End-of-life experiences and deathbed phenomena as reported by Brazilian healthcare professionals in different healthcare settings

CLAUDIA SOARES DOS SANTOS, M.SC., PH.D.CAND.,¹

ALESSANDRA LAMAS GRANERO LUCCHETTI, M.D., M.SC.,¹

CARLOS EDUARDO PAIVA, M.D., PH.D.,² PETER FENWICK, M.D., F.R.C.PSYCH.,³

AND GIANCARLO LUCCHETTI, M.D., PH.D.¹

¹School of Medicine, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Juiz de Fora, Brazil

²Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil

³Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College, London, United Kingdom

(RECEIVED May 30, 2016; ACCEPTED October 22, 2016)

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objectives of the present study were to describe and compare the characteristics and reports of end-of-life experiences (ELEs) by healthcare professionals at different institutions and to investigate the influence of religious beliefs on these reports.

Method: A multicenter study was carried out in Brazil that included six nursing homes (NHs), a cancer hospital (ONC), and a palliative care (PC) unit. Sociodemographic data, ELE reports (Fenwick's questionnaire), religiosity (the Duke Religion Index), spirituality (the Spirituality Self-Rating Scale), and mental health (the DASS-21 questionnaire) were assessed. The analysis was performed using ANOVA and chi-square tests in order to compare ELE perceptions in these different settings.

Results: A total of 133 healthcare professionals (46 ONC, 36 PC, and 51 NH) were interviewed, 70% of whom recounted at least one ELE report in the previous five years. The most common ELEs were "visions of dead relatives collecting the dying person" (88.2%), "a desire to mend family rifts" (84.9%), and "visions of dead relatives near the bed providing emotional comfort" (80.6%). Most healthcare professionals (70–80%) believed that these experiences had a spiritual significance and were not due to biological effects. Comparison among settings revealed that those working in the PC unit had more reports, a greater openness about the issue, and more interest in training. Individual religious beliefs had no influence on perception of ELEs.

Significance of Results: Our study revealed that ELE reports are not uncommon in clinical practice and seem to be little influenced by religious or spiritual beliefs. Although strongly reported in all settings, palliative care professionals tend to be more open to this issue and have a stronger perception of ELEs.

KEYWORDS: Palliative care, Cancer care, Deathbed phenomena, End-of-life experiences, Spirituality

INTRODUCTION

Recent estimates indicate that approximately twenty million individuals require palliative care worldwide, which poses a challenge to modern medicine (Connor & Bermedo, 2014). In fact, end-of-life care involves a more integrative and interdisciplinary approach that encompasses many different aspects of the individual, including the spiritual dimension (Puchalski et al., 2009).

A number of studies have already investigated the influence of patient spirituality and religiosity (S/R)

BIANCA SAKAMOTO RIBEIRO PAIVA, PH.D.,²

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Giancarlo Lucchetti, School of Medicine, Federal University of Juiz de Fora, Avenida Eugênio do Nascimento s/n, Dom Bosco, Juiz de Fora, Brazil, CEP 36038-330. E-mail: g.lucchetti@yahoo.com.br.

on the dying process, showing that higher S/R scores are associated with improved quality of life, wellbeing, and mental health at the end of life (Puchalski et al., 2009; Peres et al., 2007; Puchalski et al., 2003). However, very few studies have addressed the experiences related to the dying process.

Indeed, many phenomena that take place during the final hours of life are widely observed in clinical practice, spanning different periods of history and cultures (Betty, 2006). According to some authors, impending death can be heralded by "visions" and "apparitions" that comfort patients during the dying process and prepare them spiritually for death or for transition to a new reality, events that are known as end-of-life experiences (ELEs) or deathbed phenomena (DBPs) (Fenwick & Brayne, 2011; Fenwick et al., 2010; Brayne et al., 2008; 2006).

These experiences can be basically divided into two categories (Fenwick et al., 2010): transpersonal and final-meaning ELEs. Transpersonal ELEs refer to transcendent qualities (i.e., deathbed visions, an ability to transit to and from other realities, coincidences that occur around the time of death), and final-meaning ELEs refer to substantive qualities, firmly based in the here and now, often prompted by profound waking dreams, or dreams that help the person to process unresolved business so that they can let go and die peacefully (i.e., a desire to put their affairs in order and reconcile with estranged family members).

Although ELEs are commonly seen in clinical practice, few scientific studies on the subject existed until the mid-1990s (Fenwick & Brayne, 2011). More recently, some studies have investigated ELEs in a more systematic fashion, showing that 62–87% of patients reported ELEs (Kerr et al., 2014) and 62-89% of healthcare professionals had experiences of ELEs (firsthand or reported) (Lawrence & Repede, 2013; Fenwick & Brayne, 2011; Fenwick et al., 2010). Studies have also shown that the most common phenomena include "vivid dreams through which the patient seems to be comforted and prepared for death," "vivid dreams or visions that help resolve unfinished business," and "the desire to mend family rifts" (Koedam-Visser & Fenwick, 2012; Fenwick et al., 2010; Lawrence & Repede, 2013).

