video by Access Hollywood. This coincidence was later used by Hillary supporters as proof that Trump had colluded with Russia. In total, over 57,300 Podesta emails were published by WikiLeaks, with the final segment being released on Election Day. Democrats argued that Russians had used Guccifer 2.0 to access Podesta’s emails as part of their misinformation campaign.

Although there were significant efforts to prove the identity of Guccifer 2.0, the Democrats never did succeed in unveiling the man (or the woman) behind the digital mask. It has also never been proven that Guccifer 2.0 was the one responsible for stealing the emails from

Podesta and the DNC that were published by WikiLeaks. In fact, it has been suggested that the real source of the leak was Seth Rich, a DNC employee (and vocal supporter of Bernie Sanders) who was fatally shot in July of 2016, a case which remains unsolved.

In Dutch interview broadcast in August of 2016, Julian Assange referred to Seth Rich and his murder without provocation, asserting that the sources of his leaks would be concerned. Purportedly, the only reason for Assange to bring up Seth Rich when discussing the types of risks that leakers take would be that Rich was actually the source of the DNC and Podesta emails.

WikiLeaks offered a reward of \$20,000 for any information that would lead to a conviction in Rich's murder case, further muddying the waters and supporting claims that Rich was, in fact, the leaker of the DNC and Podesta emails.

Cyber Experts Label WikiLeaks Emails an Inside Job, Not a Russian Hack

In a report published by Nation, a left-leaning organization, former NSA agents asserted that the emails were leaked directly from the inside—it had to have been someone who was granted access to the DNC computer system.

Similarly, the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity (VIPS) conducted their own investigation and came to the same conclusion—it was an inside job, performed somewhere on the East coast, and not done by the Russians. Founded in 2003, VIPS is composed of former US intelligence officers from the CIA, FBI, and NSA.

After concluding their investigation, VIPS requested that President Obama disclose any evidence that would show that the DNC data was extracted by Russia. Although the intelligence community assessment showed that they were highly confident that Russia was connected, Obama ultimately determined that the connection was inconclusive. For VIPS, this directly supported their claim that a DNC insider was responsible for the leaks.

Reliance of the FBI on the Discredited “Russia Dossier” May Threaten Prosecution of Manafort FBI

To further support the claim that the investigation into Russian collusion was one-sided, approximately half of the attorneys hired by Special Counselor Robert Mueller hired were either pro-Clinton or pro-Obama. This gives probable cause as to why the following evidence listed in the next chapter went ignored, along with the Uranium One scandal, the Democratic funding of Fusion GPS and their discredited “Russia dossier,” and ongoing allegations that the Clinton Foundation has always operated as a large conspiracy with criminal intentions and Russian ties.

A CNN article published in September of 2017 reported that Manafort was under electronic surveillance before the election and after through a warrant issued by the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance court. Unnamed sources suggested that this information came from an illegal leak potentially traceable to the FBI, to Mueller, or both. Reportedly, this secretive warrant was issued as early as 2014, centering on consulting work with Manafort and Ukraine. Ultimately, however, these unnamed sources deemed the evidence as inconclusive.

In October of 2017, Manafort received money laundering and tax evasion charges for financial transactions with Ukraine between 2006-2007. The indictment handed down by Mueller did not mention the Trump campaign and collusion with Russia—but Manafort’s work with Ukraine had been discontinued for two years before managing Trump’s campaign.

Uncertain still is whether Mueller employed the “Russia dossier” created by Fusion GPS to warrant FISA surveillance. In fact, he deftly avoided direct questions on the subject during a House Judiciary Committee hearing in December of 2017.

Based on a doctrine created by the Supreme Court to be applied to illegal search-and-seizure cases, the “fruit of the poisonous tree” dictates that either the FBI or Mueller (or both, potentially) compromised their investigation by using the discredited Fusion GPS “Russia dossier” to warrant electronic surveillance granted by FISA.

8. The Truth about “Russian Collusion”

Of the 28 US, European and Russian companies that participated in Skolkovo, 17 of them were Clinton Foundation donors.

—Peter Schweizer, The New York Post, July 2016

The underlying truth in the “Russian collusion” case starts with Uranium One, a company which former president Bill Clinton helped establish along with Frank Giustra, a Canadian entrepreneur. In 2004-2005, both Giustra and Clinton saw an opportunity to win the uranium market in Kazakhstan. The story ends with the receipt of a \$500,000 payment for a speech given by Bill Clinton in Moscow. This speaking fee was paid for by a company called Renaissance Capital, which has close ties to Vladimir Putin and former Russian intelligence officers.

This chapter seeks to show that, although US investigators have not found evidence of Russian collusion with the 2016 presidential election, there is plenty of actual evidence that Democrats have been involved in their own forms of Russian collusion. Even so, the Deep State controls the mainstream media—this is a narrative that we will never see. Both the Clintons and the Democrats have continued to avoid scrutiny, while Special Counselor Mueller continues to investigate leaks. It’s easy to connect the dots and see that the ultimate end-goal is to hold President Trump against criminal charges.

Curing HIV/AIDS in Kazakhstan

Uranium One began in 2004 as UrAsia Energy Ltd. by Frank Giustra. In 2005, Bill Clinton and Frank Giustra met in Kazakhstan in, reportedly, what was a chance encounter.

Clinton's stated goal was to introduce a Clinton Foundation initiative to provide low-cost medication for HIV patients. However, at that time, Kazakhstan had only 1,500 reported cases of HIV, and the generic drugs provided by the Clinton foundation were ultimately found to be ineffective. Of course, it's worth mentioning that Kazakhstan has approximately 12 percent of the entire globe's uranium. As mining capabilities expanded, Kazakhstan became the world leader in uranium production. In 2015-2016, they produced as much as 39 percent of the entire market.

Although UrAsia was a fledgling start-up at the time, Giustra managed to talk the ruling despot (Nursultan Nazarbayev) into giving the company a uranium deal. Since then, it has been revealed by leading officials in Kazatomprom, the governmental agency that manages the country's uranium and nuclear energy, that Hillary Clinton had a hand in this deal, pressuring Kazakh officials to give UrAsia the uranium rights.

As reported by the New York Times, when the contract with Kazakhstan was final, Giustra donated over \$31 million to assist the Clinton Foundation with their battle against HIV/AIDS. This remained a secret until 2008, and reportedly established a spot among the Clinton's inner circle.

What this ultimately suggests is that the arrival of Bill Clinton and Frank Giustra in Kazakhstan was anything but a coincidence.

Russian Spies Are Sent to the United States to Bribe the Clintons

The fact that Kazakhstan gave Bill Clinton and Frank Giustra the uranium contract was a moment that, arguably, Russia was less than happy with. Moukhtar Dzhakishev, president of local governmental agency Kazatomprom, was arrested by Russia in 2009 on counts of stealing uranium, embezzling shares in mines, money laundering, and taking bribes. This earned him a 14-year prison sentence. Although Dzhakishev transformed the agency into a global power, he had allowed Clinton and Giustra to take the uranium mines that Putin wanted for himself.

Ultimately, Putin decided to have Rosatom, an energy company controlled by the Russian government, acquire Uranium One. To eventually bribe the Clintons to let Uranium One be acquired, he began with creating an espionage operation in the United States. First, as a subsidiary of Rosatom, the trucking company Tenex was already in operation through Tenam USA throughout Bethesda, Maryland. Tenem USA was headed by Vadim Mikerin, a Russian official working within Rosatom.

While solidifying contracts for US-based companies to purchase uranium from Russia, Mikerin defrauded them into paying inflated prices, with excess income filtered through secret bank accounts (Latvia, Cyprus, Switzerland, and the Seychelles Islands) and shell companies. Not only did these inflated payments put more money into the pockets of Kremlin officials throughout the US and Russia, but they also left US-based companies vulnerable to blackmail by Russia.

A second part of this plan to acquire Uranium One came through Mikerin hiring a Russian associate as a consultant (who was, incidentally, connected to organized crime groups in Russia). Upon discovering that Mikerin was extorting US-based companies, he exposed the scheme to the FBI. Rather than be held to legal prosecution, this consultant was instead hired as a confidential source of racketeering evidence.

The investigation by the FBI into this extortion and bribery was headquartered in Maryland, and then FBI director Robert Mueller assigned control to the then US attorney in Maryland, Rod J. Rosenstein. (Years later, the tables would turn as Rosenstein would appoint Robert Mueller as the special counselor who would investigate Trump.)

The FBI—along with CS-1 assistance—intercepted emails and secretly recorded evidence to show that Tenam violated the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act through bribes and kickbacks. At the same time, millions of dollars were routed to the US by Russian nuclear officials for the Clinton Foundation. Interestingly, this also occurred while Secretary Hillary Clinton was on the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS).

CFIUS operates from the Treasury as an interagency committee. Their vote would be the deciding one to allow Rosatom to acquire Uranium One. Although the FBI had been investigating Tenam USA and the Russian spy network since 2009, CFIUS was never given this information when they voted on the acquisition in 2010 and 2013.

Both Rosenstein and Mueller played a role in keeping the Russian bribery scheme quiet—which should be more than enough evidence to disqualify the investigation into President Trump. Both men knew that CFIUS would not have allowed Rosatom to purchase Uranium One if there had been full, public disclosure of the Russian bribery and extortion. Should an investigation be opened into Uranium One, Mueller would surely face significant pressure to abandon his post as a special counselor within the Russian collusion investigation.

