

122 reasons why Vanity Fair's 10 facts are not facts at all

- but bold-faced lies, half-truths, innuendos and outright nonsense -

The same Maureen Orth who previously lied about Jackson giving alcohol to Richard Matura, having a "secret staircase" to his bedroom, bathing in sheep blood, paying \$150 000 to have 42 cows ritually sacrificed in Africa and assemble cows on the French-Swiss border to be killed, with that impressive history of objectivity on all things Michael Jackson, managed to get it all wrong again, about everything from the amount Jackson paid to Jordan Chandler to the posthumous accusers' motives.

Here's a detailed unmasking of all that is wrong with Orth's hitpiece erroneously titled "10 Undeniable Facts About the Michael Jackson Sexual-Abuse Allegations".

1. Robson's and Safchuck's stories closely mirror those of Jordie Chandler and Gavin Arvizo -- **No they don't**
2. Five young men sworn that Jackson molested them -- **All five are proven perjurers with ulterior motives**
3. Jackson targeted boys from troubled families -- **No, he did not**
4. The skillful grooming, the gifts, the seduction -- **Jackson's well-known kindness and generosity were not grooming**
5. The Jacuzzis – **Only Safchuck claimed anything inappropriate in any Jacuzzi**
6. The way the alleged sex was performed was the same in all 5 cases -- **No, it was not**
7. The alleged threats and fear were the same in all 5 cases -- **No, they were not**
8. Their dismissals followed a similar pattern: as puberty approached – **There was no such pattern**
9. Robson Safchuck say they were replaced with a younger kid – **No, they were not**
10. The sisters were put off to the side by Michael -- **No, they were not**
11. Jackson didn't love all children -- **Yes, he did**
12. The parents were whisked around in limos and private jets -- **Expensive car = guilty, cheap car = not guilty?**
13. Parents were taken shopping -- **Some were. Like numerous other people around Jackson**
14. Parents were treated to vintage wine from Neverland's cellar -- **Only one of them even claimed that**
15. Jordie Chandler's mother got a diamond bracelet -- **Not the only woman around Jackson who got jewelry**
16. Jimmy Safchuck's parents got a whole house -- **The moochers asked for a loan then failed to pay it back**
17. They received cars -- **Joy asked for it and Ryan White got a car too. So?**
18. Joy's wages were funneled through Jackson's company -- **Because Joy asked him for help**
19. Joy got a \$10,000 payment and a \$10,000 loan -- **Two loans, only paid back one and Jackson paid \$60 000 for Scott Schaffer's dental work. So?**
20. Robson Safchuck finally accused Jackson because the pain became unbearable -- **They didn't even claim that**

21. Jackson's supporters say Robson Safechuck made Leaving Neverland to get revenge on the estate not paying them -- **That's just one of their motives**
22. Why would anyone put himself through this? -- **Why do false accusers do it: money, fame, sympathy, revenge**
23. Robson and Safechuck are not being paid by HBO -- **The film supports their multi-million dollar lawsuits**
24. They had to come to grips with what happened to them -- **Rather made it up for fame and fortune**
25. Safechuck's Robson's families were destroyed -- **No, they were not**
26. For victims it is extremely painful to recall detail after detail -- **Those two "recall" them without showing any pain at all**
27. The details can trigger depression, nightmares, and P.T.S.D -- **Safechuck: "I don't have any bad memories"**
28. Jackson family filed a lawsuit against HBO, trying to prevent the documentary from being aired -- **No, they did not**
29. The two suffer the hate being hurled at them -- **They get far more support than hate, they enjoy all that support and fame plus they want millions**
30. Having children summoned the terrible memories up -- **No, it did not**

Here are 10 undeniable facts about the sexual-abuse allegations against Michael Jackson – lies, half-truths, out-of-context innuendos, lies by omissions are not facts

1. Jackson slept more than 30 nights in a row in the same bed with Chandler -- **No, he did not**
2. So far, five boys Michael Jackson shared beds with have accused him of abuse -- **Two or three of them never shared beds with him**
3. Jackson had the same nickname for Chandler and Arvizo: "Rubba." He called Robson "Little One" and Safechuck "Applehead." -- **Jackson had the same nickname for his own relatives and nicknames for kids adults alike**
4. Jackson paid \$18 million to Jordan -- **No, he paid \$15,331,250 million. Precisely.**
5. Jackson said he paid that sum to avoid something "long and drawn out." -- **He also said because he couldn't be sure justice would prevail. With jurors like Orth it most certainly couldn't have prevailed.**
6. Francia also received \$2.4 million from Jackson. -- **No, he did not. He testified in 2005, he was not silenced**
7. Chandler's description and the photos matched -- **No, they did not. To say the least.**
8. Jackson's hallway was covered by video -- **No, it was not**
9. Jackson's hallway was wired for sound so that the steps would make ding-dong sounds -- **No, they did not**
10. Jackson's bedroom alarm was installed because he was a pedophile -- **No, that was not the reason**
11. Jackson kept adult erotic material in a suitcase next to his bed – **He liked adult porn and the suitcase was not next to his bed**

12. Jackson had all that adult erotic material because he liked little boys -- **Pretty ridiculous theory**
13. He had S&M bondage photos and a study of naked boys -- **No, he did not.**
14. Forensic experts with experience in the Secret Service found the fingerprints -- **They had nothing to do with the Secret Service**
15. The fingerprints of the boys and Jackson were on the same pages -- **No, they were not.**
16. Jackson had bondage sculptures of women with ball gags where the boys slept -- **No, he did not.**
17. Debbie Rowe said she never had sex with Jackson -- **She said the opposite on twitter and Debbie was not the only woman in Jackson's life anyway**
18. Neverland staff said they didn't see any woman in his bedroom -- **Jackson and Lisa Marie did not live in Neverland and Lisa always said they had sex**
19. The parents of boys Jackson shared beds with were given myriad expensive gifts -- **No, they were not**
20. Two father committed suicide because of Jackson -- **No, they did not.**
21. Jackson told Martin Bashir there was nothing wrong with sharing his bed with boys -- **He did not mention boys**
22. Vanity Fair regrets and editing error -- **Oh, the irony. Good the see Vanity Fair is concerned about errors.**

Except when it comes to their pathological desire to make the world hate Michael Jackson

Orth says:

how closely Robson's and Safchuck's stories mirrored those of Jordie Chandler and Gavin Arvizo,

1. The opposite is true.

To believe all those allegations you have to believe Jackson changed his personality and modus operandi from boy to boy and even switched from liking only prepubescent boys to only liking pubescent boys

Contradictions between the five Michael Jackson allegations

<https://www.docdroid.net/rIUksxv/contradictions-pdf>

2. That their allegations having some similar elements is no surprise: the allegations were started by the Chandlers. Jordan Chandler's lawyer was Larry Feldman.

The Arvizos went to Larry Feldman to find out how they could make money by accusing Jackson of molestation.

Safchuck and Robson have the same lawyers and had access to both Arvizo's and Chandler's publicly available

allegations. In fact Robson's emails in 2012 prove when he was concocting his allegations he looked

at other people's allegations and even an anti Jackson website promoting previous allegations.

3. There is solid evidence that Chandler Robson Safechuck all used ideas from the same pedophilia fantasist Victor Guteirrez whom Jackson successfully sued for defamation.

Michael Jackson wins \$2.7 million in lawsuit

<https://www.deseret.com/1998/4/10/19373725/michael-jackson-wins-2-7-million-in-lawsuit>

Orth of course presents Gutierrez's fantasy book as factual. Nothing could be further from the truth. Gutierrez has a history of making up pedophilic anecdotes about Jackson and the boys he arbitrarily decided were his "lovers", as he calls them. whether they accused him or not.

Victor Gutierrez and his role in the allegations against Michael Jackson

<https://themichaeljacksonallegations.com/2016/12/26/victor-gutierrez-and-his-role-in-the-allegations-against-michael-jackson/>

Orth says:

bringing to five the number of young men who've sworn that Jackson showed them pornography, masturbated them, or introduced them to sex when they were between the ages of 7 and 12.

4. Orth doesn't care about sworn statements.

- She believes we should dismiss Robson's and Safechuck's sworn statements that Jackson never did anything sexual with them.

- The Arvizos admitted lying under oath in a previous case too (where they falsely accused a JC penny guard of sexual assault) and numerous lies under oath during the Jackson case.

Juror Ray Hultman talks about Gavin Arvizo's lies on the stand

<https://bit.ly/3hHz83N>

- Jordan Chandler never testified under oath , but Dec 28 1993 he submitted a sworn declaration when he was under 14 , hence couldn't have been prosecuted for perjury anyway. That declaration omitted his previous allegation that he masturbated Jackson about 10 times. This was after the photos revealed that Jackson was not circumcised while Chandler said that he was. "Forgetting" a particular sex act which would make it very unlikely that Chandler would not notice the foreskin was a little bit too convenient.

- Jason Francia's pretended on the stand he didn't know whether he ever signed a settlement, whether Jackson was ever charged for molesting him and pretended that his mother only learned of his alleged molestation in that courtroom (when it was her who threatened to sue Jackson in 1995). All undeniable

lies.

So we have five accusers who effortlessly lied under oath but Orth suggests because their allegations were under oath we should blindly believe them?

5. Beyond that what she says is not even true. Chandler never mentioned any porn neither did Francia. This fact alone contradicts the idea that Jackson bought those hetero magazines because he needed them to groom boys. He kept buying those magazines in 1992 1993 during the very period when he knew Chandler still Chandler never claimed that he saw any of them. He didn't even know Jackson had them.

During the Nov 2003 raid the police found those magazines in his suite.

Accepting that Jackson had them because he in fact was attracted to women would have undermined their allegations. So they claimed Jackson had them only to groom boys. But that was debunked in court when the two boys told contradictory stories about when and how Jackson supposedly showed them the magazines and Star Arvizo was caught on the stand lying about a magazine that was published after they left the ranch. It was also proven in court that the Arvizos had a habit of rummaging through other people's places, they did that in Jackson's suite too and found the magazines on their own, looked at them on their own, not with Jackson's "help". The Arvizos were also caught masturbating while Jackson was nowhere around and Simone Jackson testified that Star Arvizo demanded she take her bathing top off. When Simone refused Star called her a "bitch" and a "pussy". The Arvizo boys were not the innocent lambs the media and the prosecutors portrayed them.

Contrary to Orth's claim in this 2019 article even by 2020 Safechuck never *sworn* that Jackson showed him pornography, in fact he sworn in 1993 that Jackson never did anything inappropriate with him. In his sworn declaration in 2014 he mentioned nothing about porn:

Safechuck only claimed in Leaving Neverland that Jackson showed him porn, there he claimed he showed him porn videos in his LA condo, no mention of magazines though. No porn videos were found in Jackson's condo during the 1993 raid there.

Robson testified under oath in 2005 that Jackson never showed him any porn, in fact he didn't even know where those porn magazines came from and he was cracking a joke, yes a joke, while paging through those magazines.

No boy's fingerprints were found on those magazines except the Arvizo's who looked at the magazines on their own, behind Jackson's back.

6. Orth can't even get the age of the accusers right. Chandler and Arvizo only claimed that Jackson molested them when they were 13 years old, both already pubescent by the way, which contradicts Safechuck's and Orth's narrative that Jackson started to lose interest in boys when they hit puberty.

She also ignores the dozens of other boys aged 7- 12 who were in the same situation with Jackson as those accusers and are absolutely unequivocal that Jackson never did anything even remotely sexual with them. If Jackson had been into sex with 7-12 year old boys he couldn't possibly be so innocent with all these other boys, but they still say he was innocent:

Frank Cascio , Eddie Cascio, Aldo Cascio, Dom Cascio, Brett Barnes, Jonathan Spence, Corey Feldman, Ricky Segall, Taj TJ Taryll Donte Sigggy Rijo Jackson, Alfonso Riberio, Brandon Adams, Sean Lennon, Yoshi Whaley, Emmanuel Lewis, Omer Batthi Evan Ross James Bryton Macaulay Culkin Anton Schleiter, the Cohen brothers, David Agajanian

None of these men have a reason to lie. Unlike Jackson's five accusers who all ran to trial lawyers, not the police first, to seek how they could profit from accusing him.

As the legal advisor of Evan Chandler , Robert Shapiro said *"That is not the way victims act. "*

<https://www.foxnews.com/transcript/attorneys-shapiro-weitzman-weigh-in-on-the-jackson-verdict>

Orth completely ignores this actual real pattern : every allegation against Jackson originated with an adult who wanted to profit from it: Evan Chandler, Blanca Francia, Janet Arvizo, Wade Robson, James Safechuck.

Orth does not wonder: why them, of all people, out of the dozens of families who were close to Jackson? Why not Barnes, Lewis, Spence, Lennon, Cascio, Batthi who otherwise did the exact same things with Jackson as the accusers and in fact spent more time with him than Robson Francia Arvizo ever did?

Orth says:

So many details of each case were the same: the targeting of boys from troubled families,

Another myth.

7. How was Robson's family troubled in 1990 Feb when he claimed Jackson first targeted him? The whole family was invited to his ranch mother father grandparents two kids. There is no mention of Wade having an issue with his father in 1990, or him being neglected. No mention of Joy and Dennis having conflicts in Feb 1990. They were no more troubled than any average family. Dennis and Joy



separated much later. The Robsons in 1990:

In the birthday message played in Leaving Neverland and edited in a way to make it look sinister Jackson actually urged Robson to honor his parents on his birthday. Hardly the words of a man who wanted to exploit family troubles or the words of a man who wanted to push the kid away from his parents. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gxY5x2UAgoY&t=31s>

8. Safechuck claimed Jackson decided to target him in 1987.

How was Safechuck's family troubled in 1987? Stephanie did not claim they had marriage problems in 1987. James did not claim that his father neglected him, abused him or was absent from his life in any way. Safechucks in 1988



Why would Jackson target boys who had a mother and father let alone invite them both to the very place where he wants to molest their kids? He could have easily found boys with just one parent or no parent at all for that matter?

Should be noted that in reality the Robsons and Safechucks targeted Jackson. Jackson did absolutely nothing to contact Safechuck and Robson after their first meeting. It was Robson's mother who repeatedly called around to get a contact number to Jackson two years after their first meeting. And it was Safechuck who decided to write a letter to Jackson months after their first meeting. If Jackson had been a predator why wouldn't he give his phone number to those families after their first meetings? If it had been up to him he would have never met either of the Robsons or Safechucks.

9. The Chandler parents were divorced by the time they finally managed to get to Jackson in 1992 May (after trying it twice before), but according to June they asked Jackson to contact Jordan, not the

other way around and Jackson didn't even bother to call for a month. So who targeted who? Jordan had four adults behind him who cared about him: June Evan Natalie and Dave, why would Jackson waste his time on a boy like that where four adults should be groomed and fooled to get access to the boy? And what exactly what the "trouble" Jackson thought would be to his advantage? Jordan having a narcissist aggressive father who asked him to build a house? If he supposedly gave a house to the Safechuck to make them look the other way why didn't he do the same for Evan Chandler?

10. Arvizo claimed Jackson first targeted him in Aug 2000 then in Feb 2003.

The Arvizos, like the Chandlers Robson Safechucks, wanted to contact Jackson in the first place. They used Gavin's cancer to get close to Jackson, the mother, Janet, already consulted with lawyers about Jackson months before they even met!

Mother father were both on the ranch in Aug 2000, their first visit. However a Gavin complained that Jackson was avoiding him shortly after their first visit. In fact there was no contact between them at all for two years. Far from targeting them Jackson was not interested in having the Arvizos around at all. Had it not been for Martin Bashir's request to show examples where Jackson's helped kids, as he helped Gavin when he had cancer, and if Bashir had not wrongly suggested that Jackson molested Gavin, Jackson wouldn't have had any contact with the Arvizos ever again. The Arvizo's allegations were completely exposed as a ridiculous pack of lies in court, an undeniable fact Orth ignores.

By the time the supposed molestation happened in Feb 2003 Janet Arvizo was living with Jay Jackson whom Gavin Arvizo considered his father figure. The social worker who interviewed the family testified it was not a broken family. So in what way were they "troubled" in Feb-March 2003?

What "trouble" would make it easier for Jackson to molest Arvizo? Gavin having a mother who falsely accused a JC Penny guard of sexual assault?

11. Orth is trying to create a pattern where there was no such pattern at all:

the families whom Jackson befriended were both nuclear and single-parent, Culkins Barnes Cascios Batthis Cohens Brandos, nuclear, Spences Lewises, Lennons were single parent. ALL of them consistently said Jackson never did anything untoward, in fact they all spoke very positively about him.

The families who accused him were both nuclear and families when more than one parent cared about the kids. Hard to see why Jackson would specifically target those boys especially with aggressive parents, one of whom had a history of making sex abuse allegations!

Orth says:

the skillful grooming, the gifts, the seduction,

12. Where exactly would Michael Jackson of all people learn "skillful grooming"? He grew up in a fishbowl. Tatum O'Neill said even in this 20s he was so isolated. 100s of people were coming in and out of his life. He ended up with people who readily backstabbed him for some tabloid money, and Robson himself said "in many ways he was like a child who could barely work a microwave". Kit Culkin called

him *"inordinately shy"*, Mark Quindoy the *"shyest person in the world"*.