A striking aspect of ELEs is the presence of the same phenomena across diverse cultures, such as those of the United Kingdom (Brayne et al., 2008), the United States (Lawrence & Repede, 2013), the Netherlands (Koedam-Visser & Fenwick, 2012), Switzerland (Renz et al., 2015), India (Muthumana et al., 2010–2011) and Moldova (Kellehear et al., 2011–2012).

Despite the increased number of studies on ELEs in recent decades (Daher, 2016), some gaps in this

area of research remain. Replication of studies in other societies with different religious and cultural backgrounds is rare (Broadhurst & Harrington, 2015), and, in the case of South America, no such studies have been conducted. Moreover, previous research has largely focused on assessing reports of ELEs in specific settings (e.g., nursing homes and palliative care). However, to our knowledge, no comparison among different settings has been carried out. Finally, many questions remain concerning the influence of religious factors on an openness toward and recognition of ELEs.

Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to describe and compare the characteristics and reports of ELEs by healthcare professionals at different institutions and to investigate the influence of religious beliefs on these reports.

METHOD

Study Design

A cross-sectional multicenter study was performed in the Brazilian cities of Barretos and Juiz de For between June of 2014 and June of 2015. The study was assessed and approved by the research ethics committee of the Barretos Cancer Hospital (HCB) (report no. 824.562). All study participants signed an informed consent form.

Participants and Venue

The study included healthcare professionals (physicians, nurses, psychologists, physiotherapists, speech therapists, nursing technicians/assistants, and paid caregivers) practicing in one of the following three types of settings: six nursing homes in the city of Juiz de Fora (Minas Gerais, Brazil) (NH); a palliative care unit (PC); and a cancer center (ONC) of the HCB in Barretos (São Paulo, Brazil).

The HCB is a public tertiary care hospital dedicated exclusively to oncology that treats $\sim 4,000$ patients per day from different regions of Brazil. The main building houses most of the clinical and surgical departments, including those responsible for cancer prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. Unit I also houses the clinical and surgical inpatient facilities, the surgical center, the intensive therapy unit, and the emergency department. Patients with terminal cancers are referred to a separate unit (Unit II), dedicated exclusively to palliative care. The PC unit comprises an outpatient facility and a 42-bed inpatient unit. Approximately 70% of patients admitted to the PC unit die during their hospital stay (Hui et al., 2014). The six nursing homes involved in the study are not-for-profit institutions dedicated to the long-term care of the elderly, the vast majority of whom are partially or totally dependent on others for the basic activities of daily living. These institutions vary in size (small to large) and operate with interprofessional/ multidisciplinary teams.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To be included in our study, participants had to be at least 18 years of age, have contact with patients at the end of life during their work (with a prognosis of < 6 months), and have more than 5 years of experience with this type of patient. Professionals not available at the time of study recruitment or those refusing to take part or sign the consent form were excluded.

Procedures

The researchers requested a list of healthcare professionals who practiced in the three settings with permission of the managers of the respective healthcare units. All the healthcare professionals in the PC and NH units were approached, and those who met the inclusion criteria were selected. In the ONC unit, due to the large number of staff, selections were performed randomly. The ideal number of healthcare professionals for the study was based on the sampling calculations detailed below.

Previously trained researchers approached the selected healthcare professionals before or after their work shifts, explaining the objectives of the study and providing them with a questionnaire for completion. The researchers resolved any queries arising without influencing participants' questionnaire responses.

Instruments for Data Collection

The self-report questionnaire was filled out by the interviewee, which took an average of 20 minutes to complete. The questionnaire included the following aspects.

Section 1: Sociodemographic information (gender, age, race, income, marital status) and work characteristics (place of work, years working with end-of-life patients, number of end-of-life patients treated).

Section 2: End-of-life experiences. For the present study, we used the ELE questionnaire developed by Fenwick et al. (2010). This English-language instrument has been tested in many international studies and was translated and adapted into Portuguese according to the following procedure (see Beaton et al., 2000). Two researchers (CSS and GL) translated it into Portuguese independently. This translated version was synthesized into one version by CSS, GL, and another author (ALGL), and the scale was back-translated into English by an independent translator whose mother tongue was English. Finally, two authors (BSRP and CEP) assessed semantic and idiomatic equivalence. The final version of the instrument was approved by the author (PF) who originally developed it.

The ELE questionnaire was subdivided into two parts. In the first, dichotomous questions (ves/no) were used to assess whether healthcare professionals had ever witnessed or heard of an ELE and whether they had ever witnessed or heard of each specific ELE (i.e., "experiencing a radiant light that envelops the dying person," "a sense of being 'called' or 'pulled' by something or someone," "a sudden desire to sing or hum religious songs") (Fenwick & Brayne, 2011). In the second part, Likert-type scale questions (scored 1 ["strongly disagree"] to 5 ["strongly agree"]) were utilized to assess the opinions of healthcare professionals about the effects of medication, the impact of ELEs on spiritual or religious beliefs, and their training experiences and needs (e.g., "I consider a DBP to be a profound spiritual event," "I never discuss DBPs with any of my colleagues").