Again, this instance demonstrates how both Rosenstein and Mueller play a role within the Deep State. There is a long list of instances in which Lynch, Comey, Mueller, and Holder have all conspired to end investigations that carried potential for criminal charges against Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton, and even Barack Obama. The impact of DOJ corruption could clearly be heard at every turn in the Trump campaign, with posters and shouts of “Drain the swamp!” and “Lock her up!”

Uranium One is Under Russian Control

WikiLeaks released a State Department memo from June of 2009, confirming that Uranium One purchased 50 percent of a Kazakhstan uranium holding corporation. The state nuclear company, Kazatomprom, held ownership of the other half. CFIUS voted to allow Rosatom to acquire 51 percent of Uranium One in October of 2010 (recall that Secretary Hillary Clinton and Attorney Eric Holder were both committee members at the time).

Clinton says she was not involved and did not vote—but according to the records, she was in contact with the State Department representative who gave her proxy vote. In exchange for a position on the Center for American Progress (owned by John Podesta), Fernandez promised to be loyal to Clinton.

Rosatom acquired Uranium One in its entirety in 2013 through a subsidiary called ARMZ Uranium Holding. Just as before, CFIUS approved the deal through a vote, essentially giving Russia the blessing of US government to manage one-fifth of all US uranium production.

A New York Times article reported that investors in Uranium One and UrAsia donated over \$8 million to the Clinton Foundation. By 2013, when the Russians had complete ownership of Uranium One, company shareholders had contributed a reported \$145 million.

During 2010-2013, then FBI director Robert Mueller did not investigate the payments made to the Clinton Foundation. By all appearances, if Secretary Hillary Clinton allowed CFIUS approval of Uranium One's acquisition by Russian-owned corporation Rosatom, those millions of dollars in donations continued to ebb in.

A crucial caveat used by the Clintons is that the deal with Uranium One had been the subject of multiple investigations—and that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) prohibited the company from shipping any uranium from the US overseas.

Investigative reporters John Solomon and Alison Spann published a story in November 2017 that revealed NRC memos. Published in the Hill, these memos proved that the NRC had actually approved shipment of yellowcake uranium (used to create nuclear fuel and weapons) from US-based, Russian-owned mines into Canada. Some of that uranium was shipped to Europe under the Obama administration's approval.

Although the NRC claims that these shipments were limited to the years between 2012-2014, the commission certainly amended an existing import license to RSB Logistics Services Inc. Based out of Kentucky, this trucking firm added Uranium One to the list of clients that were approved for goods transfer into Canada. This amendment was kept hidden from Congress, sparking questions on whether third-party arrangements through the Russian bribery scheme may have circumvented the safety reassurances by the NRC.

With CIFUS allowing Rosatom to acquire complete control over Uranium One, Putin not only gained back the Kazakhstan uranium rights, but also the ability to transport production overseas. In court and in interviews, the FBI claimed that they had enough evidence by 2010 to prove that officials within Rosatom (or connected to it) were engaged in a worldwide bribery, kickbacks, and money laundering scheme.

Uranium is Delivered to Russia Secretively, by Mueller, for Hillary

Deputy Attorney General Rod J. Rosenstein appointed Robert Mueller as the special counsel in the Russian collusion investigation in May 2017. On that very same day, Julian Assange referenced a 2009 State Department cable (released by WikiLeaks) that demonstrated Hillary Clinton had ordered Mueller to deliver a stolen sample of highly enriched uranium (HEU) to Russia.

The reasons behind this secretive transfer of uranium are unclear. There are other WikiLeaks cables that show that Uranium One transactions with Kazakhstan have been recorded since as far back as 2008.

Although Clinton supporters insist that this secretive mission to Russia was not connected to Uranium One—or the position held by Hillary Clinton in CFIUS—there are plenty of reasons to conduct an extensive investigation. (Perhaps the biggest is that the CFIUS votes gave Putin a 20 percent share in all of America’s uranium.) Evidence suggests that Mueller ignored Uranium One transactions that were suspicious at best, and potentially criminal at worst.

As reported by the Associated Press, the uranium sample was weapons grade, but too small to accurately pinpoint its origin. These reports were published by RIA-Novosti and ITAR-Tass, two Russian news outlets.

Since Russia already had samples of the stolen HEU in 2006, there doesn’t seem to be much sense in Robert Mueller participating in a secretive, tarmac mission to bring a US sample to Moscow. The meaning of that secret trip to Russia—and Hillary’s intention—has never been revealed.

Podesta Group, DC Lobbyist for Russian Bank Accused in Ukraine of Terrorist Ties

Although mainstream media falls to pieces over the alleged “Russian collusion” with Manafort and Flynn, they completely forget to highlight the ties that Tony Podesta has to Uranium One and the largest state-run bank in Moscow, Sberbank. As the brother of John Podesta, the chairman of Hillary’s 2016 campaign, it’s important to note that this means the Hillary campaign had ties to Putin and even international terrorism.

Between 2010 and 2015, the Podesta Group received \$630,000 to represent Uranium One. (Don’t forget that this Russian-controlled company maintains close financial connections to the Clinton Foundation, and controls as much as 20 percent of all uranium produced in

the US.) Interestingly, as exposed by the International Consortium of Investigative Journalists, it was revealed that Sberbank employs the Podesta Group as a registered Washington lobbyist to improve its banking, trade, and foreign relations.

Although Sberbank is officially listed as a private institution, the majority stockholder is Russia's Central Bank. In functional terms, this makes Sberbank an extension of the Russian government. According to John R. Schindler, former National Security Agency analyst and counterintelligence officer, Sberbank employs a quarter of a million people and controls almost 30 percent of the country's banking assets. Additionally, both funds shifted in and out of Sberbank and their international offices support secret intelligence operations. There are Sberbank offices in nearly two dozen countries across the world. The bank also has a close relationship with Russia's domestic intelligence agency, the Federal Security Service (FSB).

As reported by the Moscow Times, Ukraine began criminal proceedings against 14 banks (including Sberbank) on the suspicion that they were funding terrorism. This 2014 investigation proved that Sberbank had given millions of dollars to separatists fighting within Eastern Ukraine. According to Schindler, Sberbank also maintains ties to companies utilized by Russia's inner government circle to push state resources towards private investments.

As reported by Politico, the Podesta Group was a registered lobbyist in March 2016 for a subsidiary of Sberbank, and sought relief in diminishing US sanctions against Russia as punishment for the conflict in Ukraine.

Skolkovo, the "Russia Reset," and the Transfer of US Military Technology

The Panama Papers implicated John Podesta, the manager of Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign, as part of the money-laundering operations conducted by the Clinton Foundation. These operations included the receipt of money from Russia that was likely an exchange for advanced US military technology. This is called the "Russian reset"

strategy, which began in 2009 with President Obama's appointment of Secretary Clinton as the American head of a US Russia Bilateral Presidential Commission.

This "Russian reset" initiative was designed to find technology transfers between the US and Russia that may prompt US investors to provide financial support for a Russian investment fund called Rusnano. Created by Putin in 2007, this Russian government fund was part of the Skolkovo Innovation Center, which was designed to mimic the US-based Silicon Valley. Big-name tech firms like Google, Cisco, and Intel all made sizeable contributions. In fact, by 2012, the vice president of the Skolkovo Foundation, Conor Lenihan, reported that 60 percent of their backers had also donated tens of millions of dollars in financial support for either the Clinton Foundation or speaking events for Bill Clinton.

Putin decided to place Viktor Vekselberg, a Russian oligarch and multibillionaire, at the head of Skolkovo. Shortly thereafter, emails from the State Department show that an unnamed employee of the Clinton Foundation State Department began pressuring for the approval of a trip to Russia. (Bill Clinton would meet with Vekselberg and other investors.) In total, the Renova group (owned by Vekselberg) gave between \$50,000 and \$100,000 to the Clinton Foundation.

In 2012, it was concluded by the US Army Foreign Military Studies program at Fort Leavenworth that Skolkovo was designed to bring global technology to Russia, including biomedicine, nuclear, energy, satellite, and space technology. In fact, under the Obama administration, the US government transferred information on the creation of a hypersonic cruise missile engine (which was highly classified). The assumption by many—including Steve Bannon and Peter Schweizer—is that Skolkovo and the "Russian reset" was intentionally designed to transfer military technology from the US into Russia.

Podesta is Paid Off by Russia in a Massive, International Money Laundering Tactic

While acting as advisor to Hillary Clinton on State Department policies in June and July 2011, John Podesta became a board member of three connected companies. These were Joule Unlimited, Joule Global Holdings NV, and Joule Global Stichting, based in Massachusetts and the Netherlands, respectively.

According to the report titled “From Russia with Money” published by the Government Accountability Institute, this family of companies was created to develop solar energy-based technology. Of the three, Joule Global Stichting seems to be the controlling company. Victor Vekselberg, president of the Skolkovo Foundation, invested multiple millions of dollars into Joule Unlimited (a small energy-based company headquartered in Massachusetts and owned by Joule Global Holdings NV). His investment then traveled from Rusnano to Renova in a money-laundering trail.

The process of tracking money laundering from one country to the next can be complicated—but most importantly, a mere two months after Podesta became a board member at Joule Unlimited, Rusnano announced a plan to invest \$35 million in the company.

