

666

By:

THE ANTICHRIST

This is my 1st time book author attempt, 3rd rewrite, so pardon any errors. These next few pages are important. Pay attention. This is the greatest book ever written because of its contents. Go ahead and doubt it. You'll see.

F. Lee Bailey, Atty.

823 N. Olive Ave.

W. Palm Beach, FL 33401

Summarizing a 200 page case to a brief letter is difficult.

① I can document what may be the highest set of objective (and subjective) test scores ever, including:

- a) Illinois Statewide High School Testing Program. This is a 9 category objective test given to all of the high school students in the state of Illinois (~~for runner to the Merit Scholarship Exam~~). Of the 9 categories, my lowest score was at the top 11% level, my second lowest score was at the top 6% level, and all else was on up. This objective test score was not only the highest in my high school of 1000 but also quite likely (one of) the best scores in the state, a performance at the $\geq .001$ probability level.
- b) ACT. I scored as high as possible at the top 1% level, a national performance level of $\geq .01$ probability.
- c) Medical College Admissions Test. I took this test at age 19 (as young as possible) and scored a perfect score in science and only missed 1 point on the math section when I blanked on the formula $L^2 + L^2 = H^2$ for a right triangle which I knew. This (combination) is the highest possible score, a national performance level of $\geq .001$ probability.
- d) Graduate Record Exam (GRE). Of the 2,500 college graduates going for advanced degrees, my score was the highest in the country, a national performance level of $\geq .001$ probability.
- e) Medical School Grades. My All A's one semester and highest grade in my class of 200 in my 3 year condensed curriculum (as compressed as it gets) medical school again shows an ability at $\geq .001$ probability.

— See enclosed scores for verification

ILLINOIS STATE BUREAU OF EDUCATION
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA - CHAMPAIGN

NAME	D.A.T. TESTS		ENGLISH TESTS		READING TESTS		MATHEMATICS TESTS		
	96	94	89	94	97	96	99	95	
JUNGELS PAUL R	96	94	89	94	97	96	99	95	99

PERCENTILES BASED UPON ILLINOIS STUDENT LEARNING TESTS

SCHOOL NO 415

STUDENT NAME JUNGELS PAUL R

STUDENT

PROFILE

CARD

ILLINOIS STATE BUREAU OF EDUCATION

HIGH SCHOOL

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

AT URBANA - CHAMPAIGN

TO THE TEACHER OR COUNSELOR

TEST RANKINGS

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

AVERAGE
RANGE
SUPERIOR RANGE
EXCEPTIONAL

Handwritten signature and date: 10/1/70

ACT



JUNGELS PAUL R

1-3 American College Testing Program

26	34	28	31	30
----	----	----	----	----

10 71

C.A.A.A.

95	99	92	97	99
----	----	----	----	----

top
0/3

Spring 1974

900	JUNGELS	PAUL	R	ML	355-46-8206	IL
TEST REPORT	MEDICAL COLLEGE ADMISSION TEST-3M					
MO. DAY YR.	08-10-54	DATE OF BIRTH				
STATE OF RES.	IL					

NON-AMCAS ADMISSION ACTION
 PLEASE CHECK ACTION, ENTER DATE OF ACTION,
 AND MAIL TO: AAMC STUDENT SERVICES, SUITE 301,
 1776 MASSACHUSETTS AVE., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036. ATTENTION: ACTIONS

19	MO.	DAY	YEAR	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
ACCEPTED UNDER EARLY DECISION				ACCEPTED UNDER EARLY DECISION	NOT ACCEPTED UNDER EARLY DECISION	NOT ACCEPTED UNDER EARLY DECISION	OTHER SCHOOLS	NO FINAL ACTION			

33 ± 10	92 ± 06	22 ± 13	94 ± 05
475	695	445	695
VERBAL ABILITY	QUANTITATIVE ABILITY	GENERAL INFORMATION	SCIENCE

1st section = synonyms. 2nd section = synonyms.

Keep doing synonyms
 I was out of time
 correct the error
 once driver

COMPLETE ALL ENTRIES IF APPLICANT MATRICULATES

1	MO.	YEAR	2
MATRICULATED			MATRICULATED FROM SPECIAL PROGRAM

OR

3	MO.	YEAR
ANTICIPATED DATE OF GRADUATION		

© 1969 by Association of American Medical Colleges

V				Q				A				GRE REPORT OF SCORES				TEST DATE & CODE	TOTAL SCORE	SUBSCORES 1 2									
1076				490				730				NA				1076 24	780	84 72									
JUNGELS PAUL R												2541 STATE STREET						GRANITE CITY IL 62040									
M												08 10 54				355 46 8206				00							
SEX												BIRTH DATE				SOCIAL SECURITY NO.				TB							
INSTITUTION												DEPT CODE				ETS USE ONLY											
CANDIDATE'S COPY																											
GRADUATE RECORDS EXAMINATIONS												BOX 955 - PRINCETON, NJ 08541															
NOTE: EFFECTIVE 10/81, MAXIMUM SCORE ON GENERAL TEST IS 800. SCORES HIGHER THAN 800 EARNED BEFORE 10/81 SHOULD BE INTERPRETED AS 800.												REFER TO GUIDE TO THE OF THE GRADUATE RECORDS EXAMINATIONS PROGRAM SUBJECT TEST CODES A SUBSCORES.															
NOTE: ANALYTICAL REVISED 10/81; SCORES EARNED BEFORE 10/81 CANNOT BE COMPARED WITH SCORES FROM LATER DATES.												SPANISH TEST (91) SUBS 1 IS LANGUAGE KNOWLE IF TEST DATE IS PRIOR 1 OCTOBER 1974.															

GRE[®] INTERPRETING YOUR GRE GENERAL AND SUBJECT TEST SCORES 1991-92

The GRE General Test is designed to measure broadly defined verbal, quantitative, and analytical skills acquired over a long period of time. The Subject Tests are tests of achievement, and they have been developed to assess knowledge of material usually associated with undergraduate curricula. No single measure, including the GRE tests, assesses every discipline-related skill necessary for academic work or all subjective factors important to academic and career success, such as motivation, creativity, and interpersonal skills.

The GRE scores are intended to provide a common measure for

comparing the developed abilities of applicants who come from a variety of colleges and universities with different standards. The scores and knowledge reflected by GRE test scores are associated with success in the first year of graduate study.

The GRE Board guidelines for score use and interpretation are reproduced on pages 5 and 6 of the 1991-92 GRE Information Booklet. These guidelines summarize the considerations for appropriate use of GRE test scores and encourage institutions to use GRE scores appropriately.

THE NATURE OF GRE TEST SCORES

Your GRE test scores are three-digit numbers ending in zero, except for sub-scores on the Subject Tests, which are two-digit numbers. Separate General Test scores are reported for verbal, quantitative, and analytical measures. For all Subject Tests, a total score is reported, and for some Subject Tests sub-scores are reported also. After a new edition of a test has been administered worldwide, it is subjected to a rigorous analysis to see whether each question yields the expected results. This analysis may reveal that a question is ambiguous, requires knowledge beyond the scope of the test, or is inappropriate for the total group or a particular subgroup of examinees taking the test. Answers to such a question are not used in computing scores. For this reason the total correct, incorrect, and omitted responses listed on your score report may not equal the total number of test questions in the test you took.

GRE test scores are "scaled" scores derived from your performance on the test. Such scaled scores are used because several different editions of each test are in active use. Differences in difficulty among editions of a particular GRE test are compensated for when the raw score is converted to a scaled score, making it possible to directly

compare scores earned in an earlier year. GRE Subject Test scores should be compared only with other scores on the same GRE Subject Test because scores are calculated independently for each test. A score of 680 on the Chemistry Test, for example, is not equivalent to a 680 on the Mathematics Test.

There are three important exceptions to the general rule of comparability. First, because the analytical ability measure on the General Test was revised extensively as of October 1, 1988, analytical scores earned after that date should not be compared with any earned prior to that date. Second, also effective October 1, 1988, the maximum obtainable score for the verbal, quantitative, or analytical ability measure was set at 800. Older verbal and quantitative scores are higher than 800 should be interpreted as equivalent to the 800 maximum. Third, beginning in October 1990, the Music Test was revised substantially and for this reason, test scores on the Music Test are not comparable with test scores on editions of the Music Test administered prior to October 1, 1990.

For the General Test, a minimum score of 200 is reported if

Table 4
Subject Tests Total Score Interpretive Data Used on Score Reports, 1987-1990
 (Some selected percentile ranks based on the performance of all examinees who tested between October 1, 1987, and September 30, 1990)

Scaled Score	Percent of Examinees Scoring Lower than Selected Scaled Scores																	Number Examinees
	Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology	Biology	Chemistry	Computer Science	Economics	Education	Engineering	Geology	History	Literature in English	Mathematics	Revised Music**	Physics†	Political Science	Psychology	Secretary		
980											97		97					
960							99				90		95					
940			99				99				98		94					
920			99				99				85		93					
900			98		99		98				82		91					
880		99	98		99		97				79		89					
860		99	95		99	99	97				78		87					
840		98	93		98	96	95				72		84					
820		99	90		97	95	94				69		81					
800		94	87		95	92	92	99			65		78					
780	92	84	83	89		89	99			81		75						
760	89	89	81	85		87	87			57		71		89				
740	89	85	77	80	81	83	98		99	52		68		98				
720	98	80	72	81	77	80	93		98	48		63		87				
700	98	78	67	78	72	75	90	99	98	44	99	60		95	99	1		
680	84	80	62	70	68	69	85	98	98	40	96	55		82	90	6		
660	82	63	56	62	60	69	83	80	98	36	96	50	99	88	98	6		
640	89	57	50	55	54	68	67	73	98	32	94	47	99	84	97	6		
620	85	50	43	47	47	98	80	88	91	28	91	41	97	78	98	8		
600	81	43	37	39	40	93	43	67	87	24	86	37	95	71	93	8		
580	75	37	30	31	32	90	37	48	81	21	80	32	92	64	91	8		
560	69	31	24	25	28	85	30	39	74	17	74	27	88	58	89	5		
540	81	25	18	19	20	79	24	31	88	15	69	22	81	49	85	8		
520	53	20	13	14	15	71	18	23	59	12	62	18	75	41	81	5		
500	47	16	8	10	10	63	13	17	49	37	56	13	68	33	76	5		
480	39	12	5	7	8	54	9	12	37	30	47	10	59	28	70	4		
460	32	9	3	4	4	45	8	8	28	23	5	7	50	20	65	4		
440	24	6	1	2	2	37	4	5	19	17	4	32	4	14	58	4		
420	20	4		1	1	28	2	3	12	12	2	25	2	31	10	50	4	
400	14	3				21	1	1	7	8	1	20	1	23	7	42	4	
380	10	2				16			3	5		15		16	4	33	3	
360	7	1				10			1	3		10		10	3	25	3	
340	5	1				7				2		7		8	1	17	3	
320	3					4				1		4		3	1	11	3	
300	1					3				1		3		2		7	3	
280	1					1						1		1		3	2	
260						1								1		1	2	
240																	2	
220																	2	
200																	2	
Number of Examinees	2,427	38,866	14,349	18,176	7,854	9,872	20,076	3,588	8,870	22,348	11,527	1,073	14,158	6,149	40,578	5,862	Number Examinees	
Percent Women†††	N/A	52	38	17	27	74	12	28	30	62	31	N/A	18	32	60	39	Percent Women	
Percent Men†††	N/A	48	64	83	73	26	88	72	70	38	69	N/A	85	68	31	61	Percent Men	
Mean	607	614	647	625	632	465	623	581	505	525	723	484	669	458	538	428	Mean	
Standard Deviation	108	114	117	99	108	85	118	88	79	92	182	99	152	81	95	98	Standard Deviation	
Reliability	.94	.93	.93	.90	.93	.94	.92	.92	.93	.96	.92	.93	.91	.92	.94	.95	Reliability	
Standard Error of Measurement:																		
Individual Scores	25	25	29	28	27	21	29	24	19	18	44	23	40	22	21	27	Individual Scores	
Score Differences	35	38	41	40	39	30	41	34	27	28	62	33	57	31	30	38	Score Differences	

* The interpretive information for the Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology Test is preliminary. It is based only on examinees tested on October 13, 1990, and December 8, 1990.
 ** The interpretive information for the Revised Music Test is preliminary. It is based only on examinees tested on October 13, 1990, and April 13, 1991, and should be used only for examinees tested after October 13, 1990. Do not use this information for Music Test scores and subscores earned prior to October 1, 1990.
 † For the Mathematics Test, the percent of examinees scoring lower than 990 is 83.
 †† For the Physics Test, the percent of examinees scoring lower than 990 is 87.
 ††† Less than one-half of one percent of examinees taking any Subject Test did not indicate sex.
 N/A Not available

Table 3

Subject Tests Interpretive Data for Subcores, 1987-1990

(Some selected percentile ranks based on the performance of all examinees who tested between October 1, 1987, and September 30, 1990)

Percent of Examinees Scoring Lower than Selected Scaled Scores

Scaled Score	Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology*			Biology	Engineering	Geology			History	Revised Music**			Psychology	Scaled Score				
	Biochemistry	Cell Biology	Molecular Biology and Genetics		Engineering	Mathematics Usage	Stratigraphy, Sedimentology, Paleontology, Geomorphology, and Hydrology	Structural Geology and Geophysics	Mineralogy, Petrology, and Geochemistry	European History	United States History	History and Theory	Listening and Literature		Solo: Aural and Written	Experimental Psychology	Social Psychology	
98					99											99		
96					99											99		
94					99											94		
92					99											92		
90					99	99										90		
88					97	99										88		
86					97	99										86		
84					95	98										84		
82					94	94			99							82		
80					92	92		99	99							80		
78					90	89	99	98	99							78		
76					88	83	98	97	96					99		76		
74					85	81	98	95	94					99	99	74		
72					81	77	94	93	92			99		97	94	72		
70					77	71	91	90	89	99	99	99	98	95	96	70		
68					71	65	86	86	84	98	99	99	97	92	83	68		
66					66	60	80	80	79	96	96	96	96	88	89	66		
64					60	54	74	73	73	94	94	94	93	83	84	64		
62					53	48	65	65	67	91	90	90	94	78	78	62		
60					47	42	58	57	58	87	88	85	87	72	71	60		
58					40	35	48	48	48	81	81	80	82	81	65	58		
56					32	29	40	40	39	74	75	75	78	73	57	56		
54					25	23	33	30	31	66	68	68	71	64	50	54		
52					19	18	24	23	23	57	57	60	63	57	42	52		
50					14	13	18	18	18	48	47	54	54	51	34	50		
48					9	9	14	11	11	38	38	47	46	42	28	48		
46					8	8	9	7	5	28	27	42	39	34	20	46		
44					3	3	6	4	2	19	19	33	33	27	14	44		
42					2	1	3	2	1	12	11	27	27	24	9	42		
40					1		2	1		7	6	20	20	19	6	40		
38							1			3	3	15	15	13	3	38		
36										1	2	9	10	11	2	36		
34												7	7	7	1	34		
32												5	3	6	1	32		
30												2	2	4	1	30		
28												1	1	2		28		
26												1	1	1		26		
24																24		
22																22		
20																20		
Number of Examinees			2,421		20,676		3,568		8,670		1,075		46,578			Number of Examinees		
Mean	52	50	50		62	63	58	58	60	51	51	48	48	48	54	54	Mean	
Standard Deviation	11	10	10		12	11	9	9	9	8	8	10	10	10	9	9	Standard Deviation	
Reliability	.85	.84	.89		.87	.89	.83	.83	.84	.90	.85	.90	.87	.87	.89	.88	Reliability	
Standard Error of Measurement:																		
Individual Scores	4.3	4.0	3.3		3.7	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.5	2.3	2.9	3.2	3.6	3.6	3.0	3.0	Individual Scores	
Scores Differences	6.1	5.7	4.7		5.3	4.7	5.2	5.2	5.0	3.2	4.0	4.5	5.1	5.1	4.2	4.3	Scores Differences	
Total Score																		Total Score
Mean		607				623		591		505		484		538			Mean	
Standard Deviation		102				118		88		79		99		95			Standard Deviation	

top 1/2

Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology*

Biochemistry

Cell Biology

Molecular Biology and Genetics

Interpretive data for the subcores on this test will be available after the approximate score report mailing date for the October 1991 administration.

* The interpretive information for the Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology Test is preliminary. It is based only on examinees tested on October 13, 1990, and December 8, 1990.
 ** The interpretive information for the Revised Music Test is preliminary. It is based only on examinees tested on October 13, 1990, and April 13, 1991, and should be used only for examinees tested on or after October 13, 1990. Do not use this information for Music Test scores and subscores earned prior to October 1, 1990.

P.O. BOX 400, PLYMOUTH, MONTSERPAT, WEST INDIES

Student Number 355-46-9206	Student Name JUNGELS, Paul Richard	Citizenship USA	Sex Male
Address 1531 Lindell Boulevard, Granite City, Illinois 62040		Date of Birth August 10, 1954	Place of Birth
Entrance Date July 1982	Graduation Date	Degree Conferred	
Course # July-December 1982 (1 Cr. Hr. = 1.5 Semester Hrs. = 2.25 Quarter Hrs.)	Title First Academic Period	Cr. Hrs.	Grade
	Gross Anatomy	7	P
	Histology/Embryology	6	H
	Medical Psychology/Biostats.	3	P
	Genetics	1	H
	Physiology	8	H
January-June 1983 (1 Cr. Hr. = 1.5 Semester Hrs. = 2.25 Quarter Hrs.)	Second Academic Period		
	Neuroscience	4	H
	Systemic Pathology	4	H
	General Pathology	2	H
	Microbiology	5	H
	Intro. to Medicine I	4	H
	Biochemistry	4	H
July - December 1983 (1 Cr. Hr. = 1.5 Semester Hrs. = 2.25 Quarter Hrs.)	Third Academic Period		
	Intro to Medicine II	5	P
	Clinical Pathology	3	P
	Pharmacology	3	H
	Pathophysiology	3	P
	Preventive Medicine	1	H
	Didactics (Medicine, Surgery, Pediatrics, OB/GYN, Psychiatry, Subspecialties Included)	10	P

RESUME
Capt of Soccer team

to add 1/2, highest grade in the class

Capt of Soccer team

U of Iowa

MAR 02 1981

PROFESSOR

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

The combined probability of these performances therefore is
 $\geq .001 \times .01 \times .001 \times .001 \times .001$ which is 10^{14} probability or
 ≥ 100 quadrillion to 1 probability (there are 7 billion people on the planet)

I can document what may be the highest set of objective (and subjective) test scores ever.

② The average physician is 'held back' during the course of his training about 1 1/2 years and accumulates a medical education debt of about \$150,000⁰⁰. I was 'held back' over 12 years and my medical education debt is nearly \$500,000⁰⁰. It amounts to a 20 year loss of career earning potential relative to the average physician = damages.

③ The reason for my delay is conjecture, however when the pattern is repeated 2 dozen times, at least to me the reason becomes obvious. And that reason is nepotism/affirmative action/discrimination/ethnicity. Specifically in my case, I can document several dozen episodes of, for lack of a better description, flagrant Jewish sabotage likely because of my German last name and events from the Holocaust before I was born. To cite one of the many examples: immediately following my perfect science MCAT score, 3 Jewish undergraduate biology professors gave me 3 D grades on their subjective essay tests. I subsequently scored the highest in the country on the advanced biology Graduate Record Exam as well as A's in these medical school classes when I finally was admitted. I have dozens of similar subjective labels nearly exclusively from Jews. So instead of my financial future determined by my amazing objective test scores and/or my highest-in-class straight A medical grades, it is determined by the Jewish sabotage labels. The statute of limitations has run out as no lawyer would come to my aid. The case is far more elaborate than this superficial introduction.

ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGES

Section for Student Services
2450 N Street, N.W., Suite 201
Washington, D.C. 20037-1131
Telephone (202) 828-0600

MCAT

PAUL R JUNGELS MD
4727 MEADOWVIEW CIRCLE
SARASOTA, FL 34233

MCAT ADDITIONAL SCORE REPORT
FOR

JUNGELS, PAUL RICHARD
SSN: 355-46-8206
TEST DATE: 04/77

SCORES:

BIOLOGY	12 = top 5%
CHEMISTRY	09
PHYSICS	09
SCIENCE	10
READING	10
QUANTITATIVE	11
	<hr/>
	61 total
	= 10.2 AVERAGE

Average Accepted U.S. medical student
Score = 54-57 (9.0-9.5 AV)

NATIONAL BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS - ASSOCIATION OF AMERICAN MEDICAL COLLEGE
3930 CHESTNUT ST., PHILADELPHIA PA 19104

MEDICAL SCIENCES KNOWLEDGE PROFILE EXAMINATION - JUNE 12-13, 1984
REPORT OF STANINE SCORES

L.D.#: 355468206

ANAT	DEFS	BIO	LCID	RICK	PATH	PHARM	PHYS
8	7	6	6	9	7	7	6

JUNGELS PALL R
1531 LINDELL BOULEVARD
GRANITE CITY IL 62040

SEE ENCLOSURE FOR
INTERPRETATION OF
SCORES

Range 1 → 9 ; my lowest score was 6

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL & OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS
STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE
P.O. BOX 2649
HARRISBURG, PA 17105-2649

JUNGELS, PAUL R
10825 E KESWICK RD #56
PHILADELPHIA PA 19154

FLEX ID#: 540810027
EXAM DATE: JUNE 1988

DEAR CANDIDATE:

LISTED BELOW ARE THE SCORES WE HAVE ON FILE FOR YOU AFTER POSTING
THE RESULTS OF THE RECENT FLEX EXAMINATION:

	YOUR SCORE	DATE	
COMPONENT I	80	0688	PASS → top 5%
COMPONENT II	79	0688	PASS → top 10%

A SCORE OF 75.0 OR ABOVE IS REQUIRED FOR PASSING EACH COMPONENT.

IF YOU DESIRE A LICENSE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA, PLEASE CONTACT THE BOARD, IN WRITING, TO DETERMINE IF

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE CONGRATULATES YOU ON YOUR
ACHIEVEMENT.

SINCERELY,
STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

American Board of Internal Medicine
University City Science Center
3624 Market Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-2675
(215) 243-1500 (800) 441-ABIM FAX (215) 382-4702



Harry R. Kimball, M.D.
President

John J. Norcini, Jr., Ph.D.
Executive Vice President for
Evaluation and Research

Dr. Paul Richard Jungels
4727 Meadowview Circle
Sarasota, FL 34233

December 4, 1992

Linda L. Blank
Vice President
Director for Clinical
Competence Programs
Susan C. Day, M.D.
Vice President
Director of Evaluation
for Internal Medicine
Elizabeth A. Hopkins
Director of Registration
Muriel Horne
Director of Registration
Lynn O. Langdon
Vice President for Policy
Development and Planning
Henry F. Strozeski
Vice President for
Business Administration
and Personnel

Candidate Number: 141260

Dear Dr. Jungels:

Congratulations! The Board is pleased to inform you that you passed the September 1992 Certifying Examination. You are now certified as a Diplomate in Internal Medicine. Your certificate will remain valid through the year 2002.

Enclosed are data providing feedback on your performance on the examination and a manual which will help you interpret the test results.

A certificate dated September 16, 1992 will be issued to you in 3-4 months using your name as it appears in the address above (omitting the Dr.). No degree will be displayed unless you specifically request the inclusion of either the M.D. or D.O. degree.

Your name has been submitted for listing as a Diplomate in the DIRECTORY OF CERTIFIED INTERNISTS. You will receive a form from the American Board of Medical Specialties, the publisher of the directory, soliciting information you wish in your listing. Therefore, if you prefer the name on your certificate to appear in a form different from that indicated in this letter, please fill out a form different from that indicated in this letter, please notify this office in writing before January 8, 1993.

The Board wishes you continued success.

Respectfully yours,

Susan C. Day

Preface

Let him who hath understanding reckon the number of the Beast, and that number is 666.

Let: To allow

Him: Male specific, both generalized

Who: Pronoun; substitute term for noun. Abbreviation for World Health Organization, of which my medical school was a member of

Hath: Possesses; derivative of "has"

Understanding: Comprehensive knowledge maximized by maximal insights

Reckon: Explain, proclaim, decode, decipher, conclude

The: Article, refers to something specific, precedes associated noun

Number: A code, conclusion, symbol of quantity

Of: Preposition; related to something

The: Article, refers to something specific, precedes associated noun

Beast: Fearsome, destructive creature or act of nature; a threat; complacent working creature

And: Conjunction; links things together

That: Can be used as an article, conjunction, adverb, pronoun, and adjective

Number: A code, conclusion, symbol of quantity

Is: Verb; state of existence; derivative of "to be"

666: A specific example of a code, conclusion, symbol of quantity; the shape of the trail of the tailing comet

Typical Associations Pertaining to THE ANTICHRIST

1. THE ANTICHRIST is already among us
2. The Beast from the East
3. Prince of Darkness
4. The End of Times
5. The ultimate battle of Good vs. Evil
6. The war to end all wars; Armageddon
7. Association with 666
8. Will he be a Jew
9. Arrogance
10. A beloved World Leader
11. Let him who hath understanding reckon the number of the Beast, and that number is 666
12. Nostradamus prophecies quatrains
 - a. Napoleon
 - b. Hitler
13. Jesus Christ did his (role playing), then was crucified. The opposite of this is to be crucified, then do your (role playing)
14. Geography peculiarities – amazing
15. Numeralist peculiarities – amazing

Contents

0. Introductory Emails
1. Disclaimer
2. The Understanding
 - a. A Pseudo Miracle
 - b. Selected Topics
 - i. Global Warming and Ice Age Cycles
 - ii. The Conservation of Matter
 - iii. The Aging Process
 - c. Autobiography
3. The Reckoning
4. 666

0. Introductory Emails

...to see if you're comfortable with the contents. With my 3 subjective course D grades in my best courses (aka LIBEL) from 3 Jewish (I'm German heritage from 1800's) professors at SIUE, SANDWICHED BETWEEN A PERFECT MCAT AND HIGHEST IN THE WORLD 780 GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY (unsurpassed...ever), determining my life because of the destroyed gpa, I see the obvious Zionism (definition = advancing Israel/Jewish philosophy PREFERENTIALLY) doing its patriotic duty. Nevertheless, I'm not so politically correct in hiding the intent. I'm reluctant to cut back the descriptions as I have > a dozen similar incidents. There's no doubt about it. Can you/ will you print my uncensored account? That's a real question. I'm not ready to send you > \$900 until that question is determined. How much (I'm not cash flush with a > \$2M career damage factored in) to read it to make that determination? Invent Help offers a similarly structured offer: pay up front and take your chances. Somewhere buried in the fine print is the 3% success rate disclosure. It used to be that "the customer is always right." Now, with the takeover, it's become "these are the rules, take it or leave it." So. I'll get these typos corrected. I've got a bit more to add, addressing magnetic field lines to explain the evidence and also our current momentum (momentum persists until acted on by an additional force) of spiraling in toward the Sun as a leftover gift from our last Ice Age. That means ongoing and accelerating global warming (it's that melting ice pack that's going to change Earth's density which IS that additional force, still a long way (20,000 years?) to go). Self-publishing v publisher. I'm still interested but conflicted. I'm not a mark. It matters (to me) to not fall into Invent Help's 97% failure pool. I currently have a 5/5 AVVO rated civil rights lawyer ([delete], Westlake Village, CA) holding a \$50K non-refundable retainer fee (for > 2 years, has done nothing for me so far, and says the fee is all used up). 5/5. Bull. Have a nice day but avoid oceanfront property.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Paul Jungels <treewinger222@frontier.com>
To: Bernie Sanders <info@berniesanders.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2020, 05:25:58 PM EDT
Subject: Re: Can you contribute \$10 to stand with these eight state legislative candidates?

I'm not deep pockets. I am deep insights. Play to my strength. I can make an appealing argument why WE can IMPROVE on the relatively effective job DT is doing. He's doing well by correcting past defects and not making new mistakes. Where is the NEW ground? Other than a southern waste of money wall and beating up on Israel's adversaries, I don't see much new and good (for all). Printing loads of new greenbacks will ultimately diminish its value. WE have new ADVANCES, you with well researched social assistance plans, me with new insights (like a plan for global warming & sea level rise by flooding below sea level basins to buy decades of time for relocating coastal cities that are going under, with unlimited logical evaluation and application of whatever comes my way). Independent ticket does the trick. /// PaulJay

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Paul Jungels <treewinger222@frontier.com>
To: Bernie Sanders <info@berniesanders.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 23, 2020, 12:30:07 PM EDT
Subject: Re: Unemployment

If WE were POTUS/VPOTUS, we wouldn't have to go around trying to contend with the Republican Party platform (or Democratic Party platform for that matter). No, YOU get to be behind the wheel (and I'll be watching you closely). I might even learn something to add to my logic platform for future use. But you have to get on the ballot. You have to expand your voter base (as an Independent candidate "compelled to act" - what an interesting bait as well as a test for my mind control latent power, to persuade you to go this route). I can get you those Independent voters and maybe even a sizable hunk of the other parties voters voters as well (time is a big factor at this late date, sooner better than later). The Jew and the Nazi, what's not to love? Talk about broad appeal, how do you top that? Yes, we're all Americans, cooking in the same stew. Maybe this time, if we keep the good facets and discard the bad facets, there may actually be a very competent system to develop as both cultures have some (but certainly < 100%) attributes, as well as deficits. People are concerned about the huge polarity of House and Senate votes along party lines. They'll be more than happy to punish this ideology at the polls to any moderate (like US), competent alternative. Just like these (probably waste of time emails) efforts, if that's what it takes to get it done, it was time well risked. Do you have a better plan? /// PaulJay

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Paul Jungels <treewinger222@frontier.com>
To: Bernie Sanders <info@berniesanders.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 16, 2020, 03:53:28 PM EDT
Subject: Re: \$2,000 per person per month

Announce your Independent run (Biden is little more than a confused puppet at this stage and the Independent voter isn't stupid). At the same time, announce me as your VP running mate (release my book to donors). Watch your popularity soar. The POTUS all boils down to ideology. There's the hive with an "upper class", the queen. In our (current US) society that would be ultracapitalists (TRUMP), Zionists, royalty, big business owners. In the hive there's the "middle class" drones, nurses, soldiers. They receive their share of trickle down resource sharing. Our analogy is successful small business owners, most professionals, numerous relatives and descendants of wealthy politicians. Bottom of the hive "lower class" is the mass of workers...just like us. That is the expendable class, the first to go in a catastrophe. You'll see as Coronavirus goes to work on overpopulated countries (or cities). In a booming economy, there's usually enough to pay a survival minimum wage to a capable worker. We live in a bee hive society. Capitalism is a motivator. Let it do its thing but tax it heavily: 50% annual income tax plus 5% annual total asset tax plus 85% death tax. This leaves enough incentive for the motivational power of capitalistic greed, but creates a huge government \$\$\$ windfall for universal health, free tuition, research. If you are actually getting these emails, and you truly want to get elected, I think you should act on the above advice asap. A queen bee ain't so bad, but it needs to go on a (\$) diet. /// PaulJay

1. Disclaimer

You've all heard that THE ANTICHRIST is coming. According to some Nostradamus scholars, there have already been two (Napoleon and Hitler), and the next one is imminent. Some claim that it's the pope, any number of "favorite son" candidates, even Ronald Robert Reagan (6-6-6 letters) were all potential candidates for extra scrutiny.

There are so many phrases to describe THE ANTICHRIST. We will go over them. One thing about all the various descriptive phrases (how about, "Will he be a Jew?," "he'll lead the World to Armageddon," "he's the ultimate battle of Good vs. Evil," just to name a few) is that they all revolve around a religious version of interpretation.

I have three guidelines that I use. I suggest that you memorize them right now, as they will change and improve your understanding of everything. It's what I base my decision making on and I can document the highest scores/grades ever (and easily) in the history of mankind. How's that for arrogance? "Arrow gance" (my definition) = a straight forward, direct perspective. Here's your initial three lifechanging revelations:

1. If you do the logical choice, then you will be correct most of the time.
2. Logic will show you the way.
3. Logic will bestow itself upon you.

What these mean is that when you are confronted with a problem or decision choice, formulate a probability chart of the possible solutions and assign a percent likelihood of that choice being the correct choice. Whichever is the highest on your list, if you truly and logically considered the data, will end up being the best solution most of the time. It's your best chance. You know when you know. But watch out for poker bluffers, as things are not always what they seem.

The reason for all this prelude is that if there is a religious version of THE ANTICHRIST, then, by logic, there must also be a non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST. There are no real descriptive terms for the non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST like there are for the religious version of THE ANTICHRIST. What an excellent test for my claim of the problem-solving power of logic. I have to choose whether to believe in the religious version(s) of existence or the non-religious version of existence. Do I believe in Christianity? Do I believe in Judaism? Do I believe in Islam? Buddhism? Hinduism? Do I believe in the Sun God? How about Zeus, Jupiter, and probably thousands of other various religion-based supernatural entities in charge of the Universe... or... do I choose to believe that there is not a supernatural entity in charge of the Universe? Do I believe that Jesus Christ is the son of God? Did the supernatural entity in charge of the Universe take it upon itself to impregnate Virgin Mary or did she get it the old-fashioned way? What does logic tell you? Logic will show you the way. Logic will bestow itself upon you.

I went through the whole show, from an indoctrinated believer (Protestant Evangelical indoctrination taught to me as a baby and continuing through confirmation as a 14 year old ninth grader), to a questioning agnostic (the “I’m not sure” ideology), to a full-fledged atheist (definition = no God/gods). As logic became my guide, the concept of a supernatural entity in charge of the Universe (with no tangible evidence) became increasingly hard to believe. I realized that the only reason I “believed” in the first place was the repetitious indoctrination of the one-sided argument of whatever religious culture I was raised in. If I was born in the United States and raised Christian, then that is what I became. Had I been born in Israel, I’d have been a Jew, etc. I’d been hoodwinked! But not anymore. I’ve figured it out. Logic showed me the way. It bestowed itself upon me.

If my perspective is shared by the population, it would literally be “The End of Times” for every deity-based religion (80% of the population). It can change overnight. The Earth is not the center of the Universe. The World is not flat. There is no God/gods. All of these erroneous beliefs (the definition of a delusion is “a false belief”) were solved by logic and science (a variety of logic). So, personally, I can’t believe in the religious version (and description) of THE ANTICHRIST; I’m atheist. I’m non-religious. Anything that I reveal to you subsequently, even if remotely associated with a supernatural entity, is not logical. Therefore, I must reject the reasoning. Logic will show me the way. Be gone, Satan.

I can logically construct a definition/description of the non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST – it goes like this. To be a Christian you must believe two things:

1. You must believe that Jesus Christ is “the son of God.” If you do not truly believe Jesus Christ is “the son of God,” then you cannot be a Christian.
2. You must have “faith.” Faith is the unquestioned acceptance of all the religious teachings that go along with Christianity (The Bible, life of Jesus, Heaven, etc.).

If you follow these two principles, you are a Christian. It is estimated that there are two billion Christians of our seven billion population. Other religions have parallel ideological foundations to their beliefs. Religion is a philosophy. Most are thousands of years old and increasingly obsolete. Look at what happened to Zeus, the Sun God, Jupiter... who’s next?

So, if The Christ is the epitome of these two principles, then logically, THE ANTICHRIST would be the opposite (opposite = anti) of these two principles. He would be anti-(Jesus Christ is the “son of God”) and anti-(“faith”). Whomever is the epitome of these two principles would be THE ANTICHRIST. That would be me. Here’s why. One of my unprecedented scores includes the highest in the World (780) GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY (Spring, 1976). This exam included the three subcategories of Biochemistry, Cell Biology, and Molecular Biology and Genetics (my Jewish libel, subjective course D grades just 10 months earlier and only two years after my perfect 695 MCAT. Go figure. Even one course D grade would be hard to believe, but three

separate course D grades, all from Jewish professors, in this short timeframe “proves” itself). As the person with the World’s highest score, that makes me a lead candidate in knowledge in this field. Furthermore, I contend that the depth of knowledge enhances interactive insights, the ability to truly understand a concept. I used that knowledge to write a 40-page research paper (unpublished) that explains the cellular aging process, the abnormal cellular aging process called cancer, and quite convincingly, the impossibility of an “Immaculate Conception” due to chromosomal differences. Logic will show you the way. I contend that my impregnable rebuttal of a theoretical possibility (no matter how remote) of an Immaculate Conception makes me the leading candidate for the opposite of criterion #1 of Christianity. I’m the one in the lead – criterion #1 fulfilled. By the way, I’m going to share with you my logical deduction of what the aging process is. I’m 99.9% convinced that I’m correct. Get ready for the most important thing in your life (my explanation). Don’t believe that statement? Ask yourself that same question in 100 years from now. You won’t be here? I’d say that satisfies the criterion that my aging process explanation qualifies as the most important thing in your life, especially if it can prolong your lifespan timeline segment. “Life” hasn’t shown an ending yet. It has been here on Earth for (at least) 2 billion years. Individuals of that lifeline come and go but “life” is an ongoing process so it seems, prograde (what about retrograde? Hmm...).

Criterion #2 of Christianity is “faith.” Anti-faith is “fact.” That’s what my unprecedented, highest scores/grades ever and easily in the history of mankind measures – facts. Fact after fact after fact. I’m the one in the lead. It statistically exceeds 1,000 randomized M.D.s’ best scores combined. It will never be beat. It’s a (pseudo)miracle that I (probably) could never hope to duplicate. I’m the uncontested leader in this category (and I’ll show it to you). Criterion #2 established. I’m the all-time leading candidate for the non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST as per the description above.

Obviously, Jesus Christ was the leading persona for Christianity. He is the origin as well as the #1 characterization of Prochrist. When he (I do not capitalize the “h,” as a mere mortal is not promoted in this fashion) died, there must have been a new Prochrist; the person who was second to Jesus when his reign as The Prochrist ended on the crucifixion cross and so on. So, over the past 2,000 years since the origin of Jesus Christ and Christianity, there have been hundreds of successive “leaders of the Christian faith,” hundreds of successive Prochrists. These would include post Jesus lead disciples, various pope regimes, whoever was “the Big Cheese” Christian leader for that particular timeline segment between 0 A.D. and 2020 A.D. By logic, if there was a lead Prochrist, then there would also be a lead Antichrist, delineated by timeline segments starting at 0 A.D. and continuing in a parallel fashion through 2020 A.D. in a continuous succession. Of these hundreds of Antichrists (they actually exist in a logical, non-religious version as well as the religious version) over this span, when comparing the two basic criteria of Christianity (or Antichristianity) that I outlined, I win out easily. My research paper

ridicules the science behind the Immaculate Conception myth, and my test scores, facts, easily establish me as... THE ANTICHRIST by the non-religious version. I'm confident that you follow the logic of my explanation so far. If not, you will as you read on.

I'm so confident that I fill this description of the non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST as outlined above that I should be able to pass a lie detector test when confronted with the question, "Are you THE ANTICHRIST?" Not just *an* Antichrist – there have been hundreds as explained, but rather "THE ANTICHRIST": the one in the lead of all predecessors, and the one who will never be surpassed. All that shows is that I can pass a lie detector test indicating that I believe that I am THE ANTICHRIST (by the non-religious version). What about the religious version? Since I am atheist, all that religious version Antichrist as well as deity-based religions in general are just psycho babble to me. But, keep reading.

A friend of mine pointed out that others over the past 2,000 years may also be able to pass a lie detector test. But I pointed out that "they" were "crazy" people. His point was made. But there is one defining difference; the other "crazy people" who could pass a lie detector test the same as I could cannot also produce the highest scores/grades ever and easily in the history of mankind like I can. Their scores are usually at the opposite end of the scoreboard. They are deluded. I am not. I'm basing my observation on logic and it is undeniable. I'm more rational than you are, or anybody for that matter, since I let logic show me the way.

So, I'm THE ANTICHRIST by the non-religious definition provided. From an atheist point of view, there is no religious version of THE ANTICHRIST since religion is a delusion (= a false belief). You've been indoctrinated to the point that you are living a delusion. Become open-minded to the concepts that you are about to share. It will improve your understanding of many facets of your beliefs.