Section 3: Religious and spiritual beliefs. Three instruments were applied. (1) The Duke Religion Index (DUREL), previously validated for Portuguese/ Brazil (Lucchetti et al., 2012), which is a five-item instrument addressing three dimensions: organizational, nonorganizational, and intrinsic religiosity. The first two items (scores ranging from 1 to 6) address organizational (religious attendance) and nonorganizational (time spent on private religious activities) religiosity, while the other three items assess intrinsic religiosity (range = 3-15), where higher scores indicate greater religiosity. The value of Cronbach's alpha for our sample was 0.75. (2) The Spirituality Self-Rating Scale (SSRS), previously validated for Portuguese/Brazil (Gonçalves & Pillon, 2009), which consists of six Likert-type items (1 ["totally agree"] to 5 ["totally disagree"]). Final scores are calculated by summing the points scored (after reversal of the responses given to the six statements), which range from 6 to 30, where a higher score indicates greater reported spirituality. The value of Cronbach's alpha for our sample was 0.82. (3) Questions about beliefs about life after death, reincarnation, and the existence of a soul (Lucchetti et al., 2013).

Section 4: Mental health (depression, anxiety and stress). The Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21), also previously validated for use in Brazil (Vignola & Tucci, 2014) was employed. It is a combination of three Likert-type four-point subscales containing 21 questions. Each subscale comprises seven items, designed to assess depression, anxiety, and stress. Scores range from 0 to 21. The values of Cronbach's alpha for our sample ranged between 0.77 and 0.84.

Sample Size Calculation

Based on the principal hypothesis of our study that healthcare professionals involved in palliative care are more often exposed to unusual deathbed experiences than other individuals, and given the dearth of previous studies addressing this subject, we decided to determine the sample size after collecting our first 20 participants in each setting. The data collected showed the following frequency of ELE reports: 85% in the PC unit, 60% in nursing homes, and 55% in the cancer hospital. Thus, a total of 34 participants per group would be required to detect group differences in our sample ($\alpha = 0.05$, $1 - \beta = 0.8$).

Statistical Analysis

A descriptive analysis was first conducted based on frequency for categorical variables and upon mean, median, standard deviation, and quartiles for numeric variables, to determine sociodemographic profiles and prevalence of end-of-life spiritual experiences.

The statistical analysis was then performed as follows: (1) the frequencies of these experiences among groups (NH, PC, ONC) were compared using the chi-square test (for categorical variables) and the ANOVA test (for continuous variables); and (2) the influence of participants' spiritual and religious beliefs on their opinions about the subject was assessed (using the chi-square test for dichotomous variables and Student's t test for continuous variables). The variables employed were the responses given to questions about perceptions about "deathbed phenomena"), while the predictors were the different dimensions of religiosity (the Duke Religion Index), spirituality (the SSRS), and beliefs in general.

A level of statistical significance of p < 0.05 was adopted. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS statistical software package (v. 21.0) (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

RESULTS

Of the 437 healthcare professionals screened for eligibility, 137 had 5 or more years of professional experience. Of this group, 4 refused to take part (3 cited a lack of time and another did not sign the consent form), yielding a final total of 133 participants enrolled (46 ONC, 36 PC, and 51 NH).

The sociodemographic data of the participants are presented in Table 1. Overall, the sample comprised predominantly individuals who were female, married, had a high level of education, were nurses or nurse assistants, and had a mean age of 41 (SD = 10) years. Comparison among settings revealed that the group of healthcare professionals working at nursing homes was older, had a lower income, contained a greater proportion of paid caregivers, and had higher intrinsic and nonorganizational religiosity (time spent on private religious activities). By contrast, healthcare professionals working in the palliative care units had higher levels of depressive symptoms.

With regard to end-of-life spiritual experiences (see Table 2), 70.7% reported observing ELEs or having these experiences reported to them. Palliative care professionals reported more ELEs than those from the other two settings (94.4 PC vs. 63 ONC vs. 60.8% NH, p < 0.001). The estimated median ELEs each healthcare professional has observed or heard during the previous 5 years were as follows: 15 ELEs for PC ($Q_{25-75\%} = 4.0-62.5$); 3 ELEs for ONC $(Q_{25-75\%} = 0.0-6.5)$; and 1 ELE for NH $(Q_{25-75\%} = 0.0-6.5)$ 0.0-3.0). The most frequently cited deathbed phenomena were "visions of dead relatives or religious figures who appear to have the express purpose of 'collecting' or 'taking away' the dying person" (88.2%), "a desire to mend family rifts" (84.9%), "visions of dead relatives sitting on or near the patient's bed who provide emotional warmth and comfort" (80.6%), and "coincidences, usually reported by friends or members of the family of the dying person, who say that the dying person visited them at the time of their death" (76.3%).

