# A Magic Square of Squares























A 3 x 3 magic square of unique integers with 3 perfect squares.



Finding a magic square of squares is hard, maybe impossible.
 but one with seven squares was found.

Found by Dr Andrew Bremner in 1997.



- The search has been for another Seven, but no other has been found.
- → There are plenty of Sixes.
- → And we make Sixes by making Fives.

# Making Fives

- → Use parametric formulas on pairs of *co-primes*.
- *→ M*,*N*,*P*,*R*



- → Fives are ubiquitous, Sixes are rare, and the enigma is if there is only one Seven.
- → Make Fives and hope another perfect square shakes out.
- Can we increase the likelihood of finding more Sixes?
   (and thereby having more chances at finding a Seven.)

# The Plan

- → Use pairs of primes for *M*,*N*,*P*,*R*.
- → Try every pair of primes up to the first 1000 primes.
- → Record which pairs generate Sixes.

e.g. M=5 N=43 P=2 R=5

(2254<sup>2</sup> is the overlapping number)



#### There is structure!

4269576676	92414 <sup>2</sup>	1874 <sup>2</sup>
2254 <sup>2</sup>	64354 <sup>2</sup>	8537210116
8538778756	1394 <sup>2</sup>	65366 <sup>2</sup>

















4269576676	92414 <sup>2</sup>	1874 <sup>2</sup>
2254 <sup>2</sup>	64354 <sup>2</sup>	8537210116
8538778756	1394 <sup>2</sup>	65366 <sup>2</sup>

This square just happened to shake out.



Magic number / 3!

# The Plan

- → Generate squares from the first 1000 primes. throw away the Fives and Sevens (if any.)
- → Determine which M,N,P,R Mod 10, finds the most Sixes. check which modulo finds more.
- → Also determine which one finds the least, and also pick a middle one.

best, middle & worst candidates.

# The Plan

- → Count which M,N,P,R mod 10 make the most/least progressions of 3 perfect squares. We dislike multiples!
- → For example, maybe our best candidate is 1,7,7,1
  generate the Fives again, but this time with the first 1000 primes that are 1 mod 10 for *M*, 7 mod 10 for *N*, and so on.
- → Our M,N,P,R values will be larger. maybe we'll find a bigger Six.



- Solution Can we use this strategy as a predictor of finding more Sixes?
- → Hypothesis: We will generate more Sixes with our best candidate than with our worst.
- → The Nagging Doubt: Sixes get rarer as M,N,P,R gets higher, so maybe we can't tell a) that it's random, or b) there's some other structure that lower values don't shed light on.

# Results of Run #1

- → We found 1534 Sixes.
- → With modulo 10...
  - → The best candidate is: 1, 3, 7, 9
  - → The middle candidate is: 1, 3, 1, 7
  - → The worst candidate is: 9, 9, 7, 1
- → The best modulo is actually 6 with 5, 1, 5, 1.

### Distribution of Sixes in Run #1



## Results of Run #2

	Sixes	3sq prog.
Best (1,3,7,9)	98	210
Faux-Best (1,7,7,1)	77	147
Middle (1,3,1,7)	<mark>120</mark>	<mark>236</mark>
Worst (9,9,7,1)	19	25

# The ANSWER to the QUESTION

- → Can we use this strategy as a predictor of finding more Sixes?
  - → NO

## Results of Run #2 (middle)



# Results of Run #2 (middle, new)



## What's Next?

- → Check for candidates with M, N, P, R all > 100, > 1000.
- → Look for candidates in 1, 3, 1, 7 with mod 100.
- → Check for patterns in the *indices* of mod 10 primes.