The following is as accurate as I can reasonably provide. It is all based on logic. The logical choice will be the correct choice most of the time. Logic will show you the way. And one other good thing about logic is that once the logical choice is made and logic bestows itself upon you, new logic insights, improvements, can modify and upgrade the original concept in an ever-evolving understanding. The more knowledge and insights, the deeper the understanding. Arrogance? I don't claim to be "the smartest person ever." That's a subjective term. I can only show you the highest standardized, objective test scores – fact after fact after fact – ever and easily in the history of mankind. If that makes me "the smartest person ever," so be it. That is a choice, subject to the observer. Is the best chess player "the smartest person ever?" If not, how come he didn't get beat?

The Understanding

Quit talking and start chalking. Whether on the pool table or the chalkboard, I know my way around. So first, I'll hit you with my (3rd from the) best shot – my scores/grades are the highest ever and easily in the history of mankind. How this (pseudo)miracle came to occur is statistically remote. My illustrated scores in the table exceed 1,000 randomized M.D.s' best scores combined. They will never be beaten. Here they are:

	You + 2	Einstein + Hawking	Tampa General Hospital 1,000 M.D.s 5,000 Staff	Cook County Hospital 5,000 M.D.s 25,000 Staff	Me
1. High School Test #1	±?	±?	±?	±?	Yes
2. High School Test #2	± Yes	±?	Yes	Yes	Yes
3. College Test	± No	No	±?	Yes	Yes
4. Graduate School Test	± No	No	No	±?	Yes
5. Professional School Grade Ability	±?	± No	±?	Yes	Yes

1. 1970 – Illinois Statewide High School Testing Program (200,000 10th grade students)
 - a. Highest in the state (?) 859; rank = unsurpassed (?)
 - b. 95.666 average over nine categories (one more percentage point would've helped my score and overall claim!)
 - c. Average score is 50% x nine categories = 450
2. 1972 – ACT top 1%; composite 30; rank = unsurpassed
3. 1974 – Medical College Admissions Test (MCAT) perfect 695 Science; rank = unsurpassed
4. 1976 – Graduate Record Exam (GRE) ADVANCED BIOLOGY highest in the World 780 (x=2,500); rank = unsurpassed
5. American University of the Caribbean School of Medicine (a three-year accelerated medical school) graduate with the higher of two of 200 medical students to get straight A grades; rank = unsurpassed

I'd say that I qualify as understanding, integrating the insights of existence statistically exceeding 1,000 doctors as shown in the table above and also (i.e., $0.001 \times 0.01 \times \text{infinite} \times \text{infinite} \times \text{infinite}$ divided by a population of roughly 7 billion) says that these scores will endure > 10,000 years or more... or forever.

So, about those insights. Where to begin? Let's start with something simple and straightforward to get your logic on track. Global warming sounds like a good introduction.

Global Warming

Let's blame is on atmospheric CO₂ buildup or methane release. The graphical analyses can be construed to support the argument. These are accelerants along with aquifer depletion, geothermal harvesting, and several other causes. But despite the huge publicity and the millions already spent and more to follow, nobody has called out the real reason. Here it is. There is a physics formula $Density = Mass/Volume$. This is not an opinion. It is fact. It is logic. It will bestow itself upon you. The mass of a liquid water molecule is the same (molecular weight) as a frozen water ice molecule. Mass is not gained or lost when going from a liquid to a solid (or gas). What does change is the volume, as ice (and snow ice) takes up more space than water. Unlike the relatively minor concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere (0.04%) or methane (0.0002%), water and ice equilibrium is huge. If you were to freeze a 2-mile thick, near-global glacier across the planet (like what we get in our cyclical Ice Age event every 200,000 years or so), you would increase Earth's volume. That means as Earth's volume increases because of the increased volume of ice, the density becomes less. A less dense Earth now exerts less centrifugal force on our angular momentum, our orbit around the Sun. Less centrifugal force means Earth now gets pulled in closer toward the Sun and now the miles-thick global Ice Age glaciers melt down (looks like about 50,000 years this cycle and we've probably used up about 35,000 of them). You get global warming, ice pack melting, sea level rise. Has the logic bestowed itself yet? Historical records where I live indicate that sea levels long ago were over a hundred feet higher than they are now so it's not going to happen just yet. The "it" that I'm referring to is when we've lost our ice packs and allowed Earth's density to again reach its titration point to fling us out into a wider, colder orbit for the next 100,000 years until our water-world freezes enough new ice (miles thick global glaciers just like every preceding Ice Age) to increase the volume, decrease the density, reduce the centrifugal force, and allow Earth to return to the relatively wonderful weather that our planet has thrived on for the past 20,000 years while we await our next Ice Age extinction threat. Mankind has existed as a species for a million+ years. Other than bone relics, where's the evidence for ancient civilizations? Those Ice Ages must be pure Hell to wipe clean any records of civilization (save some caves in Spain).

I need to comment on momentum and inertia as well. Momentum is a force or speed of movement. Inertia is what keeps it going once started (or maintain lack of motion in a non-moving object). "An object in motion will tend to stay in motion until acted on by an additional force." When the large volume/low density Earth at the peak of the last Ice Age caused the centrifugal force pushing Earth out and away from the Sun to weaken, the Earth began its migration back toward the Sun as per every cycle. That's where we are now. Here's the problem. According to the laws of physics, momentum, and inertia, Earth has established an orbital path around the Sun that is slowly losing its radius (we're spiraling in). This momentum/inertia will continue until acted on by an additional force. That additional force will

be the denser Earth (after much more ice melts) reestablishing a centrifugal force to push Earth's orbital radius around the Sun back to an increasing radius orbit (as per the cycle continues). This density boost will only occur when a still tremendous amount of ice (and its larger volume, lesser density) melts down to water (and its smaller volume, greater density = more centrifugal force). This should occur over the next 15,000 years. Until then, expect global warming to increase. Logic will show you the way. How hot will it get?

What we need is to maintain our current ice/water ratio, our current (rapidly melting) ice packs. I suggest a simulated "volcanic winter" with high altitude (sun reflecting) atomized ice particles at 125,000 feet. What's your alternative? How about a snow-maker at the top of Mt. Everest?

So, the issue of global warming is real. Sea levels will continue to rise in an accelerating fashion and oceans will warm as there is insufficient sea ice to maintain a stable temperature. There's just not enough ice left in the glass of tea to keep it cold. I've told you how to address the problem. If you don't want to be flung out into the next Ice Age (so far inevitable), then you must maintain our current ice/water ratio, our current amount of ice... or else.

In addition to the remaining thousands of years of meltdown until this problem (the bigger problem), we have a much smaller but immediate problem: the huge population base at sea level with rising seas. What do we do with the increased water volume? Again, logic will show you the way. We put it in below sea level basins to buy us decades of time to rebuild our cities at a higher elevation. Death Valley, Salton Sea basin, the Dead Sea, all appropriate tradeoffs to temporarily save our coastline.

I've thought about this one, too. We could flood Death Valley and the Salton Sea basin with fresh water by diverting the Colorado River at Hoover Dam. I'd like to construct a 100-mile long machine of thousands of successive paddlewheels fit into a tightly constructed conduit so the downhill grade of the constructed machine, now powered by a regulated flow rate of the Colorado River, is channeled into Death Valley and Salton Sea basins. That would consume a nice piece of water volume.

The alternate plan that I envisioned was a saltwater 100-mile constructed paddlewheel hydroelectric conduit from the Pacific Ocean to the western edge of the Salton Sea basin. It would have to be dug out at below sea level elevation so as to use the enormous East-West direction tidal flow between the now saltwater filled Salton Sea and the Pacific Ocean, linked by this hydroelectric producer. Did the logic bestow itself upon you yet for this project? If so, you'll really appreciate where we go next. Widen the Panama Canal and the Suez Canal (already at sea level and also an East-West orientation) and do this same hydroelectric paddlewheel conduit using the tidal flow of an ocean. You should be able to make enough electricity for continents. Why don't we do this? My logic is puzzled. Give me the power.

So, we've used logic and physics to understand the problem facing us in the form of global warming. I could go on and on with the finer details, remnants of deep insights I get... tangential thinking, but we've other fish to fry and other topics to talk about. I'll choose "The Universe" as my next topic. To me, it's the second most important thing ever after the cellular aging process.

The Conservation of Matter

What we're being told is that somehow a "Big Bang" "poofed" everything into existence. That would be sort of consistent with a religious "creation" concept. But now, that pesky logic comes into play. I have to choose whether to believe in the something from nothing one-sided argument impossibility (just like religion) indoctrination all over again. No thanks. I'll stick with logic and physics. Matter can neither be created nor destroyed. So my logic tells me that two googlemassive black holes (each owning half of the matter we see in the telescopes) crashed together in a millions of miles per hour head on collision (not some spiraling dance of merger). As these two googlemassive black holes collide, there is an initial point of contact between those two objects. That already ultra-compressed atom (at the point of contact between the two colliding googlemassive black holes) is still in an atomic structure. There's no place left to collapse further except to go from atomic to subatomic with a release of energy and heat. It is literally a compressed atom atomic detonation. It has nowhere to go. The next concentric layer of atomic mass of the multimillion mile per hour collision of these two googlemassive black holes walls off the explosion, entombing it. That concentric layer of atomic structure suffers the same fate, transitioning from atomic matter into subatomic particles. And so on, and so on until the ongoing, walled off explosion can no longer be contained. *That* is your "Big Bang." That is your source of a gigantic amount of subatomic particles, "infinitely" hot, explosive expansion (of the debris field created, not the imaginary inflation).

As the still intact remnants of the atomic portion of the now fragmented googlemassive black hole collision exert their gravity fields, each of these (trillions of) fragments is the supermassive black hole at the center of every galaxy, each dragging along its share of the atomic and subatomic debris fields created. The epicenter ejects these supermassive black hole fragments of the googlemassive black hole collision outwards in all directions and at varying (high) rates of speed. Our Milky Way Galaxy is but one of these trillions of galaxy fragments hurling away from the epicenter, propelled by this local, one of an infinite series of similar collisions widely spaced throughout a forever timeline in a no-boundaries Universe that has always been here and will always be here as stated by the laws of physics. Logic is showing me the way. It's bestowing itself upon me.

Does infinity exist? According to me, the answer is yes. Obviously. A line is an example of infinity. But can you truly show me a line? No. So, a line is still just a theory until proven. It's the same thing with time. Did it start with the "Big Bang" one-sided argument or is it a continuum? Is space infinite? According to me, the answer is yes. Obviously. According to the "Big Bang" theory, no. For this delusion, you must accept there was not even existence before everything poofed into being. Science fiction extreme. But it would be consistent with a divine

creation. We can't prove either. Choose. I'm atheist, although I was raised in the Christian faith until my reasoning prevailed.

As we sit on our planet in our galaxy and evaluate space activity, we see things that need to be explained. But we have to do it in a way acceptable to our logic, our understanding. Do I choose to believe that our "Universe" is an ever-expanding (inflating) bubble of existence that poofed from nothing 13.7 billion years ago, or do I choose to believe that logic and science eliminates that explanation? In our Milky Way Galaxy, we observe that "our Universe is expanding." We wondered whether the gravitational epicenter of the Big Bang explosion would be sufficient to slow the escaping debris field and eventually recapture all of it through gravity, only to create a perpetual cycle of repeating big bangs over eternity (the Big Crunch). That is not what we are finding. We see the "Universe" (at least what this debris field represents) is expanding (i.e. the Big Rip). Let's theorize some illogical speculation (based on the incorrect premise that the Big Bang came from nothing) to explain it. We'll call it dark matter and dark energy (dark simply means hidden). We'll spend millions of dollars trying to find evidence to support this poorly thought out speculation.

I don't always follow the mainstream teachings. I evaluate them and use the portions that I can agree with using my logic. I may develop alternate hypotheses to solving problems based on my insights. Because I base my insights on logic and science, they tend to be right. That's why my objective test scores are what they are: unprecedented.

I understand the laws of entropy: given enough time, matter will randomly distribute itself throughout all the available space as long as it follows the laws of physics. The Universe, as I see it, is not an expanding bubble (of existence) still undergoing inflation as believed by Steven Hawking and other fantasizers; no – the Universe is infinite and eternal. As such, the matter component has already had forever to randomly distribute itself throughout all the available space.

Our Milky Way Galaxy's destiny, even after its upcoming merger with Andromeda due to gravitational attractions between each galaxy's central supermassive black hole, is to continue to disperse. It has achieved escape velocity from the epicenter. Somewhere out there, and it may take a long, long time to get there, is yet another googlemassive black hole eating everything that comes its way. Once we are consumed by that googlemassive black hole, it may take another quadrillion years until our new googlemassive black hole drifts into the gravity well of a similar, distant googlemassive black hole; and so the cycle continues. That's what my logic sees. Are you feeling it?

So, dark matter is simply the ~85% of atom-sized (larger than atom-sized particles get removed through gravity leaving only the smallest mass pieces behind) debris trails of prior passing galaxies that have already traversed this space an infinite number of preceding times

(trail of the tailing comet, solar system, galaxy). The dark energy is areas of our localized Universe portion we reside in web-like structure where some of this atom-sized dark matter is aggregating into filaments (magnetic lines of remote gravitational masses similar to the magnetic field lines and graphite shavings experiment we've all seen that reveal and demonstrate these magnetic field lines = my logic theory: I say that the three closest googlemassive black holes to the debris field we reside in is what is creating the three-dimensional weblike structure our 'local' Universe is enveloped by. That's what I see when I look at the 'map of the Universe' as currently, and incorrectly, portrayed. They look like jumbo magnetic field lines to me. It's hard to argue against my explanations since logic is what causes them.) exerting a gravitational pull on whatever remains in the voids between the filaments. That is the "dark energy" that is gravitationally clearing the voids between filaments. It all can be explained in an easy to understand and visualized format if you let logic show you the way. Is it bestowing itself upon you yet? It should be.

So, as we sit in our Milky Way Galaxy, our one of trillions of such galaxies (just from these two colliding googlemassive black holes, and there are infinitely more out there), we're in a race of dispersion. Some of the galaxies were blown out at a faster rate, some at a slower rate. We're in the middle. Of course we see galaxies that are flying away from us. That does not mean the Universe is expanding. It just means that some of the debris field/supermassive black hole fragments were expelled at different speeds and trajectories. Some of these science shows try to feed you the same misinformation as religions do. Don't blindly accept these explanations. Put them to the test of logic. You'll be correct most of the time. That's my explanation for those documented highest scores/grades ever and easily in the history of mankind. I don't believe in (pseudo)miracles. Something caused these once-in-forever scores. It reflects highly skilled hard work applied correctly. What else could it be? A religious version of THE ANTICHRIST doesn't exist.

It also occurred before "the change." The change I'm referring to is the altering of the format of these formerly purely objective tests (susceptible only to giving... or selling... out test answers to selected individuals prior to the test) to now include a subjective essay section. That looks like a prime site for score/grade manipulation. When I'm in charge, we'll go back to the purely objective tests without the manipulation additives. I'd advise buying your six-year-old an encyclopedia set. I spent many of my childhood hours looking at the cool pictures (at random) and reading about dinosaurs, the planets, and whatever else caught my fancy. Things like that aren't a part of routine schooling but they really expand your insights.

I hope I've kept your interest so far. As I read this, it looks pretty good to me. It presents the concepts in a logical and easy to understand format and logically dismembers incorrect, illogical alternate hypotheses. There is no God. The World is not flat. We're not the center of the Universe. There's no such thing as wormholes, no parallel dimensions, no time travel, no

folding of space, no Creation, no Heaven, no Hell. Just use your sense of logic and you should be able to deduce which is real and which is delusion. Let's look at time relativity. I "feel" that time is a constant. It doesn't change around a gravity well and, sorry, but I'm not buying the time relativity theory despite the heavy pressure selling we've all been subjected to. Just like I don't buy that $E=mc^2$. You've been fed that a lot, haven't you? Did you ever stop and take a look at just what it is that you're swallowing? It's right in front of you and easy as pi to figure out (not 3.14...). E is energy. Is that radiant energy? Thermal energy? Kinetic energy? Potential energy? Gibb's free energy? Are all energies equal and interchangeable? What exactly is "E?" Next is "m." That's mass. That is easy to understand. That's a constant just like we used in our global warming physics formula. And lastly – and this is the best – what is c^2 ? The speed of light, c, is the speed of a photon travelling through the "vacuum" of space (filled with diffuse atomic-size particles, especially the smallest – H₂, from prior debris trails incorrectly referred to as dark matter), measured at 186,000 miles per second. But the real kicker is the "squared" part – c^2 . Now I can comprehend 2x the speed of light, 3x the speed of light, maybe even 10x the speed of light. But c^2 is 186,000x the speed of light. In addition to being an absolutely ridiculous number, I seriously doubt that a massively embellished Jewish theorist from the early 20th century had full understanding of what he was proposing. How can you be sure it shouldn't be $E=mc^2-1$? Be careful what you accept as truths. Make them pass the logic test. So, we've covered how logic can solve problems like identifying the true cause of global warming as well as identifying the imprecision of Ice Age cycles. We've discussed the "foreverness" of an infinite Universe consistent with the laws of physics and passing the test of logic. To me, the Big Bang Theory origin of the Universe is as preposterously unbelievable as religious supernatural entities, created thousands of years ago, in charge of it all.



Now on to #1. This is my finest hour: the most important thing ever... your death. It's a thousand times better than my unprecedented scores. But I used those unprecedented scores as my inspiration. That was way back in 1985. I had just finished medical school after scoring the unsurpassed highest straight A grades in my class of 200 medical students at my 3-year accelerated medical school (American University of the Caribbean School of Medicine, graduated 06/1985). That backs up my unprecedented highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind (i.e. perfect 695 science MCAT in 1974, an even more interesting score if you flip the 9 to make it a 6. That would make the score 665 – as close to 666 as can be attained). This score, achieved in 1974, was also the start of my (academic) crucifixion and leading to the ultimate battle of good versus evil... keep reading. It's all there somehow. My 1976 highest in the World 780 GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY score consisting of subsections Biochemistry, Cell Biology, and Molecular Biology and Genetics is the ideal background to develop the insights to understand not just cellular aging, but literally anything.

So, in 1985, after my unprecedented scores and now unsurpassed 3-year accelerated medical school grades as well (I should be first in line for the matching program for advancement), I did NOT get a residency training program (corrupt manipulation at work again no doubt). I was essentially out of a job. I had been held back eight years (and counting) at a cost of (an extra) \$25,000 - \$30,000 per year cost of existence imposed on me starting at age 19. So now what?

I wrote a masterpiece of insight – a 40-page research paper (unpublished) explaining the cellular aging process. Who better to do so? It also explains cancer which is essentially an aberrant cellular aging process that has gone out of control (I'll explain it all coming right up). You'll also understand (as logic will bestow itself upon you) how absurd the Immaculate Conception theory, as well as supernatural entities in charge of the Universe, really is.

The Cellular Aging Process

The problem with the cellular aging process is that it is a complicated, hard to assay, continually evolving biochemical, cell biology, and molecular biology and genetics process. Talk about the right person for the job! Here's what you get. Yes, the cellular aging process is complicated. It's essentially unchanged from yesterday except for that 1 day of evolution. Role it backwards in time and things start getting simpler. Go all the way back to when life first originated, and you've simplified it to the extreme. Now it's not nearly as difficult to visualize what's happening. Keep this in mind, but first we have to look at the hard stuff... today. I'm not going to provide the lengthy 40-page paper that I wrote explaining the cellular aging process but here's how it works. Our energy source is a molecule called adenosine triphosphate (ATP), The whole blueprint is shown:



DNA is a phosphodiester chain of deoxyribose sugars in a 3' - 5' antiparallel configuration (= double stranded DNA) with internally oriented nucleotide bases A=T, G≡C showing that the 3 hydrogen bonds (not physical bonds but rather electromagnetic attractions) “connecting” G to C (G≡C) which is stronger than the 2 hydrogen bonds (as shown) “connecting” adenine to thymine (A=T). In essence, adenine is permitted selectively to “break away.” This “rationing out the adenine” selectively, I contend, also occurs in a chronologic order created by evolution and determined by the non-mutating primary structure (the specific amino acid sequence) of the tightly associated structural histone proteins. I postulate that it is the non-mutating histone proteins that determine in chronologic order which adenine becomes susceptible to hydrolysis freeing it of the covalent attachment to the nucleic acid sugar chain backbone polymer. If histone proteins mutate, they lose their precision regulatory role and so lose their survival advantage. That's what cancer is: the loss of the regulatory role of histone proteins to slowly ration out the adenine reserve. Lose this regulation and adenines are released at a faster rate which causes that cell line to have a growth advantage because of increased adenine contributed from the adenine gradient. This gives this cancer cell line an increase of ATP production compared to the normal cell, hence unregulated, uncontrolled cell growth of the tumor cells. Isn't that what we see?