The healthcare professionals' opinions concerning ELEs are provided in Table 3. In general, most healthcare professionals believed that ELEs constituted a transpersonal experience (78.5%), a profound spiritual event (69.5%), differed from drug- or feverinduced hallucinations (69.3%), and were a source of spiritual comfort for the dying (77.4%). No differences in opinions about ELEs were found among settings (only with regard to aspects related to education and the openness of the institution and colleagues on the issue). Healthcare professionals from the hospital (ONC) were less educated on the issue (p = 0.050), were less able to talk with team members and supervisors about ELEs (p = 0.029), and were less willing to receive more information about ELEs (p = 0.033).

No relevant influence of religious beliefs on the perceptions of ELEs by healthcare professionals was found (see Table 4). Only low nonorganizational

Table 1.	Character	ristics of	f the	samp	ole
----------	-----------	------------	-------	------	-----

	Palliative care unit	Oncology hospital	Nursing home		Total
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	p^*	n (%)
Gender–female, n (%)	27 (75.0%)	34 (73.9%)	44 (86.3%)	0.261	105 (78.9%)
Marital status-married, n (%)	23 (63.9%)	32(69.6%)	26 (51.0%)	0.365	81 (60.9%)
Race–white, n (%)	28 (77.8%)	34(73.9%)	27(52.9%)	0.155	89 (66.9%)
Profession, n (%)			· · · ·		
Physician	4 (11.1%)	10(21.7%)	1(2.0%)		15(11.3%)
Nurse	5(13.9%)	13(28.3%)	3(5.9%)		21 (15.8%)
Nurse assistant	22(61.1%)	19(41.3%)	12(23.5%)		53 (39.8%)
Paid caregiver	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	17(33.3%)		17 (12.8%)
Other	5(13.9%)	4 (8.7%)	18 (35.3%)	<0.001	27(20.3%)
Attendance at religious meetings? Once a	15 (42.9%)	21 (45.7%)	32 (62.7%)	0.119	68 (51.5%)
Week or more	91(00.007)	94 (59 907)	10 (79 107)	0 000	OF (CA AM)
or more often	21 (60.0%)	24 (32.2%)	40 (78.4%)	0.022	80 (04.4%)
Religious affiliation					
Catholic	19~(54.3%)	23~(31.1%)	32~(62.7%)		74~(56.5%)
Evangelical	6(17.1%)	9 (20.0%)	15~(29.4%)		30~(22.9%)
Spiritist	5(14.3%)	11~(24.4%)	4(7.8%)		20~(15.3%)
No religion	1(2.9%)	1(2.2%)	0 (0.0%)		2(1.5%)
Other	4(11.4%)	1(2.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0.051	5(3.8%)
Do you believe in God?					
Yes	32~(91.4%)	44 (97.8%)	51(100.0%)	0.137	127 (96.9%)
No	1(2.9%)	1(2.2%)	0 (0.0%)		2~(1.5%)
No opinion	2~(5.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)		2(1.5%)
Do you believe in life after death?					
Yes	26~(74.3%)	38~(82.6%)	33~(64.7%)	0.311	97 (73.5%)
No	5(14.3%)	5~(10.9%)	8(15.7%)		18 (13.6%)
No opinion	4 (11.4%)	3(6.5%)	10 (19.6%)		17 (12.9%)
Do you believe in reincarnation?					
Yes	18~(51.4%)	23~(50.0%)	16 (31.4%)	0.137	57(43.2%)
No	11 (31.4%)	$12\ (26.1\%)$	25~(49.0%)		48 (36.4%)
No opinion	6 (17.1%)	$11\ (23.9\%)$	10 (19.6%)		27~(20.5%)
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	$p^{\#}$	Mean (SD)
Depression (DASS 21)	4.2(4.3)	2.4(2.6)	2.2(2.8)	0.013	2.8(3.3)
Stress (DASS 21)	6.6 (5.1)	5.2(3.7)	5.2(4.1)	0.290	5.6 (4.3)
Spirituality Self-Rating Scale (points)	12.0 (4.0)	11.2(3.4)	10.8 (3.1)	0.318	11.3(3.5)
Intrinsic religiosity	13.0 (2.8)	13.2 (1.8)	14.1 (1.1)	0.016	13.5 (2.0)

*Chi-square test; #ANOVA.

religiosity was associated with greater perception of ELEs (p = 0.048), whereas associations with the other dimensions of religiosity were not significant.

DISCUSSION

The present study found a high percentage of ELEs reported by healthcare professionals, which seemed to be little influenced by religious or spiritual beliefs. In addition, those having greater contact with patients at the end of life (as in palliative care) had a higher number of reports, a greater openness about the issue, and a greater desire for further training.