According to federal tax records from 2013, Podesta was paid \$87,000 in consulting fees from the Wyss Foundation. The foundation is controlled by Swiss billionaire Hansjörg Wyss, who contributed between \$1 and \$5 million to the Clinton campaign. Collectively, Vekselberg, the Renova Group, and the Skolkovo Foundation create a circle of connections to Clinton, either through the Clinton Foundation or the Clinton Global Initiative.

Transfers to the Clinton Foundation made by Vekselberg were apparently made by Metcombank, starting in their Moscow branch, traveling to Deutsche Bank and Trust Company Americas, and finally landing into a private account in an NYC branch of Bank of America. Interestingly, this branch is operated by the Clinton Foundation.

In August of 2016, a Breitbart report was coauthored by Bannon and Schweizer on Podesta and his connection to Joule, titled “Report: Hillary Clinton’s Campaign Manager John Podesta Sat on Board of Company That Bagged \$35 Million from Putin-Connected Russian

Government Fund.” They posited that from 2010-2013, the Center for American Progress (Podesta’s think tank) received approximately \$5 million from the Sea Change Foundation. Along with Klein Lt. and Troika Dialog Ltd., the Sea Change Foundation is connected to a number of organizations that were named within the Panama Papers.

This is backed by the Government Accountability Institute report, which connects funding for Sea Change Foundation to Klein Ltd. and Troika Ltd., both entities that are tied to Putin and Russia. The report also connects Ruben Vardanyan, a key oligarch in Russia, to John Podesta, as they both served as board members at the same time. Given this, it’s no wonder that Bannon and Schweizer call for answers and transparency as to the nature of the Russian connections between Clinton, the State Department, and her campaign manager, John Podesata.

Podesta is Paid Off in Stock Options from Russian Money Laundering

For his work with the Board of Directors, Podesta was given 100,000 stock options at Joule Unlimited as partial compensation. (In a release by WikiLeaks, this is confirmed in a 2014 email conversation from Mark Solakian, the vice president and general counsel of the company.) At the time of the email, Podesta had used 75,000 out of the 100,000—but had transferred them to Leonidio Holdings LLC, a private company in Salt Lake City, Utah. Presumably (and as confirmed in Solakian’s email), this transfer was done in an effort to hide his investment from financial statements.

Soon afterwards, the address for Leonidio Holdings LLC was transferred to the address of a financial planning company in California ran by Megan Rouse, Podesta’s daughter. Transferring his shares of Joule stock into an account registered to his daughter appears to be a form of asset structuring on Podesta’s part. This could shelter his stocks from being publicly disclosed, or help avoid certain tax implications.

In the afore-mentioned email, Podesta clearly confirmed the transfer of approximately 33,600 shares of Joule Unlimited (split between Series C preferred and Series C-II

preferred). After being transferred to Leonidio Holdings LLC, they were sent to the address listed for Megan Rouse Financial Planning.

Manafort and Podesta Group Are Contracted by the Same Ukrainian Group

While investigating Tony Podesta, Robert Mueller found that the Podesta Group and Paul Manafort were both contracted by the European Center for a Modern Ukraine (ECMU).

As payment for a two-year contract, the ECMU sent \$900,000 to the Podesta Group in 2013. (Although Reuters published this report, the mainstream media largely ignored it in the wake of the Manafort debate.) According to the Reuters report, the Podesta Group was contracted to use their contacts in the Democratic congress to help improve the Kiev government's reputation in the US (under then-president Yanukovych).

In 2014, Putin helped Yanukovych escape violent protests and threats to his office. Since then, he has remained in Russia. Interestingly, the ECMU was hired by Ukraine's Party of Regions (Yanukovych's political party) to help reposition their image into a legitimate, law-abiding organization in 2007.

Despite the supposed documentation of approximately 22 undisclosed cash payments, those who oppose Manafort have never offered sufficient proof that he received over \$12 million from Yanukovych's party. Still, reporters from the New York Times posited that Manafort had secretive connections to Putin, with financing through Ukraine.

According to the narrative established by the Democratic Party, Manafort was never registered as a foreign agent through the US Justice Department. (This would have only been a requirement if his contract was with the Ukrainian government, not a political party.) The narrative goes on to say that Manafort moved his close connection with

Yanukovich (and by extension, Putin) towards the Trump campaign. We now know that Justice Department disclosures were filed by the Podesta Group—but this only happened after Manafort and ECMU were in the media headlines.

The supposition by the Democratic Party and their ongoing narrative is that this close tie to Russia helped Manafort and the Trump campaign divert hacked Clinton emails, exposing efforts to tamper with the Democratic primaries and put Senator Bernie Sanders at a disadvantage. Should the Podesta Group have closer ties to Russia, however, this narrative falls apart.

As reported by CNN in August 2016, a statement issued by the Podesta Group acknowledged the retainer of Caplin & Drysdale, a law firm based in Washington. The purpose of this retainer was to evaluate whether the Podesta Group was misled by the ECMU. According to their statement, should it be proven that the ECMU—or any other individuals—had ties to a foreign government or political party, the Podesta Group may take legal action.

9. Mainstream Media Attack Trump

Protesting has become a profession now. . . . They have every right to do that, don't get me wrong. . . . [But] this has become a very paid, "AstroTurf"-type movement.

—Sean Spicer, February 2017

The manipulation of mainstream media by the hard left shows a demonstrated favoritism to massive, overreaching government regulation, including culture, economy, and trade. Both the Deep State and the extreme left share this purview, hoping to create a global and governmental “handout” state, controlling its people from their first breath to their last.

The New York Times, the Washington Post, and the Wall Street Journal all have editors who support socialist policies so commonly promoted by the Democratic Party for decades. Since the 1960s—and perhaps even the Depression, in the 1930s—these views have included free trade agreements and lax immigration policies, including open borders.

Recently, experienced members of the political hard left have adopted a new goal, along with the help of massive funding from foundations, millionaires, and billionaires. Along with a biased viewing of the news, these hard-leftists political members are trying to discredit conservative and libertarian members as extremists or conspiracy theorists. The end-goal—of which we are in the final stages—will be a state that does not tolerate disagreement or religious freedom. Instead, this totalitarian state believes first and foremost in political correctness, particularly when it comes to identity and LGBT issues.

An example of this one-sided state of being comes from Media Matters, an organization ran by David Brock. As an advocate for LGBT issues, Brock (formerly conservative) is now on the hard left, and seeks to eliminate conservative and libertarian voices in all media forms, primarily social media and blogs. Media Matters—and groups like it—want to ensure that conservative and libertarian points of view are not only ignored, but that they are discredited.

Once Trump was announced as the winner of the presidential election, Brock announced that Media Matters would be the leader in a movement to defy his presidential rule. Today, Brock aims to provoke, organize, and ultimately weaponize the liberal bias in the media and local communities. His stance is poised to completely eliminate both libertarians and conservatives from the US.

Media Matters and David Brock Wage War Against Trump

In 2017, Brock published a briefing book to attract donors for his “Media Matters for America 2020 Plan.” This book details what he believes to be the inherent challenges of the right-wing and their well-funded media outlets. In it, he also states that his core mission is to tear down what he calls “conservative disinformation.”

He was one of the first to link the terms “conservative disinformation” and “fake news” together to try and create an ongoing narrative against media, reporters, and pundits with conservative views.

Brock attacks the Media Research Center for using their \$18 million annual budget to push what he calls “disinformation” and an ongoing myth about a national media that is liberally biased. Breitbart is criticized in a similar fashion for receiving funding from billionaires connected to the Trump administration. In these sections, he creates and uses the term “alt-right” to attack conservatives and as a synonymous descriptor for white nationalism, misogyny, and anti-Semitism.

Because of Brock and his use of “alt-right,” the narrative is now becoming that any conservative figure in the media that does not adhere to a Democratic point of view on current events is suddenly seen as an anti-Semite, a white nationalist, or a misogynist. Based on Brock’s actions, there is zero doubt that Facebook and Google are allies in promoting the false narrative of “fake news” and “misinformation,” ultimately attacking conservative and libertarian views online.

According to his briefing book, *Media Matters* aims to accomplish the following throughout Trump’s presidency:

- Recurring propagandists and mis-informers in social media and high government positions are going to be exposed.
- Platforms like Facebook and Google (along with other social media and internet outlets) will not support, endorse, or host fake news sites.
- Campaigns of harassment (often propelled through social media) from the alt-right will be stopped and silenced.

Less than a week after the election, Google announced that they would begin banning websites from their online advertising service as a punishment for promoting “fake news.”

On that same day, Facebook altered its Audience Network policy to say that their ads would not be shown on fake news sites (along with sites that show misleading or legal content).

In 2017, Google reported that 200 publishers had been removed from AdSense after the new policy took effect. While Brock states that Google took a significant amount of time and effort, he is also quick to say that Mark Zuckerberg (Facebook) was difficult to convince.

As a result, Media Matters pressured Facebook to acknowledge that fake news is an issue, that it has dire consequences, and that action must be taken. Their campaign was successful, and Media Matters got both of their requests granted.

Fox News, MSNBC, and CNN Reporters Pushed Out by Soros

George Soros was among the first to employ economic power in order to push libertarian and conservative figures out of the news stream. Hacked documents (2,500 of them, to be exact) from his Open Society Foundation prove that the organization was funding campaigns against Glenn Beck (Fox News), Pat Buchanan (MSNBC), and Lou Dobbs (CNN).