It is these histone proteins that determine in a precise chronological order the release of each contributing (to the cytoplasmic adenine drain through uric acid excretion) nucleotide adenine (by regulating hydrolysis of the covalent bond attaching adenine to deoxyribose thus determining the K_d coefficient of diffusion) over the course of an organism's lifespan until this chromosomal adenine reserve is depleted to lethal levels. That is what cancer is. It is an aberrant aging process where the cell has lost this regulatory control of histone proteins (be it polyploidy- extra sets of chromosomes = extra adenine available or be it aneuploidy) allowing a greater efflux (release) of chromosomal adenine to the nucleoplasm adenine concentration (that's what the K_d coefficient of diffusion is) and down the concentration gradient out into the cytoplasm affording that cell an increased supply of adenine, hence ATP, a selective advantage over normal cells. More energy = more power = more cell division.

You'll also notice the nucleopore size of 150 Å. Why that? Why has the cell evolved over a billion years to choose that size? Logic – show me the way. If we have adenine loss from DNA as the reason for aging and eventually death (that's what I say it is), then the cell would want to invent strategies to hold onto that chromosomal adenine. We already discussed double stranded DNA advantages over single stranded DNA (the electromagnetic attractions of A=T, C≡G). We discussed the advantage of histone coated DNA over naked DNA. The next logical trick would be to compartmentalize. If you reduce the volume of the available space to dilute out released adenines from DNA, the adenine in this space could build up to a higher level (not be diluted away as fast) affecting the dissociation constant (K_d) of free adenine to

chromosomally attached adenine. That's a eukaryotic advantage over a prokaryotic. The nuclear membrane creating the nucleus of eukaryotic cells provides this lesser compartment (now excludes all the cytoplasm outside the nuclear membrane). The adenine concentration in the nucleoplasm is boosted. There's a problem though. Some molecules need to go from the nuclear compartment to the cytoplasmic compartment. The largest molecule that comes to mind is messenger RNA (mRNA). A typical mRNA has a molecular weight of 5.4×10^6 Daltons (a Dalton is the weight of a hydrogen atom, a proton). If you were to put a lipid in a water environment, the lipid would ball up to minimize its surface area (hydrophobic interaction is the term). So, if you take a typical mRNA and divide it by the volume formula of a sphere, you can derive its ionic diameter. That is what has to "fit" through the hole (nucleopore) in the compartment wall. The whole idea of a compartment wall is to slow the loss of chromosomal adenine. A solid wall would be optimal but since "things" like mRNA have to get out, the next best wall is one with the smallest holes (the greatest diffusion barrier the cell can evolve). Therefore:

Adenine, molecular weight 136 D. Ionic diameter 3.8 Å

mRNA, molecular weight 5.4×10^6 D. Ionic diameter = X = what we want to measure. Since it is the largest "thing" that needs to exit the nuclear compartment. Sphere = $\frac{4}{3}(\pi)(r)(r)(r)$. Use this analogy: adenine/sphere = $136/(\frac{4}{3}(\pi)(r)(r)(r)) = 3.2$. mRNA/sphere = $5.4 \times 10,000,000/(\frac{4}{3}(\pi)(r)(r)(r)) = 120$. Therefore, $3.2/120 = 3.8 \text{ Å}/X$, solve for X; X = 145 Å. So, what evolved (not created by a supernatural entity in charge of the Universe – choose) is a nucleus with nucleopores as small as possible to still get the job done, thus maximizing slowing adenine loss. Makes sense to me. Thank you, logic.

It's also interesting to provide a counterargument to the science-accepted claim that the 200 polyadenine tail of eukaryotic organisms' mRNA (shorter strands in prokaryotes) thought to stabilize the structure, may instead according to my logic replenish the local available adenine supply which has been used by the ribosome and tRNA performing decoding translation protein synthesis. You used up some ATP energy and now you're just restocking the pantry for the next use. That's what I would do if I were an evolving cell billions of years old. What would you do?

In the prokaryotic cell cycle, you have a DNA synthesis phase followed by the cell division phase (interphase, prophase, metaphase, anaphase, telophase) followed by the growth phase, repeat. To me it looks like the ratio of $\frac{DNA}{Cytoplasm}$ is the reason for cellular division. The organism increases its relative % of DNA (i.e. it doubles it) so that it can now divide into 2 "daughter cells" each with sufficient DNA to survive the process. It then eats and grows, then repeats. That's the prokaryotic (no nuclear membrane) cycle of longevity. It's much easier to comprehend prokaryotic than further evolutionarily advanced eukaryotic systems. Good old problem-solving logic time. If eukaryotic organisms evolved from prokaryotic organism

biochemistry, logic says, just like Simon says, it's still the same process, just more complicated. Fast forward. You have a living egg cell. It has an amount of DNA that we'll call IX. You have a living sperm cell, it has an amount of DNA, also IX (and almost no supporting cytoplasm). The combination zygote now has 2X amount of DNA. It only needed IX amount of DNA before combination. My logic says this new, complicated eukaryotic specimen, you for example, has more DNA than it needs. So, as a prokaryotic cell it would start cell division and growth. That's the exact same thing that happened to you... up until about age 18 when your surplus of DNA (and according to my theory/fact of cellular aging is in reality the available DNA-bound and regulated adenine nucleotide of DNA - your body's gas tank, energy reserves if you will) now transitions into a deficit. This is when you start to die. It currently takes about 70 years more if all goes well for you before your body's gradient depletes this adenine reserve and you die. That's what's going on. If you want the details, it's in my 40-page paper.

Women outlive men by about 4%. They have about 4% more DNA/cell than men do (X is huge, Y is tiny). That looks like a strong supporting correlation clue doesn't it? So why do you die? You run out of adequate levels of ATP which you need in continuous adequate supply. Stop breathing, you die. Stop circulating, you die, etc. Run out of sufficient adenine falling off your DNA surplus (from conception doubling of DNA amount) over the course of your lifetime, you die. Just like your car runs on gas, you run out of gas, you stop. Put new gas in the gas tank and you can keep on going. Completing the question that logic is screaming at you... what happens if you can put adenine back into the cell (or other methylxanthines like caffeine which science says can extend life, but we don't know why, or theophylline)? How do you regulate it? What is the role for a synthetic polyadenine virus? How cool are young DNA rich stem cells? Your mind's the limit.

I'm not going to beat up on the impossibility of the Immaculate Conception based on chromosomal requirements and the library of data that goes with it. The concept of Christianity, Judaism, Islam, and most of the others promoting harmony and population growth is unfortunately limited to whatever population tribe is doing the brainwashing indoctrination, usually demanding 100% patronage to itself and little tolerance of other cultures' also erroneous theological delusions. We pledge allegiance to the flag... no I don't. If my government becomes too corrupt, it's time for them to go. Punishment? That's another issue.

Jesus came along at a time when a portion of the population was getting a bad deal. He brought in a gentler, more user-friendly lifestyle that appealed to many. I applaud what he was trying to achieve. There has certainly been a lot of killings and wars in the name of Christianity since then. Jesus would have been horrified.

We have a new tyranny in our world today. It's the tyranny of uncertainty. What's their real motive? We should remain vigilant if not suspicious. While all this is going on, we let the

elite build billion-dollar empires that will last (forever) a long time, and we don't help the weak. As long as they have their \$10/ hour job, they'll survive. We're all just Homo sapiens anyway. Logic says survival of the fittest. Doesn't it? Well, that's the gray area isn't it? If only someone had a better plan...

My Life

What good would a literary masterpiece be without a little autobiography to go with it. I decided to list the significant events by year as you can follow it better that way.

1953 - Est. date of conception Nov 9, 1953 (just a rough guess). Sounds like a date that I would celebrate.

1954 - August 10, 1954. Hello World!

1962 - I actually wrote about a 25-page manuscript on a (imaginary) multiple generations, successful population of tigers living in India. The name of my book was "Trump the Tiger." Foreshadowing?

1966 - Completed 6th grade elementary school, 200 classmates. I'd estimate that 45% would vote Jim Throne as the #1 scholar. He was a pure scholar, not an athlete. 45% would vote for me (1st place relay team in city of 40,000, more). My claim to fame was sacrificing the noon recess lunch hour in 6th grade to review the SRA test answers digging deep into the color chart coding of difficulty. I'd call it a toss-up.

1967 - My 7th grade science teacher (Larry Diak) said that my 378/380 points over his nineteen 50 question exams (i.e. one wrong answer) was the highest score in his 20-year teaching career (5,000 students).

1968 - My first grade-shave. I took a 2 hour/day course called "Block," expected an A (because of hard work!) but got a C from an instructor of a different race. End of junior high school.

1969 - High school begins. Four years to battle for class valedictorian. My mother was her high school valedictorian (of about 300). My father was a J.D. lawyer. In 9th grade accelerated math, I warped the grading curve so badly that #2 of 30 couldn't stay within 10% of me. I got the only A and was now front runner for valedictorian down the road.

The 1st equalizer was my debate teacher. I, my (captain of the Illinois State University wrestling team at an earlier time) father, and [delete] (who was openly homosexual and my future debate teacher), all shared a month long tour of major Mexican cities as my older (by 7 years) sister was a member of a 100 piece "Latin American Band" high school orchestra on tour. There was likely some friction and maybe even bad blood between them when their ideology and chaperone duty perspectives clashed. I was only 9 years old at the time so pretty much a naive bystander (getting a pretty cool vacation!) mostly oblivious. Now as a teacher, an opportunity for revenge. As I said, my father was a J.D.

lawyer. They make their living by debating. So, I was a debating veteran going into that class due to my upbringing. I was also an athlete, what the lettermen referred to as a "miniature jack man." I was the smallest person in my class but about the 8th grade, I started lifting weights to elevate my game. Soccer and distance running were my specialties. I sat with the other "jocks"/athletes in our clique. Some of them, but not I, as I am more middle of the road, laissez-faire type person, would make slurs against this teacher and his fury caused me to get an unjustifiable course D grade. I should have gotten an A grade. What can you do? He may not have been acting alone.

The chairwoman of the English Department, [delete], was a 5'1," 190-pound, hard core reputation, accelerated English teacher, my 1st semester 10th grade accelerated English teacher. Just what I like. Her course grade was to be determined by a 40% midterm, a 20% book report, and a 40% final. No other grades and clearly discussed prior to any tests. Along comes the midterm. I'm still front runner at this time for class valedictorian and, as such, study my buns off. A test is but another opportunity to increase the margin of separation between me and the #2 competitor (frame it). Expecting an A, I was jolted by a B-. It's hard to counter subjective essay grading. The B- stands. Book report time comes along. An A-, ok, maybe she's not as bad as I thought. She's leaving the door open for an A final exam and an A course grade. I am a strong English student. My valedictorian mother used to teach English before switching to math. Let's go for it. Surprise. How about a final exam C-. Now let's do the math. 40% B- (plus) 20% A - (plus) 40% C- equals, no, not a B-, but a C+ and this will drop you from accelerated English to regular English as well. Just enough and applied at the very end, the final exam.

There's no one to appeal to. She's the chairwoman of the English Department. What she says goes. And she says I go! Goodbye valedictorian. Goodbye cohort friends in accelerated English.

1970 - I has spoken. The only counter argument that I can even put up is HS test #1, Illinois Statewide High School Testing Program, given Spring, 1970, just after the libel C grade subjectively by [delete], the chairwoman of the English Department (I came to find out 7 years later that she is Jewish, and I'm Germanic heritage... hmhhh). This test, which I claim my 859 is the highest score of the 200,000 10th graders, had 3 of 9 categories devoted to "English." The average score for each category was 50% (1-99 bar graph by percentile in increments of 1). My "English" scores were 89, 94, 94. The 89 was my lowest (of 9 categories) score. The 94 was my second lowest score (top 6% for your 2nd lowest score! My oh my!). All of the remaining 6 categories covered "science, math, reading" and ranged from 95 to 99. My average for the 9 categories was 95.666. My average for the 3 "English" categories was 92.333. This is on a 100-point scale. On a 30-

point grading scale (of 70 -100), the 92.333 would correlate to a $(92.333 \times \frac{100}{30})$ correlation =) 97.7% on a 30-point grading scale. So, my objective 97.7% rank correlation knowledge, an easy A and then some, only gets me a subjectively derived (actually manipulated is a more descriptive term and it's called) grade shaving.

Be that as it may, now that I'm demoted into regular English (for the 2nd semester of my 10th grade year), I'm the wolf among the sheep, the fox in the hen house, the master with the newbs. So, what did I get for the next 2 1/2 years? This is in regular English skimmed of all the good, accelerated English students, except for me, as deemed by the Jewish chairwoman of the English Department. Her sole opinion had more clout than the Illinois Statewide High School Testing Program I so dramatically crushed, better than the valedictorian of every high school in the state of Illinois, despite what this well acclaimed and rewarded Jewish chairwoman of the English Department deliberately libeled (my best guess based on the mathematics at how my C+ grade came to be) which would be consistent with the Zionistic (pro-Israel/pro-Hebrew selective) shaping of America. Every culture has a dominant ethno-political power pulling the strings. Right now, in this country it's pretty clear that the Jewish religion/Hebrew race ideology is in the forefront. Every culture has its corruptions, some more than others. Wouldn't a corruption free government be better? Even if this obvious non-violent hate crime Jewish deliberate grade shaving allegation was somehow wrong (< 1%), that 1% is still teacher negligence. I did as good as I could possibly do as the objective test score substantiates. So, like I was saying, for the next 2 1/2 years of regular English stripped of the better students, nothing but straight C grades. Not even in accelerated English but in regular English. It's like the rest of the English Department teachers were not willing to contradict the Jewish chairwoman of the department. But of course, they can't all be wrong, even if your "fluke" 97.7% grading scale correlation on the objective unsurpassed Illinois Statewide High School Testing Program, (higher than any Illinois valedictorian) suggests otherwise. I won the "spelling bee," grade: C. But here it comes: vindication.

1972 - High School test #2, This is either the ACT or SAT usually taken as a maximally informed senior. I took the ACT. It also uses a (1-99 percentage incremental) bar graph. My composite-score of 30 (old scale) was at the unsurpassed 99%, top 1% level. In all my searches, I only found one student with a 1-point total score better than mine (me = 119 total score) although he also was limited to the top 1% highest rank level on the bar graph. He was the valedictorian of St. Louis University High School. In my defense, the ACT score for me was "only" at the top $\geq 1\%$. My other 4 scores in the (pseudo)miracle were 10-fold higher or more. You can't win every time. If you could, where would be the challenge?

The ACT had separate categories also, including English. My 26 score on the English section was my lowest score again, this time at the 95% level on the 100-point bar graph. This correlates to a 99% on a 30-point grading scale. In other words, even though the entire English department gave me 3 years of subjective essay C grades, my objective score-grade correlation is at the 99% level, an A+? I graduated about 70/700, a far cry from valedictorian, but with the 2 main high school objective tests that are just about as good as you can get, i.e. unsurpassed everywhere, not just the state of Illinois. I did all I could and in Zionistic America, this is what I got. Sound harsh? Keep reading, it'll justify.

My senior year, I had the (current year only) school record 5 mile run time, cut my foot the next day on a piece of sawgrass, and couldn't defend at the upcoming track meet. My high school soccer team (I played forward) was 18-0-1, undefeated and ranked #1 in the state. On the tie, we beat the team 8-1 on our field earlier. On the rematch on their field, we outshot them 45-3 but tied 2-2 for our only blemish. I was a letterman and my whole team each received a \$1,500 soccer scholarship offer to NC State, and we were inducted into our high school Hall of Fame subsequently after beating the next year's 1st Illinois high school soccer state champion Granite City HS, my underclassmen team, by pounding them 4-0 in a "battle of champions." You got to ask "Who's the scholar athlete now?" Graduation day!

I could choose to go to NC State on my \$1,500 soccer scholarship offer or stay local (15 miles, about, commute each way in, to Edwardsville) and try out for the Southern Illinois University Edwardsville (SIUE) soccer team. They also had a division I level soccer program, a pre-med biology curriculum, a medical school in Springfield: everything I needed right here.

My 2 high school objective tests were as high as you can get, each unsurpassed. I passed 3/4 of a year of proficiency exams for credit at SIUE, in the summer, before I even attended my 1st class. Not many people could do this. In the fall, I made the SIUE junior varsity soccer team as a walk-on, displacing other scholarship prospects.

1973 - I was fastest on my JV team in the 12-minute run, 2nd fastest overall, I was now a starter JV. My JV team lost only one game the whole year, 1-0 to our varsity on a 40-yard blast/chip over our short goalie's head. I matched the shot at practice the next day during that spot challenge (coach Patrick McBride, yes that McBride of the St. Louis Stars pro soccer team).

1974 - Now finishing my 2nd year of college classes, nearly 3 years of credit, a 19-year-old pre-med awaiting the MCAT (College Test #1). Result: perfect, unsurpassed 695 Science section. To me, this is really the only category that counts. I should be first in line with

this unsurpassed, perfect MC(Admissions)T score to go along with those other 2 unsurpassed high school objective, standardized tests despite what some grade shaving libelous criminals are allowed to get away with. So I applied to medical school, a dozen of them. I have the highest scores ever. I should get in. What does logic tell you? I did NOT get in. Red Alert! Something illogical is going on here. But hey, I'm only 19 years old, with only 2 years of college classroom time. They must want me to be a 3-year graduate. I can live with that. No need to cause a ruckus. Here's what happens next in Fall, 1974. I just turned 20 years old.

Cell biology was taught by Hebrew [delete], Ph.D., the chairman of the Cell Biology Department. He gave me a course D grade based on his subjective essay exams. This is after my perfect MCAT. I studied abnormally hard, knew abnormally much, performed abnormally well on the essay tests having reviewed most of the preceding night under coffee. I got abnormally grade shaved. I deserved an A. He would not relent.

Next quarter in biochemistry taught by Jewish chairwoman of the Biochemistry Department, [delete], Ph.D. and botany taught by Jewish chairman of the Botany Department, [delete], Ph.D. It was the same thing; two more course D grades in biochemistry and botany from subjective essay exams despite my best efforts.

I quit the soccer team to devote this time to rescuing my sabotaged pre-med aspirations. I would have been varsity (SIUE won the national championship over Penn State in 1978).

- 1975 - I went to my SIUE adviser, Nancy Parker, to complain about this racial discrimination deliberately destroying my otherwise powerful GPA as a means to keep me out of medical school. She said, "medical schools don't want complainers" and "I can get you into medical school." In other words... don't cause trouble. So, I laid low and reapplied to medical school, still with the highest objective test scores ever but now also with libelous, GPA destroying grade shaving hate crimes (minus the violence so it doesn't qualify). I did NOT get in.
- 1976 - Retook my cell biology D course grade with the same Hebrew chairman of the Cell Biology Department. I scored an A course grade. Before taking the repeat course, I hand copied the prior quarter class's test questions and answers temporarily posted behind glass at his office. He stumbled across me doing this once and said, "That won't help you." Wonder what he meant by that because I sat dead center in front of him and shoved his own words down his gate keeper throat. Still, a double strength C+ (D+A = C+) hurts your GPA significantly at this level. All this following a perfect MCAT and is a farce anyway (except in my career and wallet). Same story in botany. Repeated with the same Jewish instructor, [delete], Ph.D., chairman of the Botany Department and

gatekeeper for any botanical aspirants. Course A added to (not substituted for) a prior course D for a double strength C+. Mission accomplished. Perfect MCAT sufficiently smeared by deficient GPA.