Our findings that at least 70% of healthcare professionals have already observed or heard of ELEs are similar to that of other studies conducted in different cultures, such as the Netherlands (70%) (Koedam-Visser & Fenwick, 2012), the United Kingdom (62-84%) (Fenwick et al., 2010), and the United States (98%) (Lawrence & Repede, 2013), demonstrating that ELEs are not greatly influenced by cultural factors, and confirming that this is not a determinant of perception of ELEs. In relation to studies assessing the families of the deceased, the prevalence of these observations tends to be lower (36.2% in Moldova [Kellehear et al., 2011-2012] and 28% in India [Muthumana et al., 2010-2011]), given that family members are not exposed to the numerous deaths witnessed by healthcare professionals.

Consistent with the international literature, the main ELEs reported by our healthcare professionals were "visions of dead relatives collecting the dying

	Palliative care unit	Oncology hospital	Nursing home	p^*	Total
Have you had DBPs related to you?	34 (94.4%)	29 (63.0%)	31 (60.8%)	<0.001	94 (70.7%)
Have you had DBPs related to you by patients?	30~(88.2%)	25~(86.2%)	20~(64.5%)	0.035	75 (79.8%)
Have you had DBPs related to you by relatives?	20~(58.8%)	22~(75.9%)	17~(54.8%)	0.203	59~(62.8%)
Visions of dead relatives or religious figures "taking away" the dying person	31 (91.2%)	26 (92.9%)	25 (80.6%)	0.277	82 (88.2%)
Dying person visiting friends or family at the time of death	29 (85.3%)	22 (78.6%)	20 (64.5%)	0.136	71 (76.3%)
Visions of dead relative sitting on or near the patient's bed providing comfort	28 (82.4%)	23 (82.1%)	24 (77.4%)	0.856	75 (80.6%)
Patient reports a sense of going back and forth from a different reality during the dying process	18 (52.9%)	16 (57.1%)	15 (48.4%)	0.797	49 (52.7%)
Experiencing a radiant light that envelops the dying person	18 (52.9%)	14 (50.0%)	11 (35.5%)	0.330	43 (46.2%)
Dying dreams or visions through which the patient seems to be comforted and prepared for death	29 (85.3%)	17 (60.7%)	18 (58.1%)	0.033	64 (68.8%)
Vivid dreams or visions helping the patient come to an understanding of some unfinished business	26 (76.5%)	$15\ (55.6\%)$	17 (54.8%)	0.124	58 (63.0%)
A sense of being "called" or "pulled" by something, or someone	19 (55.9%)	18 (64.3%)	16 (51.6%)	0.443	53 (57.0%)
Seeing people/animals/birds out of the corner of the eye	26 (76.5%)	21 (34.4%)	14 (45.2%)	0.013	61 (65.6%)
A sudden desire to write poetry or prose	7(20.6)	6(21.4%)	6 (19.4%)	0.980	19 (20.4%)
A sudden desire to sing or hum religious songs	20~(58.8%)	13~(46.4%)	16~(51.6%)	0.616	49 (52.7%)
A symbolic appearance of an animal, bird, or insect near or at the time of death	22 (64.7%)	7 (25.0%)	9 (29.0%)	0.002	38 (40.9%)
At the time of death, coincidental events occur, such as clocks stopping	8 (23.5%)	10 (35.7%)	10 (32.3%)	0.553	28 (30.1%)
A comatose patient suddenly becomes alert enough to coherently say goodbye to loved ones at the bedside	21 (61.8%)	17 (60.7%)	18 (58.1%)	0.953	56 (60.2%)
A desire to mend family rifts	32 (94.1%)	23 (82.1%)	24 (77.4%)	0.151	79 (84.9%)

Table 2. Types of end-of-life experiences reported by healthcare professionals in the previous five years[#] (table gives percentage of healthcare professionals who answered "yes" to questions)

*Chi-square test; DBP = deathbed phenomenon.

[#]Questions from Fenwick's ELE questionnaire (dichotomous questions "yes/no").

person," "a desire to mend family rifts," and "dead relatives near the bed who provide emotional comfort" (Fenwick et al., 2010; Koedam-Visser & Fenwick, 2012), supporting the hypothesis that ELEs promote calm and are associated with preparation for death, aided by the comfort brought through contact with relatives (Fenwick & Brayne, 2011; Fenwick et al., 2007; Betty, 2006).

Interestingly, the religious and spiritual beliefs of the healthcare professionals had no major impact on perception of ELE reports. Indeed, some measures of religiosity (e.g., nonorganizational religiosity) were inversely associated with ELEs—that is, healthcare professionals with low religiosity had greater exposure to ELEs. These data indicate that religious beliefs are not determinants of ELE perception, contrary to the conclusion that might be drawn given the mystic or religious connotation often conferred on these experiences. These results are similar to the findings of other authors who also failed to confirm this relationship in healthcare professionals (Fenwick & Brayne, 2011), but they are different from an Indian study which found that end-of-life patients who followed the Muslim faith had fewer visions (Muthumana et al., 2010-2011).