Soros (through his Democracy Fund and its head, Bill Vandenberg) funded a \$600,000 grant to Color of Change in the hopes that the organization would help to keep media companies accountable for their actions. Color of Change is a progressive nonprofit that advocates for African American issues, and has over a million members. According to their website, Color of Change defines themselves as working to “move decision-makers in corporations and government to create a more human and less hostile world for Black people in America.”

In a 2012 memo announcing the Color of Change grant, Vandenberg revealed what many conservatives and libertarians already knew—that Soros was actively funding leftist

activists to launch campaigns against long-standing cable news figures like Beck, Buchanan, and Dobbs.

When Glenn Beck was fired from Fox News, the liberal media reported that his firing was due to an aggressive campaign, resulting in losing nearly 400 of advertisers. Perhaps not surprisingly, missing from this report was the fact that Soros was funding an organized campaign against Beck. According to Bill Meyers, PBS host, Fox News fired Beck because he refused to stop criticizing and going after Soros during his show.

In 2012, MSNBC decided to separate from Pat Buchanan after working together for a decade, supposedly due to contention over his book, *Suicide of a Superpower: Will America Survive to 2025?*

The American Conservative published a piece called “Blacklisted, but Not Beaten” in which Buchanan confirmed that Color of Change was pushing for his departure almost immediately after *Suicide of a Superpower* was published. Of particular contention was the fourth chapter, titled “The End of White America,” which was highlighted by Color of Change as an example of white supremacy. According to Buchanan, his defense is that: “America is Balkanizing, breaking down along the lines of religion, race, ethnicity, culture, and ideology and that Western peoples are facing demographic death by century’s end.” Buchanan also goes on to bemoan his lack of free speech, pointing to Color of Change for policing his opinion and labeling him as a racist in order to silence him.

In a statement released by Color of Change, the nonprofit described the removal of Glenn Beck from Fox News as a success in their attempts to hold media outlets accountable for racist or toxic information. They also said that the decision to remove Beck from the television was made in 2008 after an off-air comment from Beck was released, calling President Obama a racist who hates white people.

The Color of Change campaign against Beck involved sending petitions with over 285,000 signatures to some of his largest advertisers, such as Sprint, CVS, Best Buy, and Walmart. These petitions stated that continued funding of Beck and his show was synonymous with racism and divisiveness. Media Matters was brought in to help with the campaign, including MoveOn, Jewish Funds for Justice, and CREDO Action. When petitions were initially unsuccessful, Color of Change members took to social media and phone calls to get their message across.

By funding various Hispanic advocacy groups, Soros is largely credited in pushing CNN to cancel Lou Dobbs Tonight, an hour-long program featuring Lou Dobbs. This can be seen in an Open Society Foundation summary of the Democracy and Power Fund from 2011-2012, including groups like Citizen Engagement Lab, Presenté, New Organizing Institute, and Voto Latino.

When Dobbs announced that he was leaving CNN, the founder of Presenté, Roberto Lovato, issued a statement applauding his departure, accusing Dobbs of “spreading lies and conspiracy theories about immigrants and Latinos.”

Amidst sexual harassment and misconduct charges against Bill O’Reilly, Media Matters arranged a sponsorship boycott similar to the campaign against Glenn Beck. The mainstream media also played a role, reporting that 21st Century Fox (Fox News’s parent company) had paid five women approximately \$13 million after they agreed not to seek litigation or speak about their accusations. Media Matters published a list of advertisers that had pulled their spots from The O’Reilly Factor, further exemplifying the economic impact of the campaign.

Along with these calculated campaigns against CNN, MSNBC, and Fox News—arguably, a campaign in itself against the 24/7 news cycle—the mainstream media is putting significant effort into breaking down Trump. News outlets maintain a nearly obsessive focus on criticizing each word, but rarely spend effort acknowledging accomplishments or positive events involving the White House.

The “Indivisibles” and their Movement

Indivisible is a grassroots partisan group that is dedicated to “do the work to stop Trump” and, in turn, save democracy. This involves using “lessons from the Tea Party’s rise,” creating local groups within the community and defensively targeting individual members of Congress who support Trump.

The group maintains an “Indivisible Guide” on their website, which is meant to teach activists how to use progressive techniques to disrupt, influence, manipulate, and—in many cases—harass members within the Senate and the House.

Available as a free download on their website, the Indivisible Guide calls Trump “the biggest popular-vote loser in history to ever call himself President,” going on to say:

“We believe that protecting our values, our neighbors, and ourselves will require mounting a similar resistance to the Trump agenda — but a resistance built on the values of inclusion, tolerance, and fairness. Trump is not popular. He does not have a mandate. He does not have large congressional majorities. If a small minority in the Tea Party could stop President Obama, then we the majority can stop a petty tyrant named Trump.”

According to the guide, halting the Trump agenda involves occupying congressional offices, showing up at press events, attending local government meetings, and demanding answers on “questions about authoritarianism, and corruption.” For the Indivisible movement, the most pressing issues in their progressive agenda include “promoting “climate change awareness, economic justice, health care for all, racial equality, gender and sexual equality, and peace and human rights.”

As suggested by the Indivisible Guide, the best recruits for the movement come from those who will feel the most threatened by the Trump administration, which includes the LGBT community, women, immigrants, people of color, and those within the poor and working

class. Diversity is encouraged, along with solidarity when making local, community-based groups. Local divisions often use “indivisible” when creating an official name, though they are welcome to create their own unique name, as long as their community roots are maintained.

Town meetings or other public political events are best attended by a group with a singular, agreed-upon message. No matter what, the goal of Indivisible is to send a powerful message that press coverage for their personal concerns will be unattainable until the concerns of the group are addressed.

Disruption by Indivisible can include a district office visit to a member of Congress, or a relentless stream of phone calls to tie up the lines and get a point across. The Guide states that the best way to protest an issue is to choose one that affects multiple members of the group, like addressing Medicare issues for seniors and caregivers, or protesting Muslim registries with Muslim members and their allies.

In its conclusion, the Indivisible Guide addresses a Democratic, politically correct, and inclusive millennial generation that is on the cusp of emergence into the political foray:

“We wrote this guide because we believe that the coming years will see an unprecedented movement of Americans rising up across the country to protect our values, our neighbors, and ourselves. Our goal is to provide practical understanding of how your Members of Congress (MoCs) think, and how you can demonstrate to them the depth and power of the opposition to Donald Trump and to Republican congressional overreach. This is not a panacea, and it is not intended to stand alone. We strongly urge you to marry the strategy in this guide with a broader commitment to creating a more just society, building local power, and addressing systemic injustice and racism.”

While the organization denies that they are directly funded by George Soros, Capital Research Center has uncovered that at least three principals have past associations with organizations funded by Soros.

What Indivisible wanted to portray was that attendees of town halls and local meetings with Republican members of Congress were overthrown by angry, passionate advocates of Obamacare. However, according to a February 2017 tweet from Trump, these disruptions were actually planned by Indivisible, and were neither spontaneous nor genuine.

Rather than represent grassroots politics and the concerns of local voters, these organized events were staged and orchestrated. This has parallels to hecklers and anti-Trump individuals that attempted to stop rallies throughout the campaign, or Antifa trying to block members of the GOP from entering the Inaugural Ball. Attempts to interrupt town hall meetings held by Trump supporters within Congress include activists from leftist organizations across the spectrum, including supporters of Planned Parenthood, Black Lives Matter, and even union members of the Service Employees International Union (SEIU).

10. Antifa Anarchists Go Wild

Everywhere a Battlefield.

—title of a Red Guards Austin blogpost, August 2017

Across the nation police officers are confronted with a harsh, new movement with echoes of communism. Antifa is a shortened version of “antifascist,” and has been officially labelled as a terrorist group by the New Jersey Department of Homeland Security and Preparedness. According to a statement by the New Jersey DHS, Antifa members represent an opposition to fascism, racism, and law enforcement. The group commonly

targets individuals who are thought to be white supremacists, as well as far-right extremists.

In 2017, Politico revealed that the US Department of Homeland Security and Preparedness had come to the same conclusion as New Jersey—that Antifa members were part of a domestic terrorist group. According to Politico, the extremists within Antifa were highlighted as the prime motivators of public violence against police, government, and political targets. The group also targets symbols that may represent social injustice, capitalism, racism, and fascism.

Although Antifa has been categorized as a domestic terrorist group, they continue to be portrayed by the media as though they are heroes, fighting in a values and moral-driven war. Time and time again, Antifa has proven itself to be espoused to violence and confrontation, posing an unprecedented challenge for national law enforcement. Those who lead the movement—characterized as hard-left extremists—want to spark anarchy and dissolve free speech, the very backbone of our nation’s founding.

“Everywhere a Battlefield”

Antifa identifies as a collective combination of Marxist-Leninist-Maoist values and ideals. There are parallels to the Red Guard, which was a massive paramilitary social movement comprised of young students during the 1966-1967 Cultural Revolution in China.

Dressed in fatigues, thousands of Chinese students took to the streets, attempting to tear down what they called the “four olds.” This included outdated ideas, customs, habits, and culture, and resulted in an obliterated landscape of fallen universities, churches, libraries, and homes. This became a generation-against-generation civil war, called the Cultural Revolution.

An Antifa group called The Red Guards Austin is a vocal advocate for violent rebellion in the face of capitalism. In a blog titled “Everywhere a Battlefield,” the group stresses that the war has arrived, and as a result, weapons will be necessary. The Antifa presence in Texas has already labelled Trump as a white supremacist with Nazi fascist values. To be sure, Antifa has stumbled upon the ultimate justification for their thuggish dress and behavior, including black garb and bandanas around their faces. Street violence at the hands of Antifa is reminiscent of the type of actions that led to overthrown governments throughout history.