And we are supposed to believe this man of all people had such interpersonal skills and good radar for people that he somehow knew how to convince even grown men that oral and anal sex with kids is consensual and not wrong? There is no evidence Jackson had relationship with pedophiles so where and how would he learn "skillfully grooming" ?

13. Robson declares at the start of Leaving Neverland that Jackson was one of the most loving , gentle kind people he knew. And then he proceeds to call acts of kindness and loving gestures grooming. One wonders exactly what acts of kindness Robson and Safechuck and Orth would not call grooming? The reality is Jackson did similar things for countless people, those accusing families were nothing special.

14. If he was such a skillful groomer how come he cut of Evan Chandler , instead of trying to keep him on his good side? Evan Chandler losing access to Jackson was what triggered his plan to *"humiliate him beyond belief"*. First he groomed him then he decided to piss him off? How does that make sense?

15. Robson claims Jackson molested him the second day. (Or third depending of which version he prefers at any given moment) How is that skillful grooming , when Jackson barely knew that family and knew they would go back to Australia where he could not control Robson? Why would he take that huge risk? Robson's so called grooming story directly contradicts Chandler's who claims Jackson spent months to gradually groom him before he did anything sexual. Safechuck says an entire year passed by between the first alleged sexual act and the start of the alleged grooming. But Robson was brutally molested the second day?

16. What is the skillful grooming in the Jason Francia story? His allegation is that Jackson tickled him and touched his testicles out of the blue! Without any grooming at all.

17. Jackson gave gifts to countless people , those accusing families were nothing special, but they sure took full advantage of Jackson's giving nature and complained when they didn't get more.

 **Judi Brisse**
@JudiBrisse Follow ▼

Replying to @enews

I worked in the house at Neverland when the Safechucks were there, in the early 90's. They had no problem taking advantage of Mr.Jackson's generosity back then. Michael was never on property when they came. He chose not to be.

4:03 PM - 5 Mar 2019

726 Retweets 1,293 Likes



 102  726  1.3K 

 **Karen Faye**
@wingheart Follow ▼

I find these accusations by these 2 families the most heinous of betrayals. I spent time with all of them. Michael was so kind and giving to the entire families. I NEVER saw any hesitation, only total enthusiasm to spend every moment with MJ that they possibly could.

8:12 AM - 5 Apr 2019

119 Retweets 388 Likes



 7  119  388 

Grooming or a Generous and Lonely Soul?

<https://themichaeljacksonallegations.com/2019/03/15/grooming-or-a-generous-and-lonely-soul/>

17. What does Orth call seduction? There is not a single example, no letter, no note, no video, no audio, no lyrics, no phone call, where Jackson ever expressed any attraction toward boys, where he ever flirted with any of them, ever sexualized them in any way.

There are examples of him doing that toward females though as during this private call with Glenda Stein. A fact Orth likes to ignore, as it does not fit the narrative she is selling.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bSqsS2Rkww>

Orth says:

the Jacuzzi

18. Jackson did have a Jacuzzi in Neverland next to his pool, which were used by his guests adults kids alike but none of the five allegations included anything about that Jacuzzi, except Safechuck's, which is most based on Victor Gutierrez's ideas. Gutierrez supplied his fantasies about the Jacuzzi to ex employees Adrian McManus and Mark Quindoy and Charlie Michaels too.

Robson testified in 2005 that he and his sister once were with Jackson in that Jacuzzi with Jackson wearing swimming shorts and nothing inappropriate happened.

24 A. One time with my sister and I, my sister and

25 I and Michael, we went in the Jacuzzi at Neverland

26 Ranch.

27 Q. And do you know approximately when that was?

28 A. I don't. I can't say for sure. I have a 9100

1 feeling that it was within that first trip in '89

2 when I went there.

3 Q. Do you recall what Mr. Jackson was wearing

4 in the Jacuzzi?

5 A. From my recollection, he was wearing shorts.

6 You know, like swimming shorts. And that was it.

7 Q. Did anything inappropriate ever happen in

8 that Jacuzzi?

9 A. No.

His sister Chantal told investigator Scott Ross that Jackson was also wearing a T-shirt and apologized for his skinny legs and showed what the sun did to his skin. Him wearing a T shirt even in the Jacuzzi is more evidence that he was hiding his skin , not taking showers with Robson in the nude.

Ms. Robson recalled this conversation being in the Jacuzzi at the ranch with MJJ. She recalled MJJ was wearing swimming trunks, but believes he might have also been wearing a white tee shirt. She said Wade was also in the Jacuzzi with her and MJJ, with their mother present. She did not believe that her mother went in the water. She also recalled MJJ was embarrassed about his "skinny legs" and also recalls MJJ showing Joy Robson what the Sun had done to his skin. She was not certain, but remembers that he might have pulled up the shirt to show her. Ms. Robson also recalled this being in the daytime.

19. Chandler claimed that he took baths with Jackson in Monaco and Paris (no mention of Jacuzzi), but said nothing about how he reacted to his vitiligo ridden skin, nor did he explain why Jackson would want to molest him just when both he and Chandler were suffering from flu-like symptoms. His story is absurd especially since due to a March 1993 scalp surgery Jackson had a balloon growing under his skin on his head like this:



Therefore he never took off his hat between March 1993 and Aug 1993 while around the Chandlers. None of them mentioned seeing that bump on his head. So are we supposed to believe that Jackson took baths with Jordan with his hat on and Jordan just forgot to mention that?

20. Safechuck claimed he was molested in the pool and the Jacuzzi. He also want us to believe he just molested him out in the open in the pool where numerous employees could easily see that. Even though he said "not getting caught was fundamental" and he drilled him to "run away quietly" and set up a secure place in his suite all to avoid detection.

21. Robson is the only one who claimed that Jackson took *shower* with him. But he got that story from Blanca Francia who sold that story to Hard Copy for 20 000 in 1993. Then she admitted under oath in a sworn deposition in 1993 and 1994 that she only saw Jackson in the shower , nobody else. The shower story most likely was given to Francia by her friend Victor Gutierrez
Here they are together



Gutierrez also claimed Jackson took showers with Jordan Chandler, even though Chandler never claimed that, and also claimed Jackson was in the shower with Jeremy Jackson, a story Jackson successfully sued him for.

Robson submitted two contradictory versions of that shower story in court, which alone proves it's all made up.

Two Robson court documents, two different versions of supposedly the same abuse incident both told by the same "witness"

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Claimant's Additional Undisputed Material Fact	Supporting Evidence	Which one was it? Did she hear the shower running or the sounds of laughter and playing? Did she enter the bathroom to see if the water was running without anyone being there or did she peek to see where the sounds were coming? Did she only believe she saw a smaller figure or did she see Jackson and Robson naked, Jackson rubbing Robson and Robson's head pressed against Jackson's stomach area?
<p>82. On one occasion, Francia entered Jackson's bedroom and heard sounds of laughter and playing around. At first she thought the sounds might have been coming from the garden outside Jackson's bedroom, but then she peeked through the open door to Jackson's personal bathroom and realized the sounds were coming from the shower in the bathroom. She saw two pairs of underwear laying on the floor next to the shower and recognized them as Jackson's and Robson's. The water was running in the shower and Francia heard the radio playing. Francia heard two voices for about a minute which she recognized as Jackson's and Robson's. Although the glass shower door was fogged up and she couldn't see through it clearly, she saw a figure which she recognized as Jackson. She also believes she saw another smaller figure, which wasn't as clear as that of Jackson but was about the size of Robson. Francia left the room without speaking to Jackson and Robson, and she didn't tell Jackson that she'd seen him in the shower.</p>	<p>82. Francia Testimony, p. 5003:4-5012:5; p. 5133:11-5134:27 (Slater Decl., Exhibit A)</p> <p>15 33. Blanca Francia specifically witnessed an act of abuse between MICHAEL JACKSON and Plaintiff. As MICHAEL JACKSON's personal maid, Ms. Francia had a key to MICHAEL JACKSON's bedroom and was allowed to enter at any time. On the occasion in question, Ms. Francia went to MICHAEL JACKSON's room and, believing MICHAEL JACKSON was inside, knocked on the door. When MICHAEL JACKSON did not respond, Ms. Francia entered the bedroom and heard the shower running in MICHAEL JACKSON's bathroom. As MICHAEL JACKSON had the habit of leaving the water running when he was not there, Ms. Francia looked into the bathroom to see if that was the case. She then saw MICHAEL JACKSON and Plaintiff together in the shower naked, and MICHAEL JACKSON was "rubbing" Plaintiff. Although the bathroom was steamy and Ms. Francia could not see everything clearly, she could see that Plaintiff's head was pressed against MICHAEL JACKSON's stomach area. She also saw MICHAEL JACKSON's and Plaintiff's underwear lying on the floor next to the shower.</p>		

Robson's supplemental statement, filed Apr 10 2015

Robson's motion to amend the third amended complaint, filed Sept 09 2016

22. Jackson had vitiligo universalis, making him brown and white from head to toe. While Robson says he and Jackson took showers together this photo is shown in Leaving Neverland:



His face is white, his hands are brown. By this time his entire body was brown and white. And he was doing his best to hide that from everyone. As Deepak Chopra who was his friends during those very years when these accusers claimed Jackson showed them his naked body over and over again:

Michael had a skin disease called leukoderma, which created huge patches of white. He had, as a result, a very, very poor image of his body. He was almost ashamed of it. That's why he would cover it up. Why do you think he wore a glove and all that stuff? He would not go into his swimming pool in his own house with his clothes off. He would just jump into the pool at the last moment, you know, take his robe off, but he was ashamed that people would look at all the blotches on his skin.

http://content.time.com/time/specials/packages/article/0,28804,1907409_1907413_1907555,00.html

When Arvizo was asked on the stand if he knew about Jackson's brown patches he replied *"I didn't know about brown patches I just thought he was all white"*. Proving that he in fact did not see him naked, the story that Jackson walked in naked in front of them was pure fiction.

The fact that Jackson was shy, ashamed of his skin, was hiding his skin disease with clothes and makeup and that none of his accusers said anything about how they reacted to his that odd looking skin is one of the strongest evidence that those allegations are fabrications. Here's a black vitiligo patient describing how his skin disorder scared little kids:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=byAogVoMViE&t=654s>

23. Corey Feldman did claim that he was in the Jacuzzi with Jackson in the 80s before vitiligo took his skin over and Feldman was absolutely adamant that nothing happened and both were wearing bathing suits. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rdITa9Ulx2A&t=330s>

Feldman always maintained Jackson never did anything sexual with him or anyone he knew.

Orth says:

the way sex was performed

24. This is absolutely not true. Safechuck Robson Chandler Francia Arvizo all claimed different ways about how they were supposed molested.

Contradictions between the five Jackson allegations

<https://www.docdroid.net/rIUksxv/contradictions-pdf>

Orth says:

the fear and threats of what would befall them if they ever told anyone what Jackson had done

25. This is not true either.

Contradictions between the five Jackson allegations

<https://www.docdroid.net/rIUksxv/contradictions-pdf>

Orth says:

Their dismissals followed a similar pattern, too: as puberty approached

Absolutely not true.

26. Arvizo claimed Jackson only started to molest him in Feb 2003 (or March 2003 whichever version of his ever changing story he prefers at any time), by that time he was 13 and well into puberty, had a deeper voice and was almost as tall as Jackson.



Arvizo testified in court that when he was 10-11-12 Jackson was *avoiding* him! The exact opposite of what Orth says.

27. Chandler was 13 and pubescent when he claims Jackson started to molest him. From the book All that Glitters:

IN A WARM SPRING DAY IN 1992, pop icon Michael Jackson set out incognito on a leisurely drive down LA's boutique-studded Wilshire Boulevard. An innocent outing at first, but one that would by day's end bring him face to face with the loveliest pubescent boy he had ever seen —

He was not dismissed, their relationship ended when the Chandlers decided to make 20 million by falsely accusing Jackson in July 1993.

28. Robson claims that he was first replaced in 1991 Sept when Jackson supposedly started to spend more time with Culkin. But Robson was 9 in 1991, still prepubescent, so why would Jackson replace him then let alone with the two years older Culkin who insists he was never molested anyway.

Macaulay Culkin on Michael Jackson: 'I Never Saw Anything; He Never Did Anything'

<https://www.billboard.com/articles/news/8550799/macaulay-culkin-interview-michael-jackson>

Robson claimed that he was replaced, again, by Brett Barnes in 1992. But Brett is also older than Robson and Robson was not yet pubescent in 1992, he was 10. Then he says he was replaced again in

1993 with Chandler, who is also older than Robson! Robson was 11 in 1993, still prepubescent. So why would Jackson replace him, again, with an older pubescent boy? Robson also claimed that Jackson was still molesting him in 1997! By that time he was well into puberty! How does it make sense that he would replace him at age 9 but molest him still at age 14?

29. Safechuck claims in his declaration that he was not dismissed when he hit puberty at all, that he still spent a lot of time with Jackson when he became a teenager and his constant contact with Jackson only tapered off in the years after 1995, when he was already 17 years old and well beyond puberty. This is him with Jackson in 1994, supposedly after he was dismissed:



5 6. Continuing into the 1990's, when I became a preteen and then a teenager, I still
6 spent a lot of time with the DECEDENT, travelling with him, working with him, being his friend
7 and confidante, and in effect, being his regular companion. He was everything to me and my life.
8 I worked with the DECEDENT and saw and spoke to him all the time. I knew that we had a very
9 special relationship. That never changed even as I got older. The last working experience I had
10 with the DECEDENT was in 1995 when he and/or DOES 2 and 3 employed me as an
11 intern/shadow director for DECEDENT's "Earthsong" video. I was also a wardrobe double for
12 the DECEDENT, and I was actually in the video—my hand appears in the video punching the
13 ground.
14 7. In the years after 1995, my relationship with the DECEDENT changed and our
15 constant contact began to taper off. In 1997, when I was 19, I enrolled in Moorpark Community
16 College because I thought a community college would be easier for me to try to get good enough
17 grades so I could try get into USC. I was never able to do that, and was never able to get the
18 university education I had always wanted, because of the DECEDENT's overpowering influence
19 over me and my parents.

In Leaving Neverland Safechuck told a drastically different story: that Jackson replaced him with Brett Barnes in 1992. Except Brett Barnes is also one of Jackson's most ardent defenders who denounced Safechuck and Robson and Leaving Neverland. He was not molested at all.

Brett Barnes @IAmBrettBarnes Following

[#IKnewLeavingNeverlandWasBS](#) when I heard about it. 'Nuff said.

9:22 PM - 29 May 2019

236 Retweets 517 Likes

63 236 517



Brett Barnes @IAmBrettBarnes

I miss you, my brother 🥺

[#10YearsWithoutMichaelJackson](#) [#HonourMJ](#)

3:18 AM - 25 Jun 2019

225 Retweets 733 Likes

77 225 733

30. Orth also ignores Jackson relationship with other families and other boys, there is no pattern of him moving on to younger boys, he was friends with many families at the same time, some boys moved on when they got older some remained close to him well beyond puberty: like Frank Cascio, Emmanuel Lewis, Omer Batthi, James Bryton.

Orth says:

Robson and Safechuck say in the documentary, they were abruptly thrown to the curb and replaced with a new, younger kid.

31. That's one version of Safechuck's ever changing story and Robson didn't even claim that and cannot claim that because all the boys he said Jackson supposedly replaced him with were older than he was. Should be noted that during the same years while Jackson was friends with the Robsons and Safechuck he was also friends with the Cascios, Barnes, Agajanians, Batthis, Brandos, Lewises, Lennons, so exactly who replaced who? Who was thrown to the curb? Why doesn't Safechuck say that he was replaced with Robson in 1990, who was younger and supposedly became the favorite that year?

Orth says:


Even their families got similar treatment: the sisters were put off to the side by Michael,

Another lie.

32. Nowhere did Chantal Robson say that Jackson put her off to the side in fact she said she slept in Jackson's bed too and never felt that she was excluded.

Safechuck Francia didn't have sisters.

Lilly Chandler was always with Jackson when he was with Jordan except for a few occasions when Jordan visited Jackson's condo. In fact virtually all publicly known photos of Jackson and the Chandlers shows Jackson being affectionate with the sister, Lilly not Jordan:



33. Orth ignores the many girls who were friends with Jackson and conveniently ignores the woman who accused Jackson of molesting her between age 12-15, she had the same lawyer as Robson Safechuck. The woman went by the name Jane Doe, dismissed her own lawsuit. Her allegations were timed to 2016 Halloween specifically to generate some bed press when interest in Thriller goes up, thus pressuring the Jackson estate to settle. Jane Doe presented copies of checks which were paid for invoices as proof of hush money, as if that made any sense.

Orth ignores Jane Doe's allegations because it destroys the narrative she has been selling since 1993 that Jackson had no interest in girls. And if she admits that Jane Doe is a liar, she should admit that people do falsely accuse Jackson of rape even without the prospect of winning tens of millions, which Robson Safechuck Chandler all wanted. Jane Doe had the same lawyers as Robson and Safechuck. She is not mentioned at all in Leaving Neverland.