Regarding comparison of different settings, an increased perception or incidence of reports of ELEs was noted in the palliative care units. Although expected, since healthcare professionals with this role have much more frequent contact with end-of-life patients, this finding corroborates the fact that these experiences are more strongly associated with the care setting at the end of life than with beliefs and cultural aspects per se (Lawrence & Repede, 2013). There was also a contrast between healthcare professionals working at the cancer hospital and those at the nursing homes, but the most notable difference was in relation to professionals who worked in palliative care. Healthcare professionals involved in palliative care exhibited greater openness about the issue and a

Table 3. Healthcare professionals'	opinions concerning end-	of-life experiences# (table	gives percentage of
healthcare professionals who answ	ered that they "agree" or '	"strongly agree" with the o	question)

	Palliative care unit	Oncology hospital	Nursing home	p^*	Total
DBPs differ from drug- or fever-induced hallucinations	24 (70.6%)	14 (60.9%)	23 (74.2%)	0.564	61 (69.3%)
I consider DBPs to be transpersonal experiences	27 (77.1%)	32(72.7%)	43 (84.3%)	0.382	102 (78.5%)
I consider DBPs to be an altered state of consciousness	3(8.3%)	6 (14.0%)	10 (19.6%)	0.338	19 (14.6%)
I consider DBPs to be profound spiritual events	28 (77.8%)	28 (63.6%)	35 (68.6%)	0.388	91 (69.5%)
I consider a DBP to be a psychological construct, enabling patients to review their life	20 (55.6%)	24 (54.5%)	32 (62.7%)	0.679	76 (58.0%)
I consider DBPs to have little significance beyond a chemical change in the brain	5 (13.9%)	4 (9.1%)	10 (19.6%)	0.346	19 (14.5%)
I consider DBPs to be just manifestations of the imagination	2~(5.6%)	3 (6.8%)	7 (13.7%)	0.345	12 (9.2%)
I consider DBPs to be hallucinations induced by medications or fever	8 (22.2%)	7 (15.9%)	9 (17.6%)	0.759	24 (18.3%)
I consider DBPs to be expressions of psychological unrest or suffering	14 (38.9%)	13 (29.5%)	17 (33.3%)	0.678	44 (33.6%)
DBPs are often a source of spiritual comfort for the dving	31 (86.1%)	34 (73.9%)	38 (74.5%)	0.345	103 (77.4%)
DBPs are often a source of spiritual comfort for relatives	22(61.1%)	29 (63.0%)	27 (52.9%)	0.565	78 (58.6%)
Patients are reluctant to talk about DBPs	20(55.6%)	24(52.2%)	26 (51.0%)	0.913	70 (52.6%)
Patients who experience a DBP have a peaceful death	21(58.3%)	25(54.3%)	34 (66.7%)	0.450	80 (60.2%)
DBPs can be distressing but usually carry a significant meaning to help the patient come to terms with unresolved issues	24 (66.7%)	30 (66.7%)	34 (66.7%)	1.000	88 (66.7%)
Most people experience DBPs within the last month of their life	17 (47.2%)	15 (32.6%)	29 (56.9%)	0.056	61 (45.9%)
DBPs usually happen within the last 24 to 48 hours of life	26 (72.2%)	26 (56.5%)	24 (47.1%)	0.065	76 (57.1%)
I have received specialist education about DBPs	14 (38.9%)	8(17.4%)	19(37.3%)	0.050	41 (30.8%)
I am able to talk with my team about issues related to DBPs	30 (83.3%)	24 (52.2%)	40 (78.4%)	0.003	94 (70.7%)
I am fearful of talking to patients about DBPs because it may cause them distress	15 (41.7%)	14 (30.4%)	20 (39.2%)	0.523	49 (36.8%)
I am able to talk to a supervisor about issues related to DBPs	34 (94.4%)	33 (71.7%)	42 (82.4%)	0.029	109 (82.0%)
I never discuss DBPs with any of my colleagues	5(13.9%)	$14\ (30.4\%)$	$14\ (27.5\%)$	0.195	33~(24.8%)
I would like more information about DBPs as part of my training	34 (94.4%)	35 (76.1%)	46 (90.2%)	0.033	115 (86.5%)

*Chi-square test; DBP = deathbed phenomenon.

[#]Questions from Fenwick's ELE questionnaire (5-point Likert-type scale).

higher interest in undertaking further training. This finding corroborates the results of a more recent study of healthcare professionals which showed that higher S/R scores are correlated with greater incidence of daily contact with death (de Camargos et al., 2015). This finding might also be explained by the principles of palliative care as pioneered by Cicely Sanders (Clark, 2007) and the current guidelines for the specialty, which adopt the approach and view spirituality as an important aspect of being human and something that should be taken into account in end-oflife care (Puchalski et al., 2009).

Another important point is that 70% of our healthcare professionals agreed that DBPs are different from drug- or fever-induced hallucinations. The prevalence of delirium in end-of-life care is very high, frequently caused by dehydration, infection, drugs, or hypoxia, and it is characterized by abrupt onset of fluctuating confusion, inattention, and reduced awareness of the environment (Hosker & Bennett, 2016). In contrast to delirium, some authors argue that ELEs occur in patients whose consciousness is clear and who have an awareness of their surroundings, who recall the experiences with clarity and experience them as promoting positive outcomes (e.g., peace, comfort, acceptance) (Grant et al., 2013). These differences were also noted by our participants, who were able to separate one condition from another.