At a fundraising event in September 2016, Hillary Clinton labelled Trump supporters as a “basket of deplorables,” going on to call them “racist, sexist, homophobic, xenophobic, Islamophobic—you name it.” What this did was thrust the national perception of class conflict from the traditional 1930s ideals (the working class and the national labor movement) and into identity politics championed by the left. Instead of the working class, our victims have now become people of color and women, with the oppressor embodied by white males and their subsequent privilege. This radical change was quickly adopted by Antifa, with Trump confidently leading his “basket of deplorables.” What’s important to note here is that this gave Antifa license to take the supposed moral high ground, using Hillary’s labeling of Trump voters as racist, sexist, homophobic, xenophobic, and Islamophobic as their justifiable value system.

There are similar threads between Antifa, the Occupy Wall Street movement, and the protests at the World Trade Organization against globalization. However, since Trump was elected president, the American Antifa organization has now become a paramilitary arm of the radical left who want to throw Trump out of office.

“Fascist Go Home!”

Violent street protests were launched by Antifa in January 2017, on the inauguration of President Trump. Their goal was to not only block attendance to the Inaugural Ball, but also to halt the swearing-in ceremony altogether.

Windows in commercial buildings, restaurants, and coffee shops throughout downtown DC were smashed; streets were flooded; traffic was at a standstill; piles of trash were burned, and even passing cars had their windshields broken. Insults, threats, and degrading language accompanied the violence well after the ceremony ended.

Local police, dressed in riot gear and batons in hand, attempted to empty the streets with pepper spray and tear gas. Over 200 rioters were arrested, and six officers were injured as the protests continued throughout the night and across the city, prompting en masse responses from the Washington police officers. All arrested rioters were charged with felonious rioting, the maximum penalty of which is 10 years and fines totaling \$25,000.

Afterwards, Antifa succeeded in stopping Milo Yiannopoulos (a conservative activist) from speaking in California. A violent Antifa protest in Oregon prompted the cancellation of the Rose Festival, in which the county's local Republican party was slotted to participate. In Virginia, Antifa staged a counter-protest at a rally to "Unite the Right" and preserve a Confederate statute.

Again in California, a free speech event at Berkeley organized by Patriot Prayer (based in Oregon) was invaded by Antifa and the subsequent, inevitable violence. Mainstream media had portrayed Patriot Prayer as an organized, right-wing organization whose events had attracted white supremacists in the past, with violent actions from both sides.

Approximately 2,000 Antifa members showed up, dwarfing those who were advocating for free speech through Patriot Prayer. Although it was a contained, peaceful event, Antifa violence resulted in physical attacks and shouts of "Fascist, go home!"

Despite their apparent similarities to Vietnam protests in the 1960s, members of Antifa are anything but college students—their actions and behaviors represent an organized focus on violence, criminality, and chaos.

As seen in protests throughout the United States, this national Antifa movement relies on radical, community-based street violence. In essence, the type of violence practiced by Antifa is designed to use class and social tension to create anarchy and give birth to a new beginning (communist or socialist). Hard-left members would have Trump be viewed as a Nazi—a historically lethal combination of nationalism and rigid, white supremacist government.

Political Correctness Champions Antifa

In a press conference in New Jersey in August 2017, Trump issued a statement on the “egregious display of hatred, bigotry, and violence from many sides.” Mainstream media outlets condemned him for including Antifa and neo-Nazi white supremacist groups in the same breath.

For example, Robert Spencer and his “white pride” beliefs have been associated with the KKK, neo-Nazism, and white supremacy by the radical left (all of which are denied by Spencer). This seems to justify violent actions against him, like being punched in the face while celebrating the presidential inauguration.

Political correctness has been embraced by the mainstream media with open arms, using the term “alt-right” as a severe state of being that should be countered by using equally severe actions. This includes violence from Antifa in an attempt to silence anyone—or any organization—labeled as “alt-right,” violating their rights to free speech beneath the First Amendment.

According to Associated Press guidelines updated in 2016, any use of “alt-right” must also include the following definition: “an offshoot of conservatism mixing racism, white nationalism, and populism,” or more simply, “a white nationalist movement.”

Conversely, there are no specific guidelines on whether Antifa should be labeled as a terrorist group. In another blog posted by John Daniszewski, Vice President for Standards, the guidelines for reporting on Antifa include: “Shorthand for anti-fascists, an umbrella description for the far-left-leaning militant groups that resist neo-Nazis and white supremacists at demonstrations and other events. Until the term becomes better known, include a definition in close proximity to first use of the word.”

In an August 2017 editorial published by Fox News, Newt Gingrich advocated for the media to address attacks from the left with equal fervor and severity as with hate groups like the KKK and neo-Nazis. For many who value free speech, this simple statement will strike a chord—violence should never be seen as a solution, particularly when it is being used as a silencing mechanism.

Part 3: How Trump Wins

11. How Trump Can Win the Propaganda War

Those who are capable of tyranny are capable of perjury to sustain it.

—Lysander Spooner

Our central point within this discussion is that President Trump is the subject of a sudden and violent overthrowing from the Deep State. This includes the NSA, CIA, and various other intelligence agencies that share a new, globalist vision.

This same collective—the Deep State—is secretly cooperating with law enforcement agencies like the DOJ and the FBI, the Federal Reserve, and more to support numerous covert operations. Included in these are providing weaponry to terrorists, illegal drug deals, and supporting organizations that can further their own globalist agenda. Many international organizations are under the control of the Deep State, such as the European Union and the United Nations. Secrecy is contained by cooperation of the mainstream media, which is owned both by corporations and by the government.

For the Deep State, Hillary Clinton's presidential loss was catastrophic, prompting a master plan to push Trump out of his presidential role.

Propaganda from the Deep State

In order to reach their ultimate goal, both the mainstream media and the Deep State are coming together to use traditional propaganda techniques. These were first employed by the Nazi party in Germany, and were ultimately honed during the Cold War by the Soviet Union. First, any propaganda and misinformation initiative must begin with a lie—this is how the public opinion is manipulated and molded. (Today, we can use the term “narrative.”) To be truly successful, the narrative must be credible enough so that consistent repetition may alter public opinion—even if the narrative has no verifiable proof.

In the same way that the word “lie” has become synonymous with “narrative,” we can also use “meme,” as these types of propaganda have their own stories to tell. Take, for example, Joseph Goebbels, the head of Nazi propaganda. He created a drawing (or a meme) that used eugenics to portray the Jews as villains, opening the door for the Holocaust and the brutal murders that followed.

An international tribunal was held in 1966 to explore war crimes in Vietnam. This tribunal spurred the argument that would eventually be adopted by Vietnam Veterans Against the

War and John Kerry. In 1971, Kerry used a similar tribunal model, and testified that US soldiers in Vietnam had “raped, cut off ears, cut off heads, taped wires from portable telephones to human genitals and turned up the power, cut off limbs, blown up bodies, randomly shot at civilians, [and] razed villages in a fashion reminiscent of Genghis Kahn.”

These claims were immediately recognized almost word-for-word by a former general named Ion Mihai Pacepa, who once was a part of communist Romania and their secret police. In fact, he wrote a book titled *Disinformation* that claimed the disinformation tactics of the KGB—employed by Kerry—were integral to forming his political career.

The Deep State Propaganda Narrative of Russian Collusion

Currently, the Deep State—and mainstream media outlets—are spreading the narrative of collusion with Russia. This lie says that Donald Trump conspired with Russia to hack and release internal emails from within the DNC as a strategy to beat Hillary Clinton in the presidential election.

As time went on and the narrative continued to be told, both the mainstream media and the Democrats started to jump onto and immediately accept nearly any link between Russia and the Trump campaign as irrefutable proof of collusion. This even includes the mere suggestion that Trump may want to meet with someone who could connect Russian opposition research to the campaign.

Unfortunately, because we live amidst disinformation on a daily basis, the fact that no public official from US intelligence or Congress has been able to provide any meaningful proof that there was collusion between the Trump campaign and Russia. There has simply been no proof of Russian collusion as a credible reason for her presidential loss; instead, the most credible argument is that she was the wrong candidate, running with the wrong set of politics for the nation.

Paul Manafort and Rick Gates—both directly involved with the Trump campaign—were handed indictments, these were for improperly registering as foreign agents, and not charges associated with Russian collusion. Manafort was also charged with money laundering for work with Ukraine in 2014, which was years before Trump's presidential candidacy was even announced. Although these charges may certainly point to tax evasion, there is no credible evidence that could even suggest that these are related to Hillary's presidential loss.

Interestingly, there is no written law that states that collusion with Russians would be a punishable crime. Without any evidence, the suggestion is that, aided by Russia, Trump stole DNC emails which John Podesta delivered to Julian Assange. This possibility has been denied time and time again from WikiLeaks.

Despite being confronted with evidence disproving the narrative, propagandists within the Deep State can keep this lie alive through the tiniest bit of possibility, by intimating that the public simply does not have access to “what is really going on.”

The fact remains that these accusations have no proof behind them—and although the Deep State is well aware of this fact, the mainstream media will not publish evidence to the contrary. The truth is that it was John Podesta and Hillary Clinton who got paid off by Russia; or, perhaps Seth Rich, the DNC employee who may have had inside access to steal the emails and send to WikiLeaks. Given this, we can now create two general rules for propaganda.