Woman Suing Michael Jackson's Estate, Says She Has Proof He Molested Her

<https://losangeles.cbslocal.com/2016/10/25/woman-suing-michael-jacksons-estate-says-she-has-proof-he-molested-her/>

She is not the first female who accused Jackson of rape. Michelle Flower did the same, further proving that people effortlessly told the most horrible lies about Jackson, even just for attention.

Michael Jackson denies an accusation by a woman who claims her 10-year-old son is the result of being raped by the pop star at a New Year's Eve party in 1982. **Michelle Flowers** of Modesto, Calif., filed a paternity suit against Jackson seeking \$20 million a year. The case will be heard Sept. 14. "It's unfortunate that people like this continue to use Mr. Jackson as a vehicle for their own personal monetary gain," his lawyer said.

34. Jackson didn't have the same kind of relationships with those five families: he was never friends with the Francias, Blanca Francia was an employee and Jackson kept a professional distance. He was never close to the Arvizos in fact he had no contact with them for years before the Bashir interview. He didn't spend much time with the Robsons either, Joy Robson testified during the time they were in the US between 1991 2005 they were with Jackson only four times in Neverland, all other times they were there alone not with him.

Jackson was far closer to the Barnes, Brando, Batthi, Cascio, Ross, Schleiter families, all of whom have nothing but good to say about him.

Michael Jackson: In search for the truth (documentary about Jackson's relationship with the Schleiter family)

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/mZvLw4VTeuT4/>

Orth says:

the supposed adorer of *all* children

35. It's an undeniable fact that Jackson adored children and babies in general since he was a child. It's Orth's false narrative that girls were not around him, they were. He was close to 7 year old Amy Agajanian, but Orth ignores that:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=31iq6SYhWxM>

Kellie Parker Lala Romero Sky Ferreria Nisha Kataria Marie Nicole Cascio Brandi Jackson Simone Jackson were other girls who hung out with Jackson. Kit Culkin wrote Jackson treated all his kids the same way, boys and girls alike:

I should here say that Michael ever seemed to genuinely like all of my kids (as they him), and quite equally so, I noticed, for I never found him in his visits or ours to be exclusionary or one to play favorites (holding one or some in higher esteem than the others; this sort of things). Indeed, he seemed ever to treat with them all quite democratically and as though they were all of them (himself included) brothers and sisters of equal standing. I have heard it reported that Michael always wanted to play only with boys (just as boys usually only want to play with other boys, I suppose), but I never noticed this to be true. My six year old daughter Quinn (who, as her name would imply, was my fifth child) was always included in the activities that Michael would often plan, and was always made to feel as though she was a part of the gang. As I say, Michael always treated my kids quite equally. I must contrast this with an experience that all of my children had during a weekend visit to the South Carolina estate of a big Hollywood producer; a fellow who at the dinner table sat himself down quite purposefully right across from my son Mack (as Macaulay was always called when being good), the better to direct his conversation all evening long (which he did) solely to this movie star sibling, while all but totally ignoring the others.

Neverland had paintings and statuettes of both boys and girls and babies alike. As Orth herself wrote about it, his upstairs room was called Shirley Temple room, because pictures of Shirley Temple, obviously.



Orth says:

the parents were whisked around in limos and private jets,

36. Jackson did not have a private jet. He traveled in both limos and SUVs and so did the parents who were with him. What is Orth's point with this? If he only had traveled in cheap cars that would not be evidence of molestation? If he had only traveled with the kids without their parents being there Orth would use that as evidence he had to molest them and kept the parents away. There is no claim that Blanca Francia Joy or Dennis Robson June Chandler or Evan Chandler or Stephanie or James Safechuck were "whisked around" in limos or jets just their own entertainment.

Ironically Joy Robson complains in Leaving Neverland that Jackson didn't come for them after they arrived in the US. There were no limo whisking her around.

Orth says:

taken shopping

37. Nowhere did Blanca Francia or Joy or Dennis Robson say that Jackson took them shopping. But even if he had what does this prove when Jackson was a shopaholic himself? He went shopping with the Cascio family too, does that mean he molested the Cascio kids?

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8E-pmUppNJQ&t=144s>



Karen Faye
@wingheart

Follow

I was not with him on that shopping excursion. Michael loved shopping. He loved looking around. He took me on quite a few. If you would dare say you liked something...he would buy it for you. He gifted me with art work, books, jewelry, shoes, CD's, etc. over the years. ❤️💔

MJHellas @MJHellas

@wingheart Karen can you share any information about the ring that J.S. claims he was given by Michael? Can you recognize the kid in the video: youtu.be/RV7-HDO5zb0 if you know anything about the shopping please tell us so we can confront further false claims about it.

9:11 PM - 12 Mar 2019

143 Retweets 627 Likes



19 143 627

Orth says:

and treated to vintage wine from Neverland's cellar.

38. Nowhere did June Chandler, Joy Robson, Blanca Francia or Janet Arvizo say that Jackson treated them to vintage wine. But even if he had, what would it prove? Jackson had a wine cellar. There were countless guests in Neverland. Should he have not served wine to them and that would somehow show he was not molesting anyone?

Orth says:

Jordie Chandler's mother got trips to Monaco and Las Vegas, along with a diamond bracelet.

39. Jackson gave jewelry to Liz Taylor and Karen Faye with too, and gave a watch to his driver Gray Hearn and a gold coin to Kit Culkin and generally gave away jewelry if someone liked it .

https://twitter.com/Mike_dropUK/status/1114934044180062210

So was Liz Taylor. She got \$600,000 worth of jewelry.

<https://www.showbiz411.com/2019/08/29/michael-jackson-flashback-king-of-pop-paid-marlon-brando-1-million-to-appear-and-speak-at-his-30th-anniversary-show>

How does that prove molestation? June Chandler didn't notice any molestation, and Jordan never claimed that she did. If Orth wants to say that June Chandler ignored molestation for a bracelet she is simply fantasizing.

40. As for trips to Monaco, Jackson went there to accept awards and took all three Chandlers with him just like he took the four Barnes, mother father sister brother and Cascios, mother father sister brothers with him in various places. Both of those families consistently stated Jackson was nothing but a kind gentleman. But Orth suggests those trips with the Chandlers had ulterior motives.

Orth says:

Jimmy Safechuck's parents got a whole house;

41. After they asked for a loan in 1992 which they failed to pay back by 1997, which could be the reason why Jackson distanced himself from this family of moochers and then why they started to hate on him. Stephanie Safechuck flat out lied about how they got that house, it's yet another false story they created for Leaving Neverland to make Jackson look guilty. What the actual documents and the timeline show is something entirely different. Here's the real story behind that house:

<https://twitter.com/MJJRepository/status/1141920594419691521?s=20>

Since Safechuck's story is that his parents genuinely believe Jackson was innocent what is Orth's point with this house? Nowhere did the Safechucks claim that they overlooked molestation for a house. James does not claim that either.

Orth says:

the documentary never mentions the cars they received

42. Actually Safechuck does mention a car Jackson gave him. Orth forgets to mention that Jackson gave Ryan White a car too, simply because he learned that Ryan liked Mustangs and wanted to cheer him up while he struggled with AIDS. The Arvizos also asked Jackson for a car. They also asked Chris Tucker and Azya Pryor for a car.

Ryan White with the car Jackson gifted him



The White family had nothing but good to say about Jackson:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bfSfumsCB8I>

43. As for the Robsons, Jackson gave them a 10 000 loan for a car.

22 Q. Do you recall telling an investigator that

23 you had gotten a loan from Mr. Jackson for \$10,000

24 and you tried to buy a car? Do you remember that?

25 A. He paid -- he paid for the balance of the

26 car.

27 Q. Mr. Jackson did?

28 A. Yes.

1 Q. That was \$10,000?

2 A. Yes.

To put this in context Jackson paid for the full medical coverage of Bela Farkas, who said Jackson was like a father to him and whatever he asked for he got it (he also has only good to say about Jackson) and paid for Scott Shaffer's full dental treatment just because he thought he would look better with

good teeth.

"When we got back from the Christmas break he had a driver come pick me up. I just thought we were going to go see him and he dropped me off in Beverly Hills where they gave me implants, surgery, braces. It was a lot of dental work - around \$50 or \$60,000. And he just did that as a gift."

and paid for funerals and medical treatment of total strangers after a school shooting.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vdbzd5h8dSA>

So why wouldn't he give 10 000 to an actual friend who asked for it? How does that prove molestation?

Orth says:

or the permanent residence visa that Wade Robson's mother testified in 2005 to having received by funneling whatever wages she had received through the Michael Jackson Corporation.

44. It was MJJ Production not Michael Jackson Corporation and what Orth "forgets" to mention is that Joy Robson stated under oath it was Dennis Robson's idea to move to the United States and Joy testified she asked Jackson for help so they could stay in the US legally and when they actually arrived in 1991 Jackson spent little time with Wade Robson, Joy Robson said in an interview Jackson "*spent much of the time away*"

<http://onwiththeshow.com.au/the-inside-story-on-life-in-michael-jacksons-shadow-1995/>

and during the entire time they were in the US there were only four occasions when they were with Jackson in Neverland.

Joy and Wade Robson actually complained that after they arrived permanently in Sept 1991 Jackson was more interested in spending time with Macaulay Culkin.

So what exactly does Joy Robson asking for help to stay in the US and her wages being funneled to Jackson's company to make their stay legal? It was the Robsons decision to move to the US and Jackson was a rare presence in their life.

Orth says:

Joy Robson also acknowledged accepting a car, a \$10,000 payment from Jackson, and a \$10,000 loan from Jackson's investigator.

45. A loan she testified she paid back to Pelicano and a loan she asked Jackson for but failed to pay back. Again, Jackson paid 60 000 just for Scott Schaffer's dental treatment. What does a 10 000 loan to Joy Robson prove?

Incredible that Orth "forgets" to mention the money Jane Doe claimed Jackson paid him, up to 900 000 dollar, since that story contradicts her believe that Jackson was only interested in boys. And if she admitted that Jane Doe is a liar *and* received 900 000 from Jackson unrelated to any molestation then

she undermines her effort to insinuate that Jackson giving money and gifts to the Robson Safechuck parents was to make them look the other way.

Jacko Gave Brando a Million Bucks

<https://www.showbiz411.com/2019/08/29/michael-jackson-flashback-king-of-pop-paid-marlon-brando-1-million-to-appear-and-speak-at-his-30th-anniversary-show>

Orth says:

Both Robson and Safechuck previously testified under oath that Jackson never touched them, but there is good reason to believe they are telling the truth now. Ron Zonen, a prosecutor in the 2005 trial who has tried many sex-abuse cases, told me he understood why Wade Robson and Jimmy Safechuck came out when they did, instead of “when we needed them.” Especially for male victims, he said, “it has to be on their terms. They finally decide to disclose when the pain becomes unbearable and it’s not going to get better until they talk to somebody and tell the truth about it.”

46. There are literally hundreds of reasons to believe that they are not telling the truth now, all of which Orth ignores. That's the number of lies, contradictions, ulterior motives, implausible and absurd claims in everything the Robsons and Safechucks accumulated in complaints, declarations, depositions, interviews and Leaving Neverland since 2012.

100+ holes in the Safechucks' claims against Jackson and his companies

<https://www.docdroid.net/DkAHTgG/safechuck-list-odt>

100 reasons why Leaving Neverland is a fraud

<https://twitter.com/uncledoodoo7/status/1237577175626215424>

Lies of Leaving Neverland

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CXOfz1YkWeA>

Leaving Neverland : Echoes of a Pedophilia Apologist

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qb5UVMwTC5g>

Debunking Leaving Neverland: The Gutierrez Factor

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=647&v=Gf7eVryHPm4

Neverland Firsthand: Investigating the Michael Jackson Documentary

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=m4trDbeFWTY>

Leaving Neverland (Take Two) - Full Documentary

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uw6T_05ZzoM&feature=youtu.be

Leaving Neverland: The Aftermath TCL Theater ver. | THEATRICAL CUT

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2hkNPmpudpc&feature=youtu.be>

Leaving Neverland: Lies & Contradictions

https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=9&v=wUL96jAnml8&feature=emb_logo

In search for the truth

<https://www.joyn.de/play/serien/akte-2020/2020-1-akte-spezial-michael-jackson-auf-der-suche-nach-der-wahrheit>

47. Of course the prosecutor who lost in 2005 won't admit that Jackson was falsely accused by greedy opportunists he enabled but Zonen is simply making things up.

Robson Safechuck didn't even claim in their court documents that they only accused Jackson in 2012 and 2013 because "*the pain became unbearable*". Rather they claimed they did not realize that all those horrific sex acts on a child was sick, abuse and not consensual sex. Robson even says that before that "realization" he was perfectly fine with what supposedly went on between him and Jackson. Safechuck told Oprah and in his declaration before seeing Robson come out with allegations he didn't think about it as abuse, didn't think it was sick.

To believe Leaving Neverland you have to believe that not one but two grown men who were repeatedly asked by police, lawyers, family, prosecutors if they were ever abused and saw like everyone else Jackson being accused of abuse were unaware that all those graphic sex acts they describe were in fact abuse, wrong, illicit and non-consensual.

3 Safechuck was unaware of the illicit, non-consensual nature of these acts and the harm which they
4 caused him until he sought therapy as an adult; and lastly, Safechuck relied to his detriment upon

Claimant/Creditor James Safechuck's Opposition to Demurrer of the Executors of the Estate of Michael Jackson to Claimant's Petition for Order to Allow Filing of Late Claim Against Estate (filed on December 3, 2014)

12 have to fight them together." I would play along just as I did when I was 11. I still did not believe
13 that I was sexually abused. I did not believe that I was forced. I believed that I was a consenting
14 participant in the sexual acts that Doe 1 and I engaged in. I also believed that I was absolutely fine
15 with what went on between us. My state of mind was essentially the same as when I was eleven
16 and I denied any abuse.

Wade Robson Declaration Apr 30 2013

Orth does not ask Zonen to name a case where two heterosexual grown men refused to speak out against their molester on trial or let alone defended him under oath because neither of them understood child rape was wrong.

Enough to look at the [#whyldidntreport](#) hastag on twitter to see how unprecedented it is what these two men claim. While kids may not understand it's abuse, while women who fell in love with the abuser may not see it abuse, but two heterosexual men who never fell in love with any male and who were supposedly raped by a male at age 7-14 would not understand that that was abuse not even when they see the "abuser" on trial, the entire media calls him an abuser, when they are grilled by parents, lawyers, detectives alike if they were ever abused, they would still not understand it's abuse?

There is no such case. It's absurd especially when considering that Robson claims he knew in 2005 that Jackson molested many boys and was afraid he and Jackson would go to jail if he talked. How could he believe what Jackson did was not wrong and believe he would go to jail for it at the same time?

Safechuck claimed that he told his mother in 2005 that he was abused and Jackson was a "bad man" but then he also claimed he only realized he was abused in 2013 after seeing Wade Robson accuse Jackson and sue his estate. Obviously both cannot be true.

48. Orth and Zonen both ignore the real reason why those two men only accused Jackson in 2012 2013: Robson already had financial problems in 2011, his mother admitted that in her 2016 deposition. In 2011 Robson sold Jackson's hat and gloves for 80 000 and kept the money. He begged his estate to hire him to direct MJ ONE but they rejected him. Without any project, any prospect for a steady income, with only a high school degree and mental issues he inherited from his father and angry at the estate for rejecting him Robson came up with a plan to accuse Jackson and profit from it:

- first by shopping a book where his manager asked for a lot of money
- then when nobody picked it up, filing a creditor claim, for money
- then when that was dismissed, pursuing a lawsuit against Jackson's company, again: asking for damages
- when that was dismissed making a shocking movie that would turn the public against Jackson and make it more likely to win damages if his case goes to court after the law changed
- they lobbied for that change, using the publicity surrounding the film
- setting up a fund in Jan 2019 and asking people for money and promoting himself as some sort of counsellor exploiting his newfound fame as a "brave Jackson victim".

Robson's financial motive to falsely accuse Jackson after 2012 is an undeniable fact.

49. Safechuck only accused Jackson when his family's business got sued for 800 000 in Apr 2013.

<https://www.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.cacd.564084.1.0.pdf>

Soon he magically "realized" that sex with minors was sick and wrong after all and contacted Robson's lawyers, in 2014 filed a creditor claim for money, after that was dismissed he filed a lawsuit for money, after that was dismissed he made a shocking movie to achieve the same as Robson: prejudice the American public against Jackson so much that the Jackson estate will have a hard time to get a fair trial, where he wants to earn tens of millions in damages.

Like all other Jackson accusations these two were made up by adults with an undeniable financial

motive to lie.

Orth says:

Jackson's hardcore supporters allege that Robson and Safechuck memorized details from the other boys' stories in order to get revenge after their own previous attempts to sue Jackson's estate for damages were thrown out of court (not because the charges had no merit but because the statute of limitations had run out

50. Actually it's not just for revenge but to boost their chance for a victory in court where they want to get millions of dollars. And they didn't just copy previous accusations they added even more horrific abuse acts which none of the previous accusers claimed making you wonder why would Jackson go that far with those two boys then be less brutal with Chandler and Arvizo. They adopted ideas not just from the previous accuser but anti Jackson website, Gutierrez, ex employee allegations too.