In biochemistry, I got to repeat it under a different Jewish instructor, [delete], Ph.D. He seemed more low-key, so we seemed to get along ok. I think that I led the pack (of 30 students) at midterm. On the final exam he shaved my grade to 2nd highest in the course and awarded only 1 A, grade manipulation at its finest. I had already taken Graduate School Test #1, the GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY (x = 2,500 test takers), the test given worldwide 2 or 3 times a year to get into U.S. graduate school programs. My 780 score was the highest in the World. 3 subcategories: (1.) Biochemistry – rank #1 on test, (2.) Cell Biology – rank top 2%, (3.) Molecular Biology and Genetics – rank top 1%, (4.) Composite – rank #1 on test). So... good enough in Biochemistry for the unsurpassed, highest in the World objective test result but only #2 of 30 by a Jewish Zionist (not just pro-Israel/ pro-Hebrew, but selective advancement of pro-Israel/pro Hebrew) gatekeeper subjectively grading his essay exams and determining an individual's future; rather helpless times for a Germanic target. It's a Zionist's patriotic duty. But what can you do?

Same scenario for quantitative analysis (chemistry course) taught by Jewish chemistry professor [delete], Ph.D. and his gatekeeper role. I was cruising with a 98% on the first (subjective) exam with the next highest score being a 92%. On the second exam (of 3), I scored a 94% and the 92% girl got a 96%. For the final exam, he got me; shaved my course grade to a 2nd highest in class (of 13) and gave only 1 A. Gatekeeper role completed. What can you do? He has 14 children. Why would someone do this?

I graduated June, 1976 with a B.A. in Biology including a powerful GPA cut down by 4 serious grade manipulations and more, the highest objective score foursome (all unsurpassed and collectively the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind), and 3 years of denials at a \$30,000 per year surcharge... and counting. I have no place to go but graduate school.

1977 - Took the "new" MCAT as my "old" MCAT score was deemed obsolete and needed to be "renewed." So, I took the "new" MCAT at its first offering in Spring, 1977. I didn't score an unsurpassed score, but my composite 61 was still well above the average accepted U.S. medical student's 54-57 range (and this isn't golf). Furthermore, you're hooked! You don't know it. You were only 20 years old when those Jewish SIUE professors deliberately sabotaged and destroyed your GPA to keep you out of medical school consistent with their chairperson of whatever department appointed gatekeeper role in

shaping Zionistic America, which demonstrates a reality; a higher priority than those (pseudo)miracle test scores!!!

The SIUE school adviser said to stand down. In reality, you had a 1-year window of opportunity to file (and lose) a federal lawsuit to challenge the libel grades and because you didn't do this the statute of limitations now locks in these libel course D grades, in your best subjects, forever, no matter what you do. So even though I answered them just 10 months later with the highest score in the World 780 GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY, what wins is the Jewish libel and what loses is the best scores ever and easily in the history of mankind (and my poor pocketbook and career efforts). The system looks obviously broken to me. How does it look to you? To gatekeeper Zionistic America, it's working perfectly.

[Deleted], Ph.D. got to get me again in graduate school molecular biology. That was my only C grade in graduate school. You'll notice that I already scored at the top 1% rank (on a 1-99 % bar graph correlating to a 100% at on a 30-point grading scale) on the GRE Molecular Biology and Genetics subcategory. It looks obvious to me. What does logic tell you? Logic will bestow itself upon you. Those #!\$& criminal gatekeepers for Zionistic America.

- 1978 - After getting denied admission to medical school yet another year, my 5th year of denials even after a perfect admissions test score and the highest score resume ever, I looked for my best strategy. The problem is my sabotaged GPA. Even though I scored nearly all A's in my non-Jewish-libeled courses, the 4 significant courses involved were enough to keep me out. And since this excuse was concealed, I'll go right on spending the necessary \$30,000 a year to stay an acceptable candidate. In graduate school, I chose to complete a "non-thesis option" program consisting of an additional 10 or so extra course hours in lieu of having to write a thesis. Since I wanted as broad a science background as possible, I chose and completed the "non-thesis" option. As the final grading semester unfolded, a course called "topics" was required (it was only a 2-credit course). It was explained to me that in "topics" you needed to... write a thesis. I pointed out that I took the "non-thesis option" with the additional course load, the additional workload, and the additional cost. The response was "too bad," you have to write a thesis. I quit graduate school leaving this 2-hour "topics" course incomplete and took a local job in a hospital to improve this aspect of my application, specific job experience in a hospital.
- 1979 - Received a threat from SIUE that if I didn't complete the incomplete "topics" course that I would get an automatic F. So, I completed the "topics" course for an A grade and graduated with a Master of Science (MS) degree 9/79. I was again denied admission to

medical school. I quit my yearlong job as a poorly paid but highly skilled main phlebotomist for my local hospital (40 hour/week job). Did you ever have to obtain sterile blood cultures from a premature newborn in an intensive care incubator while wearing latex reverse isolation gloves while getting paid \$4 bucks an hour? A specialist doctor would get much more. Been there and done that. I moved to Las Vegas, Nevada.

Nevada has a much smaller state population size and logic says far fewer applicants to UNR School of Medicine. Logic says that my scores should stand out like a shining beacon for admission. I immediately got a job as an orderly at 5,000 bed Sunrise Hospital and applied to UNR School of Medicine. In mid-December, UNR School of Medicine wrote to me to inform me that my application was insufficient as one of UNR School of Medicine's requirements is 15 semester hours of graduate level psychology. I had no prior psychology. So, what does logic say to do to solve this problem? No, not bribe. That's how cheaters do it. I'm talking about how does the person with the highest objective test scores ever and easily in the history of mankind solve the problem by letting logic show him the way?

1980 - I went to the chairman of the UNLV Psychology Department, Dr. Charles Rasmussen. He was understanding and enrolled me simultaneously in his Introduction to Psychology PSY101 and 5 graduate level psychology courses. My strategy was to do just well enough in PSY101 to get an A- and maximize my effort on the graduate level courses where I'll be the psychology newb going against some psychology students working on advanced degrees. Along comes test #1 of 20 for PSY101. I scored an A, no sweat, right? Next day, up pops a chart of 200 "horses" running a race. Each student (200 of us) is a different number. My number was ranked #7 of 200 after test #1 of 20 weekly tests. The race is on. Now I have to get #1 also here because I'm supposed to be the big dog. I finally ran the last one down and took #1 at about week #18.

In one of the graduate level courses, essentially a "history of psychology," the midterm was a surprising 100% from the 500-page textbook accompanying the course and 0% from the lecture notes which I had meticulously memorized. So I gut sucker punched with a C- on the midterm by some professor from NY who looked like he wanted to bring me down. When his final exam came around, I had everything down – his lecture notes, as much book as I could manage, and anything else I feared he may have up his sleeve. The result? An A- on the final. Whew! A C- trick midterm plus an A- comprehensive final = B- right? Right?! A C+. You have got to be kidding me, blah, blah, blah... End result, I do him an extra credit assignment of a half day duration to get me the differential that I really shouldn't have had to do anyway to get the course B-, trick or no trick. Final tally for the 6 courses: 4 A's, 2 B's and 3 of the 4 A grades were the highest in the class, a 4.66/5.00 GPA. Good enough? No.

I was shot down again around August, 1980 to UNR School of Medicine even though a 4.66 GPA is above their average accepted. I was also denied by the other dozen medical schools that I applied to as well. I drove up all night, 400 miles, probably looking like roadkill, and barged into UNR School of Medicine's Dean's Office at 8 AM demanding an explanation. I had established Nevada residency. I had fulfilled admission criteria. I handled UNLV psychology's best students. I deserved a spot. His reply was that they wanted home grown Nevada resident doctors and not an out-of-state student like me. I pointed out that half of Nevada's population are out-of-staters. He wouldn't budge.

1981- Back to Granite City, Illinois. Reinstated as a top phlebotomist at St. Elizabeth's Hospital (24 hour/week) and full time (40 hour/week, total 64 hour/week) in another city 25 miles each way commuted on a (life endangering) motorcycle at all hours of the morning on interstate interchanges and all the crazies for minimum wage of \$3.75/hour with no raise for Jewish Dr. [delete], a well-connected internist grossing \$7 M/y with 50 employees (viva la "trickle down" economy example at work) and clearing \$3 M/y milking the Medicare system. He even had an archaic, hospital discarded blood analyzer of questionable quality but a good money maker. He seemed a good shepherd. Boy, did I make him a fortune. After 1.5 years of this dual job torture (2 short sleep periods/day), I still did not get into medical school. The Jewish internist wrote me a one paragraph letter of recommendation and next thing I knew I was accepted into Kansas City College of Osteopathy (I applied to osteopathy school for the first time in addition to my 8th consecutive year of medical school denials and now also >\$250,000 extra costs - \$30,000/year x 8 years plus accruing interest) despite the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind because a collection of Jewish gatekeepers shaping Zionistic America at SIUE (Edwardsville, that's... EVILLE...) deemed it so. Our system is obviously broken, but for the Zionists, it's working perfectly.

1982 - KC College of Osteopathy was contingent on my talking and passing embryology/embryology lab (taught by Nancy Parker at SIUE). I scored A grades and was accepted. Six months until classes start. I borrowed a 1st year student's notes and began to preview what was in store. After a month at home in Granite City, I realized that I could just as easily be on the beach at Daytona doing the same thing for a couple of months, so I did. While there, at a house some girl a friend of mine knew who was renting out rooms, a pilot in training was also renting a room. I saw a newspaper advertisement for American University of the Caribbean School of Medicine and contacted them in Coral Gables, 200 miles away. So, the pilot in training got to log some hours and I got a customized air tour to Coral Gables. Air control had us on the worst flight path you could imagine, in the middle of lightning thunderstorms. It was a harrowing, amazing experience including our landing in a 45mph crosswind.

American University of the Caribbean's interviewer was a MD from Johns Hopkins. He was candid. He said you already got into KC College of Osteopathy. The U.S. government provides help to U.S. medical schools. You will have better facilities at KC then at AUC. I asked which would you rather be. He said he would rather be a M.D. than a D.O. At that time, it was also unclear how much equality D.O.s would get compared to M.D.s. He also said that U.S. schools try to keep students in (98%) whereas foreign medical schools, like AUC, to keep up their standards, try to flunk students out (50% or more). I said that I was a strong student so the idea of being a M.D. and not an ideal compromising D.O. seemed more appealing. I went to Montserrat, B.W.I. to see if the environment was appropriate. It was, so I telegraphed KC College of Osteopathy thanking them for their consideration but to give my spot to a worthy alternate, that I was going to attend AUC School of Medicine.

The first two weeks there were a little eye opening. I hadn't been a full-time student since I wailed away on the UNLV psych. students 2 years past. After the first two weeks, I was back to leading the pack (of 200). I recreated the medical school soccer team in my own image (AUC Kicks) as captain, coach, and coordinator inserting us into an 8-team island league. My straight A 1st term aspirations were shot down when my class leading 98% midterm in pathology which I had devoted most of my time to for use as an anchor course (it would take a lot to not get an A if you kept the pressure on) was cancelled when a different professor took over the instructor position and proclaimed that all midterms for that course are null and void. My protest ("Why do I need you to tell me about glycocalyx to make it relevant?") only generated repercussions. I ended up with 4 A's, 2 B's. My medical school soccer team got 7th place but advanced 1 round at the end of season knockout tournament.

1983 - When I returned to AUC after the semester break, something seemed a little different. It wasn't until a day later that a fellow classmate, [delete], asked me "Why did you do it?" I had no idea what he was talking about. He said that Dr. Yousef (my anatomy teacher from the prior semester) had received a threat letter from me. I immediately went to seek out Dr. Yousef to get to the bottom of this. I assured him that I wrote no such letter and I thought he was good teacher (even though anatomy was one of my courses where I only got a B, due to the stripped midterm/time deficits of the midterms scandal). We shook hands and I was on my way. Eventually the student body president presented me the letter (to get my reaction?). I told her that "my fingerprints aren't on that and I'd just as soon keep it that way." I did read it and my photographic memory burned the words in with just one reading (It usually takes many repetitious sets to photographically memorize things for me). It went like this:

Dear Dr. Yousef, you M F B. You F me out of an A in anatomy. I'll get you for this you F faggot. Paul Jungles & Co. I pointed out that my name was misspelled. Eventually I had to sign a release for my handwriting to be analyzed against the letter back in the States. Because of all this BS I started my own investigation. I infer that the student, [delete] - you'll see his name again, who told me must have heard it from someone originally. If I tracked that person down and found out where they first heard it, I'd eventually come to the culprit. I was about 10 people into the puzzle when my class representative told me they wanted me to stop my "witch hunt." Why? So, I stopped. They say it came from a student who flunked out. Who knows?

The 2nd half year term (6 terms is 3 years total - 3 semester terms of basic sciences classwork at the campus and 3 semester terms rotating through different hospitals doing clerkship such as pediatrics, radiology, cardiology, etc. depending where your options are: good options for good student, lesser options for weaker students both U.S based and elsewhere). I had no soccer so I could maximize my study time, my best chance for straight A grades. I scored the highest straight A grades in my class. That's another unsurpassed result, this time in a 3-year accelerated medical school. Now my best scores ever and easily in the history of mankind get backup.

There is another test called the "Medical Skills Knowledge Profile" exam, aka MSKP. This test is sold to fill the 2% flunkouts at U.S. medical schools. It cost me another \$2,000 between airline flights to testing sites, overnight lodging and more and the test itself. The MSKP is a typical, standardized, objective test that has 8 categories. You can score from 1 to 9 in each category. For example, I scored a 9 in Microbiology. My lowest score was a 6. As a result, my total score was pretty strong (although not as blowout high as I usually do and I'll slam into that later on), much better than my fellow Jewish classmate (whose father was also a doctor) who also took this test and, like me, who also applied for the vacancy flunkout spot at Northeastern Ohio University School of Medicine. But we can't let a minor detail of a much better score derail the shaping of Zionist America. The spot goes to the lesser scoring Jew. The Nazi scum gets nothing as he deserves.

Third term, on cruise control, no need to kill myself going all out in school. My soccer team (I'm still captain, coach, and coordinator) got some heavier recruitment and this time got all the way up to the title championship knockout game but failed 3-0. Still, not bad for a team of medical students with only about 4 stars like me against the island's best players, their Olympic team.

1984 - Off the strength of my class leading straight A resume (the higher of 2 of 200), I was able to get the majority of my clerkship training in the vicinity (\pm 300 miles) of my hometown.

Most of my clerkships were in St. Louis, Missouri (where the "Gateway to the West" Arch is, do you know the place?). Before I go off on my next tirade against the shaping of Zionistic America, let's review the situation.

1. I can document the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind.
2. I backed that up with the higher of 2 of 200 medical students to score straight A grades at my 3-year accelerated medical school.
3. I have a master's degree in science with extra courses.
4. I have additional psychology courses from UNLV.
5. Etc.

Conclusion: that's pretty impressive!

Adolf Hitler had a secretary named Traudl Junge. My last name is Jungels. Do you see the root name similarity? So do revenge-oriented post WW2 Hebrew high school and SIUE (and probably many others) educators, especially chairpersons. Is that a justification for them to deliberately destroy my high school GPA (somewhat under the radar at the time, I just wasn't expecting it), then my college GPA with 3 Jewish professors' subjective course D grades (as in not C's) sandwiched between a perfect 695 MCAT and the highest score in the World 780 GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY in the same subjects. How obvious does it have to be?

In graduate school at SIUE, the same Jewish chairwoman of the Biochemistry Department who D'd me earlier in biochemistry now gives me my only C grade in graduate school in molecular biology on subjective essay exams and after my top 1% GRE MOLECULAR BIOLOGY subscore (on a 100-point scale, not a 30 point grading scale, i.e. A++ correlation). I wasn't even born yet when the Holocaust happened on another continent. If this is specific anti-Jungels Hebrew racial discrimination, you'd think it would be called out. It may instead just be a generalized Zionistic shaping of America and I just happen to also have that specific uniqueness of my last name/heritage (even though I'm 25% English 75% German blend).

Did you know that you can take any phonebook across America (like I did for 100+ samples), pick any city, and use Kraus as a common marker name for German heritage and Kaplan as a common marker name for Hebrew heritage? If you count the number of each of these specific marker names and compare the ratios, then you can get a reasonably accurate relative population proportion for that phonebook. For example, way more Kaplan:Kraus in central Philadelphia compared to way more Kraus:Kaplan in western, rural Nebraska. That's an easy-to-follow concept using the phonebook as an accurate indicator. Here's where it gets more interesting. In addition to just listing the names in alphabetical order, the phonebook also provides additional information on the

person. This includes things like M.D., or. D.D.S, or CPA, lawyer, veterinarian, business owner, the list goes on and on. You can check it out. In an absolutely equal society, the additional distinction and economic advantage of having one of these examples (as opposed to having nothing) should be about the same. It's not.

By being named Kaplan instead of Kraus, whether in central Philadelphia, western rural Nebraska, or anywhere else in our land of equal opportunity, what you will find by just looking, counting, and confirming, is the 600% (6 to 1) advantage that Kaplan has compared to Kraus. When I ask who is doing better financially between Dr. Kraus and Dr. Kaplan, I'd estimate another 300% edge here as well (look at my... Dr. Jungels... stolen bankroll). So, take 15M Jews X 600% advantage in owning the business X 300% advantage in turning a profit in the business through manipulation of the rules and advancement... the gatekeeper and the keymaster and you end up with

$$15M \times 6 = 90M$$

$$90M \times 3 = 270 M$$

The 15 million Jews in our land of equal opportunity have the same economic equivalent as all the rest of us put together. Yeah, I'd say the system is Zionist.

Do I make my point? Good, now I can move on to the next year.

I had hoped that with my straight A grades that my Jewish saboteurs were all behind me. Besides the medical field being heavily loaded way beyond probability especially at the top, they had something special for me. It was called my 14 weeks pediatrics clerkship. This clerkship was divided into 4 pieces of 4,4, 4,2 weeks with 4 different instructor attendings (bigger doctors). My 1st 4 weeks instructor was a Jewish M.D., Abraham Phillips. We got along well and on one of our 45-minute rides each way to a community hospital, I shared my cellular aging concepts with him (remember, this is 1984 and I didn't write my paper until 1985). On the 10-category grade evaluation at the end of the 4 weeks, I received 1 exceptional (for medical knowledge), 4 above average, 4 average, 1 below average (?), 0 failures and "comments" were "seems to be a good scientist." I'll accept that evaluation. The 2nd 4 weeks was under the charge of an Afro-American woman M.D. in charge of the nursery at St. Louis City Hospital. At the end of this 4 weeks, her 10-category evaluation was 5 above average and 5 average with "comments" "did a good job in the nursery." I'll accept that evaluation. Also be aware that I'm a foreign medical student (born right across the river in Granite City, Illinois, a dozen miles away) competing with U.S. medical trainees and yes there is a bias factor, sometimes big. Here it comes.

The 3rd 4 weeks was taught by Dr. [delete], the Jewish chairwoman of Pediatrics at St. Louis City Hospital. One chilling incident was, as she spread apart a male infant's legs inducing him to shoot a stream of urine up over the head of his prostrated position, she said "you're not really a pediatrician until you get hit by the stream" as she looked coldly straight at me. My 4 week 10-category evaluation: how about 10 failures. And how about we change the prior 8 weeks completed as described into 10 failures each. And how about we pre-grade the last 2 weeks not even taken yet to 10 failures as well. And we'll send it straight to your medical school behind your back and without your knowledge. In addition to all the horrible, unfair difficulties that is going to create for me, she had an even more sadistic objective. If you get an incomplete or failure in a clerkship you get held back an entire year. Fortunately for me, I had not used any of the 2 weeks (for 1 1/2 years) allotted vacation time. By sacrificing this time when my medical school came calling for an explanation, the only thing I could offer is what you're reading right here, post WW2 vindictive Jews. They said that if I had 5 other associated MD supervisors over the same time span who gave me approving evaluations that they would throw hers out. I did and they did. So, I was not delayed despite her nearly successful attempt. The only incident that I can think of out of the ordinary was that during lunch break one day, the (green) (no offense intended) chief (no pun intended) Indian resident over me (my outranking superior) had lunch with me. He asked me what I thought about Jews in medicine. I told him that it seemed like they occupied a larger proportion than looks normal and they tend to also occupy the top positions.