Propaganda Rule #1: Facts that disprove the narrative as false disinformation are dismissed as inconclusive, as investigation is ongoing, and proof may still be out there.

To support this rule, anyone who casts doubt on the propaganda narrative is pushed aside and labeled as a conspiracy theorist. A narrative that is as sophisticated as “Russian collusion” renders itself impossible to disprove by facts.

Propaganda Rule #2: Those who try to disprove the narrative of disinformation becomes a subject of mockery to support the conspiracy theory dismissal.

Political propaganda narratives and memes are likely to be more successful if they come from the Democrats. Because they control the mainstream media, consistent promotion of the narrative is much more reliable.

What these points are getting is that defending Trump cannot be done by disproving or discrediting the claims of Russian collusion. This is true despite the fact that there is not and cannot be an indictment, or any evidence that anyone in the Trump campaign conspired with Russia.

How Can the Propaganda War Be Won?

As president, Trump wields an impressive amount of power as designated by the Constitution. This means that there are two rules for creating a counterpropaganda campaign.

Counterpropaganda Rule #1: Time is the only way to eliminate the effects of a propaganda campaign. Eventually, public interest wanes as criminal convictions are delayed—particularly when pursued at great expense and effort.

Should Mueller fail to provide an indictment that charges a specific individual with collusion, he will inevitably prove Trump's original charge that he is leading a "witch hunt." Manafort and Gates could only receive charges of money laundering, including a violation of failing to register as foreign government agents. As a further sign, consider that Mueller did not include tax evasion charges, which supports a failing propaganda campaign.

George Papadopoulos was the subject of the second indictment, charged with lying to the FBI. Further investigation showed that Trump did not take the advisement of Papadopoulos to get opposition research on the Clinton campaign from Russian officials, killing any momentum gained by the second indictment. If the investigation into actions before 2016 continues, Congress will be under pressure to begin actions to remove Mueller from his role as special counselor.

Counterpropaganda Rule #2: With the understanding that the president wields significant power, they must change the conversation by focusing on an actual threat to national security.

During an actual emergency of national security, any action taken by the president is an effective way to deflate even the cleverest of disinformation narratives. This gives the mainstream media and the Deep State no choice but to divert attention towards whatever issue the president chooses. To be truly effective, however, this must be both justifiable and pressing. After the Monica Lewinsky scandal broke, former President Bill Clinton attempted to change the conversation by detonating bombs over Sudan and Afghanistan, an attempt which was ineffective.

The idea here is to take charge of what the news cycle is reporting, giving the mainstream media and Deep State no other choice but to discuss the positive actions being taken by the president for the good of national security.

STRENGTHEN LEGAL DEFENSE FOR TRUMP

Behind the scenes, the Trump administration needs to look for advisement from top-performing lawyers. Any charges brought by Congressional Democrats to support impeachment should be countered by skilled and deft lawyers. They should be able to demonstrate the meaning and importance behind the “Russian collusion” narrative—and why it has been so prevalent.

Going toe-to-toe with the media and the Deep State through Congressional hearings will pump the brakes on the ongoing narrative of collusion with Russia. Using this tactic will increase the chances of destabilizing this propaganda initiative much better than arguing back and forth about facts.

EMPLOY THE FULL POWERS OF THE PRESIDENT

The two rules of a successful counterpropaganda campaign dictate a targeted and conscious effort to take the news cycle back and force opponents to leave the “Russian collusion” narrative behind. Employing Trump’s stated objective to keep America safe does not need to be limited to Iran and North Korea. If the administration started to truly focus on fostering peace between Palestine and Israel, the Middle East may undergo a new power shift. It is also possible that Trump could negotiate with Russia to keep Iran under control, in exchange for the US abandoning Afghanistan. The president is the only one with the power to make decisive, definitive actions when it comes to foreign policy—and should Trump learn to properly exercise that power, he can also learn to break the news cycle.

Domestically, Trump can continue to either modify or erase decisions made by the previous administration. For example, he may consider decreasing agencies that are not in-line with the needs/values of his voters, like the Department of Education and the EPA.

One important element for Trump to understand is that the Deep State holds natural allies in the bureaucrats. They have spent countless time ensuring that the legislature passed will keep their jobs safe for decades to come. Rules and regulations are constantly written and published to ensure that their numbers grow and that the control of the federal government seeps into every waking moment. Eliminating this control can only be done through massive reductions, which would necessitate firing by the thousands—and finding ways to get around regulations that are designed to protect their jobs.

Legacy employees within these organizations will always stay loyal to the previous administration—in this case, both the Obamas and the Clintons. With strong ideologies, these players are important pieces of the opposition’s war against Trump.

STIMULATE THE ECONOMY

Getting Congress to pass a tax cut could be a historic move, and would certainly fill the news cycle with positive stories. Making one tax cut this year could be followed up by another in the next year. By limiting regulations and bureaucracy, as well as passing a significant tax cut, the American economy would thrive—and so would Trump, as he would own the news cycle.

As long as the economy stays strong, the “America First” support that originally came from Middle America will remain strong. Eliminating the federal income tax and the Federal Reserve would be massive boons to his presidency, as both of these are popularly—and accurately—deemed as unlawful in the US Constitution. A national discussion on consumption tax would both improve the economy and boost his favor with the media.

ISSUE EXECUTIVE ORDERS

Although executive orders may initially bring another controversy, the fact remains that the mainstream media and the Deep State will still be forced to abandon the collusion narrative and cover something new.

Trump has made gradual moves to dismantle orders and precedents set by Obama. If he can keep playing to his voters by avoiding globalist regulations, Trump will have given himself extra insurance for when a potential impeachment is dropped on the table. Regardless of the Deep State, pro-Trump voters will support any executive order that deals with decreasing crime, improving the number of jobs, boosting national security, and other goals that align with domestic policy.

As an example: The decision to leave the Paris Climate Accord was a good way to play towards the conservative and libertarian base, two groups that do not believe in its legitimacy. Conversely, staying in the Accord would have upset his voters, giving the Deep State even more cause to bring up the topic of impeachment.

IGNORE MAINSTREAM MEDIA POLLS

The last piece of the puzzle is that Trump needs to discard any mainstream media polls, as they are created with the sole purpose to convince him that his efforts are failing. These polls are the same ones that significantly underestimated his popularity throughout the campaign.

Biased polling methodologies are intentionally employed by the media to decrease Trump's perceived public opinion. Today, his base of supporters is wider and stronger than it ever has been, marking a distinct failure of the #NeverTrump movement. The actions of the Deep State and the mainstream media have had the opposite of their intended effect in some ways. Supporters now view Trump as a victim, naturally subject to intense scrutiny and unwarranted criticism from a party that cannot face the truth of Hillary's loss.

Should the presidential election be held again, the outcome would likely remain the same—but Trump would win by an even greater margin.

12. The Counteroffensive Trump Must Launch

Also, there is NO COLLUSION!

—Donald J. Trump, October 2017

The mainstream media needs to promote day-to-day instances of “Russian collusion” supported by narrative twists that are just significant enough to keep the public’s attention. The fact that these memes are without fact does not matter, which is unfortunate—this would likely never happen with Clinton or Obama in office. What matters to them is that each new disclosure makes another step towards what the Deep State is banking on—an impeachment.

Lawfare

As defined by Julian Assange (WikiLeaks), “lawfare” refers to when the law is abused in order to meet goals traditionally obtained through war. This is actually a term which connects perfectly to the ongoing attempt at a rebellion that the Deep State is trying to promote. Both the mainstream media and the hard-left of the Democratic Party are joining forces to push indictments and support what they believe will be an inevitable impeachment.

Mueller aims to hand an indictment to Trump for obstructing justice through his firing of James Comey, then-FBI director. According to this plan, Trump would have to defend himself against federal charges; conversely, a constitutional crisis may appear should Trump ask for a trial within the Senate.

The only way to dispel a propaganda campaign is to launch a counterattack. This means that one must focus on those who are responsible for starting the disinformation campaign.

Flip the Tables on the Democrats

Hillary Clinton and her supporters must be brought to criminal prosecution in order to fully dispel the narrative of “Russia collusion.” This includes both Podesta brothers. A special prosecutor should be appointed to confront the Democrats who want to impeach Trump;

but any investigation launched by Trump needs to include Uranium One and Clinton's "Russian reset" policy.

Similarly, there needs to be a significant international criminal investigation into the Clinton Foundation order to avoid irreparable damage done to genuine charity. IRS members must be identified and brought to justice for going after libertarian and conservative organizations. They must also answer for tax exemptions given to George Soros and other foundations on the left.

Primary Deep State players must be brought to light, such as the en masse illegal domestic surveillance by the NSA and the illegal gathering of information on opponents by the Obama administration. Corruption at the center of major national agencies must also be exposed, including the EPA, the FBI, and the DOJ. Specifically, the FBI must be held accountable for leaks to the press, which allowed the DOJ to use the press as a prosecution tool for political opponents. Social media mainstays like Google, YouTube, Twitter, and Facebook need deep investigation for algorithms that push out posts from libertarian and conservative members.

With Clinton, Podesta, and Skolkovo on the line for Uranium One and the scandal of military technology sold to Russia during "Russia reset," the investigation possibilities are endless. As evidence, cash payments between the Clinton Foundation and Hillary can be examined; the same is true for Podesta and Joule. In these cases, Democrats may find that their own propaganda campaign has turned on them. Regardless, there will need to be a special prosecutor since the DOJ is already filled with Deep State players.

