

# Clean code

# Goals

- Reach team consensus about clean code
- New code should be “clean”
- Old code should get “cleaner”

# Pillars

- Readability
- Maintainability
- Testability

# Readability - basics

- Short classes (max ~1k lines)
- Short methods (max ~60 lines; one page)
- Sensible variable and method names
- No duplication
- PSR-2, Airbnb eslint
- “Express intent”, “obviously correct”...

# Readability – classes

- One responsibility
- ActiveRecord – only responsible for database access
- Controllers – only gluing things together
- Views – only template code

# Readability – methods

- No more than 5 arguments  
(If more – factor out new class)
- Clear relationship between input and output  
(Should be described in one sentence)
- No strange side-effects  
Example: Echo only happens at top-level  
Example 2: Pass object instead of object id

# Express intent – arrays

- Using array as tuple:

```
switch (strtolower($token[0])) {  
    case 'or':  
    case '||':  
        $result = array(($arg1[0] or $  
        break;  
    case 'and':  
    case '&&':  
        $result = array(($arg1[0] and  
        break;
```

- Better: OOP

- \$token->getValue();

- Tip: Only use arrays  
as arrays (not hash tables, not tuples)

- No performance difference

# Scrutinizer

- “F” means: hard to read
- “A” means: *probably* easy to read
- New code rated “F”? More classes!



# Testability

- Integration tests take time to run
- Unit tests necessary for quick feedback
- Smaller classes and methods are easier to test
- Dependencies must be explicit to be mockable
- “new” is a dependency? Replace with factories

# Business logic

- “Business logic should be in M in MVC”
- But: M is a layer
- Database access is one part of that layer  
(In Yii, ActiveRecord)
- Solution: Service classes (instead of helper functions)

# Services 1

- Suggestion: Put business logic in service classes
- Services are part of model layer
- Other parts are: AR, CFormModel, Data Value Objects

# Services 2

- Put in application/models/services/<domain>
- Reasonably framework agnostic
- Dependency injection (\_\_constructor, later DI container)
- Factories instead of “new”
- Highly testable

# Services 3

- Long helper function → service class
- Long static method → service class
- More glue code (but can be automated later)
- Testable code is more abstract than imperative (spaghetti vs ravioli; layer of indirection)

# Services 4

- `$survey->activate()`  
activated = 1, save
- Service: SurveyActivator, 1k LoC?  
application/models/services/survey/SurveyActivator.php
- *Object reification*  
"Object for a concept", or "Object for a problem"  
Further: "Patterns for expressing design intent in code"

# Services 5

- Example in branch `bug/15747-refactor-theme-converter-to-service-class`

# Maintainability

- “Possible to add new features without touching old code”
- Inheritance, events, reflection  
(new \$class, \$class → \$method)
- Hard!
- Further reading: The expression problem



# Docs and specs

- Would top-down design increase code quality?
- “Think before you do”
- UML?
- Use-case, scenario?
- Manual

# Stress

- High pressure → ugly code?
- When and why are we stressed?
- When and why do we compromise on code quality?

# End

- “Perfect is the enemy of good”
- “Good-enoughness”
- “Number of wtf” - subjective?
- Messy code that works > clean code that doesn't work?