	Mean	SD	p^*
Organizational religiosity (DUREL) [#]			
Reported ELEs	4.24	1.18	
Did not report ELEs	4.51	1.33	0.260
Nonorganizational religiosity (DUREL) [#]			
Reported ELEs	4.17	1.55	
Did not report ELEs	4.71	1.09	0.048
Intrinsic religiosity (DUREL) [#]			
Reported ELEs	13.45	2.21	
Did not report ELEs	13.84	1.36	0.314
Spirituality (SSRS) [#]			
Reported ELEs	11.46	3.65	
Did not report ELEs	10.94	3.15	0.418
	Reported ELEs	Did not report ELEs	
	n (%)	n (%)	p^*
Organizational religiosity (DUREL)			_
High	45 (48.4%)	23~(59.0%)	
Low	48~(51.6%)	16 (41.0%)	0.267
Nonorganizational religiosity (DUREL)			
High	55~(59.1%)	30 (76.9%)	
Low	38~(40.9%)	9~(23.1%)	0.052
Do you believe in life after death?			
Yes	69 (74.2%)	28~(71.8%)	
No	14~(15.1%)	4~(10.3%)	
No opinion	10 (10.8%)	7 (17.9%)	0.452
Do you believe in reincarnation?			
Yes	44~(47.3%)	13~(33.3%)	
No	34~(36.6%)	14~(35.9%)	
No opinion	15 (16.1%)	12 (30.8%)	0.127

Table 4. Faith and its relationship with end-of-life experiences

 $^{*}t$ test; *chi-square test.

DUREL = Duke Religion Index; SSRS = Spirituality Self-Rating Scale.

Finally, there are clinical implications for ELEs. These experiences can provide profoundly spiritual moments that offer hope, meaning, and connection for the dying as well as their relatives and support family members throughout the grieving process (Fenwick & Brayne, 2011). Listening to these experiences may also change the healthcare team, shifting them toward more compassionate, empathetic, humanistic, and better overall care, which can have an impact on their clinical practice, as well as on their own lives. According to Puchalski (2001), this compassionate care "calls physicians to walk with people in the midst of their pain, to be partners with patients rather than experts dictating information to them."

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The present study has some limitations. First, we employed a cross-sectional design, thus precluding determination of a cause-and-effect relationship. Second, the study was based on ELE reports recalled by healthcare professionals, so that memory bias may have been introduced. Third, the healthcare professionals themselves were assessed as opposed to the patients. Finally, although 70% believed that ELEs differed from hallucinations, no scales were utilized to investigate acute confusional states in the patients who had these experiences, with professionals drawing solely on reports and their own opinions. Notwithstanding these limitations, the study also has several strengths, such as the fact that it involved a comparatively large sample for this type of research, compared different settings, and employed religiosity and spirituality scales to determine the influence of these beliefs on reports of ELEs.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study revealed that ELE reports are not uncommon in clinical practice and seem to be little influenced by religious or spiritual beliefs. Although frequently reported in all settings, palliative care professionals tend to be more open to this issue and have a more favorable perception of ELEs.

REFERENCES

- Beaton, D.E., Bombardier, C., Guillemin, F., et al. (2000). Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine*, 25(24), 3186–3191.
- Betty, L.S. (2006). Are they hallucinations or are they real? The spirituality of deathbed and near-death visions. Omega, 53(1), 37-49.
- Brayne, S., Farnham, C. & Fenwick, P. (2006). Deathbed phenomena and their effect on a palliative care team: A pilot study. *The American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 23(1), 17–24.
- Brayne, S., Lovelace, H. & Fenwick, P. (2008). End-of-life experiences and the dying process in a Gloucestershire nursing home as reported by nurses and care assistants. *The American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 25(3), 195–206.
- Broadhurst, K. & Harrington, A. (2015). A thematic literature review: The importance of providing spiritual care for end-of-life patients who have experienced transcendence phenomena. *The American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 33(9), 881–893. Epub ahead of print Jul 12.
- Clark, D. (2007). From margins to centre: A review of the history of palliative care in cancer. *The Lancet. Oncol*ogy, 8(5), 430-438.
- Connor, S. & Bermedo, M.C.S. (eds.) (2014). *Global atlas of palliative care at the end of life*. Geneva: World Health Organization and Worldwide Palliative Care Alliance. Available from http://www.who.int/nmh/Global_Atlas_ of_Palliative_Care.pdf.
- Daher, J.C. (2016). Análise Bibliométrica das publicações científicas sobre experiências relacionadas à possibilidade da autonomia da consciência além do cérebro [in Portuguese]. Master thesis. Juiz de Fora, Brazil: Federal University of Juiz de Fora. Available from https://re positorio.ufjf.br/jspui/bitstream/ufjf/2178/1/jorgececi liodaherjunior.pdf.
- de Camargos, M.G., Paiva, C.E., Barroso, E.M., et al. (2015). Understanding the differences between oncology patients and oncology health professionals concerning spirituality/religiosity: A cross-sectional study. *Medicine*, 94(47), e2145.
- Fenwick, P. & Brayne, S. (2011). End-of-life experiences: Reaching out for compassion, communication, and connection-meaning of deathbed visions and coincidences. *The American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 28(1), 7–15. Epub ahead of print Aug 27, 2010.
- Fenwick, P., Lovelace, H. & Brayne, S. (2007). End-of-life experiences and their implications for palliative care. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 64(3), 315–323.
- Fenwick, P., Lovelace, H. & Brayne, S. (2010). Comfort for the dying: Five-year retrospective and one-year prospective studies of end-of-life experiences. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 51(2), 173–179. Epub ahead of print Nov 13, 2009.
- Gonçalves, A.M.d.S. & Pillon, S.C. (2009). Transcultural adaptation and evaluation of the internal consistency of the Portuguese version of the Spirituality Self-Rating Scale (SSRS) [in Portuguese]. *Revista de Psiquiatria Clínica*, 36(1), 10–15. Available from http://www.revis tas.usp.br/acp/article/view/17256/19270.
- Grant, P., Wright, S., Depner, R., et al. (2013). The significance of end-of-life dreams and visions. *Nursing Times*, *110*(28), 22–24.