51. The creditor claims were thrown out because they couldn't lie themselves around the statutes of limitation: Robson tried, he lied under oath that he had no idea about the Jackson estate before March 2013, when in reality he sent them emails and talked to the administration in 2011. Safechuck tried by claiming he only realized he was abused in 2013, after he also said he told his mother he was abused in 2005. As for their lawsuits, they were dismissed because contrary to Robson's Safechuck's lies Jackson controlled those companies 100% not the other way around.

Orth says:

That seems far-fetched to me. Why would anyone put himself through this?

52.

- It is not far-fetched to her that two grown men claim they did not understand child rape was wrong until they realized that at age 29 and 35 despite seeing like everyone else Jackson on trial for such alleged wrongful acts, both of them coming up with that absurd excuse with the same lawyers
- it's not far-fetched to her that one of the most investigated man on earth somehow managed to get away with serial molestation without anyone finding any proof against him
- it is not far-fetched to her that both men talk about those sex acts without showing any distress, anger, trauma, even smirking , smiling
- it's not far-fetched to her that their allegations are so eerily similar to Victor Gutierrez's fantasies by just mere coincidence

but it's far-fetched to her that two men with money problems and a long held desire to get fame and adulation (both of them tried it in showbiz and failed) would make a movie that gave them more attention than they ever got and a chance to become multi millionaires without working?

People kill for much less and they certainly play the victim for much less. Just three examples where people lie about sex abuse even without the possibility of earning tens of millions with their lies

Carl Beech 'was given credibility because he was middle class'

<https://www.theguardian.com/uk-news/2019/jul/22/carl-beech-was-given-credibility-because-he-was-middle-class>

Girl uses Fifty Shades of Grey as basis for false rape claims against her father

<https://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/home-news/fifty-shades-of-grey-50-shades-false-rape-claim-false-accusation-incest-rape-a7189786.html?amp>

Police and prosecutors criticised after firefighter wrongly convicted of sex attacks solely on testimony of fantasist

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2016/07/20/police-and-prosecutors-criticised-after-firefighter-wrongly-conv/>

Orth ignores that that even two men and a boy and woman accused Jackson of abuse and rape when they never even met him. Orth didn't ask: Why would anyone put himself through this?

Judge: Jackson in California during claim

http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/life/people/2006-04-18-jackson-follow-up_x.htm

Lawsuit accusing Michael Jackson of molestation is dismissed

<https://www.dailybreeze.com/2008/01/14/lawsuit-accusing-michael-jackson-of-molestation-is-dismissed/>

Michael Jackson - Child Molestation Scam Exposed in Canada in 1995

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=47MF1p84Odo&t=4s>

Michael Jackson denies an accusation by a woman who claims her 10-year-old son is the result of being raped by the pop star at a New Year's Eve party in 1982. **Michelle Flowers** of Modesto, Calif., filed a paternity suit against Jackson seeking \$20 million a year. The case will be heard Sept. 14. "It's unfortunate that people like this continue to use Mr. Jackson as a vehicle for their own personal monetary gain," his lawyer said.

Michelle Flower, accused Jackson of rape for just some attention she was hungry for but Orth cannot imagine that two fame-hungry men suing for tens of millions would like to act like a victim in a world famous movie and get the support of people like Orth, the entire US and UK media, Hollywood, advocacy groups and millions of people?

53. Orth ignores what Robson himself wrote *"my story of abuse will make me relevant and relatable"* and that Robson stated he wanted to be an international superstar. So why would he put himself

through this? Because he loves the "relevancy" he got out of it and he wants to secure his financial future by robbing the bank of (the dead) Michael Jackson and because this narcissist person enjoys the power he has over Jackson's legacy and the revenge he gets on his estate for not considering him good enough to choreograph their show.

As for Safechuck, enough to look at how happy he was after they got an Emmy. Safechuck tried directing and a music career and failed. He saw firsthand the fame Jackson had and wanted to experience something similar. He became active on Instagram after he accused Jackson and does not miss a chance there to promote himself as a victim. If Jussie Smollet and Tanya Head pretended to be victims for sympathy and attention what makes Orth believe Safechuck would not do that especially when his family's business got in financial trouble due to this lawsuit?

<https://www.courtlistener.com/recap/gov.uscourts.cacd.564084.1.0.pdf>

Is it just a coincidence that four out of those five accusers are all showbiz failures?

- Evan Chandler, the wannabe screenwriter
- the Arvizos (where Janet named Star Star thinking he will be an actual Star)
- Wade Robson, the wannabe international superstar
- James Safechuck the wannabe director/musician?

These people look at the ultimate showbiz success, Michael Jackson, and have an attitude "if I can't have it so should he". Robson Safechuck enjoys destroying Jackson's legacy just like Evan Chandler enjoyed, admittedly, that he killed Jackson's movie dreams.

Orth says:

Robson and Safechuck are not being paid by HBO.

54. Just because they say that doesn't mean it's true. There is no question all those behind Leaving Neverland want to profit one way or the other. It was *sold* all over the world and got almost Star Wars level global promotion. If Channel 4 HBO Amos and Dan Reed just wanted to educate the public about how pedophiles operate they could have made a documentary about any of the countless convicted pedophiles, no need to give a massive platform to two serial perjurers who are attacking a dead never convicted man.

Orth never explains why this film was made at all? For what purpose if not to make a lot of money and and at the same time destroy his legacy at the 10th anniversary of his death, an objective the British and American media have had for a long time?

Leaving Neverland reveals itself to be a continuation of the anti-Michael Jackson narrative bias in news media

<https://www.top10films.co.uk/52219-leaving-neverland-weaves-a-provocative-narrative-but-is-it-just-one-big-lie/>

Why would these two men of all people deserve this much attention, when there are 1000s of genuine

victims who don't get even 1 minute on TV? The answer is: because they accused Michael Jackson. 55. It's deeply dishonest to say they cannot have a financial motive unless they are paid by HBO. They are suing for millions as we speak and when they made the film they had an ungoing appeal. Robson Safechuck, their families and their lawyers all have a vested financial interest to demonize Jackson as much as possible.

Orth says:

They had to come to grips not only with what happened to them but also with the complicity of those closest to them.

56. That could only be true if they had been molested. But the evidence shows they were not and made up the stories for fame and fortune. And if there had been any cover up Robson and Safechuck would have been part of that cover up as even as grown men they supported Jackson's innocent even when he was on trial.

Orth says:

That kind of stress can and does destroy families.

57. What is the evidence that their families are destroyed? They are doing fine. They are on the same page: all against Jackson. However, Joy Chantal and Shane Robson are all in debt.

<https://twitter.com/MJJRepository/status/1188669291161370624>

They too have financial motives to lie on Jackson.

The Safechuck family business got sued for a lot of money, quite possibly they are in debt too.

Robson family is selling autographed Michael Jackson memorabilia

Admin Robson V. Estate 30 August 2015 Hits: 5888

Like 411 Share 411 Tweet G+ Share

On August 29 2015 , on the date that would have marked Michael Jackson's 57th birthday, fans realized a MJ autographed item was listed on eBay recently. Item description stated this picture was hanging on the wall of MJ's recording studio. MJ took it off the wall and signed the back of it. Item was listed as still in good condition.

Back to home page | Listed in category: Entertainment Memorabilia > Autographs-Original > Music > Rock & Pop > Photographs



Michael Jackson Original Photo. Framed and Autographed.

1 viewed per hour

Item condition: --
Time left: 21d 19h 20. 1:30PM

Price: **US \$1,100.00**

Buy it Now

Add to cart

Best Offer:

Make Offer

6 watching

Add to watch list

Add to collection

Free shipping

Located in United States

Best offer available

Shipping: **FREE** Standard Shipping | See details
Item location: Playa del Rey, California, United States
Ships to: United States

Add to watch list

Seller information
chantal818 (2)

Follow this seller
See other items



29 top

Seller's account name was Chantal818 and item was located at Playa del Rey, California. The asking price was \$1,100. It looked like every other item listed for sale on eBay. That's until you look to the 5th picture. The inscription of the picture read " **To WADE the Best friend in the universe Love Michael Jackson**".

58. Orth ignores that Chantal and Joy Robson both liked pro Jackson posts in Facebook after Wade accused him and Chantal was selling Jackson's picture and medallion on ebay after Wade accused him. Joy Robson kept the nickname Jackson gave him even after Wade accused him. Is that consistent with her believing that Jackson was a monster?

Since Stephanie Safchuck says that she learned James was abused in 2005 it's odd that she still kept all those photos and videos of Jackson for years after that. Even a photo she took showing Jackson in bed.



While Joy Robson said she got rid of everything related to Jackson after Wade accused him (a lie, obviously) Stephanie apparently kept a photo of her son's "molester" in bed for years after she supposedly danced when he died.

Wade Robson also kept the items he burns at the end of Leaving Neverland for years after he accused him. Why, if after May 2012 he indeed thought Jackson was a "horrible dude"?

Orth says

Anyone who has spent time hearing victims tell their stories of sexual assault knows that it is extremely painful to recall detail after detail.

59. Except Robson Safchuck don't look like it was painful for them to talk about those details at all which is one of the many reasons why they are not believable. This video compares their non-verbal expressions to those of real victims while talking about abuse, the ease with which Robson Safchuck talk about those sex acts, with Safchuck even smirking and smiling and saying I don't have any bad memory show how these two men, who both studied acting in the past, performed scripted lines:

Leaving Neverland - Robson & Safchuck vs real abuse victims

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hFdnhmRpdFE>

The editing of Leaving Neverland also shows that their interviews were put together from small chunks, after who knows how many takes, Dan Reed claimed about 50 hours of outtakes. In fact the editing is so deceptive that alone exposes the film as a fraud:

Leaving Neverland - evidence of multiple interview takes

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7TQ9Tpc -NU>

Leaving Neverland 's suspicious editing

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z7f 9O0P1XA>

Orth says:

You never know which one will stick in your mind, causing depression, nightmares, and P.T.S.D.

60. Which is why it's very odd that Robson was happily dancing to Jackson's song in 2012:


https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=1&v=rva8LnJ5j-o&feature=emb_logo

And posed happily smiling with Kevin Lipsey on the set of Leaving Neverland. Lipsey said Robson only said good things about Jackson to him on the set in 2018, but when the cameras started to roll he began to play the victim. Literally, play, like actors play a role.

Interview with Kevin Lipsey

<https://soundcloud.com/freespeechbroadcasting/2019-06-09-1-kevin-lipsey>

Here's Robson with Lipsey and others on the set of Leaving Neverland. Keep in mind Robson knew that Lipsey liked Jackson's music.



And why his claim in court that he can't do dance, music, film anymore because he associates those with abuse and trauma do not hold any water. In reality he was releasing music, directing dance videos and dancing at workshops, even taught his son to dance using Jackson's philosophy during the very period when he insisted in court that he can't do any of those ever again.



9 81. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' failure to protect Plaintiff from
10 MICHAEL JACKSON's acts of childhood sexual abuse, rather than continue on his career path
11 and become an international superstar, Plaintiff now only associates the entertainment industry
12 with the psychological injury, illness and damage resulting from Defendants' despicable conduct.
13 Plaintiff has been unable to work and has been forced to decline many prestigious and lucrative
14 job offers, such as directing the opening number for the Academy Awards (Oscars), major
15 worldwide tours for major recording artists, and various stage and stadium concert productions
16 for other superstars. In addition, Plaintiff is unable to continue writing songs or producing music,
17 as well as being unable to continue performing and directing in any manner or capacity
18 whatsoever.

Conveniently when it became obvious that his lawsuit would get dismissed Robson declared himself "healed" to explain why he could dance again. More likely he needed the money as he saw his chances of getting money from the Jackson estate slip away in 2017.

Did Orth forget that Safechuck says in Leaving Neverland, talking about masturbation, with a smirk on his face *"I don't have any bad memories just except I couldn't pee"*. Then he said the mock wedding *"felt good"*. Didn't she find that odd? Doesn't sound like someone who has nightmares over those alleged acts.

Orth says:

The Jackson family, for its part, has filed a \$100 million lawsuit against HBO, trying to prevent the documentary from being aired.

Two more lies.

62. The Jackson estate is not the Jackson family and the estate not the family filed a petition to arbitrate a 1992 contract a Jackson company (now part of the estate) had with HBO where HBO agreed not to disparage Jackson.

63. It was not filed to prevent the documentary from being aired but to hold HBO accountable for breaching that contract. In no way could that petition prevent the documentary from being aired. Orth of course does not question why HBO is so against public arbitration if they have nothing to hide.

Judge Sides With Michael Jackson Estate in 'Leaving Neverland' Dispute

<https://variety.com/2019/biz/news/judge-michael-jackson-estate-leaving-neverland-1203342191/#comment-6168330>

Orth says

Given all the money and vaunted reputations at stake, that may have seemed like the best course of action, lest viewers see and judge for themselves why two men would go to these lengths and suffer the hate being hurled at them.

64. They don't care about what the Jackson family or Jackson fans things because they have no power.

Vanity Fair People Washington Post Vulture Variety Rolling Stone New York Times New York Post CNN TMZ Daily Mail Mirror Sun BBC Channel 4 HBO CBS NBC FOX Telegraph Guardian Independent Oprah USA Today Newsweek Slate BuzzFeed LaTimes the Emmys BAFTA have power. Collectively they control the minds of the masses and they like Orth refuse to report any of the evidence that clearly proves these men are serial opportunist liars. Never before did Robson and Safechuck get so much love and support and fame and adulation and sympathy. And they enjoy every minute of it. Why else have a full photoshoot with Billboard as if they are some kinds of boyband? Can Orth name any other case where sex abuse accusers posed like this for Billboard?

<https://www.billboard.com/photos/8503185/leaving-neverland-photos-billboard-cover-shoot>

Safechuck at the Emmys:



Orth says:

The experience of having children summoned these tangled and terrible memories up from the depths of their psyches and spurred the need to come clean, to point their moral compasses north. Now it's the public's turn.

65. Orth doesn't find it far-fetched that two men with the same lawyers would come up with the same implausible explanation? Both of them were already fathers before they decided to accuse Jackson. Robson was a father already when he sent this email to the Jackson estate basically begging them to hire him as he wanted to make the MJ ONE show "amazing for Michael":

From: **Wade Robson** wajero@me.com
Subject: Francois
Date: May 21, 2011 at 1:28 PM
To:

Francois,
Whichever way this goes, this is a long overdue call.

My first mistake was that I imagined I had the capacity to give all of myself to the MJ Cirque Show, Directing my first film and being a brand new Father.

I always wanted to do this MJ show, badly. But then when I needed to come to that Montreal meeting with ideas, I knew that I did not have an iota of creative space to think about ideas for the show at that time. I was so 100% consumed by the directing gig.

I didn't say anything to you when we spoke last because I was still trying so hard to convince myself that I could do it. Because I wanted to do it so badly.

But after we spoke, I came to the realization that because of the directing gig, I couldn't give to the show as I needed to. So I pulled out of your show.

Now, I absolutely did the wrong thing by not calling you, explaining to you why and apologizing. I know that and I am extremely sorry. I was not myself for the last couple of months. That's the only way I can explain it. But no excuses. My bad.

Look, the Directing gig didn't work out. It was consuming me in an unhealthy way that I wasn't ok with being a brand new father. Maybe it just wasn't the right time. Maybe I just wasn't ready to direct a studio film. Either way, I removed myself from the project.

I am really sorry for the back and forth. But now that I no longer have the film conflict, I'd love nothing more than to choreograph the MJ show. I understand completely you being upset and nervous about that idea now.

But if you are at all interested in the possibility, I promise you 100% that this time, once I'm in, I'm in. You will not have to worry about my commitment level ever again. I have learned a lot through this process. I have learned what I did wrong. Mistakes that I have made. This has all happened for a reason. I know that I am meant to do this show. I am passionate to do this show. I want to make it amazing for me, for you, for Cirque and of course, for Michael.

Why should I believe that this job is not going to be too much for you?

Because of all that I have learned through this process. Directing a film was a completely new realm that I ended up not being ready for. But dance and choreographing is what I have done my entire life. I know how to do this. And there are very few subjects I know more about than Michael Jackson.

Wade Robson
Director / Choreographer
Light Tree Productions...
waderobson.com



Neither of them claims repressed memories in fact they insist they never forgot anything that Jackson supposedly did to them. Both of them were around other kids many times before 2012/2013, Robson even said he saw Jackson's kids around him and instead "summoning the terrible memories from the depth of their psyches" he supposedly wanted those kids to be exposed to this supposed predator and supposedly testified to "save" Jackson because he didn't want his kids to be without a father.

Does Orth indeed believe that Robson Safechuck needed to have their own kids to understand that oral and anal sex with a child is wrong, abuse and not consensual sex?

Orth says:

To help viewers contextualize the documentary's presentation of what ultimately amounts to a narrow slice of a sprawling saga, here are 10 undeniable facts about the sexual-abuse allegations against Michael Jackson.

Except just like the first part of her article these are not facts, but half truths, bold faced lies, innuendos, things taken out of context and twisting innocent things into something sinister.

Let's see them one by one:

Orth says:

1. There is no dispute that, at age 34, Michael Jackson slept more than 30 nights in a row in the same bed with 13-year-old Jordie Chandler at the boy's house with Chandler's mother present. He also slept in the same bed with Jordie Chandler at Chandler's father's house. The parents were divorced.