1985 - This clerkship right here is a good example. He seemed accepting of that observation and nothing else was ever said about it. I'm just struggling for a motive other than shaping Zionistic America. Completed 2nd half of medical school, the clerkship rotations, and graduated June, 1985.

The next task is to get a residency training position. Logic would say that someone who can document the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind and then back that already unprecedented resume up with the also unsurpassed straight A grades of 200 students at my accelerated 3-year medical school should be able to get a spot, no sweat. In addition, I also took the FMGEMS (Foreign Medical Graduates Exam in the Medical Sciences). That is the test given to all FMG trainees and not to U.S. graduates to determine if you get to advance further to residency training. That test has only a 15% pass rate. On that test I scored top 5% on the basic sciences (part 1) and top 10% in the clinical sciences (= clerkship knowledge, part 2). That's top 7.5% for the surviving 15% that actually pass. That's top 7.5% of that 15%, or top 1.125% for the test. It's not

unsurpassed, but it didn't miss it by much (* more to follow). Oh well, all (pseudo)miracles must come to an end.

I had expected to get into Deaconess Hospital in St. Louis where I had the majority of my clerkships. Originally, I had wanted to go into surgery training but was deflected to internal medicine training as that was what was “leftover” for someone like me without a political ally looking out for me. But did I get a PGY-1 Internal Medicine position at Deaconess Hospital (or anywhere else I applied to in the match program to link up doctors in training with teaching hospital positions)? No. Instead, this position went to a younger Jewish classmate ([delete]) whose father was a doctor and whom I dominated throughout medical school. Rumor mill told me that this Jewish student's father had an after-hours meeting with the Deaconess Hospital program director ([delete]) and that a \$5,000 donation went under the table. The next day, the Jewish student had the advancement and, as usual, I was left out.

No match means you get a book with all the teaching hospitals with unmatched vacancies that you get to send individual applications to, etc., a genuine unorganized pita (that's an abbreviation, not a snack). I sent out 200 letters to every unmatched position that I could find. Nothing. Nothing for 1985.

I wrote a 40-page paper on the cellular aging process. What else can be done? Logic will show you the way. The next obstacle looming for all new M.D.s is the Federal Licensing Exam (FLEX). This test is usually taken at the end of the first year of residency training (the first year is called post graduate year 1. It is also known as the internship. So, someone like me is trying to find a PGY-1 Internal Medicine).

Because I was a “foreign medical graduate” and “not currently enrolled” in a U.S. teaching hospital, the choice of states that I could take the FLEX earlier than at the end of my PGY-1 year was very limited. The score you obtained on the FLEX was equivalent no matter what state you took it in. Maryland allows it. I called the Maryland State Board of Medical Examiners and explained my situation. Their reply was that if I could pass the FLEX (approximate pass rate of 50%?) that it would demonstrate a proficiency equal to someone completing their first full PGY-1 year (U.S. trained or FMG trained). You would certainly match for the following year. Sounded logical. I took the FLEX in Maryland in 1985 (passing score is a 75) cold turkey without a review and easily passed with a 78 (fast forward, when I retook the FLEX in 1988 at the end of my initial PGY-1 year, my score of 80 was at the top 10% level for that test).

1986 - The match comes up for 1986. Do I match? No. 200 more letters coast to coast. Johnstown, Pennsylvania was my only interview. They offered a “sub-internship” whereby you'll work for Dr. Silverstein at \$1.65/hour (half the already terrible going

rate) and for no credit and for an indeterminate amount of time. I said I would agree if they would guarantee me a position the following year. They said, "we won't guarantee you anything." I told them to count on me, I'd be there, then stood them up. What goes around comes around.

- 1987 - I did not match again this year, including my 2nd interview by a Jewish program director in Flint, Michigan (to wear me down more), but I was able to get something called a transitional internship at Frankford Hospital in Philadelphia affiliated with the Medical College of Pennsylvania. You may or may not get to apply your transitional year to your residency. While some (connected) of my transitional intern peers (who I dominated) were credited, as it works out, mine was not. What a surprise.
- 1988 - PGY-1 Internal Medicine for credit at University of Virginia. At least I'm getting some name institutions. Conversely, they get to showcase me to the patients to give the illusion that here's a white guy getting his break just like the rest of them. Except, it's not just like the rest of them. I'd been held back nearly a dozen years ($\$30,000 \times 12$ plus interest = nearly a $\$500,000$ extra charge and those dozen years shaved off of your career for a combined $> \$2$ M career damage).
- 1989 - Even though I didn't get credit for the PGY-1 Transitional (though some peer but younger doctors in training who had better political connections than I, did get credit and could progress into their higher reward PGY-2 specialty field residency), one good thing about the no credit entire year of 80+ hour work weeks at terrible pay for the PGY-1 Transitional was the experience, most notably in dealing with in hospital code 9 cardiac arrests that we are not well educated in running them (the resuscitation effort). You're just thrown into the limelight and the cardiac arresting patient's life is literally in your hands. You had better know what to do. So, after a year of this immediate direct high-pressure learning experience you sort of figure out what to do. So, when I got to do a PGY-1 Internal Medicine with this year under my belt, the new PGY-1 peer group (younger, U.S. trained mainly from University of Virginia SOM) lacking this year were at a huge disadvantage compared to me. At Roanoke Memorial Hospital (a member of the University of Virginia SOM teaching hospitals) where I was stationed during my PGY-1 Internal Medicine year, the in-hospital code 9 cardiac arrest response team was 2 of these PGY-1 residents. There were the new ones who were as clueless as I was that 1st year (and quite possibly much worse) put in the position of saving that crashing person's life. Then there were the few like me (not granted credit for that PGY-1 Transitional but still with a crushing 80 hour/week case load). I actually had 4 crashing patients at one time, and we worked as solo, not dual, responders having to get the emergency room doctor to assist. Those scared PGY-1 Internal Medicine U.S. medical doctors in training were quite happy when I was part of the dual response team. It was during this year

that I acquired the tuberculosis organism from the myriad of veteran soldiers of the past during my time at Salem Virginia Veterans Administration Hospital. That required a 9 month (oral) course of isoniazid which I took during my next year (and for which I'll always be at risk for reactivation TB especially in my old age when my immune system weakens) as a PGY-2 Internal Medicine at Greenville, South Carolina (affiliate of University of South Carolina) which was where my next (leftover) position became available.

The program director here was not successful in filling all of his PGY-1 Internal Medicine positions. He was 1 short of quota. So, about 2 weeks into my new PGY-2 Internal Medicine program (my 3rd year actually), the program director (Dr. [delete]) calls me into his office and says, "Dr. Jungels, I don't think that you are ready to be a PGY-2, I want you to do this vacant PGY-1." I said, "No sir, I've already done a PGY-1 for 2 years and I shouldn't have to fill this vacancy. If you won't honor the PGY-2 then I'll go to a different hospital." He said, "No Dr. Jungels. For you to go to a different hospital you would need a letter of recommendation from your current program director and that's me. If you don't do this PGY-1, then I'll write you such a bad letter that no one will ever take you." So we sat in silence, glaring at each other for a while (I'm thinking... come on logic, show me the way). I said, "alright, here's what we can do. I'll do this PGY-1 but I also get to continue my PGY-2," basically 2 jobs and the corresponding extra workload and extra sleepless nights "on call" as well. I was such a strong resident by now that I could do it just fine.

1990 - So that's what happened for the 1st half of that year until Dr. [delete] was able to find a PGY-1 (in January, 1990) for this vacancy, and I was freed to have only my PGY-2 duties. By this stage, as a PGY-2, I'd go to a code where a PGY-3 was already running it and often in a state of panic. I was getting pretty good at these things so the PGY-3 would often defer to me.

1991 - When I became a PGY-3 Internal Medicine (internal medicine is a 3-year residency training program, though in my case I literally had to put in 4.5 years of low paying, high demanding work), I was on the top of my game although the appointed leader of our PGY-3 group of about 6 residents was Jewish (well go figure). He was the chief resident, but we all knew who the big dog was.

In clinic, there were 2 well stocked medicine cabinets that the 15 or so PGY-1, PGY-2, and PGY-3 residents would use to distribute pharmaceuticals to indigent clinic patients, that the day sales drug representatives would continuously resupply. One day the program director calls me up to his office to tell me that some of the other PGYs are complaining that I was hogging the cabinets. He said that he talked to the drug

representatives and that I seemed to be using about 50% of the inventory and all the rest of the others were only using small amounts relative to me. I asked him if he wanted me to stop doing this as we were told to maximize this outlet. He said no, keep doing what you're doing. It's getting the correct medicines in the appropriate doses (as per my handwritten dosing schedule for each medication) into patients that probably wouldn't have access to these pharmaceuticals. I just wanted you to know about the complaint. I told him that I'd take it as a compliment for a job well done.

Another time that we came to an issue was interesting. When I was still a PGY-2, my PGY-3 and I were called to an ICU cardiac care patient. This elderly patient had developed an arrhythmia but was medically stable. The treatment is a lidocaine IV drip titrated to best effect. We agreed that since lidocaine can cause arrhythmias as well as treat arrhythmias, and since the patient was currently in stable, non-distressed condition, that the usual 50 mg bolus of lidocaine administered all at once to raise blood levels to the therapeutic range, then adding the slower 1 mg/min IV drip rate to maintain therapeutic range blood levels, may be an unnecessary risk. Just starting the IV drip without the bolus, in this medically stable patient with a non-symptomatic arrhythmia, would be safer and ultimately yield a similar end result (lidocaine has a serum half-life of about 1.5 - 2 hours so a 50 mg bolus would be "used up" in about 4 half-lives (6-8 hours)). Should the patient decompensate during this window without a bolus, a bolus can always be given but is not necessary at this time in a stable patient and so we avoid the pro-arrhythmic possible effects of a lidocaine bolus. We thought that we were pretty shrewd for adjusting typical protocol (usually for an unstable patient).

The next day the program director calls me into his office, and we discuss the case. We had a difference of opinion as to whether a serum level of lidocaine with or without the lidocaine bolus after a half dozen half-lives or so was the same. I said the 50 mg bolus after 5 half-lives (50-25-12-6-3-1) is down to the same as the slow IV drip rate. He said you'll never get a therapeutic level without the bolus and red faced, slammed his fist down on the desk to make his emphatic (and incorrect) assessment. As the fist slams down, I exclaimed, "You obviously don't understand Michaelis-Menten kinetics, do you?" He just froze. He had been had and he knew it.

About 3 months before my completion of the PGY-3 (and hopefully free from this disproportionately abusive medical education that I've enjoyed) (it's a good thing that I have a warrior mentality and like challenges) the program director had yet another trick up his sleeve. Earlier, our group was asked what percentage of doctors had depression to the level that a clinical diagnosis could be placed on them? I fired right out 25% which was spot on. Next thing I knew I was pressured, actually forced, to go to one of Dr. [delete]'s referral psychiatrists for general screening and this was to be weekly and

ongoing. I missed a stellar opportunity. Just before my second visit, due to my overuse of contact lenses, I had my 1st ever episode of “giant papillary conjunctivitis.” What happens here is that the contact lens restricts oxygen diffusion from the atmosphere to the cornea. In response to this decreased oxygen, the capillary beds throughout the whites of your eyes (the sclera) dilate. All the white turns a blood red. It's frightening looking. You look like some kind of a vampire-demon. That, hidden behind sunglasses, plus some fake vampire fangs and possibly even a cape would have been a startling reversal of roles between the shrink and me when I chose to reveal. I didn't do it. What a missed opportunity. On the third (forced – “you'll be expelled from the program if you don't comply”) half-hour session I told the psychiatrist that I thought it was unethical for him to be taking a high rate of pay to evaluate me when we both know that there is nothing wrong with me (beyond the normal range) but that there are people who could use the help and this money remuneration to you could serve a better purpose. He said that I didn't have to come back. As the PGY-3 year came to a close, Dr. [delete] offered me the only PGY-4 chief resident position. Even though I declined (as I had to address my out of proportion 2-million-dollar career damage imposed on me by Zionistic America and no relief), it proved to me that “he knew.”

So, done. Finished. What's next? Get board certified. The Internal Medicine Board Certification Exam has a pass rate of around 50%, I think. I did my typical hard review for a couple of months then took the exam and did not pass. Uh-oh, there must be something else going on. I usually crush these tests. The only thing that I didn't have was those expensive \$1,500+ review courses. That's a lot of money and I'd never needed a review course before. Sure, I saw one medical student absolutely crush the MSKP (much better than mine) and he had a tool called “the Oklahoma Notes” whatever that is. Now I know you could buy test reviews/props for the MCAT, the GRE, probably even the ACT and SAT. I never took any of them and I can document the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind (repetitious indoctrination). But now, with board certification serving as a measuring stick of a doctor's worth (literally, if you are board certified then you get paid more), the stakes are going up. There's more incentive to pay up to \$3,000 for the Kaplan notes or any number of lesser reviews. I paid \$1,500 for the 24 audio visual tapes sold by “The Cleveland Clinic.” I spent the 1st 2 months (of my allotted 3-month time span to review for that all-out effort to pass the Internal Medicine Board Certification Exam this time around) carefully transcribing the material I didn't fully know onto notebook paper for memorization. The problem is that my pack of notes was over an inch thick and I only had a month left. That wasn't going to be enough time. I must be missing something. So, I went back and listened to the tapes again. The commentator would frequently call attention to key points. Quote: “and this could be a test question; and this could be a test question; and you better know this.” So, I made

certain that I knew those highlighted items. And guess what. Almost word for word on the actual test. I passed easily. Even more interesting, my best score was in cancer treatments. Why? Not because I know cancer treatments. I couldn't tell you the difference between Vinblastine and Vincristine except for the spelling. But I did memorize those commentators' highlighted points on The Cleveland Clinic Internal Medicine Board Certification Review Course that I (had to in order to pass) paid \$1,500 for pertaining to an otherwise alien environment called cancer chemotherapy agents.

In essence, I bought test answers. That's all that this really is. It's so "regulated" (corrupt) that if you were to take the exam without paying to receive the extra test answers, like I did on my 1st try a year earlier, you probably wouldn't pass, like I didn't a year earlier. But now, with the help of purchased test answers, hey... no problemo. I imagine some rich, pro-Zionistic American doctor (i.e. the rich ones), busy from his money grubbing lifestyle, could buy several different (review courses) answer sets, and by just reviewing these highlighted test answers, he could score well and also devote little time, just several thousand dollars of pocket change to remain on top. Isn't that smart how Zionists can shape the playing field? I can't say enough about them.

1992 - The next Zionistic shaping that I got to experience was trying to get a hospital to allow me to admit patients (hospital admitting privileges). Those are "privileges," not "rights." More Zionism (Dr. Ackerstein, Dr. Bloom) were key players in my not getting hospital admitting privileges, losing a \$1,000 fee in the process, and losing a \$5,000 6-month lease on an office right across the street from the hospital (that then denied me admitting privileges). The reason that they gave me was that I was mentally ill due to my (imaginary) perceptions that Hebrews (some of them, not the whole population) have purposefully gone out of their way to damage my medical aspirations despite the documented highest scores/grades ever and easily in the history of mankind (it is what it is).

1993 - So, I bounced around as an employed walk-in M.D. (a doc in the box) among several cities.

1994 - The patients liked me. Many said that I was one of the best because I was thorough. Not just with whatever problem they were presenting with, but I would have them elaborate on additional medical conditions. Because I was efficient (up to 75 patients/day at peak), I usually had a couple of minutes to dig up extras. I could review blood profiles and EKG patterns in a flash... proficiency is the word.

1995-2000 - After working as a board-certified internist for a few years as an employee getting paid the minimum (usually < \$100,000 year) while the employer gets fat off of your expertise (I even worked for a physician's assistant who owned the business), I realized

there was no silver lining. I was going to get to work for 20 years to pay off (a non-federal, non-bank loan) (i.e. private) an education that usually costs about \$250,000, but cost me \$750,000 and the dozen years of time shaved off. This was the last straw.

I quit medicine to try to find a legal remedy. Here's the issue. The 5,000 lawyers I contacted, etc. essentially all said the same thing. We're lawyers. We can only do what the law says. The law says that you had to file a federal lawsuit within 1 year of the 3 phony Jewish subjective course D grades in 1974/1975 in your best courses, sandwiched between your perfect 695 MCAT as a 19 year old in 1974 and your highest in the World 780 GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY in the same subjects that you were just D libeled in (Spring, 1976). You didn't do that. So, now the law says the statute of limitations prevents you from ever presenting that situation for legal resolution. Those libel, fake D grades (all 3 of them from 3 different Hebrew professors all giving subjective essay tests to manipulate and destroy your GPA as a dutiful gatekeeper for Zionistic America should do) now becomes locked in and your GPA is destroyed. As lawyers, we can't change the law, we can only work within its framework. We can't help you. Even though you can document the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind, even though you can document the highest straight A grades in an accelerated medical school of 200 students original class size, even though you can demonstrate a motive, means, and lack of reprisal for this reprehensible behavior as an educator, Zionism trumps your best ever scores/grades.

I filed the case myself, acting as my own lawyer, at Middle District Court of Florida in Tampa (12/1999). It was dismissed as frivolous. I paid the fees and sent the case to the Appellate Court in Atlanta, Georgia (01/2000) as I wanted to put it on record that here's a candidate with the highest scores/grades ever and easily in the history of mankind and this is what you're doing to him. Because I was having to serve as my own attorney (because of the statute of limitations blockade, and no "foundations" to intervene), I didn't have "certificate of deed" and "certificate of record" whatever those are. As such, the case was set aside without a ruling, then dismissed, and I was done. One lawyer that I contacted associated with Pat Robertson's Christian Coalition Foundation who donated 2 hours to hear my tale was interested. He said that if the Christian Coalition would sponsor the case then he would be the lawyer. I only had to acknowledge that the discrimination was because I was a Christian. I told him that I couldn't do that because I didn't think they were purposefully destroying my GPA because they thought that I was a Christian but rather a payback for the Holocaust (or simply Zionistic shaping of America's future doctor inventory) and my German heritage (Traudl Junge - Hitler's secretary in 1943) last name. I didn't see other students getting this treatment (except

for Dennis Menke, also German heritage, who was also getting the treatment in 10th grade accelerated English).

I had done everything in my power to try to get some kind of legal remedy. There is none. But I kept digging. The reason for this lengthy dissertation about my academic battles is that one could make the argument that as a result of my perfect 695 (695 - 665 - 666) MCAT score at age 19, this is my ultimate battle of good (that's me with my first-in-line position for entrance to medical school based on the highest scores ever and easily to date) vs evil – or should I say the Hebrew gatekeepers shaping Zionistic America – employed at SIUE. There are only 4 “Eville” cities in the U.S. (Edwardsville, Illinois; Evansville, Indiana; Estherville, Iowa; and Estherville, Tennessee). So, in a very real sense, I got crucified academically at SIUE and then went on to do my life's work which is still unfolding. JC on the other hand (was “invisible” until about age 30 when he) did his life's work and then was crucified.

In 2017, I uncovered a law used in labor law and employment law called “persisting past discrimination” (I had previously lost a \$5,000 (he tried for \$25,000) nonrefundable retainer fee in 2002 to [delete] Law Firm in Tampa who “specialized in zombie cases beyond the statute of limitations” according to [delete]. He did nothing but take my money and waste my time.). It is used routinely in employment law but has never yet been tested in education law. The principle should be the same. It states (the Federal government created this law) that when an applicant employee comes up for promotion, even if he has the better resume, he can still be leapfrogged/passed over for advancement by an applicant with a weaker application. This is essentially what affirmative action does but there are other tricks as well. But here's where the government did the right thing.