The Deep State has set down strong roots within the CIA and the Department of Treasury. Both turn a blind eye to what profits them the most, be it international drug trade or money laundering through international banks. Globalist open borders are a fierce commitment held by elite GOP leaders within New York and Washington.

Removing this corruption from within the government will be no easy task, but our nation's future depends on it. We are depending on Donald Trump's fortitude, strength, and courage to create an expedient counterattack and to commit to continue fighting against those who would seek to tear down God and freedom.

The Money-Laundering Case Lurking Behind Mueller's Indictments

In a 2012 DOJ settlement with HSBC, the bank admitted criminal responsibility for money laundering approximately \$881 million. This included money from sanctioned countries like Libya, Iran, Sudan, Cuba, and Burma. In total, the bank paid over \$1.2 billion in their agreement for deferred prosecution, including an additional \$665 million for civil penalties. As part of their agreement, HSBC had to admit to criminally violating the Trading with the Enemy Act (TWEA), the Bank Secrecy Act (BSA), and the International Emergency Powers Act (IEEPA).

At that time, Robert Mueller was the acting FBI director. One of the members of the HSBC board was Robert Comey, who would later replace Mueller. The HSBC case was handled by Loretta Lynch, the then-US attorney within the Eastern District of New York. Eric Holder was the attorney general. Underneath the Obama administration, the DOJ let HSBC pay fines in order to avoid federal criminal indictments. To make matters worse, this was despite HSBC admitting their criminal responsibility in aiding terrorist organizations and drug cartels with their money-laundering schemes through the United States.

Now consider the decision to indict Manafort and Gates with criminal money laundering charges. Both have consistently stated that the money involved was not connected to criminal activity in any way. If the government cannot prove that money was obtained illegally, then the statutes of criminal money laundering do not apply. Furthermore:

- A US citizen is not committing a crime by being hired and paid for consulting services with a foreign government.

- Given that Gates and Manafort reported their income and paid the requisite taxes, it is not illegal for US citizens to use an offshore bank to move funds earned or owned overseas into the US. (This is true even for the Cayman Islands or the Seychelles.)

In the HSBC case, however, all parties involved (Comey, Lynch, Holder, and Mueller) that criminal money laundering of hundreds of millions of dollars was conducted for cartels and terrorist organizations. Still, they were all complacent in allowing HSBC to pay a fine—the sum of which represents an expected business cost.

Why?

According to ZeroHedge (and prompted by the #SwissLeaks release), HSBC was at the point of no return—they could not pass by claiming that the laundered money was the work of a handful of rogue employees and a loose company policy. HSBC was also determined to have a long-term relationship with al-Rajhi bank, located in Saudi Arabia and seen by the CIA as an extremist finance conduit. In fact, based on US intelligence, the founder of the bank was a financier of al-Qaeda.

The CIA has actually been laundering their proceeds through HSBC from international heroin trade in Southeast Asia. As documented by Dean Henderson in his book titled, *Big Oil and Their Bankers in the Persian Gulf: Four Horsemen, Eight Families, and Their Global Intelligence, Narcotics, and Terror Network*, HSBC has been the preferred bank of choice for money laundering related to drugs since the Chinese Opium Wars.

It seems that Mueller, Comey, Lynch, and Holder all agreed to let the indiscretions of HSBC slide so as to avoid potentially exposing the CIA's role within international drug trade networks. This sentiment has been echoed by investigative journalist Nafeez Ahmed in his article titled "Death, Drugs and HSBC," along with Matt Taibbi in *Rolling Stone* and even a Netflix documentary.

This settlement is sure to be lurking in the dark corners for Mueller and his “witch hunt” against Donald Trump once the nation sees that prosecution for Manafort and Gates is nothing more than technical violations—and, at its worst, cause for tax evasion. Still, the case is not associated in the least with money laundering, particularly since their consulting job was perfectly legal. Instead, Mueller is seeking to create a foundation to discredit Trump’s presidency and perhaps even lead to an impeachment case. Even if collusion between Trump and Russia was somehow proven, it still isn’t a crime. The HSBC case, along with the Manafort and Gates indictment only shows that Deep State players like Mueller, Gates, Lynch, and Holder will always have each other’s backs.

Prosecution by Newspaper

Mueller has a pattern of leaking information to the press, one which is carrying over to those that he appoints to his prosecution staff. For example, assistant US Attorney Andrew Goldstein is connected to Preet Bharara, the former US attorney for the Southern District of New York. In his prosecution of William T. Walters (inside trading), Bharara had to admit that there were illegal leaks to the press, giving the New York Times and the Washington Post grand jury information.

FBI Agent Chaves was found to be the source of the leaked information, significantly damaging the overall case. Although there was not enough evidence for a grand jury indictment, Chaves decided to send information on Walters and his supposed trading of Clorox or Dean Foods in the hopes of reviving the case.

Once the judge learned of the leak, Bharara was ordered to prepare and present a thorough report detailing the FBI’s leak. This was made public, with a full timeline and specific names of reporters and papers—unsealed and nonredacted. In so doing, the court ultimately proved that, while he was putting together a case for insider trading, US Attorney Bharara let both the New York Times and the Wall Street Journal publish “fake news” about William T. Walters.

This bad behavior among prosecutors is not an isolated incident. Former US attorney and appellate section chief, Sidney Powell, wrote that this impropriety is much more common among DOJ prosecutors than we realize. In her book titled *Licensed to Lie: Exposing Corruption in the Department of Justice*, she notes that “many top federal law enforcement officials including James Comey—and it appears Robert Mueller as well—are willing to engage in illegal acts to win convictions.”

In July, President Trump released a flurry of frustrated tweets in response to a report by the Washington Post claimed that Trump was asking for information about the process of pardoning family, aides, and perhaps himself in an effort to stall the Russia investigation. In response, Trump named leaks as the “only crime so far,” calling the entire report “FAKE NEWS.”

Assange Says CIA Is Going After US Citizens Online

In March 2017, Julian Assange (WikiLeaks) announced the WikiLeaks database held approximately 22,0000 internet protocol addresses. Because these were all based within the US, Assange believes that the CIA may be secretly investigating and violating the privacy of US citizens again. According to Assange, WikiLeaks is in the midst of a project to evaluate which IP addresses were connected to foreign visitors, a joint intelligence operation, or whether they were simply innocent victims.

A Strategy to Gain Control of the Out-of-Control White House Press Room

Press conferences held with the mainstream media getting first-row seating gives both the media and the Deep State a daily sense of respect that they do not deserve. It also gives them an opportunity to be seen live and to be as confrontational as they please. Rather than applauding them and treating them as celebrities, the White House correspondents in the mainstream media should be looked at as enemies, since they are determined to act that way.

Putting an end to press conferences held each day is a key component of stopping the mainstream media. This will boot the correspondents out of their room in the White House—which could easily be done by announce that they are closing for renovations—and turn them into outsiders.

Along with closing the West Wing press room for renovations, there should also be an evaluation of their policy on press correspondents, such as how they obtain their credentials and how they will participate in each daily briefing. Once the new policy is decided upon, it can be announced along with the opening of a brand-new press office building, located across the street from the White House. Additionally, the White house could decide to supply correspondents with printed announcements each day to replace the daily briefings. To really drive the point home, these briefings should include detailed, legal requests for Congress and the FBI to investigate:

- how Obama administration and FISA authorized keeping the Trump campaign under electronic surveillance;
- how Obama administration used electronic surveillance to “unmask” the identities of Trump campaign officials;
- how the identities of these “unmasked” officials were sent throughout the Obama administration and the press.

The White House press office could take a few weeks to slowly and deliberately pose these questions to the American public—ultimately homing in on the Clinton Foundation, the Podestas, Hillary Clinton, and her entire campaign. These questions would be designed to slowly and boldly expose the existence of the Deep State and their cooperation with the mainstream media and the Democratic Party.

Going forward, the new press office in the White House should be much larger, with room for a few hundred representatives and built in an auditorium style. Rather than block internet-based agencies from gaining White House press room credentials, their access should be revisited and significantly expanded. This is a great way to loosen the vice grip that cable and network news and newspapers have over the front row.

White House correspondents seem to only be interested in shouting out phrases designed to confuse and create natural inconsistencies to develop the Deep State case for impeachment. The environment within the White House press room has become hostile and requires a counterattack, including:

- a proprietary news section specifically from the White House which would demand answers from Democrats and seek an expanded DOJ investigation into Deep State offenses like the “Russian collusion” narrative from the Clinton campaign and the “unmasking” of officials by the Obama administration.
- a revision of the rules that correspondents must follow, an end to press briefings on a daily basis, and relocating the press room out of the White House

Part of the issue with leaks and the media cycle spinning out of control is that they are far too close on a daily basis. Moving the press correspondents to a new location outside of the White House creates space, while ending daily press briefings gives the Deep State less of an opportunity to control the news cycle.

Conclusion

The Fight to Save President Trump

Democrats have chosen Russia as their way to explain why Hillary Clinton suffered such a catastrophic loss. Today, it appears as though Hillary is creating a new sort of witch hunt, one that has parallels to the wave of McCarthyism the swept the nation over five decades ago. What Hillary should remember, however, is that McCarthyism depended upon Democrats accepting to accusations made without evidence.