66 No, Jackson did not sleep in June Chandler's home for 30 days *in a row*, and Orth would know that if she had read June Chandler's own 2005 testimony. June testified that Jackson stayed in her house more than 30 times but one or two weeks at a time not *in a row*.

18 Q. And do you recall on how many occasions Mr.

19 Jackson spent the night at your residence?

20 A. I would say more than 30 times.

21 Q. And were some of those occasions on

22 consecutive days or nights?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And how long consecutively do you think that

25 that occurred?

26 A. Oh. **It could be a week or two at a time.**

When Gary Hearne, Jackson's driver was asked about this oft repeated talking point in a 2016 deposition he said he was asked how many times he took Jackson to June's house. His best estimate

was 20-40 times. But that was the number of times not the days in a row. This was over a period of three months, between Apr and July 1993

20 Q Okay. And it's my understanding it was at
21 least a month's worth of nights in a row that you took
22 him there, correct? 30 days?
23 A I remember that was the -- one of the headlines
24 in the paper about that testimony. That's why I remember
25 the number. I think the question I was asked was my best

163

Jilio-Ryan Court Reporters
ph. 714.424.9902 info@jilioryan.com

AA - 1797

Hearne, Gary
Robson v. MJJ Productions, INC.

1 estimate of the number of times. And I said it could
2 have been 20, 40. I don't -- I didn't know.
3 Q Okay.
4 A I was working seven days a week and the days
5 just kind of ran together.

Beyond exaggerating, Orth omits key facts to make it look like Jackson repeatedly molested Jordan in June's and Evan's homes day after day.:

67. Jordan's bed was a large double bed and Jackson shared it the same way as he shared beds with his cousins, nephews or Brett Barnes who even looked like Chandler and who is one of Jackson's most ardent defenders, considering Jackson his brother. He was asked in 1993 what this bedsharing meant and he spontaneously replied *"he slept on one side I slept on the other and that was it"*.

Michael Jackson - Brett Barnes supports MJ 1993

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UOr2NpMIDnU&t=1s>

This is exactly how Jackson shared a bed 1000s of times with his brother Marlon growing up and as odd it is that's the way he looked at bedsharing even as an adult. Jackson's non-sexual views on bedsharing was not the only aspect of his childhood he retained as an adult. One could call this a form of mental illness, but it's undeniable that Jackson genuinely didn't want to grow up. Jordan Chandler himself said "he believed he was a child". That is not pedophilia.

Orth completely ignores why Jackson didn't consider mere bedsharing sexual, one looks at how he grew up and it's easy to understand:

Three Reasons Michael Jackson Had Sleepovers (With Girls, Boys, and Adults)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CW7XAQc6i_E

Sleeping with the kids from an African American viewpoint

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i2g5krXazYs&feature=emb_logo

Jackson sharing a bed with Jordan in June's home, no matter how often, does not prove sexual abuse especially when no forensic or taped evidence proves it (Evan claimed he bugged that room in June's house) and the idea that Jackson would molest Chandler in his mother's and father's home dozens of times when both parents could walk in any moment contradicts Orth's other argument that Jackson installed an alarm in Neverland because he wanted to prevent detection.

There was no alarm in June's and Evan's homes, no locked doors either. Orth can't have it both ways: say Jackson was very concerned about getting caught in Neverland but was not concerned about that at all in the parents' homes. It makes no sense.

68. In Evan's home Chandler slept on a bunk bed and Jackson slept on a trundle rolled from beneath the bunk bed, even Evan himself put him there in the first place, for that matter.

Evan went upstairs to bed early that night. He opened the trundle for Michael in the boy's room, and then went to talk things over with Monique. Michael and Jordie had been off in their own little world all day, as if Evan didn't exist. This was a complete turnaround from the previous weekend, and it left Evan hurt and confused.

And after he drugged him and interrogated him under the influence on that trundle and even in this uninhibited state Jackson's statements were so not incriminating that at the end of the session Evan concluded he was asexual.

Given Michael's willingness to talk openly about everyone else's sexuality, his consistent denial about being gay reinforced Evan's belief that the singer was asexual.

Evan claimed that he walked in and saw Jordan and Jackson in the same bed spooning but he told two contradictory versions of that supposed scene in his chronology and in his book and he never mentioned either version to Dr. Abrams or in court while trying to get custody of Jordan. The scene was just as made up as the rest of the Chandlers' molestation story.

Why the supposedly super careful paranoid Jackson (as Safechuck described it) would risk getting caught by the father in his own home is not explained by Orth. There was no alarm in Evan's home.

69. The implication is that Jackson stayed in those homes because he wanted to molest Jordan. But as usual Orth ignores Jackson's pattern on behavior. He also stayed for months with the Lewis family, he had sleepovers with Lewis too, and Lewis to this day has nothing but good to say about Jackson. Unlike the Chandlers who had 20 million reasons to lie and where Evan June Jordan Ray Dave and their lawyers all wanted to profit from the claim that Jordan was molested.

Lewis has no reason to lie.

Interview with Emmanuel Lewis

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ly5GqrQPvhl&t=5s>

He shared a bed countless times with Brett Barnes, who like Lewis regards Jackson as his brother. Barnes spoke out against Jackson's false accusers on twitter and sent a letter to HBO to ask them to remove him from that propaganda film.

Barnes, unlike Robson Safechuck, has no reason to lie.

Michael Jackson's Boyhood Friend Defends Singer Amid 'Leaving Neverland' Claims

<https://theblast.com/michael-jackson-leaving-neverland-brett-barnes/>

He also slept over in the Cascio's home, who also never doubted his innocence:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8E-pmUppNJQ&t=161s>

Frank Cascio: My Friend Michael

<http://jetzi-mjvideo.com/books-jetzi-02/11mfm/11mfm20.html>

He had a sleepover in Ricky Segall's home too, Ricky also describe Jackson as sweet, innocent childlike person, not at all the sex crazed monster Orth depicts him.

Me & Michael Jackson

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=kTPuP34SUxQ>

Corey Feldman also described his sleepovers with Jackson as totally innocent:

Then and Now: Corey Feldman's Untold Story About Michael Jackson

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IK0YSnG40RY&t=2s>

Macaulay Culkin testified he shared a bed with Jackson and he also has been unequivocal that nothing sexual happened.

Macaulay Culkin on Michael Jackson: 'I Never Saw Anything; He Never Did Anything'

<https://www.billboard.com/articles/news/8550799/macaulay-culkin-interview-michael-jackson>

So Jackson merely sleeping over in someone's home or sharing a bed with a boy is not evidence of molestation. But it certainly made it very easy to falsely accuse him as most people have sex in bed and associate sleeping in a bed with sex. The accusers don't have to do anything but list various sex acts and they are instantly believed because most people associate bedsharing with sex.

Orth says:

2. So far, five boys Michael Jackson shared beds with have accused him of abuse: Jordie Chandler, Jason Francia, Gavin Arvizo, Wade Robson, and Jimmy Safechuck. Jackson had the same nickname for Chandler and Arvizo: "Rubba." He called Robson "Little One" and Safechuck "Applehead."

More falsehoods and baseless innuendo. This is also an example how Jackson's childlike innocent is twisted into something sinister by cynical minds.

70. Francia never shared a bed with Jackson. Francia testified in 2005:

18 Q. Okay. You did tell the sheriffs in your

19 first interview you had never spent the night with

20 Mr. Jackson, right?

*21 A. **I never slept in his bed with him.***

Francia claimed tickling and fondling in Jackson's condo but his mother testified that they never stayed for the night in that condo and that there were never beds in that place anyway.

22 Q. Okay. Let me ask you about the hideout. At

23 the hideout, you had mentioned that there wasn't a

24 bed. What did Mr. Jackson sleep in?

25 A. He sleeps in a sleeping bag.

26 Q. All right. The whole time that he was at

27 the hideout?

28 A. Yeah. There was no bed. 5015

1 Q. I'm sorry?

*2 A. **There was no bed there.***

3 Q. Was there ever an occasion that you and your

4 son stayed the night at the hideout?

5 A. No.

Both occasions when Jason alleged he was molested in that condo his mother was also there. In fact she claimed she walked in on them while the two watched cartoons on a sleeping bag. Jason's accusations prove that Jackson even if Jackson had not shared beds with any kid he could have been easily accused of sex abuse, even if the parent was right there in the same place.

71. Jackson told Martin Bashir that he never slept in a bed with Arvizo, in Aug 2000 during their first visit Arvizo his brother slept on the bed and Jackson and 20 something Frank Cascio slept on the floor. Jackson wanted Cascio to stay there as an adult witness after Gavin Arvizo asked him to let him sleep in his room, while Jackson didn't want to offend Gavin by turning him away he didn't trust the mother, to make sure they could not frame him he asked Frank as a witness.

Frank Cascio explains why MJ let Gavin Arvizo sleep in his bedroom

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oeqBDXgbg5c>

In Feb March 2003, Arvizo alleged that he slept in a bed with Jackson upstairs but there is no evidence of that, other than him and his brother saying it and Jesus Salas testified that he never saw the boys stay upstairs only downstairs. To the DCFS caseworker both Star and Gavin said they never slept in a bed with Jackson., but fell asleep on his bed while he was in the room. Jackson slept on the floor and he was never alone with Gavin, a third party was always present.

From the DCFS report, Nov 26 2003 based on interviews with Gavin Star and Janet Arvizo on Feb 20, 2003.

8 . . . [] Question: []Have you ever slept in a bed with Michael Jackson? []
9 [Answer:] []No, I've never slept in a bed with Michael Jackson. Sometimes my
10 brother and I fall asleep in a bed with Michael Jackson. Sometimes my brother
11 and I fall asleep on the bed with our pajamas or our clothes on. Everybody
12 sleeps in Michael's room together because it's so big. Nothing has ever
13 happened. My mother is always aware of what goes on in Neverland. [] Child
14 Gavin denied all the allegations of sexual abuse of Michael Jackson. He denied
15 that he'd ever slept in bed with Michael."

02-20-03,

As per the sexual abuse allegations, the mother stated that her children are never left alone with the entertainer. She further stated that her son has slept in the same room as the entertainer but they did not share a bed. The entertainer would sleep on the floor.

72. Safechuck does claim that he shared a bed with Jackson but his complaint is all over the place regarding how that supposedly came about. And Mark Quindoy, who worked in Neverland during the period when Safechuck visited it in 1989 1991 said that the kids slept in the upstairs bedroom , the Shirley Temple room. <http://index.geraldo.com/page/servants-to-the-stars>

While Safechuck claims that Jackson messed up that upstairs bed to fool the staff and they slept downstairs in the bed together. Which contradicts his other story that Jackson molested him in the closet so three doors would protect him. Why would he then molest him in the bed downstairs which was instantly visible from the suite's door, especially since he gave the key to that door to his maid? Safechuck also claimed abuse on beds which provably did not exist, in the castle and the arcade. <https://twitter.com/Hammertonhal/status/1113882531915603969>

73. Chandler Robson did provably share a bed with Jackson , so did Robson's his sister. (Robson also claimed he shared a bed in Jackson's Westwood condo, the very place Robson's "witness" Blanca Francia testified never had a bed). However, as explained above just because Jackson shared a bed with someone does not mean he had sexual contact with him or her. By saying "so far" Orth suggests that the other boys who had sleepovers with Jackson were also molested they just have not accused him yet. But in reality since Jan 2019 numerous boys and girls who had sleepovers with him came forward to once again make it clear Jackson never did anything. To believe that all of them are lying, when it is the easiest thing to accuse the dead Michael Jackson and one could make lost of money doing so is preposterous , to say the least.

74. Regarding the nicknames, Jackson actually had the same nicknames. Rubba, Rubbahead, Applehead, Doo Doo head for a lot of kids and even adults including his own relatives and kids who always defended him. The nickname Rubba originated in the studio, Jackson called a basso sound rubba, confirmed by Brad Sunberg:



Seth Corbin Hi Brad I saw this on an MJ forum. Is this true?

Final tidbit--the name 'rubba' was a word MJ used in the studio: "We need more rubba." Brad said rubba usually meant more bass--like a rubber band, the bass strings, but it could also mean more synth.

Like · Reply · 1y · Edited



In The Studio With Michael Jackson True! ...

Like · Reply · 1y

Jackson's former assistant Mary Coller testified that Jackson and Quincy Jones used the name Rubba as an endearment. She said Jackson called multiple kids Rubba. Chandler Arvizo were not even in the picture when Mary worked for Jackson.

3 Q Okay. Do you remember him ever calling kids
4 "rubba"?
5 A Yes.
6 Q Do you remember who he could all rubba?
7 A He called everyone rubba because that was a
8 term of endearment that he came up with with Quincy,
9 I believe.
10 Q Did he call you that?
11 A No.
12 Q Did you finish?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Okay. You remember him calling multiple
15 different kids that?
16 A Yes.


Jackson had the same nicknames for his nieces , nephews, cousins too.



Yashi Brown updated her profile picture. ...
March 4 at 5:33 PM · 🌐

Thanks to my cousin, Brandi Jackson for posting this pic. Not all of the gang are pictured of my cousins and I. But we were the FIRST rubba, doo doo and appleheads which he mainly used with the nephews taken after the 3 Stooges. Siggy was the first one I heard him use Rubba but I may be wrong. With 3T I always heard doo doo and applehead. My nickname was Yaya, Autumn's was Autu.. There were other nicknames but these are the first to come to mind. Nephews, nieces and/or second cousins were around my uncle more than ANYONE other than his own kids in later years. But you would never know that by the narratives you hear pics you see. Kids gravitated to him because we knew he was one of us inside. He was an absolute blast and respected each us for who we were. I will always hold those days dear. #thebiggestkidthatEVERlived . #michaeljackson

This was written to Taj Jackson signed Uncle Doo Doo, Bye Rubba



Macaulay Culkin and Emmanuel Lewis were also called Rubba and Rubbahead. Both consistently defended Jackson. Jackson called his own kids Applehead too and kids called him Applehead too.

“Good move, Applehead”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5Zc0Zi1ACpl&t=22s>

The name Applehead came from the Three Stooges, one Jackson's favorite shows. Rubbahead was made by Emmanuel Lewis

Jacko Pal: TV's Webster Coined 'Rubbaheads'

<https://www.foxnews.com/story/jacko-pal-tvs-webster-coined-rubbaheads>

One can hear Jackson call Emmanuel Lewis “Rubbahead” backstage at the 1984 Grammy awards:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2RITJ48ajt4&t=573s>

This inscription in a book given to Emmanuel Lewis shows Jackson had brotherly feelings toward Emmanuel

“To Emmanuel Rubba I love you like a brother you are my spiritual brother love Michael P.S ”

<https://www.julienslive.com/m/lot-details/index/catalog/20/lot/4763/MICHAEL-JACKSON-BOOK-INSCRIBED-TO-EMMANUEL-LEWIS>

, which is corroborated by Emmanuel himself:

“My Big Bro Michael has been nothing but kind and a role model to me and my family”

https://twitter.com/TheReal_ELewis/status/1097976336289857537

Jackson called Connie Cascio, the mother of Frank Eddie Aldo Cascio, Momma Rubba. I guess Orth believes that means Jackson wanted to rub her. Aldo Cascio was Baby Rubba.

ELIZABETH... Through it all, you have been my constant... with your support... your caring and your love. I love you dearly, Michael Jackson

FRANK... thank you for all of your help, love and support... you are my true friend and family (stop fishing). I love you, Michael Jackson

Special thanks to Connie (Momma Rubba), Big D, Renicole, King Dom, Baby Rubba, Angel and Frank... my other family

Special thanks to Carlos Santana... for sharing your genius... and love. Michael Jackson

https://http2.mlstatic.com/michael-jackson-invincible-cd-16-tracks-green-cover-eu-2001-D_NQ_NP_916580-MLA29999266078_042019-F.webp

He also had nicknamed to people in general. Scott Shaffer was House. Karen Faye was Turkle. Omer Batthi was Little Monkey. Frank Cascio was Frankfrankfrankfrank. Brad Sunberg was Really really Brad. Karen Faye's daughter was Alley Cat. Yashi Brown was Yaya. Janet Jackson was Dunk.

So what exactly does him calling Wade Robson “Little One” prove, especially when his mother testified that Jackson told her it was like seeing himself all over again, hence he looked at Wade like his own

little version?

Orth twisting Jackson's silly affectionate nicknames against him, suggesting that Chandler Arvizo Robson Safechuck were somehow special is a pathetic attempt to use something completely innocent against Jackson. The fact that he had the same nicknames for those boys as he had for his relatives, his own kids and multiple kids who insist he never molested them actually show that he had similar feelings toward those boys as well, which mean, brotherly-fatherly, not romantic-sexual .

Orth says

3. Jackson paid \$25 million to settle the Chandlers' lawsuit, with \$18 million going to Jordie, \$2.5 million to each of the parents, and the rest to lawyers. Jackson said he paid that sum to avoid something "long and drawn out." Francia also received \$2.4 million from Jackson.

75. Jackson paid 15 331 250 to Jordan not 18 million.

<http://www.thesmokinggun.com/file/michael-jacksons-15-million-payoff?page=5>

Jason Francia did not get \$2.4 million.