If a superior applicant for promotion is leapfrogged (that is his “contribution” to centuries of pro-whitey discrimination over minority allegations), to be fair to him, it is limited to a 1-time occurrence... a 1-time cheating. More than this would constitute an ongoing or “persistent” past discrimination, an unfair burden placed on him and his share of reimbursement to minorities. When he comes up for promotion the next time, if he still has the better application, he gets in. I can see some logic here. But, that's not what happens. So, with my perfect MC-Admissions-T (MCAT) at age 19, you denied me on my first application (in 1974). According to “persisting past discrimination” aren't I supposed to get in the next year (in 1975) consistent with the law that the Federal government created to address this perspective. I feel that the answer should be yes for the same reasons. But that's not what happens. I'm not alone here either. There's a lot of applicants to all the professional schools (medical, dental, veterinary, law) who get superior admissions test scores (MCAT, DAT, LSAT) but do not get in not only on their

first attempt but beyond as well (like me). To me it seems like they would have a case against the Federal government for not enforcing their own law of persisting past discrimination beyond the allowed 1-year leapfrog cheat. The Federal government becomes negligent. At least that's what my logic says. According to one lawyer, by accepting the out-of-state application of the rape-acquitted Kennedy nephew over my in-state highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind to The Chicago Medical School (for 8 years), they are promoting diversity. How many rape-acquitted, out-of-state Kennedy applicants are there?

2000 - 2019 - So back on the phone to 5,000 lawyers trying to convince them that the statute of limitations impasse levied on me as a naive 20 year old who didn't file a Federal lawsuit contesting the Jewish hate crime (needs violence to be so classified) Zionistic shaping of America falsely making me a D student in my best courses, should now possibly find a loophole to the statute of limitations using the negligence of the U.S. government in enforcing the law on persisting past discrimination limiting the leapfrogging to a one time occurrence. It seems there is a population of these victims as in "class action" since I already took my case on an individual basis (and being prevented from bringing the same case again).

I found a civil rights attorney in California (2017) rated AVVO 5/5 who listened to my voice mail lengthy dissertation of the problem, called back, and agreed in principle with my reasoning ([delete], Westlake Village, CA). The catch is that he would need a \$50,000 non-refundable retainer fee (a bargain for what his role is including partial funding of this effort (a class action) on his part as well as the \$50,000 up-front money) as a contingency case where he gets a big piece of any remuneration to me. What other options do I have? I sold a portion of my homesite property, my last resource reserve in this brutal, expensive beatdown, to raise the money (at a loss) and sent it to him. That was 2 years ago, and he has done nothing that I can see. The system is broken, and it needs to be fixed. I have a strong motivation to fix it.

The world has some serious problems to deal with. I have some serious solutions for these problems. How? Because logic will show you the way. If you make the logical choice, then you will be correct most of the time. Logic will bestow itself upon you. You've heard it all before? That's phrase #4: repetitious indoctrination. Why do you think religions use this?

So, to summarize THE UNDERSTANDING:

1. I've shown you scores/grades > 1,000 randomized M.D.s combined.
2. These scores/grades will never be surpassed. How could they be? They are all unsurpassed.
3. I present a compelling case about the nonreligious version of THE ANTICHRIST.
 - a. I can refute the Immaculate Conception.
 - b. My scores are fact after fact after fact, opposed to a faith-based religion.
 - c. I could pass a lie detector test to this.
4. My aging process insight and explanation, my masterpiece, is 1,000x better than my unprecedented scores. Every evolutionarily acquired cellular structure reinforces my premise that loss of adenine from the chromosome, selectively and regulated by never mutating histone proteins, uses up the surplus (at about age 18 in normal humans) then goes into an ever-increasing deficit until inadequacy = death (not enough ATP to keep you alive). This begs the question about introducing substitute adenine from an outside source to compensate for excreted uric acid (a methylxanthine metabolite). Look at how closely the molecular structure of adenine resembles caffeine (it's on your computer, go look).
5. The religious preach a "Creation" and theoretical science has obediently lined up despite its illogic. That's why it's wrong. To justify what is reality, we'll theorize the incorrect Big Bang Theory (something from nothing). I evaluate the explanations pro and con, a genuine two-sided argument and not the one-sided argument (brainwashing) that misleads you.

I choose to not believe in the Big Bang something from nothing Creation Theory. Instead, I'll continue to believe in a widely scattered infinite number of googlemassive black holes drifting around clearing the adjacent space of debris (except the remaining, gravity resisting, atomic size or smaller, matter still suspended, the "hidden" (definition for dark) 85% of our measure of the matter in the Universe (the Stephen Hawking's inflating bubble or Einstein's creation of existence from nothing, not mine. I "see" no beginning start. It's always been here.), or better said: our tiny, insignificantly sized speck of an infinite sized Universe that has already had forever to let matter – in this case googlemassive black holes – to randomly distribute themselves throughout all of the available space. I didn't make the law of physics. I'm just following them. So, every quadrillion years or so in our tiny area of the Universe, two of these behemoths collide head-on at millions of miles per hour and voilà, the next local big bang scattering trillions of fragments (what we call galaxies with supermassive black hole centers like the Milky Way) outward from the exploding epicenter at various rates of speed and

trajectories with escape velocity leading to a one way trajectory that leads to the remote desolation for each galaxy until it is eventually consumed into some distant googlemassive black hole and so the continuous cycle of conservation of matter remains. That's what my logic "sees." That's my #1 differential diagnosis. If you make the logical choice, then you will be correct most of the time. Logic will show you the way. Logic will bestow itself upon you. Have you been repetitiously indoctrinated yet?

I sent about 25 pages worth of data to both the Democratic and Republican national campaign headquarters, the organizers of the POTUS candidate debates, twice, requesting feedback on how to be included in the debate but was ignored completely. I contacted the Libertarian party to see if they might be interested in making me their POTUS candidate (who else could they put up that would have any hope of winning) but the process involved was not user-friendly. I tried to see if Beto O'Rourke's campaign might be interested in using me when Beto withdrew from the race, but I received no reply to my email inquiry. I tried to see if Bernie Sanders campaign might get a boost if they used me as a publicized VP. Of course, that went nowhere as well. So now I'm back to my original concept of a year ago; write a book and use it as my POTUS campaign platform. Today, the U.S.; tomorrow, the World. That's the plan.

THE RECKONING

I understand. And I understand better than anyone else. Let him who hath understanding reckon the number of the Beast and that number is 666. I can “prove” that I’m the non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST. Get ready, I’m about to reckon (explain, proclaim, decode, decipher, conclude). But before I do, there’s going to be some repercussions. Like I said, I’m an atheist. I do not believe in a God. I think that is ludicrous. Stop being tricked. That being said, here’s the problem. There are all the phrases about THE ANTICHRIST. We agree that those phrases reflect the religious version. But some could apply to a non-religious version. We will go over the list. Let’s start with “Will he be a Jew?” Well, my last name is JUNGELS. How do you pronounce “JU?”

You’ve found a coincidence. That would be fine if they were infrequent. The problem is that they are not infrequent. They’re everywhere. Watch this:

Will he be a Jew? – JU.

THE ANTICHRIST is already among us – hello...

He’s the “Prince of Darkness” – I dated a girl, seriously, for nearly four years before irreconcilable differences ended it for us (in Edwardsville, or Eville for short). Her name was Maureen. Maureen means “darkness.” Had I married her, I would have become her king. That never happened. So, I was only her prince. I was the “Prince of Darkness” (she even had a pet German Shepard dog named Shadow). Don’t raise your eyebrows at me. I’m only reporting the facts of the reality of what occurred. That was a role I was in for nearly four years. A coincidence? Yes, of course. I mean, what else could it be? You don’t have this coincidence. Nor you. Nor you. Nor you. But I do. As if that were not enough, I did get married. To Tina. We weren’t sure if her name was Tina or Christina until viewing the birth certificate. I only recently was cognizant that if you rearrange the letters of TINA, you get ANTI... Anti(Chris)...just a coincidence on top of a coincidence. We were married March 14, 1992. That’s a day sandwiched between Friday the 13th (3/13/92) and the Ides of March (3/15/92). Ominous. It doesn’t come around very often. It did again this year (2020). Omen or coincidence? Coincidence. Omens don’t exist by logic.

The Beast from the East – Now where is “the East” again? Do they mean “the Middle East?” Do they mean “the Far East?” How about just “the East” like it says. Well, I was born in Granite City, Illinois. Later on, the St. Louis Arch, “the Gateway to the West,” was built. That really just distinguishes that the Mississippi River sort of divides the continent

into a western half, hence the gateway to “the West” and just across the river must be “the East.” So, I’m from “the East.” Just another precise coincidence. That 18 is an interesting number. How does that play into its proximity to (SIUE) Eville? So, I googled it. The distance between the Gateway Arch and (SIUE) Eville was 18.5 miles. Pretty close to 18. But wait. The Gateway Arch isn’t the real boundary separating the continent into an eastern half, “the East,” and a western half. It is the center of the Mississippi River. That “adjustment” shaves the distance by about 0.5 miles bringing the number to 18. So what? Nothing. I’m not suggesting anything. I’m only revealing what is. Take my birthplace of St. Elizabeth’s Hospital in Granite City, Illinois. It’s no longer called St. Elizabeth’s Hospital. Now it’s one of the three hospitals that constitute the Gateway Medical Center Hospital Group (obviously named after the Gateway to the West... how appropriate). I googled the distance from this Granite City, Illinois hospital to the Gateway Arch. It was 7.4 miles. Again, you need a correction factor to bring the East component of this line segment to the center of the Mississippi River. The bearing from the Gateway Arch to Granite City is NNE while the bearing from the Gateway Arch to (SIUE) Eville is NE. As such, the correction factor is not a 0.5-mile estimate (for Eville) but instead a 0.74-mile estimate, making my birthplace in Granite City, Illinois at the (now) Gateway Medical Center (formerly St. Elizabeth’s Hospital), 6.66 miles from the East border on a bearing aimed at the Gateway Arch. That’s getting a little too close. How strong is that coincidence? Also, the gateway to what?

The End of Times – Logic destroys religion. If the population examines the 2 sided argument, they are left to choose either “I believe that there is a supernatural entity in charge of the Universe” that I have been repetitiously indoctrinated with as long as I can remember and I've never seen any hard evidence, and come to think of it, it does sound completely illogical... or... “I choose to believe the evidence,” that there is no supernatural entity in charge of the Universe... no God. No Christianity. No Judaism. No Islam. No Buddhism. No Hinduism. No supernatural entity. The End of Times for every one of these ancient, delusional religions. Who's leading the charge?

The Ultimate Battle of Good vs Evil – Again, it is what it is. I have a perfect 695 MCAT score as a 19-year-old. You saw how it could be flipped to 665, as close to 666 as possible. Nevertheless, that is one good score. In fact, it is part of an ultimately good series of scores that document the highest scores ever and easily in the history of mankind. On the other side of the ring, in this corner, is the half-dozen contingent of Jewish professors at SIUE doing their Zionistic shaping role as I described. They are the evil, both in their intent to do this non-violent hate crime to me as well as the coincidence of it all happening in Edwardsville... Eville... Illinois. The ultimate battle of

good vs evil. Hey, I had to put something in the slot. What do you have? Bet you don't know anyone who can come up with anything better.

The War to End All Wars / Armageddon – This has to do with my upcoming plan, if this all goes down correctly, I do have a strategy and it will be based on logic... a sham war to end all wars culminating in a unified, logical, fair (world) government. Stop competing and start cooperating.

Nostradamus Quatrains – Use the southern French cities of Nay, Pau, and Oloron. Smash 'em together and get NayPauOloron, Napoleon, well, sort of. It misses by 6 letters. Change the order of the cities to Pau, Oloron which is now just called Loron as the O was deleted from the name calling attention to the next letter L, and Nay. Smash 'em together again and you get PauLNay, only 1 letter off from PauLJay. Who's your daddy now? Hister was Hitler and Germany, but "hister" not only refers to the lower Danube River but forms the root for hysterectomy, hysterical, and femininity. My high school valedictorian daughter was born in 1999 (= 1 666). She's now nearing graduation at University of Florida. She's a knockout as well. Can you picture a POTUS bid from PauLJay who will be 66 years old for the upcoming election with the 1-666 valedictorian foxy daughter (who will be 22 years old then) as the V.P. (the old guy + the young girl)? Sounds like there's something to link to every voter block. What an interesting ticket to compete with Trump or Dem. After all, THE ANTICHRIST is prophesized to become:

A Beloved World Leader – Be it only the POTUS or, if all goes well 8 years later when I'm done here, it's on to this unified World government. With all the neat ideas I have based on logic, it's on my strategy board. Are we ready for a new era?

Eville Trickster – Is this a trick? I am (SIUE) Eville trained with a bachelor's degree and more and a master's degree and more. I'd say that makes me more of an evil trickster than anyone else you know.

Those are a lot of coincidences that seem to fall in line, don't you agree? So, back to the reckoning. I, as the non-religious version of THE ANTICHRIST, with sufficient understanding, take the sword from the stone and proclaim that I will be the reckoner. I look at 666, put it on my logic meter to form my differential diagnosis, and 18 (6+6+6) wins out. One of the tipping points is that if I took all the integers in existence, the first one would be 1. The last one would be... there is no last one. It is infinite. The symbol for infinity is ∞ . Put them together and you get 18. Boy, does that open a can of worms for me. First off, 18 is the approximate age that you (and other normal humans) move from their adenine surplus to an adenine deficit. That's the

Beast that is going to kill you unless we figure out how to put the gas back into the tank, i.e., regulated adenine access (maybe a synthetic polyadenine virus or a liposome delivery system). But even more astounding: Paul Richard Jungels, $4+7+7=18$; from Venice, Florida (now) 34292, $6+7+5=18$; born 08/10/54, $8+10=18$, $5+4=9$ (flip it, look familiar? =6); means I was conceived in 1953, $1+9+5+3=18$. Yes, they are all mere coincidences, what else could they be? But even so, the odds probability of all these coincidences lining up like they do gets really remote. I can't explain it, but I do see it. American University ($8+10=18$). GRE ADVANCED BIOLOGY ($3+8+7=18$).

So, since JC was a human like the rest of us, with no supernatural powers, he acted out either knowing the ruse or truly (delusional) believing that he was only 50% human. Either way, it was role playing. Trump today is trying to role play as POTUS. Love him or hate him, he is playing the role. So, if the "beloved World leader" prophecy is to ever be, looks like the time is right to put the offer, the bait, out there. Is it logical that the person who can document the highest scores/grades ever and easily in the history of mankind, with integrated insights exceeding 1,000 randomized M.D.s (at least on those (pseudo)miracle tests I showed you), who has already outlined a sample of these and says there's thousands more, might just do a feat worthy of the hype.

666

Boo! A number. As THE ANTICHRIST by the non-religious version definition criteria (son of God and faith) fulfillment (I'll even take a lie detector test and pass it), I reckon (explain, proclaim, decoder, decipher, conclude) that 666 (3 trails of the tailing comet) is 18 (the first and...last... integers of numbers). 18 is the approximate age we exhaust our conception created adenine surplus and begin our adenine deficit, ever decreasing until we die (or find a way to relieve the uric acid drain of ATP metabolism which) through the concentration gradient effect now caused by this cytoplasmic adenine deficit for use as ATP with a homeostasis level that can never quite get back to where it wants to be (1.00). This ultimately affects the dissociation constant (K_d) linking chromosomal attached adenine to its deoxyribose polymer through a covalent bond (shares an electron pair = covalent) that is strained due to the inequality of the sharing atoms (Nitrogen has 7 protons, while Carbon has 6 protons) causing the electron pair to spend most of its millimicrosecond or whatever god-awful small number it must be, associating with the atomic nuclei that has the most pretty girls, I mean protons. These electrons spend their time around protons. But when they venture out across the void to pay a visit to the atomic nuclei with the fewer... protons... (HA!), while on this journey through no man's land between the safe haven of each atom's electron orbital shells, it is susceptible to bandits; no, I mean an electrophilic attack. Something that wants to pick off electrons straying too far into the forbidden zone. For example, you've heard that water has a pH of 7 (more or less). What that means is that for every 10^7 molecules of H_2O , one will "spontaneously statistically" disassociate (there's that word again, definition = to no longer interact) from H_2O to $H^+ + OH^-$. It's that H^+ that is the culprit, the bandit. It is a lone proton that must get an electron for electrical neutrality. It spots that vulnerable electron pair covalent bond attaching adenine to the rest of the chromosome and by seizing this poorly protected (vulnerable) electron, you've broken the only actual physical bond that holds adenine where it's supposed to be. As such, a percentage may reattach (which is why the hydrogen bonds between $A=T$ or $G\equiv C$ have evolved so that there is less for adenine to allow, selectively, this adenine to be lost to the nucleoplasm to feed the gradient that I'm alluding to). That's where the histone protein regulatory role comes in. Because histone proteins (amino acid sequence) essentially never mutate (if they did, they would be at a selective disadvantage compared to non-mutating histone proteins and so would "die out"), this amino acid sequence, the finished protein structure, is identical every time, a precise control. It precisely allows in chronological order preserved generation after generation identical, which adenines are to be lost to the nucleoplasm adenine concentration. This is the diffusion coefficient of adenine dissociation: attached adenine \rightarrow_{K_d} free adenine.

As we get older and our energy levels decline, paralleling our loss of ability to maintain that desired homeostasis number of 1.00 (for ATP) that we lost at about age 18, we're very similar

to a battery. What do you think that sleep is other than a recharging (using Krebs Cycle oxidative phosphorylation) restoration of lower energy AMP and ADP to fully charged (but declining levels) ATP while minimizing use? It takes about 6 hours a day for us. "Rest" without "sleep" is about 50% as efficient (my observation). The higher the metabolism the more sleep maintenance it needs.

So, it is time to say thank you religion. You've been our guidance, our direction for the past 2,000 years. We only wanted to explain what we saw. We tried logic but without a proper understanding of the laws of physics and mathematics, we just weren't good enough. So we invented religion. It worked better than no religion, no explanation. Sometimes even the wrong direction may be better than no direction. At least with the wrong direction, you can still get some feedback data to analyze to make new hypotheses to test. But now, we have the science. We have the physics. We have the mathematics. If only we had the logic. By now, even the most loyal believer must feel what his gut is telling him. The time is up. It's time for logic, science, technology and the world will be a better place because of it. If properly managed. One central government running the world is the best logical solution to whatever problem arises. It would be as efficient as possible. It would be as biofriendly as possible. What's not to like? Here's how to do it. Make me POTUS this upcoming 2020 election. I'll be 66 years old then. I'll do such an amazing job that the world will marvel. They'll listen to my platform and the logic will bestow itself upon them. That beloved World leader can come to pass.

Here's a brief overview. Have an initial upper tier of U.S.-Russia-China-India to propose the laws (75% majority) and a lower tier, 8 country group requiring 75% to verify. That's just a hastily constructed logic backbone blueprint that can evolve as needed. The goal is no country borders. A 50% tax to keep the central government powerful with a much narrower range of personal incomes levels the playing field. Medicare for all, a cost of living "handout" for a minimum 20 hour/week for your central government (the World government), aka citizenship (more than just civilianship) guarantees benefits aka Starship Troopers. Some of these science fiction movies set in the future (i.e., Star Trek, etc.) have some already thought-out good concepts. Use what is appropriate and correct (logically) the deficiencies that arise and you should eventually evolve the parameters that work for everyone. It will be a strongly socialistic government (no more billionaire royals running things like today while the peon population struggles just to survive). Success and hard work will still have its rewards, but it has gotten beyond reason. We used to have a hefty death tax to "make" successful individuals "spend" their acquired wealth to stimulate the economy, but more importantly to prevent gigantic legacies (kingdoms) from being passed on to successive generations. You'll have to earn your success on a fair, level playing field. No one will be left behind because that tax flush central government has the survival dole out just for putting in your "citizen" time. How do we all get along with our various cultural background differences? The key is education. It's just like

religion. Repetitive indoctrination. You start in on the children with new school classes: tolerance, respect for life, cultural histories. Make it clear that if the laws are broken, the punishments will be proportional with a punitive deterrent.