Both Bill Clinton and Barack Obama had charisma—and that’s why they won their presidencies, even though their messages were seeped in socialism. This sense of personality and charm is not something that Hillary appears to possess, nor has she in the past 35 years.

Her presidential campaign became tired and worn out, playing on the same repeated themes of race baiting and class envy. Criticizing the top 1 percent doesn't quite seem fair when it's coming from Hillary, particularly with the Clinton Foundation and its history.

Rather than operating as a charity, the Clinton Foundation has become a massive empire for criminal activity throughout the globe, bringing millions in donations—never enough money for the Clintons.

The identity politics peddled by Hillary became a vilification of “white privilege,” communicating to minorities and those of color that their dire economic situation actually came from decades of discrimination and abuse. Although Russia's politics have been dragged through the mud, Hillary actually used traditional themes of the US Communist Party as the backbone to her campaign.

While amplifying identity politics, Hillary stated that all of America should show pride in a woman president. The general response was agreement—but the majority also agreed that Hillary was not the right woman. Should the Democrats choose to react to Hillary's loss by shifting to the hard left, they may indeed become a splinter party.

Professors leaning to the left are actively creating a generation filled with millennials who are taught to hate the United States. They welcome free medical care, free education, and housing, all paid for by the taxpayers. Bernie Sanders made a lot of promises—but there is a harsh economic truth for these governments, one which eventually drains all of the resources from a socialist government.

“Russian Collusion” Widens to Include ExxonMobil

With the Deep State looking for creative ways to enhance their narrative, an action was filed against ExxonMobil in July 2017. The action was filed by the Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), which claimed that ExxonMobil had violated

sanctions with Russia that had been imposed after Ukraine and Crimea interference. Many supporters of Trump quickly saw this as an attempt to discredit the secretary of state, Rex Tillerson, who worked at ExxonMobil for over 40 years.

There are approximately eight legal documents that are related to Russia-based oil and natural gas projects that lie at the heart of the debate. These documents deal with Rosneft, a Russian oil company, and Igor Sechin, its president. However, the Obama administration imposed these sanctions on Sechin for any individual transactions. In his capacity as a representative of a company, no sanctions existed.

ExxonMobil employees claimed that all guidance provided by the White House was clearly followed, including guidance from the Treasury Department. Because Rosneft is not a sanctioned entity, and the only sanctions on Sechin are for personal dealings, there should be no issues with oil and gas documents and agreements in Russia. Additionally, at that point, there had not been a direct US sanction that would prevent the pursuit of an oil and gas agreement with Russia.

The deeper issue in this lawsuit is that OFAC first gave ExxonMobil guidance, and then changed the rules, completely discounting that guidance and setting ExxonMobil up for failure. At the time, having Sechin's signature on those oil and gas contracts was perfectly in-line with current sanctions.

Consider the absurdism of McCarthyism, looking in every corner for communists and wildly flailing pieces of paper in the air as irrefutable proof of a communist around every corner. The Democrats are quickly heading towards the same conclusion with Russia.

A US citizen can freely visit Russia without fear of criminal prosecution; a business can pursue a new opportunity within Russia; and a US politician can speak with any politician they choose. The Democrats and Hillary Clinton would have liked to use these small activities as proof of treason, despite the lack of any proof of collusion with Russia.

Ever since the Soviet Union fell, politicians and executives in the West have tried to create ties to Russia, including the exploration and distribution of gas and oil. In fact, President Jimmy Carter's former national security advisor is quite open about his beliefs that ending sanctions against Russia is the only way to move forward globally.

Social Media Giants Deny Russian Influence

While desperately trying to locate proof of Russian influence in the election, supporters of Hillary began the argument that social media posts were created by Russia to put more attention onto Trump. For them, this was an example of Trump's value to Russian—that somehow, once he was in office, Russia planned to use him or sway him to their advantage.

The Subcommittee on Crime and Terrorism of the Senate Judiciary Committee held a hearing in October 2017 to evaluate the extent of social media involvement by Russia. During testimony, it was shown that negative news feed traffic directed at Hillary Clinton was only 0.004 percent traffic from Russian interference. Both Twitter and Google came to similar conclusions.

FACEBOOK

According to Facebook, disinformation started with the Internet Research Agency (IRA), which is a Russian company based in St. Petersburg. Between June 2015 and August 2017, the company spent around \$100,000 on over 3,000 ads on Facebook and Instagram. During January and August, their pages posted over 80,000 pieces of content, which was seen by an estimated 11.4 million users.

TWITTER

There was a total of 36,746 accounts identified by Twitter that created automated content related to the election, with at least one characteristic associated to Russia. In total, around 1.4 such tweets were sent out, with around 288 million impressions. Twitter explained the impact of these tweets with the following context:

- Automated accounts that were identified as linked to Russia were 0.012 percent of the total active Twitter accounts.
- Tweets that were generated by Russian-linked accounts constituted less than 0.74 percent of the total election-linked content.
- The above tweets got only 0.33 percent of impressions, consistently underperforming when compared to US-based activity on the platform.

Their final estimation was that less than 5 percent of all 360 million active accounts throughout the election cycle were linked to a foreign account holder.

GOOGLE

Google's primary argument was that its search algorithms do not generate viral content to the same extent that Facebook and Twitter's algorithms do. There were only two Google accounts that seemed to be actively engaged in activity with known or suspected governments. In total, these two accounts spent around \$4,700 in election-related expenses.

YouTube also had 18 channels with around 1,100 videos uploaded by people who may be connected to a Russian initiative to generate political content and upset the US election. The majority of these videos had relatively low views, with only 3 percent generating more than 5,000 views and 43 hours of content. To put this in perspective, YouTube content gets over a billion hours of daily viewing.

Twitter Blocks Anti-Hillary Content

During this same hearing, Twitter acknowledged that there were algorithms employed to monitor any critical hashtags of Hillary Clinton. Two of these included #PodestaEmails and #DNCLeaks. This admission invites Congress to require social media to describe their detection systems used throughout the campaign that may have censored Trump supporters in their own efforts to change the election outcome.

The argument employed by Twitter was that the company struggled to reduce spam and automation—but there was no explanation as to whether these same systems were used to provide equal protection against spammy or automated tweets criticizing Trump.

Another point discussed during testimony is that Twitter suspends users who are suspected of manipulating the application to send out automated tweets. One example given—and in fact, the only example—was that @PatrioticPepe was found to be automatically sending spam content to any @realDonaldTrump tweet. When asked whether Twitter employed the same rigor in suspending automated spam engines to pro-Clinton users, there was no response.

How to Save President Trump

Hillary Clinton's 2016 presidential loss points to the intense division throughout the United States. This type of division has not been seen since the Civil War—public opinion is quickly turning away from the type of liberalism that reigned supreme in the 60s.

Although the welfare state has been progressively expanding, poverty is still as significant an issue as ever. In fact, we are \$20 trillion in debt as a nation. Cities struggle with falling tax bases, crumbling infrastructure, increasing crime rates, and widespread drug addiction. Democratic states are now heading towards bankruptcy—and increasing taxes will only

push away businesses and taxpayers, escalating issues with infrastructure and public aid even further.

Older populations within cities that are controlled by Democrats are wary of how they will be able to survive later on, even with Social Security. Rather than address and resolve issues with failing social welfare, Democratic figures continue to believe that racial discrimination results in poverty. This is how the socialist mission of the left has been spread, by emphasizing conflicts within race and class.

Great Britain voted for Brexit by using the exact same nationalism that landed Donald Trump the presidency. Europe is progressively embracing a new national identity, moving away from a globally connected sense of government. American voters applauded the denial of the Paris Climate Accord, as many Trump supporters view this as an international plot to generate additional income and unethically redistribute it by fear-mongering over a false global crisis. The same enthusiasm was generated when Trump exited UNESCO on suspicion of UN missions resulting in cholera and rape. Of course, let's not forget that the Clinton Foundation may have scammed charities out of as much as \$1 billion during the Haiti rebuilding process.

Will the United States split into another Civil War? This is yet to be determined, but there are certainly deep divisions that point to its possibility. Blue voters in California and New York are aligned with minority voters who want a larger welfare state, whereas red voters in Middle America grow increasingly concerned over increasing taxes and debt.

This book is meant to posit that Donald Trump will ultimately escape the plot of the Deep State because the core premise of their argument is deeply rooted in corrupt politics—the same politics that helped Hillary Clinton rise to her presidential candidacy. Continued adherence of the Democratic Party to violent and radical movements like Antifa and Black Lives Matter will only cause the Republicans to clutch even tighter to their belief systems.

For Americans who continue to believe in and uphold the Constitution created by our Founding Fathers, the Trump administration and what they do with the next few years will certainly be the last semblance of hope. The party that clung to Hillary Clinton is bound and determined to lift up radicals and thugs like Antifa and Black Lives Matter—all while the NFL fans drop like flies because some athletes decide to protest by kneeling.

Donald Trump voters hardly care that the phrase “Make America Great Again” is so strongly detested by the heard left. For pro-Trump voters, if Hillary had ascended to the presidency, the nation would have surely been thrown into totalitarian disarray. Trump may need more than one term to be effective, but millions of Americans are already sending prayers to let it be so.

For the 2016 election, the running theme is that Hillary’s “deplorables” came out on top.

For the first year of Trump’s presidency, the running theme is that his voters are waiting, watching, and applauding for his collective, ongoing victories.