Dimond who hates Jackson as much as Orth and interviewed Blanca Francia reported that Jackson paid her and her son and their lawyers \$2.1 million, far less than the \$7 million they already spent on the promotion of HIStory, which would have gone to waste if Jackson had chosen to go to court.

Diane Dimond: Be careful who you love , page 170

Jackson's former maid, Blanca Francia, and her son, Jason, would receive \$2.1 million. It was a payout that was kept under the public radar for years.

This is not to say that Blanca Francia received that much money. After the lawyers took their customary 33.3 percent and after taxes were paid, the Francias are said to have cleared about \$600,000.

Neither Dimond nor Orth wanted to know why the mother asked for money for herself. They don't find it odd that none of those accusers and parents wanted to put the supposed abuser behind bars but they and their parents alike wanted to profit from the allegations.

76. Where did Orth get that each parents got 2.5m? There is no publicly available document which confirms that so Orth can say whatever amount she wants. Given that she lied about the amount Jordan, Jason and Blanca got she may exaggerate the amount the parents demanded for themselves too.

77. The retainer agreement clearly stated that the lawyers will be paid from the settlement amount not above that. <http://www.thesmokinggun.com/file/1993-michael-jackson-accusers-family-lawyer-retainer>

78. The reason why he bought the civil case on Jan 25 1994 is more complex than just avoiding a long and drawn out trial. Jackson filed a motion to delay the civil case until the criminal case was solved. But the judge denied that motion as California had a speedy trial law which allowed people under 14 to get a civil trial within just 120 days. Putting the civil case ahead of the criminal case violated Jackson's rights for a fair criminal trial as everything he had said to defend himself in the civil case could have been used by the prosecutors to circumvent his defense in a criminal trial. Few in the media reported this simple fact. It was Jackson not the Chandler who wanted the criminal case go first.

Night & Day Magazine, 1994, Apr 10:

Numerous things happened in the Jackson affair between August 1993 and the end of January 1994, when the settlement was announced. But all the detailed events can be traced to a single cause and point towards a result that was virtually pre-determined. Once Jackson's legal problems ran on two tracks, criminal as well as civil, he was in an untenable position. It was almost inevitable he would have to settle the civil case.

The reason is technical. When the civil suit against Jackson came to trial, or shortly before, Jackson would have to testify on oath. But any testimony in the civil case would put him in serious jeopardy, as it could be used against him in any subsequent criminal trial. (The jeopardy would still apply if Jackson exercised his constitutional right to refuse to testify.) No competent lawyer would allow his client to do such a thing. Either Jackson had to get the civil case postponed, which was unlikely, or he would have to settle the civil case to avoid testifying.

It's not surprising there were cracks in the Jackson camp. Jackson and his lawyers were in a classic no-win situation. The criminal investigation was dragging on with no end in sight. There was little or no chance of the judge in the civil case putting off a trial for much longer. No one likes to admit defeat especially if, as Jackson has always maintained, he is an innocent man.

<http://jetzi-mjvideo.com/books-jetzi-03/94nanday/94nanday0a.html>

Orth does not explain why Jackson only settled on Jan 25 1994, one day before the civil deposition was scheduled, why the Chandlers admitted in their book they didn't want any trial just a "*highly profitable settlement*", why they admitted they didn't want the criminal case go first. Orth ignores the undeniable fact that the Chandlers could have testified in criminal court regardless of the settlement but they didn't want to.

79. The Francias testified in 2005 and were not found to be credible. Like in the Chandler case the parent wanted money for herself too. Could Orth name any real molestation case where the parents

wanted to profit from the claim that the kid was molested while they were not interested in putting the molester behind bars? In both the Chandler and Francia cases the allegations originated with adults who wanted to profit from it. Worse, Francia was subjected to classic improper interrogation where two detectives pressured him to accuse Jackson. Francia admitted they were pushy and they made him say things he didn't want to say. When confronted with his earlier admission he , conveniently didn't remember:

6 A. Yeah, I remember saying at first, "I don't
7 know."

8 Q. And after telling the police, "**You guys are
9 pushy,**" you eventually finally said, "Yes, he
10 tickled me," right?

11 A. I believe that's how it went.

12 Q. Okay. You kind of went back and forth
13 during the interview, didn't you? One second you'd
14 say, "He tickled me," and the next second you'd say
15 you're not sure, right?

16 A. **I was trying to figure out how to get out of
17 there.**

21 "**They made me come out with a lot more stuff I
22 didn't want to say.** They kept pushing. I wanted to
23 get up and hit them in the head"? Do you remember
24 that?

25 A. No.

26 Q. Would it refresh your recollection if I show
27 you the transcript of that?

28 A. Probably not. But you can show it to me
1 anyway.

2 MR. MESEREAU: May I approach, Your Honor?

3 THE COURT: Yes.

4 MR. ZONEN: What page, Counsel?

5 MR. MESEREAU: 30.

6 THE WITNESS: Okay.

7 Q. BY MR. MESEREAU: Have you had a chance to
8 look at that page of the transcript?

9 A. I have.

10 Q. Does it refresh your recollection about what
11 you've said?

12 A. No, it does not.

13 **Q. Do you remember anything you said in that
14 interview at the moment?**

15 **A. Not really.**

80. Orth forgets that the Chandlers admitted in their book *All that Glitters* that Evan was willing to accept even just 1 million for his silence in Aug 93 and Jackson refused to pay even that. If he had indeed molested Robson Safecuck Francia Chandler he most certainly would have paid that 1 million in Aug 93 to prevent the investigation in the first place.

It's dishonest for Orth to just report that Jackson settled those two civil cases, exaggerate the amount of money that was paid and neglect to explain why those settlements were paid and why Jackson refused to pay actual hush money to Chandler when he had an excellent opportunity.

Chandlers Francias were not silenced. They talked. The settlement did not prevent testimonies in criminal court, as proven by June Chandler and the Francias who testified in 2005.

81. It's also dishonest for her to pretend that if Jackson had been innocent he would have went to court and won. Orth does not accept the not guilty verdict in the 2005 Arvizo-Francia case so what if Jackson had won against the Chandlers in 1994? She would still insist that Jackson was guilty.

Orth says:

4. Michael Jackson suffered from the skin discoloration disease vitiligo. Jordie Chandler drew a picture of the markings on the underside of Jackson's penis. His drawings were sealed in an envelope. A few months later, investigators photographed Jackson's genitalia. The photographs matched Chandler's drawings.

Another lie. The photos and the drawing did not match and couldn't possibly match because of how Jackson's skin disorder changed his pigmentation week by week and the 7 months that passed between the day Jordan claimed he first saw Jackson naked and the day the photos were taken.

82. If the drawings and the photos had matched Jackson would have been arrested and charged. The whole point of getting the photos was to get probable cause for an arrest. Jackson was arrested based on the Arvizo's words alone but he would not be arrested based on Jordan's word AND the supposedly smoking gun photos? Sneddon claimed in his declaration in 2005 that the only way for Jordan to know that Jackson had a dark spot on the right side of his penis was if he and Jackson were in close intimate contact. If that's the case, why wasn't he charged for having "close and intimate" contact with a 13 year old? It's not that Sneddon or Garcetti was his fans, they in fact hated him.

83. The Linden affidavit proved Jordan told police Jackson was circumcised. However his autopsy report proved he was *not* circumcised. Since Jordan claimed that he saw Jackson naked multiple times, took baths with him, saw him masturbate and masturbated him about 10 times if all those had happened he should have noticed the foreskin. Jordan's father was Jewish, chances are Jordan himself was circumcised so he knew how a circumcised penis looked. The Chandlers claimed in their book that Jordan saw Jackson's penis from "*every possible angle*" and so many times he had a clear mental picture of it. If those had been true Jordan absolutely should have known that Jackson had a foreskin.

84. There is no report about what Chandler said about size, shape or the penis and scrotum, the shape of the area covered with pubic hair, or how his skin pigmentation changed between May 10 and July 11 1993, the period when he said he saw Jackson naked repeatedly. So what exactly was the match? Given the type of vitiligo Jackson had there is no way he looked the same over 3 months, a fact the Chandlers themselves acknowledged that in their book quoting deputy district attorney Lauren Weiss. Ray Chandler: All that Glitters, page 202:

"I'm sure of that. . . . Oh, yeah, Lauren Weiss told me today that this disease Michael says he's got, vitiligo, that it's capable of changing anywhere you look, so that anything Jordie says is irrelevant. It can change very quickly with this disease."

"Shit, these guys seem to have an answer for everything."

"No, that's good for us!"

"Why?"

"Because if he's right, he's right. And if he's wrong, we've got an explanation!"

"Ha!"

"Yeah, it's a no-loser for us."

Nobody who says there was a match explained how Jackson could look the same over 3 months, why Jordan did not describe how his patches changed over that period instead of just giving one description as if size and shape of Jackson's brown and white patches had been permanent, let alone explain how he could look the same in May 1993 and on Dec 20 1993.

85. Tom Sneddon in his 2005 declaration does not mention underside.

He says there was a dark blemish on the *right* side which was at "*about the relative same location*" Jordan drew a spot.

<http://www.sbpublicaccess.org/docs/ctdocs/052505pltmotchandler.pdf>

This was the supposed match? Putting aside the vague description and that Jackson's brown parts were not blemishes but his original color, it drastically differs from the Linden affidavit, which claims Jordan described a light colored splotch on his penis

<http://web.archive.org/web/20090630025648/http://www.thesmokinggun.com/michaeljackson/010605jacksonsplotch.html>

and both differs from Weiss's claim that Jordan accurately describes multiple spots.

The Chandlers claim in their book that it took two hours to describe it and Jordan described numerous markings and discolorations on his genitals, so if all those were accurate why did Sneddon single out one and only one "blemish" as supposed proof that the description matched?

Gary Spiegel, the photographer who took the photos, is cited in Diane Dimond's book saying that he saw a dark spot on the *left* side of Jackson's penis. Diane Dimond: Be careful who you love, page 15


While I was on Mr. Jackson's left side, Dr. Strick asked Mr. Jackson to lift his penis. Mr. Jackson questioned why he had to do that, but he did comply with the request. When Mr. Jackson complied with Dr. Strick's request to lift his penis, I observed a dark spot on the lower left side of Mr. Jackson's penis.

So which one was it? One dark spot on the left right? One dark spot on the right side? One light colored spot? Or multiple markings and discolorations?

<https://www.scribd.com/document/360860871/Evidence-that-Chandler-did-not-accurately-describe-MJ-s-genitalia>

86. Evan Chandler's handwritten note where he theorized about how Jackson's penis and buttocks looked was published in Victor Gutierrez's book. On the top he wrote Mike circumcised. In the middle "my theory". Why did the father need a theory if the boy knew how it looked?

Should be noted Evan did see Jackson's buttocks in May 1993 when he injected a painkiller as the singer had a horrible headache. What he wrote here about Jackson's "ass" was his not the boy's observation:



87. If the photos matched why didn't Tom Sneddon try to introduce them as 1108 evidence during the 2005 trial and subpoena Jordan Chandler? Instead he pretended that he wanted to introduce them as 1101 evidence at the end of the trial even though he knew full well Jordan was not willing to testify (he actually told the FBI he would fight Sneddon legally if he tried to subpoena him) therefore the judge won't allow the jury to see the photos because if he can't cross examined Jordan it would violate Jackson's 6th amendment rights. Sneddon also claimed he wanted to introduce them to rebut the defense's argument that Jackson was shy and therefore would not expose his naked body to boys. Jackson was indeed shy but the defense did not make that particular argument during the trial therefore there was nothing to rebut. Sneddon knew he can say the photos matched without having to prove it. It was a last minute attempt to humiliate Jackson and prejudice the jury, planting the idea that it matched without having to prove it.

88. As explained above while telling his story to Ann Rosato and Dr. Gardner Jordan said nothing about how he reacted to Jackson's vitiligo ridden skin. Nothing is said about that in the Chandler's book or Jordan's Dec 1993 declaration either. Even if one believes that Jackson magically got rid of his shame and inhibitions over his skin while being around Jordan it's not plausible that the boy would have no questions about his skin and most likely he would have been shocked and grossed out.

89. If the photos matched why did Sneddon question Jackson's mother at the grand jury whether Jackson did anything to his skin so the photos and the description would not match?

Grand Jury Calls Jackson's Mother to Testify

By JIM NEWTON
TIMES STAFF WRITER

Michael Jackson's mother, who has steadfastly proclaimed her son's innocence in the face of allegations that he sexually molested a 13-year-old boy, has been ordered to testify before a Los Angeles County Grand Jury on Thursday afternoon, one of Jackson's lawyers said Tuesday.

"In all the years of my experience, I've never before seen the mother of the target of an investigation called before the grand jury," said Howard Weitzman, one of two lawyers representing Jackson, who has been under investiga-

tion since last summer. "It's just done in real poor taste. It borders on harassment."

Jackson's mother has frequently given interviews and made public appearances to defend her son, but a source close to the investigation said she may be questioned about Jackson's physical appearance. Investigators have been attempting to determine whether Jackson has done anything to alter his appearance so that it does not match a description provided to them by the alleged victim, who turned 14 in January.

Los Angeles County Dist. Atty. Gil Garcetti said Tuesday he expects to wrap up the Jackson investigation within the next month or so. Although grand juries are meeting in Los Angeles and Santa Barbara counties, Jackson's attorneys say they have been told that neither grand jury is considering an indictment, at least for the time being.

Because they can issue subpoenas, grand juries often are used to elicit statements from witnesses who otherwise are reluctant to speak to investigators.

Weitzman said Jackson was "very, very upset" to learn that his mother had been called to testify.

Officials from the district attorney's office declined to comment.

90. If they matched why was Sneddon unwilling to hand over the unredacted description to the defense? Jackson's lawyer Johnny Cochran challenged Sneddon if he has evidence let him file charges. It took 3 months after the search for Sneddon to turn over a *redacted & edited* copy of the affidavit, full of blacked-out items and missing key statements.

<https://twitter.com/MJJRepository/status/1252670937348083713>

Sneddon denied a report by the syndicated TV news magazine "Hard Copy" that the criminal investigation was over and no charges would be filed in part because the boy wasn't cooperating.

Also Monday, Santa Barbara Superior Court Judge James Slater denied a motion by Jackson's attorneys to get a complete copy of a search warrant affidavit used to examine Jackson's home and body.

"We have the district attorney clearly trying to hide the information," said Weitzman, who contends the search warrant affidavit was based on false statements from informants.

Orth says:

5. The hallway leading to Jackson's bedroom was a serious security zone covered by video and wired for sound so that the steps of anyone approaching would make ding-dong sounds.

91. The hallway only had a motion sensor if someone passed that it triggered an alarm that made ding-dong sounds. Larry Nimmer tested this system for the 2005 trial to disprove what Star Arvizo said that Jackson could not possibly hear that alarm when he walked in and witnessed molestation because one couldn't hear it if the door was closed:

Larry Nimmer's test of MJ's bedroom alarms

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zhomMzTJS3g>

Kit Culkin described how that alarm worked and why it was installed. It had nothing to do with pedophilia but the fact that people, fans and crazies alike, constantly tried to get to Jackson and in fact they sometimes managed to get in the house too.

What the child molestation charges of 1993 did, perhaps above all else, were to insist that Michael forever thereafter, as to everything that he would ever do in life, be viewed solely through a lens of pedophilia. I'll give but a single "for instance"; one that goes to the well-reported on alarm system just outside Michael's bedroom and the so-called "secret room" actually in that bedroom. Michael, remember, is a scarey-cat, which isn't to say that there weren't times when perhaps he had just cause to be so. Take the helicopters that would buzz Neverland on a daily basis (indeed, often several times a day). One could hear their approach over and above the crackling speech on security walkie-talkies, which always attempted to give their positions ("Moving Northeast. Eleven O'Clock. Two Hundred Feet. Copy."); this before their actual arrival, which could be perfectly deafening. It always gave one the sense that an invasion was taking place. So bad and so frequent did these visits become as to cause Michael at one point to make in-depth inquiries regarding the purchase of the air space directly above his property (such as Manhattan real-estate tycoons are allowed); solicitations which only led to his being told that local zoning laws did not allow for this. Many of these helicopters contained (none-too-surprisingly) "ladies and gentlemen of the press", who (we should all well know) have an absolute license under the First Article of the Bill of Rights (also known as the First Amendment to the United States Constitution) to do pretty much as they please, no matter how much it may infringe upon an individual's right to the pursuit of happiness in the privacy of his or her own home. American freedom, after all, depends on it (the media themselves will tell you this); and it is a license that, when it is not our own personal pursuit and our own personal privacy that is at risk, we all perfectly relish! But, be this as it shamefully may, others of these whirly-bird attack squads were not made up of such "girls and boys", but rather of the merely curious; folks who would gladly pay for a helicopter ride who's tour included as its centerpiece the buzzing of Michael's home. To Michael the Scarey-Cat they all represented the specter of hooded terrorists, dressed as perfect ninjas, skidding down ropes onto the property, the better to commit "The Kidnapping of the Century"; and even though (in perfect hindsight) none of this ever did occur, there were other times when no less benign incidents would only go to feed this fear. Take the morning when Michael arose and walked into his private garden, there to find a fan crouched in the bushes; a fan "fanatical" enough (for so comes the word) to enter the property and scale the wall and spend the night there (Can anyone yet remember the just who and the just where of John Lennon's murder?!). That Michael would respond to such as this by actually outfitting his bedroom with a relatively conspicuous store-bought beam alarm system (one that the entire cleaning staff knew

existed and that could be simply stepped over), as well as with a so-called "secret room" (really a closet) to which he could run in times of such emergency should not surprise anyone; indeed, these are things that under like circumstances most any of us would do.