Society will have economic tiers from bottom class (unskilled workers putting in their time and getting their subsistence remuneration) to the top class (the go getters... they'll get up to 10x what the bottom tier gets. It used to be that hammerin' Hank Aaron (a baseball star) earned an annual \$100,000 salary, about 10x what the bottom tier income was. That sounds almost reasonable instead of what happens today. A Hank Aaron of today would make 20 million a year or more while the bottom tier is not doing much better than it used to be. This "gap" (range) in net worth of an individual has to close. It's a major cause of the disconnect. Its royalty reinvented. Anyway, you see what I have on the drawing board. You see my logic-based format, my balanced socialistic playing field, the colorblindness and gender blindness of logic. Here's an opportunity to advance our civilization to a higher level. There's the polling station. Will the prophecies come true? Remember, as an atheist, I don't believe a word of the religious version of THE ANTICHRIST. But man, those probability statistics can really confound things. If only I had some supernatural power to go along with my (pseudo)miracle test scores/grades.

Proposed TAX Structure: (#1) 50% Annual Income Tax
 (#2) 5% Annual Total Asset Tax
 (#3) 85% Death Tax

Still major capitalistic incentive but now creates a superrich central government to set up socialistic programs like guaranteed medical, guaranteed housing, guaranteed food, all for a minimal copay where applicable to avoid misusing the system. When the world sees how well this works, they will be invited to join in.

Conclusion for 666, Book 1

As a first-time author, even using logic as my guide, the initial solution will often have areas for improvement (what I call fast logic and slow logic). That's the beauty of letting logic show you the way. Here's my dilemma. I have a time constraint. The election for POTUS is only months away. I have additional (practically unlimited) material to discuss. Logic says to just put the loose ends here and let you figure out where to integrate them.

First up is the Tree of Life synagogue assassin. It's terrible that humanity abandons civility and resorts to murder. Why did he do it? Good question. Why did he choose to sacrifice his own life and all of the known repercussions for this act? My logic attempts to determine his justification. I speculate that it is for the same reasons that it always happens. Martyrdom. There is a situation going on that is allowed to continue and is flagrantly and purposefully oppressive to the (responding) target who has chosen to act out, sacrificing himself in the process, to try to call attention to the problem. Do I choose to believe that Robert Bowers had a mental condition and an unnatural hatred of Jews (aka the *modus operandi*) that compelled him to this behavior? Or, do I choose to believe, like in my case, that perhaps he exhausted all of his other, less lethal, means of resistance. Just like global warming is a real phenomenon, so too is the Zionist shaping of America (really the whole world ultimately). That's what Zionism is: the selective and preferential advancement of Israel and pro-Jewish ideology in general. Look around you, you can't miss it. We're going down that road right now. Twenty years of war, currently, all directed against Israel's enemies (Iraq, Iran, Syria = Hezbollah; Palestine, Egypt, Libya, other lesser players = Hamas; whatever is left = ISIS). Also, notice the actual combatants: Christians (U.S. mercenary soldiers) ordered to kill Muslims and vice versa, lacking Israeli casualties. Sound familiar to other wars? WWII was Christians killing Christians (in Europe) to save not only concentration camp Jews, but Jewish controlled capitalism in general (i.e. Rothschild Bank funded the British war effort).

The U.S. entered the war in 1941, two years after its start in 1939. Why? The U.S. population wanted to remain neutral. With the end of Jewry in Europe becoming a real possibility something had to be done. Let's look at the sequence of events. The U.S. puts a naval blockade around Japan to cut off its oil supply (which would tremendously limit their mobility). Next, we'll essentially put the entire Pacific Fleet at dock in Pearl Harbor and turn off the radar. Japan sees this opportunity as the only way to break the U.S. naval blockade of Japan so does a "sneak attack." The U.S. uses that military attack as a means to override public sentiment neutrality and declares war on Japan (and its Axis Ally Germany), then devotes 90% of the war effort against Germany (the bigger threat to Zionism). Only after subduing Germany did the U.S. go all out after Japan.

There's got to be a better way. And there is. It will require a major overhaul of our beliefs and values. No more Zionism. That only benefits Zionists. In case you are confused about terminology, anti-Semitism is a dislike for "people from the Middle East." This includes Israel, Arab countries, and the immediate periphery. Anti-Jew is a dislike for "people of Jewish religion." Anti-Zionism is a dislike for "people seeking to elevate Israeli, Hebrew, and Jewish ideology selectively." That last choice is the issue. I have no problem with the other two except that all deity-based religions are delusions. No more out of control capitalism (billion-dollar empires to last generations ensuring this royal family lineage equivalent). That only works for capitalists. A monetary system may still be necessary but with upper and lower limits at an acceptable range (on a worldwide scale with no borders eventually) where the well to do only make 10 times what the destitute get. Hank Aaron gets his \$100,000 a year income and all the fame that goes with it. The unskilled person putting in their mandatory 20 hour/week citizenship criterion gets his \$10,000 a year subsidy. Those distributions almost look like a fair alignment. Simply putting a token wealth tax on billionaires and allowing them to keep the remainder isn't going to change much. A 50% (or more) income tax that goes to the central government (a fair, logical central government) makes it strong and flush to do the needed projects mankind needs to continue to advance (i.e. flying space stations eventually leading to a fully functional off-world habitat in space or suitable land settlements on alien worlds). I can't wait to see what our technology explosion comes up with next. This past 100 years has been incredible. Include a "death tax" and annual total asset tax (of 5% sounds appropriate). These 3 taxes (50% annual income tax, 5% annual total asset tax, and 85% "death tax") would still provide nearly the same capitalistic incentive to succeed but now the success helps us all (by making a strong central government and its dole out programs, like a weekly "allowance" check from this rich central government to everyone starting at age 5 in a gradually increasing scale which can be "fined" for disobedience/law breakers. There's a motive to discourage troublemakers. Does the central government have the finances for total health care and free public education extended to a bachelor's degree in college?

How can someone like me do this? It's called delegation; I (or anyone else) come up with the novel ideas. If it passes the logic test and is implemented, there are a lot of capable people in the U.S. (or World, 8 years from now, after I finish my 8-year POTUS term and now become this role-playing beloved World leader, because of all the neat and logical insights I bring, for the first World government). The dysfunctional, continuously bickering Democratic and Republican parties still have talented personnel among the suck-ups. I intend to recruit the appropriate personnel from both parties to be a part of my cabinet. With the proper supervision to prevent impropriety, these career politicians' skill backgrounds will be fully used. It may be the best cabinet ever since it will be based on logic and not political favor payoffs. Delegation.

No, I don't have much formal political experience. But neither did Trump. He stood for a change from politics as usual. Because people are so fed up with politics as usual, enough voted for him (gerrymandering aside) to get him elected. To me, his downfall is a result of his parallelism to Zionists. Both are interested in enhancing their own personal wealth. That's politics as usual. That's why (some of) his supporters (like me) are abandoning him. I expect him to be soundly beaten next election if a worthy alternate candidate is offered. That may take the shape of a touted DEM, but do you really think that will change the capitalistic greed factor? It will only decide which group of schemers gets to benefit for the next term in office. I look at Zionism, capitalism, and royalty as a big ant colony. In good times with a growing economy, the trickle-down finances of gorging the queen, and there still being scraps for the workers to thrive, makes it look like a good policy. Trump has as good a popularity rating as he can have. His trickle-down financing is working (prior to the biblical proportion COVID-19 plague that has coincidentally been released this particular year). Without a booming economy, the problem with the trickle-down financing is the same that any ant colony, when under attack, experiences. First to go are the workers. Next the inner-circle. Finally, the Queen. Let's see how the economic fallout of COVID-19 goes. I do not want to be the worker ant by assignment. If that's all I'm worthy of, so be it. But no ant colonies, please. The real issue is the question of capitalism, Zionism, and royalty may actually be the better way; it works for ants. The peripheral serf may actually have it better getting the scraps leftover as opposed to no scraps at all. Fortunately, in a more socialistic economy, the serf is provided for. The trick is to get the top money makers to go all-out. They'll do it for 100%. They won't for 0%. Somewhere between is the best answer.

I have no hidden agenda. I could sit back on my tiny social security stipend and retire in sunny Florida and live out my days comfortably (I still play high quality soccer games 3 days/week). Or I could put my (suppressed) talents to a purpose that could actually change the direction of our future (logic vs religion, socialism vs capitalism, World unification vs infighting country competition, narrowing the wealth gap as we are one species not requiring elite royalty getting the best and the rest fighting for the scraps). A forfeitable, ever-increasing stipend to everyone starting at age 5 with education, indoctrination of tolerance, respect for life, and consequences of breaking the logically created laws, all initiated early (the new religion) means that law breakers will get a proportional punishment plus a deterrent.

What I've found is that people make decisions before age 25 that they would never make after age 25. An example would be, before age 25, riding a motorcycle at 180 mph for the thrill of it. After age 25, you realize how risky and stupid that curiosity was, and you would never do it again because of the catastrophic risk. That sounds like a good education point to pass on to these < 25-year-old thrill seekers. It might even save their life. Education (better still, understanding) is the foundation.

I have to wrap this up to get it to the printing press but here's just one last thought to share. You've read my aging explanation. We lose our species surplus of adenine and begin our demise at about age 18. I find it interesting that our species reproductive ability starts at around age 14. The phenomenon of old age for our species (i.e. nearly 60 or more years beyond the age 18 tipping point ending the adenine surplus and starting the adenine deficit) is a relatively new, non-genetically controlled (i.e. evolution) extension attributed to better medicines, better nutrition, better everything (but still lacking a better way to put regulated adenine back into the adenine depleted cell). But, back in the day, we didn't have the luxury of old age. We evolved so that the reproductive capabilities began while we still had this adenine surplus ensuring the survival of the species.

Advice Suggestions

A bonus to reward your diligent reading

1. Caffeine is a methylxanthine. So is adenine. Science has shown that caffeine consumption can increase longevity. To me, it seems like the metabolites of the breakdown of caffeine (in the cell) methylxanthine not only affects the metabolism of adenine methylxanthine metabolites but also (and this is the unknown “why” you live longer) would serve as a better resource for constructing new adenine than the longer and more energy requiring De Novo synthesis pathway for adenine biosynthesis from simple precursor molecules (what you normally encountered in evolution without the caffeine). This is the same strategy you used in evolution with the HGPRT salvage pathway to save adenine biosynthetic energy by using a better source than the De Novo synthesis precursor molecules. I suggest small amounts of caffeine (or theophylline, another methylxanthine) to not upset your gastrointestinal tract, on a daily or twice daily dosing. Tibetan monks drinking green tea and living longer now has a biochemistry explanation doesn't it?
2. Your longevity is dependent and proportional to your ability to provide a continuous and adequate supply of ATP (or else you die right here, right now aka an automobile accident). Since oxidative phosphorylation by the Krebs Cycle is the primary regenerator of ADP to ATP, making the Krebs Cycle efficient is important. That's where exercise comes in. You don't want to create an ATP insufficiency crisis (like a heart attack) but you do want to optimize your (mitochondrial) ATP production. Oxygen is supplied by your circulatory system. It is needed for oxidative phosphorylation. A plump, well exercised mitochondria is more efficient in providing (recharging) ATP than a lethargic mitochondrion. So, other than the ego trip that I get as a 66-year-old soccer player still schooling the boys, my mitochondria benefit. I should have the energy metabolism to keep going for decades with my current metabolic routines.

3. Things that you ingest, inhale, or inject are either neutral, a nutrient, or a toxin. Sometimes the amount determines which of these options. Penicillin is a valuable antibiotic (anti=against, opposite; biotic = life). Penicillin is “against life.” But it is “against life” more to the invading bacterium than to the host. The toxin is the cure.

I am an advocate of small dose, broad content vitamins. To me, these chemicals represent metabolic shortcuts. If you supply what you need for biosynthesis as preformed dietary precursors, then you “save” the energy (of less ATP → ADP → ATP turnovers) that you would have to expend to create these same molecules (the metabolic precursors) from non-supplemented dietary means. The key is the amounts. There is the correct dose (often an unknown) which is a nutrient. Too much of the substance can shift the benefit from nutrient to toxin (drink a gallon of orange juice and see what happens), and if there is insufficient amounts, a neutral effect.

4. Sleep. We talked about that. You sleep to slow ATP use (you quit moving), to allow Krebs Cycle oxidative phosphorylation to regenerate high energy level ATP (adenosine triphosphate) from low energy level ADP and AMP (adenosine diphosphate and adenosine monophosphate) accumulated throughout the day mainly by energy requiring movement (you didn't think that you could move your arm for free did you?). That's why you feel so poorly after a long day causing your depleted ATP reserves and why you feel so refreshed after a good, sound sleep. Even rest without sleep still helps (50% on my meter means 6 hours of continuous sleep has the equivalent restorative power for ATP as 12 hours of fractured sleep/rest).
5. Optimal state. Not only medicines, nutrients, exercise, but everything has an optimal level (yes, even Zionism, or Nazism, take your choice. Nazis build good rockets. Zionists are caring parents, etc.). How much fluid should you take in per day? You need to figure out what your optimal level is. A person with congestive heart failure has a lower optimal level of fluid intake than someone without this condition. Find out your optimum, that's your target.
6. Do you think that Trump or the Dem is going to tell you these things? They should. I'm an advocate of full disclosure. What's in Area 51? You deserve the right to know and I'm going to deliver for you. Personally, I think extra-terrestrial visitors is a hoax. The technology for them to get here (from another star system) is huge. For this to happen, we'd see evidence. If you want me to believe in something illogical, then you have to show me the evidence. That's how to change (and upgrade) my logic.

7. Remember, I'll be 66 years old next election. That sure seems like the appropriate time for this offering. Are we ready? 8/10/54 is my birthday, the date of my birth. This means I was created nine months earlier (1953). So, on 8/10/54 I'll really be 66 plus 9 months = 66.75 years in existence. What would be an appropriate date for 66.6 years in existence $(.75 - .60)(365\text{days}/\text{years}) = 54$ days is the differential when subtracted from 8/10/54 becomes 6/18. Exact again. What a coincidence. Want more? Okay. Christmas, Jesus' birthday, was 12/25. The opposite calendar date (i.e. add 6 months) becomes 6/25. So the week (52 weeks in a year = 2% chance) of 6/18 to 6/25 is yet another perfect fit, with only a 2% probability all by itself. What is the statistical probability for all of the coincidences I've shown you? It has got to rival my unprecedented scores. Other than those two extraordinary sets of unexplainable coincidence series, that's all I got. I tried my latent supernatural powers on weather control. I'm sure it's just my imagination runnin' away, but my luck at getting in soccer games in the thunderstorm seems (catchy phrase, yeah?) to be borderline successful. Still, just shouting at giant cumulus thunderheads sounds a little underpowered. I need political power. That's where the get-it-done action is. If that spot has the right operator, you'll see real changes. That was one good thing about Trump: he didn't pander to the Republican Party platform. He was an outsider, borderline Independent. I don't see any other candidates like that, Republican or Democrat. Everyone just entrenches themselves in a 'pure' ideology of Democrat or Republican. That's why the votes are so consistently and overwhelmingly along party lines. Instead of the bell-shaped curve we all hear about, we have a two-humped camelback curve. Vote extreme Republican or vote extreme Democrat. I need to get on both party ballots. That will return the curve to a normal pattern.

Party Platform

50% Annual tax

5% Annual total Asset tax

85% death tax

= huge surplus: for socialistic programs

- food credits
- energy credits
- weekly allowance starting at age 5 (variable depending on criminal record)
- for research and education
- public school through college degree
- for etc.

= very efficient, a more balanced playing field that still has its

= capitalistic perks but also providing for others

= adjustable as logic reveals

= if the plan works as well as I expect, get world support

- no more wars
- focus on improving environment
- population control parameters
- massive single structure city of 1-10 million people
 - no commuting needed
 - every product imaginable
 - easy sporting access
 - comrades not competitors

If not me, then whom? Like you, I also have unexplainable events in my life, a delusional protector. There's no evidence. Logic is the scientific method. It's my best chance. I'm not just THE ANTICHRIST. I'm anti supernatural entities in charge of the Universe, the "End of Times" for every deity-based (delusional) religion created thousands of years ago to explain our existence when science couldn't. THE ANTICHRIST for POTUS 2020. Time is short (talk to your friends, spread the word). Let him who hath understanding reckon the number of the Beast, and that number is 666. This is a once-in-forever opportunity, and all indicators line up. What have I gotten myself into?

Financial sponsors needed!

Addition:

Did you like my book? The price was right (free for you, but not for me). Here's my price. Send the website address to 2 friends (or more) in another city for them to read. And please do it now; it needs time to go through the grapevine. Their price is the same – 2 friends in another city, pronto. It's a fair trade for me. You can vote for Trump (he'll do a good enough job making a powerful beehive). You can vote for the Dem (probably Biden or his successor, either way a puppet for the Democratic Party nobility). Or, you can vote for the person who CDTHSEAEITHOM. I know who I'd vote for. How about you?

Exit... Stage Left.

In my next book, you'll get some more interesting concepts to explore. I've already come up with a good one. This first book used up my best shots as you have read. But then there's that galaxy cluster seen by infrared telescopes. It's 32B light-years away. Let's do an experiment. Take 100 pounds of gunpowder. Spread a couple of inches of buckshot over the gunpowder pile. Take a rigid, hollow tube and shove it right into the heart of the pile. Light the gunpowder. KA-POW. Buckshot outside the tube is scattered in every direction at different speeds. That's our visible "Universe." But what happened to the buckshot trapped inside the tube? It got fired out like a rifle bullet, way faster than the buckshot outside the tube (the propellant energy being conserved and directed by the tube barrel). So, each of two colliding and detonating googlemassive black holes (my version of what we see) also possesses a colliding and disintegrating googlemassive magnetic field overlapping just enough (before disintegration of the googlemassive black holes also destroys the associated googlemassive magnetic fields) to create a (double strength) googlemassive magnetic field (gun) barrel to fire out those galaxy

clusters at 2.5x the speed of light (from the pole, the weak spot in the gravity prison of a black hole). There it is. I told you I can comprehend 2x, 3x, maybe even 10x the speed of light. Voila (32B = 2.5x SOL). It only took me about 18 minutes to put it all together. Logic showed me the way. And since it's right, logic has also bestowed itself upon me. Do **you** see it? No one else is talking this. So, what do you expect from THE ANTICHRIST? Magic? So here's how this works:
 #1. The problem is "How do you explain the galaxy cluster 32B light-years away?"

#2. You make your differential diagnosis and assign probabilities. Different perspectives, like religions, will provide different probability estimates.

Mine looks like this:

Likelihood (%)	Cause
99.999...999+%	A. THE ANTICHRIST explained googlemassive black hole cannon that blasted them out at 2.5x the SOL, despite AE's assertion that nothing can go faster than the speed of light (What he should have said is that normal propulsion photons are the fastest object at c. However, googlemassive black hole detonations are not very frequent and far more powerful than photon ejections. He didn't know any better.).
00.000...001%	B. There is a remote possibility that the galaxy cluster identified could be an <u>incoming</u> from a googlemassive black hole collision out there eons long, long ago on its way to our 13.8B years ago collision as they were still the local boss black holes before the detonation; the inertia and momentum persisting.
00.000...000%	C. Stephen Hawking's inflation theory that drags along a tiny piece of the Big Bang. For this inflation theory, everything is expanding, even you. Ridiculous.
00.000...000%	D. God did it. Works every time. Well, not this time.

I would have preferred to not reveal this simple and obviously correct explanation, courtesy of logic, before the book is created. However, the first to speak is the first to be heard (frame it). There's a lot of people who would plagiarize, unfortunately. Realize that I want the (political) power to do these upgrades to humanity, not just write books about them. Vote me in and see what happens. If I can't deliver then you can vote me right back out. If I can walk the walk, we upgrade. 66 y/o and counting... I had about 50 addition inserts for this book, but instead, they will be incorporated into my second book TBA. Though I die unknown and unrecognized, I'm glad I found the time to take the journey to the center of my mind, and the prophecy has now been fulfilled. It's now just a matter of degree. Beloved World leader or just a crazy who somehow can document the HSEAEITHOM? You gotta go with it. So, the most logical person to become beloved World leader is _____. If you make the logical choice, you'll be correct most of the time. It's your best chance.