- Hosker, C.M.G. & Bennett, M.I. (2016). Delirium and agitation at the end of life. *BMJ*, 353, i3085. Epub ahead of print Jun 9. Available from http://eprints.whiterose. ac.uk/101456/1/16.157.pdf.
- Hui, D., dos Santos, R., Chisholm, G., et al. (2014). Clinical signs of impending death in cancer patients. *The Oncol*ogist, 19(6), 681–687. Epub ahead of print Apr 23. Available from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC4041673/.
- Kellehear, A., Pogonet, V., Mindruta-Stratan, R., et al. (2011–2012). Deathbed visions from the Republic of Moldova: A content analysis of family observations. *Omega*, 64(4), 303–317.
- Kerr, C.W., Donnelly, J.P., Wright, S.T., et al. (2014). Endof-life dreams and visions: A longitudinal study of hospice patients' experiences. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 17(3), 296–303. Epub ahead of print Jan 11. Available from http://online.liebertpub.com/doi/pdf/ 10.1089/jpm.2013.0371.
- Koedam-Visser, I. & Fenwick, P. (2012). Questionnaire surveys of end-of-life experiences in three Dutch hospices. BMJ Supportive & Palliative Care, 2(Suppl. 1), A40-A40.
- Lawrence, M. & Repede, E. (2013). The incidence of deathbed communications and their impact on the dying process. *The American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 30(7), 632–639.
- Lucchetti, G., Lucchetti, A.L.G., Peres, M.F., et al. (2012). Validation of the Duke religion index: DUREL (Portuguese version). Journal of Religion and Health, 51(2), 579–586.
- Lucchetti, G., de Oliveira, L.R., Koenig, H.G., et al. (2013). Medical students, spirituality and religiosity: Results from the multicenter study SBRAME. *BMC Medical Education*, 13(1), 162. Available from https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4029451/.
- Muthumana, S.P., Kumari, M., Kellehear, A., et al. (2010– 2011). Deathbed visions from India: A study of family observations in northern Kerala. *Omega*, 62(2), 97–109.
- Peres, M.F.P., Arantes, A.C.d.L.Q., Lessa, P.S., et al. (2007). Incorporating spirituality and religiosity in pain management and palliative care [in Portuguese]. *Revista de Psiquiatria Clínica*, 34, 82–87.
- Puchalski, C.M. (2001). The role of spirituality in health care. Proceedings (Baylor University, Medical Center), 14(4), 352–357. Available from https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1305900/.
- Puchalski, C.M., Kilpatrick, S.D., McCullough, M.E., et al. (2003). A systematic review of spiritual and religious variables in *Palliative Medicine*, *The American Journal* of Hospice & Palliative Care, Hospice Journal, Journal of Palliative Care, and Journal of Pain and Symptom Management. Palliative & Supportive Care, 1(1), 7–13.
- Puchalski, C., Ferrell, B., Virani, R., et al. (2009). Improving the quality of spiritual care as a dimension of palliative care: The report of the consensus conference. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 12(10), 885–904.
- Renz, M., Mao, M.S., Omlin, A., et al. (2015). Spiritual experiences of transcendence in patients with advanced cancer. *The American Journal of Hospice & Palliative Care*, 32(2), 178–188. Epub ahead of print Nov 20, 2013.
- Vignola, R.C.B. & Tucci, A.M. (2014). Adaptation and validation of the Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS) to Brazilian Portuguese. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 155, 104–109. Epub ahead of print Oct 28, 2013.