Orth seems to forget who she is talking about. George Harrison was attacked in his home and Jackson's FBI files revealed he was a target of a genuine assassination attempt. It's perfectly understandable why the most famous man on Earth would want to know if someone is approaching his private suite.

In a 1996 deposition Jackson explained why he wanted to know if someone was coming.

Jackson testified that he had bought some security equipment, such as a fake camera placed outside the door to his bedroom.

"In the past, we've had problems with fans hiding inside the house," Jackson said. "Sometimes when I'd be taking a nap, I would wake up and find a girl standing over me. ... So I thought it would be wise to know when people are coming."

Ironically, two plaintiffs in that case backed up Jackson's reasoning. Sandy Domz:

"We realized how popular Mr. Jackson was" when people kept trying to get on the grounds right after he purchased the ranch, Domz said.

That was when security devices, such as motion detectors around the main house, were installed and a security department was formed, she said.

and Adrian McManus in 2005:

14 Q. Ms. McManus, this is what you said under
15 oath: "But you have to understand now, when you're
16 a celebrity, you live a different life than regular
17 people. I mean, **people like to kill celebrities,**
18 so, you know, he has to be careful, you know, with
19 his life, and **that little sensor benefits him for**
20 **his life."**

21 Remember saying that?

22 A. I believe so.

23 Q. Okay. Now, you weren't lying when you said

24 that, were you?

25 A. No.

Jackson's door had a peephole so he could see who was approaching, if it was someone he didn't know he could run to his panic room, which was a hidden closet built by the previous owner.

92. The hallway was not covered with video, there was a fake camera installed at this door to deter stranger intruders. If Orth had followed the Neverland 5 case she would know it.

Could Orth explain why an actual video transmission would protect him from getting caught? Why would he want anyone to see that kids were coming and going from his suite if he had a lot to hide? Or Orth believes that Jackson had a monitor in his own suite where he could see what that camera transmitter? No such monitor was found in his suite.

93. Jackson had another legitimate reason to have that alarm. His suite was two-storey, if he slept upstairs someone could get in his suite downstairs undetected, rummaging through his private things, steal anything. One of his maids who had a key to his suite Adrian McManus in fact stole from him, she was ordered to pay damages to Jackson for that.

94. Orth does not recognize that if Jackson slept in June's and Evan's homes dozens of times to molest the boy in those places where there were no alarms and no locked doors and the parents could walk in any moment he most certainly would not care to install alarm to protect him from detection in Neverland where as Orth herself believes the parents stayed in another building! Why would he? To give ammunition to people like Orth?

95. Orth like other detractors of Jackson wants to have it both ways: argue that his maids could see him in the shower with a boy and could see him in bed with boys because they could walk in his suite undetected and argue that he installed alarm, locks, video to prevent such intrusion in the first place. Argue that Jackson was extremely careful and established a serious "security zone" where he could molest without getting caught and argue that he didn't care if his employees or parents could catch him, he just molested kids all over the place: in the theater, the pool, the arcade, the Jacuzzi, his condo while the boy's mother was cleaning it, in his condo while the boy's mother was right next door, in the parents' homes! As one legal analyst noted looking at the prosecution's attempt to depict Jackson as both a heedless and very heedful abuser:

They need to get their story straight: Was Jackson a blatant abuser, or a stealthy abuser?

<https://supreme.findlaw.com/legal-commentary/the-not-guilty-verdicts-in-the-michael-jackson-case-was-justice-served-or-thwarted.html>

Orth says:

53 [top](#)

6. Jackson had an extensive collection of adult erotic material he kept in a suitcase next to his bed, including S&M bondage photos and a study of naked boys. Forensic experts with experience in the Secret Service found the fingerprints of boys alongside Jackson's on the same pages. Jackson also had bondage sculptures of women with ball gags in their mouths on his desk, in full view of the boys who slept there.

6 lies in just one paragraph and gross misrepresentation of evidence that Jackson was actually attracted to women and had no interest in nude boys

96. The state called Dr. Antonio Cantu who worked for the Forensic Services Division within the Secret Service to testify but he was just an expert witness to explain to the jury how fingerprints are analyzed and used as evidence in general. Robert Spinner, Jim Wittenbrock, Michelle Shelley who actually found and compared the prints had nothing to do with the Secret Service, they worked for the Santa Barbara Sheriff's Department.

97. If Orth had taken the time to actually read the transcript of the March 25-28-29, 2005 testimony of Sergeant Robert Spinner and if she had looked at the exhibits used in the courtroom she would know the prints were not *on the same* pages. On more than 70 magazines they positively identified 19 prints, 12 of them belonged to Jackson, 2 to Star Arvizo, 5 to Gavin Arvizo. One magazine had both Jackson's and Gavin's fingerprints but not on the same pages: Jackson's was on page 54. Gavin's were on page 92. The age of fingerprints cannot be determined, hence those prints only prove that Gavin Star and Jackson all touched those magazines at one point or the other, but does not prove it happened *at the same time*.

98. Orth completely ignores how the two Arvizo boys' prints ended up on those magazines. The only boys whose fingerprints were found on those magazines were the Arvizos, who, as it was proven in court, had a habit of going in Jackson's room when he was not even there as confirmed by Azya Pryor who was on the ranch with those boys at the time:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=enQIS-BiB_I

and rummaging through Jackson's stuff like they did it in other people's places too, as confirmed by Verne Watson who also hosted the Arvizos for a while.

*15 THE WITNESS: They were not ruly kids. They
16 were very unruly. And **they were all into my stuff.**
17 They would walk around my house, they would be all
18 in the other rooms.*

Gavin denied it on the stand that they ever went to Jackson's room while he was not there, his brother, Star later admitted in his testimony that they did: not only they went to Jackson's room, but they even slept there when he wasn't there. Gavin lied. Why? Because he tried to hide what they were doing in Jackson's room: including looking at those magazines when Jackson was nowhere around.

Angel Vivanco, Julio Avila, Maria Gomez testified they caught Star Arvizo with adult magazines while

the Arvizo boys never claimed that Jackson gave them such magazines. So how did Star have them unless he stole them from Jackson's room?

99. If the DA was so sure Jackson used those magazines to groom boys why didn't he check for other boys' fingerprints too? Culkin's Barnes's Robson's Chandler's Safechuck's? Robson himself testified he had no idea where those magazines came from and no idea Jackson had them. Culkin Barnes also denied ever seeing porn in Jackson's place.

Not even June Chandler who testified she was going in Jackson's room anytime she wanted said that she ever saw porn in there. Neither did McManus and Blanca Francia, Jackson's two maids with access to his room testify they saw porn in his suite. Fact is Jackson did a good job hiding those magazines from everyone over 12 years, Arvizos knew about them only because they stole the codes, when into Jackson's room and found the magazines on their own.

100. The bondage figurines were found in his office not where the boys slept. None of his accusers said that they saw bondage figurines in his bedroom or at all for that matter. Orth made this up. How do figurines of women in bondage prove pedophilia is not explained anyway. We know from Jennifer Batten that Jackson was given S&M pictures for inspiration for stage costumes. It had nothing to do with molestation.

“Michael had artists draw up looks for each of us, and then hired wardrobe people, and makeup and hair people. In the beginning, I had kind of a Mohawk that looked a bit harsh. I asked him, after a while, if we could make it a little tamer, and he was fine.

*On the 'HIStory' tour, I had a nasty costume I was supposed to wear. **Somebody had given Michael a portrait book that was basically full of S&M outfits.** My costume was leopard-skin. It had a wig, with a nose strap attached to my head, and a ball gag that was supposed to go in my mouth.*

“I put my foot down, and said: ‘Hell, no.’ This was not the message I wanted to send out to young girls. I told Michael, and he was totally cool about it.”


<https://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/entertainment/music/sdut-jennifer-batten-interview-2016mar05-htmlstory.html>

101. There was no book with S&M bondage photos only drawings. Both art books with only adults depicted and both available on Amazon:

The Art Of Dave Nestler Wicked Intentions

https://www.amazon.com/Art-Dave-Nestler-Wicked-Intentions/dp/0865620652/ref=sr_1_2?dchild=1&keywords=the+art+dave+nestler&qid=1592324270&sr=8-2

The Gynoids



https://www.amazon.com/Gynoids-Hajime-Sorayama/dp/484570823X/ref=sr_1_1?dchild=1&keywords=the+gynoids&qid=1592324357&sr=8-1

Neither had Jackson's fingerprints and neither of them was found next to Jackson's bed, rather in his master bathroom where many other books were stored. Orth would know this if she had actually read the police report:


<http://www.sbcspublicaccess.org/docs/ctdocs/060804sdcontsheet.pdf>

41 The next item of evidence I reviewed was Item Number 304. This
 42 item was described as being two pornographic magazines and two nude
 art books found in the master bathroom at the Neverland Ranch. I

PAGE 8	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT	03-5670
	Santa Barbara County	
	CONTINUATION SHEET	
[A] LIST CONTINUATION. [B] DESCRIBE: PHYSICAL EVIDENCE, LOCATION FOUND & DISPOSITION. [C] NARRATIVE. [D] DISPOSITION.		

1 found this item of evidence to consist of the July 2003 issue of
 2 "Barely Legal", the July/ August issue of "The Girls of Penthouse",
 3 a soft covered book titled The Art of Dave Nestler - Wicked
 4 Intentions, and the last item being a book titled The Gynoids
 5 Genetically Manipulated, authored by Hajajime Sorayama.

The 23 books in 2003 police cherrypicked from Michael Jackson's approx. 10 000 books in Neverland to prove that he was exclusively attracted to little boys. All of these art and adult erotic books are available on Amazon, Google books and/or in major libraries, including the Library of Congress.

 <p>The Fourth Sex by Jake Chapman, Dinos Chapman (Paperback) ★★★★★ (3) 13 Used & New from \$150.00 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Room to Play by Simen Johan (Hardcover) ★★★★☆ (3) 18 Used & New from \$50.00 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Gynoids: Genetically Manipulated by Hajime Sorayama (2001-01-02) by Hajime Sorayama (Paperback) ★★★★★ (8) 4 Used & New from \$212.97 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Poo-Chi by Mayumi Lake (Hardcover) \$35.00 Prime Only 1 left in stock—order soon. Offered by Amazon.com. 23 Used & New from \$13.88 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>
 <p>Cronos by Pere Formiguera (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (2) \$29.00 Prime Only 4 left in stock—order soon. 48 Used & New from \$22.16 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Dressup : Playacts and Fantasies of by Starr Ockenga (Paperback) 27 Used & New from \$29.98 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>James Bidgood by Bruce Bendersen (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (17) \$25.94 Prime Only 10 left in stock—order soon. Offered by Amazon.com. 62 Used & New from \$13.34</p>	 <p>Taormina by Wilhelm Von Gloeden (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (2) 37 Used & New from \$39.49 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>
 <p>Underworld by Kelly Klein (199) by Kelly Klein (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (7) 4 Used & New from \$43.41 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Camp Cove: Photos of Sydney Men by Rod McRae (Paperback) ★★★★★ (3) 22 Used & New from \$14.83 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>naked as a Jaybird Dian Hanson Presents by Dian Hanson (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (1) 7 Used & New from \$100.00 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Robert Maxwell Photographs (2000 publication) 5 Used & New from \$75.00 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>
 <p>Drew and Jimmy by John P. Salisbury (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (4) \$60.00 Prime Only 1 left in stock—order soon. 56 Used & New from \$6.28 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>SCENES D'INTERIEUR 3 Used & New from \$24.95 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Rineke Dijkstra: Beach Portraits by Rineke Dijkstra (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (1) 46 Used & New from \$30.00 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Bob & Rod by Tom Bianchi (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (7) 59 Used & New from \$13.19 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>
 <p>Before the Hand of Man by Roy Dean (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (1) 24 Used & New from \$13.79 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>The Chop Suey Club by Bruce Weber (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (17) 44 Used & New from \$99.98 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>Man: A Sexual Study of Man Illustrated with Photographs and Art Prints Larry Stevens DSI, 1970 - Sex instruction for gay men - 296 pages ★★★★★ 0 Reviews (0/1/2)</p>	 <p>The Glory of de Dienes Women by Andre De Dienes (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (2) 5 Used & New from \$109.95 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>
 <p>The Golden Age of Neglect by Ed Templeton (Paperback) ★★★★★ (1) 21 Used & New from \$113.08 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>	 <p>The Art of Dave Nestler by Various (Paperback) ★★★★★ (3) 32 Used & New from \$10.00 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>		 <p>The Christy Report: Exploring the Outer Edges of Sexual Experiences (Taschen specials) by Kim Christy (2001-10-26) by Kim Christy, John Quinn (Hardcover) ★★★★★ (4) 8 Used & New from \$77.59 Add comments, quantity & priority</p>

102. There was no "study of naked boys" found in Neverland let alone next to his bed. They found 10000+ books among them a few dozens of art photography and adult sex books which had photos of nude men nude women nude boys alike, all of them legal and available on Amazon , ebay and libraries. There was no Jackson fingerprints found in any of these books, there was no evidence he looked inside them, none of the accusers even mentioned any of these books and since Jackson was a book hoarder not to mention countless people came and went in Neverland over 15 years and could leave books their mere presence does not prove Jackson opened them let alone liked them.

It's also hard to see why a supposed boy molester would have such an eclectic collection of surreal, art and adult sex books. Why not a collection of picture of nude boys or child porn?

103. What Orth called "study of naked boys" are in fact two books which anyone can find in the Library of Congress or buy on Amazon. They do have a few suggestive pictures and a pedophile would most certainly very much interested in those books. But Jackson was not. He didn't even remember having them in 1993. When all facts known about those books they actually support Jackson's innocence:

<https://www.scribd.com/document/346959101/Nude-boy-books-prove-Jackson-was-no-pedophile>

104. No child porn was found in Jackson's home. Child porn is a federal crime if they had found any he would have been charged and convicted for that alone. He had about 70-80 magazines designed for straight men because he in fact liked women and interested in adult hetero sex. Orth cannot explain why he would need all those to groom boys, 20-40 would not suffice? Why would he keep buying them even after the Arvizos left, he thought the next boy will have to be groomed with the Aug 1993 edition of Barely Legal because the 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 editions would not be effective enough?

Why would he need magazines like Plumpers , Over 50 and Juggs, how would those lower any 12 year old's inhibitions?

Jackson's gopher in the 90s Scott Shaffer said he bought those magazines for Jackson because Jackson liked women. Shaffer, another opportunist who sold this story to the tabloid Sun while suddenly supporting Jackson's accusers (after more than 20 years of defending Jackson) inadvertently contradicted Orth and the prosecutors alike who argue those magazines were not for him but only to groom boys:

In an exclusive interview with Sun Online, Scott said: "People used to say he didn't like girls - but I know he did. "He liked to look at pictures of naked girls and he'd send me out to news stands to get him magazines. "But sometimes instead of regular Playboy or something like that he would say 'Get some with big fat women or old women. Just a little variety' - he'd ask for whatever was unusual. "I think the most unusual porn magazine I bought was big, fat old ladies.

<https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/10007475/michael-jackson-sent-me-out-to-buy-weird-porno-mags-with-old-fat-women-in-for-him-personal-assistant-reveals/>

105. Not only his magazines were for straight men but his computers also only had hetero adult porn. Granted, people other than Jackson could access those computers but Orth cannot explain why a supposed serial boy molester would only have nude women and hetero adult sex on his hard drives,

which were not even mentioned by any accusers, so Orth can't claim it was all to groom boys too.

All in all, the combination of fingerprint evidence (and lack thereof) the books, magazines in Jackson's home and the Chandler allegations timeline together prove only three things:

- he was interested in adult sex and nude women including vintage pictures of nude women
- he was a collector of books of all kinds, interested in art and photography (since he was a child)
- he had innocent thoughts while looking at boys and not at all interested in pictures of nude boys

The Truth About What Michael Jackson Had (And Didn't Have) In His Bedroom

https://www.huffpost.com/entry/no-child-porn-found-at-neverland-thenor-now-the_b_577dfbce4b0f06648f4a3f8

Orth says:

7. According to the Neverland staff interviewed by the Santa Barbara authorities, no one ever saw or knew of a woman spending the night with Michael Jackson, including his two spouses, Debbie Rowe or Lisa Marie Presley. Rowe, the mother of two of Jackson's children, made it clear to the Santa Barbara authorities that she never had sex with Jackson.

106. If Debbie Rowe indeed told that to the DAs they forgot to bring that up during the trial, it's not only contradicted by what Jackson told Bashir but Debbie's own tweets in 2013 where she made it clear that here kids' biological father is Michael Jackson (he also appears as the father on their birth certificate)

The image shows a screenshot of four tweets from August 17, 2013. The first tweet is from Pearljr (@Pearljr), an investigative journalist/film maker, replying to @DJRJPDR. The tweet says: "@DJRJPDR @bowmamapeace @dianetoucan UR the racist who didn't give MJ Black children-what u didn't want Black DNA N UR belly-stop Debbie!!!". It has 19 replies, 3 retweets, and 1 like. The second tweet is from Debbie (@DJRJPDR) replying to @Pearljr, @bowmamapeace, and @DianeToucan. She says: "my babies know they're Oreos the love it". It has 4 replies, 24 retweets, and 31 likes. The third tweet is from Caramelicedtea (@teaicedcaramel) replying to @DJRJPDR. She says: "@DJRJPDR @Pearljr @bowmamapeace @DianeToucan you guys made some gorgeous oreos.". It has 2 replies, 1 retweet, and 1 like. The fourth tweet is from Debbie (@DJRJPDR) replying to @caramelicedtea, @Pearljr, @bowmamapeace, and @DianeToucan. She says: "love my cookies". It has 1 reply, 5 retweets, and 7 likes.

107. Orth once again ignores relevant facts: when Debbie Rowe talked to authorities she was angry because Jackson didn't let her see the kids, she said things about Jackson she then directly contradicted under oath during her testimony, which was 100% positive toward Jackson:

In a startling setback to the prosecution, Michael Jackson's ex-wife took the stand at his child molestation trial today

<https://www.billboard.com/articles/news/63117/jacksons-ex-wife-debbie-rowe-testifies>

Given her history of flip flopping why does Orth consider Debbie Rowe a credible source?

108. Even if Debbie had told that to authorities and even if it was true what does it prove? Jackson was not in love with Debbie and never claimed that he was. They were long time friends and she became a surrogate mother as she offered to be one when Jackson was desperate to become a father.

109. Orth conveniently ignores the wife Jackson actually was in love with and whom he dated for about a year before they got married and with whom he got together on and off for years after the divorce. Lisa Marie Presley consistently stated that they had a normal marriage and they did have sex, Jackson's driver Gary Hearne testified that he was not surprised when he learned they got married because he saw them date and make out in the backseat himself:

6 Q Did you know they were getting married before
7 they did?
8 A Yes.
9 Q How did you find out?
12:08:37 10 A I don't remember.
11 Q What were you told?
12 A I don't remember. And I don't remember -- I
13 knew that they were friends. The reason I think I must
14 have known ahead of time was because when the news came
12:08:55 15 that they actually got married, I had no surprise about
16 it.
17 Q Were they dating before that?
18 A Yes.
19 Q They were dating?
12:09:04 20 A Yes.
21 Q How long had they dated?
12:11:00 10 Q Okay. Well, before they got married, did you
11 ever see them romantic with one another?
12 A Yes.
13 Q In which way?
14 A Sitting in the back seat of the car we were in.
12:11:12 15 Q Doing what?
16 A Kissing.
17 Q How many times?
18 A Once or twice.

Lisa Marie Presley: It was a real marriage like between a husband and a wife

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YFxKL-CG6rU>

Lisa Marie Presley : it was in very sense a normal marriage

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bOQKqDhJAOU&t=609s>

While Orth conveniently accepts Debbie's alleged confession as fact she ignores Lisa's statements as they don't support her agenda to paint Jackson as boy obsessed pedophile who had no interest in women at all. She thinks Jackson bought more than 70 magazines full of pictures of nude women because he liked boys. She ignores statements by numerous people who knew Jackson and said he liked girls and women too. While she accepts the words of these unnamed Neverland employees as fact she ignores the words of all these employees and friends, all of whom said Jackson liked women:

Bill Withfield, Javon Beard, Mike Garcia

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fx-FMVILRfg>

Aaron Carter

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vlpYJxyO1k4>

Akon

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UQMerh0Q73k&t=117s>

Tito Jackson

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8jKPSNLNWDM&t=1127s>

Pharell Williams

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NWjH_Vjeorl

Stephanie Mills

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2e7ylt1Kaa0>

Karen Faye, Michael Bush, Dennis Thompkins

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=62c64u4qhfg&t=67s>

She also ignores the undeniable fact that there is no record of Jackson ever expressing attraction toward males of any age, there is however of him expressing attraction toward females like in this secretly recorded phone conversation with Glenda Stein , during the very period when Robson Safechuck want us to believe Jackson's mind was no them and even married Safechuck. In reality this phone conversation reveals Jackson desperately wanted to marry of woman he could trust:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bSqliS2Rkww>

110. Even if those staff members had told the truth Orth's logic that just because Jackson didn't sleep with women in Neverland he had to be a pedophile is fundamentally flawed. For one thing, not

everyone is interested in sex, there are celibate and asexual people. Given Jackson's Jehova Witness upbringing and skin disorder it's not hard to imagine that he was not eager to have sex with anyone., certainly not before marriage, as JW teachings prohibit that. Furthermore, he spent most of his time away from Neverland especially after 1993. Orth cannot possibly know who Jackson spent his time in the countless difference locations he was between 1976 and 2009, his adult life.

Orth says:

8. The parents of boys Jackson shared beds with were courted assiduously and given myriad expensive gifts. Wade Robson's mother testified in the 2005 trial that she funneled wages through Jackson's company and was given a permanent resident visa. Jimmy Safechuck's parents got a house. Jordie Chandler's mother got a diamond bracelet.

Massive exaggeration and out of context innuendo.

111. Jackson didn't "court" any of those parents just like he didn't court Yoko Ono, Connie Cascio, Marion Spence, Lisbeth Barnes, Pia Batthi, Rosely Cohen, Marlies and Wolfgang Schleiter, Marck Lester, Miko Brando William Van Valin, JC and Francis Agajanian either, whose families were far closer to Jackson than the Robsons Arvizos Francias ever were. This documentary presents Jackson's friendship with the Schleiter family, who had one boy one girl and with whom Jackson was just as kind and generous as he was with the Chandlers Safechucks and Robsons. Jackson lived with this family, traveled with his kids, talked on the phone with them.

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/mZvLw4VTeuT4/>

Would Orth call this "courting"?

"When he offered to pay for our education, our parents denied because it was too much of a gift. It was a no brainer for us then, but looking back on it now, it was probably something that Michael didn't experience often. "

An Open Letter From the Schleiter Family -- 04.03.2019

<https://schleiter-family.com/?>

[fbclid=IwAR3hljAKeK5QQeCa3pgYrWHWhLbHfjNPh4JxjnmhVRmZnWxOtZ34qaX0rVg](https://schleiter-family.com/?fbclid=IwAR3hljAKeK5QQeCa3pgYrWHWhLbHfjNPh4JxjnmhVRmZnWxOtZ34qaX0rVg)

112. As explained above Joy Robson's wages were not paid by Jackson of his company , funneling them through his company was just a technicality needed for the Robsons to stay in the US legally. Joy Robson said she asked for help and Jackson helped.

113. Far from getting a "myriad expensive gifts" Wade Robson had to ask his mother in email what gifts they got from Jackson as he himself didn't remember them. The gifts the Robsons got over their 19 years of friendship with Jackson all put together cost less than the money he spent on Scott Shaffer's teeth.

Chump change compared to the 1 million dollar he gave to Marlon Brando or 600 000 dollar worth of jewelry he gave to Liz Taylor

<https://www.showbiz411.com/2019/08/29/michael-jackson-flashback-king-of-pop-paid-marlon-brando-1-million-to-appear-and-speak-at-his-30th-anniversary-show>

Joy Robson also said that that struggled during the first few years living in the US and only got a few hundred dollars for Wade's appearances in Jackson's music videos.

After we moved to the States, he used to send a lot of gift baskets. There were two 10,000 dollar loans... well one was the Anthony Pelicano one he paid. That was because Pelicano put a hold on the record deal and therefore the advance. The only other was the 11,000 dollar balance on the Saturn. I had asked him if the company could co-sign the loan because I had no credit rating and he didn't want to do that so he told us where to find the money in his closet at Neverland.... remember?
The only other gift he gave me was the massage chair.... until the Christmas before he died...the flat screen and DVD player. I forgot.... remember he sent us a television and VHS player when we first arrived because we didn't have one. He bought you the video camera on that first trip. Then there was all the recording equipment.

114. Safechucks asked for a loan and got one then simply failed to pay it back. Most certainly that is not what Jackson had in mind when he gave them the loan.

115. As for June, one diamond bracelet is hardly a myriad of expensive gift.

116. While the gifts those families got were nothing special by Jackson's standard Orth should consider the undeniable fact that those families took advantage of Jackson's generosity and got accustomed to profiting from their connections to him which played a role in why they turned out to be the ones who decided to ran to trial lawyers and demand millions as "compensation" for alleged sexual abuse.

117. Other kids had sleepovers with Jackson including his own relatives , does Orth want to go through all the gifts Jackson gave to their parents.....i.e. his own brothers, sisters?

What is expensive for Orth – and most people – was not at all expensive for a notoriously big spender Jackson. Orth should consider the undeniable fact that Jackson had a radically different perspective on money than most average people and he should stop twisting his generosity against him.

Orth says:

9. Two of the fathers of those who have accused Jackson, Jordie Chandler and Wade Robson, committed suicide. Both were estranged from their sons at the time.

Michael Jackson had nothing to do with either of those suicides.

118. Evan Chandler had a very painful disease, Gaucher syndrome, mental problems and his entire family abandoned him. No wonder, Evan attacked Jordan with a dumbbell. Jordan Chandler On August 5, 2005, obtained a temporary restraining order against defendant under the Prevention of Domestic Violence Act

<https://law.justia.com/cases/new-jersey/appellate-division-unpublished/2006/a0422-05-opn.html>

It's beyond absurd that Orth depicts Evan Chandler as a victim, and somehow a victim of Michael Jackson when it was the other way around. Evan Chandler ruined Jackson's life after Jackson cut him off and refused to support Evan's desired Hollywood screenwriter career, refused to return his phone calls. That's when Evan came up with a plan to "*humiliate him beyond belief*" and "*win big*" by taking custody of Jordan, coaching him to memorize the molestation story Evan wrote like it was one of his screenplays and demanding 20 million. When Jackson refused to pay they sued him and asked the judge to put the civil case within just 120 days, thus ahead of the criminal case which would force Jackson to buy out the civil case or take the fifth if he wanted to protect his defense strategy for a possible criminal trial. Chandlers admitted in their book they never wanted anything but money. There wouldn't even be this much evidence that Jackson was framed by an admittedly jealous greedy father if it had not happened:

Square One: New Evidence in Michael Jackson Case | Full Documentary

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZxNDb2PVcoM>

119. Dennis Robson suffered from bipolar disorder and chronic depression, suicide among such patients is not uncommon. In fact Robson's cousin, who suffered from the same, also committed suicide. Probably Orth blames Jackson for that too. Dennis Robson supported Jackson's innocence after he was accused and none of the Robsons said Dennis was concerned about Wade being abused. Wade only alleged that after he decided to accuse Jackson, conveniently the dead Dennis Robson can't refute him just like the dead Michael Jackson can't.

**PACK OF LIES
SAYS DAD OF
SUPERSTAR'S
PAL WADE, 10**

The boy, then five, had won a dancing competition in Brisbane and part of his prize was meeting the superstar.

The pair have kept in close touch ever since.

Wade said: "Sometimes he calls up and says 'Come over'."

He says Jacko once told him: "You are going to be big in the music industry and I want to get you ready for it."

Wade's mother Joy said: "Michael watches out for his friends, especially the young ones



YOUNG JACKO: Wade

who can get caught up in the Hollywood lifestyle."

She said that Jackson took Home Alone star Macaulay Culkin under his wing, too.

Dad Dennis added: "I have been to Michael's house. I've talked to Michael and my family spends a fair amount of time with him and he's just not like that.

"He's very nice. He wouldn't hurt a fly."

Orth wants to suggest that Dennis Robson was concerned all those years that Wade was molested and then after a while he just couldn't take it and killed himself. But is it plausible that a truly concerned father would not try to do everything in his power to keep his son away from Jackson? That he would never give an interview in the media talking about his concern when so many others who knew Jackson went to the media to denounce him?

It was not Jackson who forced or even asked the Robsons to move to the US and leave Dennis behind. In fact it was Dennis's ideas to move to the US and then it was Joy Robson's idea to actually make the move as she saw no future for Wade and Chantal in the Australian entertainment industry. Jackson didn't even try to contact the Robsons for two years after they first met and Joy Robson testified in 2005:

*18 Q. And you felt that your having a good
19 relationship or connection with the defendant in
20 this case could promote that career; isn't that
21 correct?*

22 A. That's -- you're putting words in my mouth.

23 I've never said that.

24 Q. I didn't ask you whether you said it or not.

25 I asked you if that's what you were thinking.

26 A. No.

27 Q. You weren't thinking that at all?

28 A. Not at all.

*1 Q. You weren't thinking that Mr. Jackson could
2 help propel your son in an entertainment career?*

3 A. That was not my motive.

Orth says:

10. In a 2002 documentary, *Living with Michael Jackson*, Jackson told Martin Bashir there was nothing wrong with sharing his bed with boys.

Another lie.

120. Nowhere did Jackson tell Bashir there was nothing wrong with sharing his bed with boys. He said sharing your bed with someone is the most loving thing to do. He said he slept in a bed with many children, and then he named two boys and two girls, the Culkins, none of them ever claimed any abuse.

As much as Orth and the rest of media want to depict Jackson as a boy-obsessed creep Jackson never talked about boys. If it is wrong to share a bed with a boy it's just as wrong to share a bed with a girl but Orth never talked about that even though she has to know Jackson shared his bed with girls to: Chantal Robson was one of them. Here she is as an adult talking about how there was nothing wrong

with her sleeping in the same bed as Jackson when she was 10.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NLT3gncZW2k>

121. Why does Orth forget to mention that Wade, Chantal and Joy Robson, June and Evan Chandler and Stephanie and James Safechuck Sr. all had the same view as Jackson, that there was nothing wrong with them or their kids sharing a bed with Jackson?

In 2005 Chantal testified that he thought it was OK for a kid to sleep in a bed with a friend if she feels comfortable that he is a friend and the parents also think it's okay.

5 Q. *And as you sit here today, as an adult, you*
6 *think it's appropriate for a ten-year-old girl to*
7 *sleep in the same bed with an unrelated 35-year-old*
8 *man that she's just met?*

9 A. ***I think it's appropriate for a ten-year-old***
10 ***girl to sleep in a bed with a friend.***

11 Q. *All right. What about a 35-year-old man*
12 *that she has just met. That's my question. Do you*
13 *think that's appropriate?*

14 A. ***If she feels comfortable that he is a***
15 ***friend.***

16 Q. *If the ten-year-old thinks it's okay, she*
17 *should do it?*

18 A. *And the parents. Yeah.*

19 Q. ***Something you would allow?***

20 A. ***Yes.***

Wade and Joy Robson agreed so did Wade's wife Amanda. After hearing Wade's testimony where he admitted sharing a bed with Jackson this is how happy she was. Then the Robsons wanted to marry in Neverland, the very place where Wade shared a bed with Jackson.



Of course the Safechucks saw nothing wrong with Jackson sharing a bed with James either, if that indeed happened at all, it could happen because they thought it was OK.

And Evan Chandler was not only OK with it he was bragging about it to Carrie Fisher:

[https://books.google.com/books?](https://books.google.com/books?id=IWxxodXkQ4UC&printsec=frontcover&dq=Shockaholic&hl=en&sa=X&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=evan&f=false)

[id=IWxxodXkQ4UC&printsec=frontcover&dq=Shockaholic&hl=en&sa=X&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=evan&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?id=IWxxodXkQ4UC&printsec=frontcover&dq=Shockaholic&hl=en&sa=X&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=evan&f=false)

122. Orth believes that Jackson molested boys in bed therefore told the entire world that he shared his bed with boys. Doesn't it occur to her that if he had a lot to hide he would have actually hidden it? The reason why he said it's OK to share a bed with a child because he indeed believed sharing a bed with anyone itself is not sexual. Why didn't Orth quote any of these?

"when you say bed you think sexual it's not sexual we are going to sleep"

Jackson only approved of non-sexual bedsharing and he made that clear over and over again:

ED BRADLEY: *Do you know any other man your age, a 45-year-old man, who shares his bedroom with children?*

MICHAEL JACKSON: ***Of course. Not for sex. No. That's wrong.***

MICHAEL JACKSON: *Well, what's wrong with sharing your bed? I didn't say I slept in the bed. Even if I did sleep in the bed, it's okay. **I am not going to do anything sexual to a child. It's not where my heart is. I would never do anything like that.** That's not Michael Jackson. I'm sorry. That's someone else.*

<https://www.cbsnews.com/news/jackson-interview-transcript/>

In 1995 as he explained why kids slept in his bed if they wanted to he emphasized he didn't invite boys in his bed, in fact he didn't invite anyone to his bed but *anyone* could go to his bed if they wanted to:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=blt1Oou3ltc>

What he said has been corroborated by numerous people who slept in his room over the years. But Orth does not listen to them, he only listens to those who have a vested financial interest to depict those sleepovers as sexual.

Vanity Fair says:

CORRECTION: Due to an editing error, an earlier version of this story misidentified the father of one of Jackson's accusers. Wade Robson's father, not Jimmy Safechuck's, committed suicide. We regret the error.

The irony. The article is riddled with flat out lies, omissions, misrepresentations but Orth regrets the error of confusing Dennis Robson and James Safechuck Sr.